**SUMMARY:** The title of the investigation as published in the Federal Register on February 9, 2007 (72 FR 7455) suggests that the Commission will provide advice limited to the probable economic effect of modification of certain rules of origin in the Dominican Republic-Central America-United States Free Trade Agreement for certain apparel goods of Costa Rica and the Dominican Republic only. However, the text of the notice indicates that the Commission will provide such advice with respect to the probable economic effect of modification of the rules of origin on such apparel goods of all the parties to the agreement. The Commission's intent to is provide the broader advice. Accordingly, the title of the investigation is amended to delete "of Costa Rica and the Dominican Republic." To allow additional time for any interested parties who may have been confused by the title, the Commission has extended the deadline for filing written submissions in this investigation from March 2, 2007 to March 16, 2007.

All other information in the notice published on February 9, 2007, including with respect to Commission contacts, background information, and requirements for submitting written statements (except for the deadline) remains the same.

Issued: February 23, 2007. By order of the Commission.

### Marilyn Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. E7–3539 Filed 2–28–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-595]

In the Matter of Certain Dynamic Random Access Memory Devices and Products Containing Same; Notice of Investigation

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on January 29, 2007, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Renesas Technology Corp., of Tokyo, Japan. A supplemental letter was filed on February 16, 2007. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the

United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain dynamic random access memory devices and products containing same by reason of infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,115,344 and 7,116,128. The complaint, as supplemented, further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a permanent exclusion order and permanent cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint and supplement, except for any confidential information contained therein, are available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http:// www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Heidi E. Strain, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2006).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on February 22, 2007, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain dynamic random access memory devices and products containing same by reason of

infringement of one or more of claims 1 and 8 of U.S. Patent No. 7,115,344 and claims 1 and 5 of U.S. Patent No. 7,116,128, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

- (2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:
- (a) The complainant is— Renesas Technology Corp., Marunouchi Building, 4–1, Marunouchi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100–6334.
- (b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Main Building, 250, Taepyeongno 2ga, Jung-ga, Seoul 100–742, Korea. Samsung Electronics America, Inc., 105 Challenger Road, Ridgefield Park, New Jersey.

- (c) The Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation, is Heidi E. Strain, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 401–R, Washington, DC 20436; and
- (3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Paul J. Luckern is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or

cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: February 23, 2007. By order of the Commission.

### Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. E7–3585 Filed 2–28–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-585]

In the Matter of Certain Engines, Components Thereof, and Products Containing the Same; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review ALJ Order No. 6 Granting Complainant's Motion To Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation by Adding a Respondent

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade

Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") (Order No. 6) granting complainant's motion to amend the complaint and the notice of investigation to add respondent Wuxi Kama Power Co. Ltd. to the investigation.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-3152. Copies of the ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearingimpaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 19, 2006, the Commission instituted an investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based on a complaint filed by American Honda Motor Company, Inc. of Torrance, California, alleging a violation of section 337 in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain engines, components thereof, and products containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,706,769 and 6,250,273. 71 FR 61799 (Oct. 19, 2006). The complainant named Wuxi Kipor Power Co., Ltd. of Jiangsu, China as a respondent.

On January 24, 2007, the ALJ issued Order No. 6 granting complainant's motion to amend the complaint and the notice of investigation to add Wuxi Kama Power Co. Ltd. as a respondent to the investigation. No party petitioned for review of Order No. 6, and the Commission has determined not to review it.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42(h) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42(h)).

By order of the Commission. Issued: February 23, 2007.

### Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. E7–3587 Filed 2–28–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-556]

In the Matter of Certain High-Brightness Light Emitting Diodes and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Decision To Review-in-Part a Final Initial Determination Finding a Violation of Section 337 and To Grant a Motion To Strike

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade
Commission has determined to reviewin-part a final initial determination
("ID") of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") finding a violation of section 337 by the respondent's products in the above-captioned investigation. The Commission has also granted respondent's motion to strike complainant's arguments that are based on evidence that was excluded by the ALJ.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Clint Gerdine, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on December 8, 2005, based on a complaint filed by Lumileds Lighting U.S., LLC ("Lumileds") of San Jose, California. 70 FR 73026. The complaint, as amended and supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain high-brightness light emitting diodes ("LEDs") and products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1 and 6 of U.S. Patent No. 5,008,718 ("the '718 patent"); claims 1-3, 8-9, 16, 18, and 23-28 of U.S. Patent No. 5,376,580 ("the '580 patent"); and claims 12-16 of U.S. Patent No. 5,502,316 ("the '316 patent"). The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission's notice of investigation named Epistar Corporation ("Epistar") of Hsinchu, Taiwan, and United Epitaxy Company ("UEC") of Hsinchu, Taiwan as respondents.

On April 28, 2006, Lumileds moved to amend the complaint to: (1) Remove UEC as a named respondent, (2) change the complainant's full name from Lumileds Lighting U.S., LLC to Philips Lumileds Lighting Company LLC ("Philips"), and (3) identify additional Epistar LEDs alleged to infringe one or more patents-in-suit. Neither respondent opposed the motion.

On May 15, 2006, the Commission issued a notice determining not to review an ID (Order No. 14) granting the complainant's motion for partial summary determination to dismiss