

Manufacture, Inc., P.J. Flooring Distributor, R.A.H. Carpet Supplies, Inc., Salvage Building Material, Inc., Stalheim (USA), Inc., Universal Floor Covering, Inc., and Vegas Laminate Hardwood Floors LLC.

The Commission has determined that the public interest factors enumerated in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d), (f), and (g) do not preclude issuance of the aforementioned remedial orders, and that the bond during the Presidential period of review shall be set at 100 percent of the entered value for any covered laminated floor panels.

The authority for the Commission's determinations is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.45–210.51 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.45–210.51).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 5, 2007.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E7–190 Filed 1–9–07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–580]

### In the Matter of Certain Peripheral Devices and Components Thereof and Products Containing Same; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) terminating the above-captioned investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337). The Commission has terminated the investigation based on settlement and licensing agreements.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Clint Gerdine, Esq., telephone 202–708–2310, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E

Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on September 6, 2006, based on a complaint filed on August 1, 2006, by Microsoft Corporation of Redmond, Washington. 71 FR 52578. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain peripheral devices and components thereof and products containing same by reason of infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,460,094 and U.S. Patent No. 6,795,949. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The complaint named a single respondent: Belkin Corporation of Compton, California. The complaint further alleged that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

On November 30, 2006, the complainant and the only respondent filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation on the basis of settlement and licensing agreements. The Commission Investigative Attorney filed a response in support of the motion on December 11, 2006.

The ALJ issued the subject ID on December 19, 2006, granting the joint motion for termination. No party petitioned for review of the ID pursuant to 19 CFR 210.43(a), and the Commission found no basis for ordering a review on its own initiative pursuant to 19 CFR 210.44. The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.21(a)(2), (b) and 210.42(h)(3) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 4, 2007.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E7–122 Filed 1–9–07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–991 (Final) (Remand)]

### Silicon Metal From Russia; Notice and Scheduling of Remand Proceeding

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The United States International Trade Commission (Commission) gives notice of the court-ordered remand of its final antidumping duty investigation No. 731–TA–991 (Final) (Remand).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** June B. Brown, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, telephone (202) 205–3042, or Diane Mazur, Office of Investigations, telephone (202) 205–3184, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, U.S. International Trade Commission. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Reopening the Record

In March 2003, the Commission made a final affirmative determination in the referenced investigation. The determination was appealed to the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT), which affirmed the Commission upon remand, and was then appealed to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, which vacated and remanded the Commission's determination. *Bratsk Aluminum Smelter v. United States*, 444 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2006). On August 17, 2006, the CIT issued an order remanding the case to the Commission to comply with the Federal Circuit's decision in *Bratsk*. By order of September 22, 2006, the remand proceeding was stayed upon the Commission's motion. On December 22, 2006, the CIT issued an order lifting the stay and giving the Commission 90 days to issue its remand determination.

In order to assist in making its determination on remand, the Commission is reopening the record on remand in this investigation to include additional information on the role of non-subject imports of silicon metal in the U.S. market during the original period of investigation. The record in this proceeding will encompass the material from the record of the original

investigation and additional information placed by Commission staff on the record during this remand proceeding.

### Participation in the Proceeding

Only those persons who were interested parties in the original administrative proceeding and are parties to the ongoing litigation (i.e., persons listed on the Commission Secretary's service list and parties to *Bratsk Aluminum Smelter v. United States*, Consol. Ct. No. 03-00200) may participate as interested parties in this remand proceeding.

### Nature of the Remand Proceeding

On February 16, 2007, the Commission will make available to parties who are participating in the remand proceeding information that has been gathered by the Commission as part of this remand proceeding. These parties may file comments on or before February 27, 2007 on the legal issues raised in *Bratsk* with respect to non-subject imports and on the information on the record that is relevant to how the Commission addresses these issues in its remand determination. No additional new factual information may be included in such comments. Such comments shall not exceed 25 double-spaced pages.

All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain business proprietary information (BPI) must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission's rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (November 8, 2002). Even where electronic filing of a document is permitted, certain documents must also be filed in paper form, as specified in II (C) of the Commission's Handbook on Electronic Filing Procedures, 67 FR 68168, 68173 (November 8, 2002). Each document filed by a party participating in the remand investigation must be served on all other parties who may participate in the remand investigation (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service. Parties are also advised to consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subpart A (19 CFR part 207), for provisions of general

applicability concerning written submissions to the Commission.

### Limited Disclosure of Business Proprietary Information (BPI) Under an Administrative Protective Order (APO) and BPI Service List

Information obtained during the remand investigation will be released to the referenced parties, as appropriate, under the administrative protective order (APO) in effect in the original investigation. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO in this remand investigation.

**Authority:** This action is taken under the authority of the Tariff Act of 1930, title VII.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 4, 2007.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E7-187 Filed 1-9-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

### INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-678, 679, 681, and 682 (Second Review)]

### Stainless Steel Bar From Brazil, India, Japan, And Spain

#### Determinations

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel bar from Brazil, India, Japan, and Spain would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.<sup>2</sup>

#### Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on March 1, 2006 (71 FR 10552) and determined on June 5, 2006 that it would conduct full reviews (71 FR 34391, June 14, 2006). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

<sup>2</sup> Chairman Daniel R. Pearson and Commissioner Deanna Tanner Okun dissenting with respect to Brazil and Spain.

**Federal Register** on June 20, 2006 (71 FR 36359). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on October 12, 2006, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these reviews to the Secretary of Commerce on January 5, 2007. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3895 (January, 2007), entitled *Stainless Steel Bar from Brazil, India, Japan, and Spain: Investigation Nos. 731-TA-678, 679, 681, 682 (Second Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 5, 2007.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E7-191 Filed 1-9-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

#### Office of the Secretary

#### Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

January 5, 2007.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection requests (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of each ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained from RegInfo.gov at <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain> or by contacting Darrin King on 202-693-4129 (this is not a toll-free number) / e-mail: [king.darrin@dol.gov](mailto:king.darrin@dol.gov).

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Telephone: 202-395-7316 / Fax: 202-395-6974 (these are not a toll-free numbers), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information,