Proposed Rules

Federal Register

Vol. 72, No. 219

Wednesday, November 14, 2007

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

10 CFR Part 51

[Docket No. PRM-51-12]

State of California; Supplement to a Petition for Rulemaking

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Supplemental petition for rulemaking; notice of receipt.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has received a supplement to a petition for rulemaking filed with the Commission by Edmund G. Brown, Jr., Attorney General for the State of California. The NRC docketed the original petition dated March 16, 2007, as PRM-51-12. In this supplement to PRM-51-12, the petitioner provides clarification to the original PRM. This document is being noticed for information only and not for public comment.

ADDRESSES: For a copy of the original petition PRM-51-12 and the supplement to PRM-51-12, write to Michael T. Lesar, Chief, Rulemaking, Directives, and Editing Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

PRM-51-12 and the supplement to PRM-51-12 may be inspected and copied for a fee at the NRC Public Document Room (PDR), 11555 Rockville Pike, Public File Area O1F21, Rockville, Maryland. Copies of comments received on PRM-51-12 are available through the NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. These documents may be accessed through the NRC's Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at http://www.nrc.gov/ NRC/ADAMS/index.html. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS contact the NRC's

PDR Reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael T. Lesar, Chief, Rulemaking, Directives, and Editing Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555— 0001, Telephone: 301–415–7163, or toll free: 800–368–5642.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The NRC received a petition for rulemaking dated March 16, 2007, submitted by Edmund G. Brown, Jr., Attorney General for the State of California (petitioner). The petition was docketed as PRM-51-12. The notice of receipt of PRM-51-12 was published on May 14, 2007 (72 FR 27068). On September 19, 2007, the petitioner submitted a document characterized as an "Amended Petition" for rulemaking to clarify PRM-51-12.

In the original petition, the petitioner requested that the NRC rescind its regulations at 10 CFR Part 51 that declare the potential environmental effects of the approval, construction, and operation of high-density pool storage of spent nuclear fuel, are not and cannot be significant for purposes of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and NEPA analysis; adopt and issue a generic determination that approval of such storage at a nuclear power plant or any other facility does constitute a major federal action that may have a significant effect on the human environment; and order that no NRC licensing decision that approves high-density pool storage of spent nuclear fuel at a nuclear power plant or other storage facility may issue without the prior adoption and certification of an environmental impact statement (EIS) that complies with NEPA in all respects, including full identification, analysis, and disclosure of the potential environmental effects of such storage, including the potential for accidental or deliberately caused release of radioactive products to the environment, whether by accident or through acts of terrorism, as well as full and adequate discussion of potential mitigation for such effects, and full discussion of an adequate array or alternatives to the proposed storage

In the September 19, 2007 document, the petitioner clarifies that the State of California seeks to have the NRC rescind all regulations found in 10 CFR Part 51, that imply, find or determine that the potential environmental effects of high-density pool storage of spent nuclear fuel are not significant for purposes of NEPA and NEPA analysis. The petitioner also includes requests for a generic determination and order. These requests are identical to the requests made in the March 16, 2007 petition and are as described previously.

The NRC does not consider the September 19, 2007 document to be substantively different from PRM-51-12. Therefore, the NRC will consider the September 19, 2007 document to be a supplement to PRM-51-12 and will include it in the docket for PRM-51-12. The NRC is publishing this notice for information only and not for public comment. The public comment period for PRM-51-12 closed on July 30, 2007.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 6th day of November 2007.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Andrew L. Bates,

Acting Secretary of the Commission.
[FR Doc. E7–22213 Filed 11–13–07; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of Thrift Supervision

12 CFR Chapter V

[Docket ID OTS-2007-0023]

Proposed Agency Information
Collection Activities; Comment
Request—Information Needed To
Respond to a Proposal To Convert
From the Thrift Financial Report (TFR)
to the Call Report

AGENCY: Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS), Treasury.

ACTION: Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPR).

SUMMARY: The Office of Thrift Supervision is considering requiring savings associations to file quarterly Consolidated Reports of Condition and Income (Call Report) instead of the Thrift Financial Report (TFR) currently filed. This ANPR solicits comments identifying information that the thrift industry and the public would need to analyze a proposal to convert from the TFR to the Call Report used by other federal banking regulators and to amend any OTS rules that would be affected by such a change.

At the end of the comment period, OTS will review the comments and conduct any research needed to compile the identified information. OTS plans to publish a second notice containing the requested information and solicit comments on whether to convert to the Call Report.

DATES: Submit written comments on or before January 14, 2008.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by OTS-2007-0023, by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov, select "Office of Thrift Supervision" from the agency drop-down menu, then click submit. Select Docket ID "OTS-2007-0023" to submit or view public comments and to view supporting and related materials for this notice of proposed rulemaking. The "User Tips" link at the top of the page provides information on using Regulations.gov, including instructions for submitting or viewing public comments, viewing other supporting and related materials, and viewing the docket after the close of the comment period.
- Mail: Regulation Comments, Chief Counsel's Office, Office of Thrift Supervision, 1700 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20552, Attention: OTS– 2007–0023.
- Hand Delivery/Courier: Guard's Desk, East Lobby Entrance, 1700 G Street, NW., from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m. on business days, Attention: Regulation Comments, Chief Counsel's Office, Attention: OTS-2007-0023.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this rulemaking. All comments received will be entered into the docket and posted on Regulations.gov without change, including any personal information provided. Comments, including attachments and other supporting materials received are part of the public record and subject to public disclosure. Do not enclose any information in your comment or supporting materials that you consider confidential or inappropriate for public disclosure.

Viewing Comments Electronically: Go to http://www.regulations.gov, select "Office of Thrift Supervision" from the agency drop-down menu, then click "Submit." Select Docket ID "OTS—2007—0023" to view public comments for this notice of proposed rulemaking.

Viewing Comments On-Site: You may inspect comments at the Public Reading Room, 1700 G Street, NW., by appointment. To make an appointment

for access, call (202) 906–5922, send an e-mail to *public.info@ots.treas.gov*, or send a facsimile transmission to (202) 906–6518. (Prior notice identifying the materials you will be requesting will assist us in serving you.) We schedule appointments on business days between 10 a.m. and 4 p.m. In most cases, appointments will be available the next business day following the date we receive a request.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: You can access more information on the TFR form and instructions on OTS's Web site via these links:

TFR report form—http:// www.ots.treas.gov/docs/7/78199.pdf. TFR instructions—http:// www.ots.treas.gov/docs/4/4210042.pdf.

You can access the Call Report form and instructions on the FDIC's Web site via these links:

Call Report form—http://www.fdic.gov/regulations/resources/call/index.html#RptForms.

Call Report instructions—http://www.fdic.gov/regulations/resources/call/crinst/callinst2007_march.html.

You can request additional information about this proposal by sending an e-mail to callreport@ots.treas.gov, or request to Attention: Request for Information on Call Conversion at Office of Thrift Supervision, 1700 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20552.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: OTS-regulated savings associations (savings associations) are required to submit a TFR to OTS each quarter. TFR data include information on savings associations and their holding companies. Also collected as part of the TFR are detailed maturity and rate data used as inputs for the OTS Net Portfolio Value (NPV) Model. TFR data are used to monitor the condition, performance, and risk profile of individual institutions, systemic risk among groups of institutions, and all savings associations as a whole.

TFR financial data are used to identify areas of focus for both on-site examinations and off-site monitoring. OTS uses TFR data in evaluating interstate merger and acquisition applications to determine, as required by law, whether the resulting institutions would control more than 10 percent of the total amount of deposits of insured depository institutions in the United States. TFR data are also used to calculate FDIC deposit insurance premiums and OTS's savings association assessments.

Current Action: OTS is considering whether to convert savings associations from the TFR to the Call Report. If this

proposal were adopted, savings associations would no longer be required to file the TFR, but instead would file a Call Report. Savings associations would be required to continue filing certain information currently collected on the TFR that is not included on the Call Report. This additional information would include the Consolidated Maturity Rate data (Schedule CMR) and the Holding Company data (Schedule HC). It is anticipated that this additional information would be filed as schedules of the Call Report. If the additional information cannot be included as schedules of the Call Report, it would be filed directly with OTS.

OTS seeks to supply the industry and the public with the information needed to analyze and provide informed comments on the proposal. After OTS has researched and compiled the information needed, OTS will request comment on the substance of any conversion proposal. That proposal would include both the requested information and the proposed amendments to any OTS regulations that would need to be modified.

Discussion of Proposed Revisions

Savings associations are currently required to submit quarterly TFR reports directly to the OTS. All other FDICinsured institutions submit quarterly Call Reports to the their primary federal regulator via the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council's (FFIEC) Central Data Repository (CDR). While the TFR and the Call Report share general similarities, there are some significant differences in the data that are collected due primarily to differences in industry focus and assets between savings associations and commercial banks. There are also differences in how the two reporting systems are administered. Some of the more significant differences are highlighted below.

Data Collected

In general, differences in the data collected on the TFR and Call Report reflect historic lending differences between banks and savings associations. Given savings associations' historic focus on mortgage and consumer lending, the TFR collects more detailed information on those types of loans, while the Call Report collects more detailed information on investment securities and commercial loans.

In the area of capital, Call Report Schedule RC–R (Regulatory Capital) collects many more data items than the TFR Schedule CCR (Consolidated Capital Requirement). The Call Report also collects more detail on riskweighted assets by asset class and offbalance sheet categories.

With regard to valuation allowances, TFR Schedule VA collects greater detail on general valuation allowances by asset type than does the Call Report. The TFR also breaks out specific valuation allowances (SVAs), while the Call Report combines SVAs with charge-offs.

Interest rate risk monitoring is another area of reporting difference. TFR Schedule CMR collects detailed on- and off-balance sheet repricing data, from which measures of interest rate risk are calculated using the proprietary OTS NPV Model. OTS provides each savings association its own interest rate risk measures free of charge in the Interest Rate Risk Report. By contrast, the Call Report collects only limited repricing data.

Also collected on the TFR are savings association holding company data. In contrast, bank holding companies are required to file with the Federal Reserve Board quarterly information (FR Y–9 series reports) in addition to Call Reports for their insured subsidiaries.

OTS anticipates that savings associations would be required to file a modified version of the Call Report on a quarterly basis, in place of the TFR report. As noted above, the modified Call Report would include new schedules specific to the OTS-regulated savings associations such as:

- Consolidated Maturity/Rate Schedule CMR (or similar loan portfolio data),
- Thrift Holding Company data, similar to the current TFR Schedule HC, and
- Other supplemental data items. Savings associations may be exempt from reporting some other Call Report items.

Data Collection Methods

Currently, savings associations are required to file their TFR reports electronically using OTS-supplied Electronic Filing Software (EFS). This software includes features that assist the user in the report preparation process. Savings associations with questions about how to use the EFS or how to prepare the TFR report can contact OTS directly for customer support.

If a conversion to the Call Report were implemented, savings associations would be required to file their Call Reports electronically using filing software purchased from a third-party vendor. Savings associations would transmit their Call Report data using the technology of the FFIEC's Central Data Repository system.

Staff

Converting to the Call Report might require savings associations to re-train report preparation staff. Call Report preparation training is available from independent trade or professional organizations.

Analytical Tools

Savings associations currently receive the Uniform Thrift Performance Report (UTPR), peer group data, and Interest Rate Risk reports each quarter through the Financial Reports Subscriber (FRS) software provided by OTS.

If conversion to the Call Report were adopted, the Uniform Bank Performance Report (UBPR) would be available for savings associations from the FFIEC Web site. Peer Group analyses, including banks, would also be available. Savings associations would continue to receive their Interest Rate Risk reports from the OTS. The reports would continue to be based on the CMR data, whether the data is submitted with the Call Report or directly to OTS.

Requests for Comments

OTS would like to provide sufficient information to enable the public to analyze and comment on the proposed conversion from the TFR to the Call Report. Please provide comments identifying the information you would need to evaluate the proposal. OTS will research and compile the information requested. OTS will publish a second notice that will include: (1) The requested information, (2) the proposed amendments to any OTS regulations that will need to be modified, and (3) a request for comment on the proposal to convert from the TFR to the Call Report. All comments will become a matter of public record.

Dated: November 6, 2007.

By the Office of Thrift Supervision.

John M. Reich,

Director.

[FR Doc. E7–22175 Filed 11–13–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6720–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2007-0109; Directorate Identifier 2007-NM-235-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directive; Lockheed Model 382, 382B, 382E, 382F, and 382G Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for all Lockheed Model 382, 382B, 382E, 382F, and 382G series airplanes. This proposed AD would require revising the FAA-approved maintenance inspection program to include inspections that will give no less than the required damage to tolerance rating for each structural significant item (SSI), doing repetitive inspections to detect cracks of all SSIs, and repairing cracked structure. This proposed AD results from a report of incidents involving fatigue cracking and corrosion in transport category airplanes that are approaching or have exceeded their design service objective. We are proposing this AD to maintain the continued structural integrity of the entire fleet of Model 382, 382B, 382E, 382F, and 382G series airplanes.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by December 31, 2007.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
 - Fax: 202-493-2251.
- *Mail*: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M– 30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590.
- Hand Delivery: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M—30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this AD, contact Lockheed Martin Corporation/Lockheed Martin Aeronautics Company, Airworthiness Office, Dept. 6A0M, Zone 0252, Column P–58, 86 S. Cobb Drive, Marietta, Georgia 30063.