

the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*). The interim rule does not change the information collection previously approved under control number 1557-0221 nor does it establish any new information collections.

#### List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 32

National banks, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

#### Authority and Issuance

■ For the reasons set forth in the preamble, Part 32 of chapter I of title 12 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

#### PART 32—LENDING LIMITS

■ 1. The authority citation for Part 32 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 12 U.S.C. 1 *et seq.*, 84, and 93a.

■ 2. In § 32.7:

- a. Remove the last sentence in paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(3);
- b. Revise the section heading;
- c. Revise paragraph (c); and
- d. Remove paragraph (e) and redesignate existing paragraph (f) as paragraph (e).

The revisions read as follows:

#### § 32.7 Residential real estate loans, small business loans, and small farm loans.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) *Duration of approval.* Except as provided in § 32.7(d), a bank that has received OCC approval may continue to make loans and extensions of credit under the special lending limits in paragraphs (a)(1), (2), and (3) of this section, provided the bank remains an “eligible bank.”

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: May 24, 2007.

**John C. Dugan,**

*Comptroller of the Currency.*

[FR Doc. E7-11014 Filed 6-6-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-33-P

---

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 23

[Docket No. CE269, Special Condition 23-209-SC]

#### Special Conditions; Op Technologies, Inc.; Cirrus Design Corporation Model SR22; Protection of Systems for High Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF)

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final special conditions; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** These special conditions are issued to Op Technologies, Inc.; 15236 NW., Greenbrier Parkway, Beaverton, OR 97006 for a Supplemental Type Certificate for the Cirrus Design Corporation Model SR22 airplane. This airplane will have novel and unusual design features when compared to the state of technology envisaged in the applicable airworthiness standards. These novel and unusual design features include the installation of electronic flight instrument system (EFIS) displays Model Pegasus Primary Flight Displays manufactured by Op Technologies for which the applicable regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate airworthiness standards for the protection of these systems from the effects of high intensity radiated fields (HIRF). These special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to the airworthiness standards applicable to these airplanes.

**DATES:** The effective date of these special conditions is May 25, 2007. We must receive your comments on or before July 9, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** Mail two copies of your comments to: Federal Aviation Administration, Regional Counsel, ACE-7, Attention: Rules Docket Clerk, Docket No. CE269, Room 506, 901 Locust, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. Mark all comments: Docket No. CE269. You may inspect comments in the Rules Docket weekdays, except Federal holidays, between 7:30 a.m. and 4 p.m.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** James Brady, Aerospace Engineer, Standards Office (ACE-110), Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone (816) 329-4132.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA has determined that notice and opportunity for prior public comment hereon are impracticable because these procedures would significantly delay issuance of the design approval and thus delivery of the affected aircraft. In addition, the substance of these special conditions has been subject to the public comment process in several prior instances with no substantive comments received. The FAA, therefore, finds that good cause exists for making these special conditions effective upon issuance.

#### Comments Invited

We invite interested persons to take part in this rulemaking by sending such written data, views, or arguments. Identify the regulatory docket or notice number and submit two copies of comments to the address specified above. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the special conditions, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data.

We will consider all communications received on or before the closing date for comments, and we may change the special conditions in light of the comments received. All comments received will be available in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons, both before and after the closing date for comments. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerning this rulemaking will be filed in the docket. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must include a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: “Comments to Docket No. CE269.” The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

#### Background

On September 6, 2006, Op Technologies, Inc.; 15236 NW., Greenbrier Parkway; Beaverton, OR 97006 applied to the FAA for a new Supplemental Type Certificate for the Cirrus Design Corporation Model SR22 airplane. The Model SR22 is currently approved under TC No. A00009CH. The proposed modification incorporates a novel or unusual design feature, such as digital avionics consisting of an EFIS that is vulnerable to HIRF external to the airplane.

#### Type Certification Basis

Under the provisions of 14 CFR part 21, § 21.101, Op Technologies, Inc. must show that the Cirrus Design Corporation Model SR22 aircraft meets the following provisions, or the applicable regulations in effect on the date of application for the change to the Cirrus Design Corporation Model SR22: Part 23 of the Federal Aviation Regulations effective February 1, 1965, as amended by 23-1 through 23-53, except as follows: § 23.301 through Amendment 47; §§ 23.855, 23.1326, 23.1359, not applicable. 14 CFR part 36 dated December 1, 1969, as amended by current amendment as of the date of type certification. Equivalent Levels of Safety finding (ACE-96-5) made per the

provisions of 14 CFR part 23, § 23.221; Refer to FAA ELOS letter dated June 10, 1998. Equivalent Levels of Safety finding (ACE-00-09) made per the provisions of 14 CFR part 23, §§ 23.1143(g) and 23.1147(b); Refer to FAA ELOS letter dated September 11, 2000, for model SR22. Special Condition (23-ACE-88) for ballistic parachute; 23-134-SC for protection of systems for High Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF); and 23-163-SC for inflatable restraint system; exemptions, if any; and the special conditions adopted by this rulemaking action.

**Discussion**

If the Administrator finds that the applicable airworthiness standards do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards because of novel or unusual design features of an airplane, special conditions are prescribed under the provisions of § 21.16.

Special conditions, as appropriate, as defined in § 11.19, are issued in accordance with § 11.38 after public notice and become part of the type certification basis in accordance with § 21.101(b)(2).

Special conditions are initially applicable to the model for which they are issued. Should the applicant apply for a supplemental type certificate to modify any other model already included on the same type certificate to incorporate the same novel or unusual design feature, the special conditions would also apply to the other model under the provisions of § 21.101.

**Novel or Unusual Design Features**

Op Technologies, Inc. plans to incorporate certain novel and unusual design features into an airplane for which the airworthiness standards do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for protection from the effects of HIRF. These features include EFIS, which are susceptible to the HIRF environment, that were not envisaged by the existing regulations for this type of airplane.

**Protection of Systems From High Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF)**

Recent advances in technology have given rise to the application in aircraft designs of advanced electrical and electronic systems that perform functions required for continued safe flight and landing. Due to the use of sensitive solid state advanced components in analog and digital electronics circuits, these advanced systems are readily responsive to the transient effects of induced electrical current and voltage caused by the HIRF. The HIRF can degrade electronic

systems performance by damaging components or upsetting system functions.

Furthermore, the HIRF environment has undergone a transformation that was not foreseen when the current requirements were developed. Higher energy levels are radiated from transmitters that are used for radar, radio, and television. Also, the number of transmitters has increased significantly. There is also uncertainty concerning the effectiveness of airframe shielding for HIRF. Furthermore, coupling to cockpit-installed equipment through the cockpit window apertures is undefined.

The combined effect of the technological advances in airplane design and the changing environment has resulted in an increased level of vulnerability of electrical and electronic systems required for the continued safe flight and landing of the airplane. Effective measures against the effects of exposure to HIRF must be provided by the design and installation of these systems. The accepted maximum energy levels in which civilian airplane system installations must be capable of operating safely are based on surveys and analysis of existing radio frequency emitters. These special conditions require that the airplane be evaluated under these energy levels for the protection of the electronic system and its associated wiring harness. These external threat levels, which are lower than previous required values, are believed to represent the worst case to which an airplane would be exposed in the operating environment.

These special conditions require qualification of systems that perform critical functions, as installed in aircraft, to the defined HIRF environment in paragraph 1 or, as an option to a fixed value using laboratory tests, in paragraph 2, as follows:

(1) The applicant may demonstrate that the operation and operational capability of the installed electrical and electronic systems that perform critical functions are not adversely affected when the aircraft is exposed to the HIRF environment defined below:

Frequency	Field strength (volts per meter)	
	Peak	Average
10 kHz-100 kHz .....	50	50
100 kHz-500 kHz .....	50	50
500 kHz-2 MHz .....	50	50
2 MHz-30 MHz .....	100	100
30 MHz-70 MHz .....	50	50
70 MHz-100 MHz .....	50	50
100 MHz-200 MHz ...	100	100
200 MHz-400 MHz ...	100	100

Frequency	Field strength (volts per meter)	
	Peak	Average
400 MHz-700 MHz ...	700	50
700 MHz-1 GHz .....	700	100
1 GHz-2 GHz .....	2000	200
2 GHz-4 GHz .....	3000	200
4 GHz-6 GHz .....	3000	200
6 GHz-8 GHz .....	1000	200
8 GHz-12 GHz .....	3000	300
12 GHz-18 GHz .....	2000	200
18 GHz-40 GHz .....	600	200

The field strengths are expressed in terms of peak root-mean-square (rms) values.

or,

(2) The applicant may demonstrate by a system test and analysis that the electrical and electronic systems that perform critical functions can withstand a minimum threat of 100 volts per meter, electrical field strength, from 10 kHz to 18 GHz. When using this test to show compliance with the HIRF requirements, no credit is given for signal attenuation due to installation.

A preliminary hazard analysis must be performed by the applicant, for approval by the FAA, to identify either electrical or electronic systems that perform critical functions. The term "critical" means those functions whose failure would contribute to, or cause, a failure condition that would prevent the continued safe flight and landing of the airplane. The systems identified by the hazard analysis that perform critical functions are candidates for the application of HIRF requirements. A system may perform both critical and non-critical functions. Primary electronic flight display systems, and their associated components, perform critical functions such as attitude, altitude, and airspeed indication. The HIRF requirements apply only to critical functions.

Compliance with HIRF requirements may be demonstrated by tests, analysis, models, similarity with existing systems, or any combination of these. Service experience alone is not acceptable since normal flight operations may not include an exposure to the HIRF environment. Reliance on a system with similar design features for redundancy as a means of protection against the effects of external HIRF is generally insufficient since all elements of a redundant system are likely to be exposed to the fields concurrently.

**Applicability**

As discussed above, these special conditions are applicable to Op Technologies, Inc.; Cirrus Design Corporation Model SR22 airplane. Should Op Technologies, Inc. apply at

a later date for a supplemental type certificate to modify any other model on the same type certificate to incorporate the same novel or unusual design feature, the special conditions would apply to that model as well under the provisions of § 21.101.

### Conclusion

This action affects only certain novel or unusual design features on one model of airplane. It is not a rule of general applicability and affects only the applicant who applied to the FAA for approval of these features on the airplane.

The substance of these special conditions has been subjected to the notice and comment period in several prior instances and has been derived without substantive change from those previously issued. It is unlikely that prior public comment would result in a significant change from the substance contained herein. For this reason, and because a delay would significantly affect the certification of the airplane, which is imminent, the FAA has determined that prior public notice and comment are unnecessary and impracticable, and good cause exists for adopting these special conditions upon issuance. The FAA is requesting comments to allow interested persons to submit views that may not have been submitted in response to the prior opportunities for comment described above.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 23

Aircraft, Aviation safety, Signs and symbols.

### Citation

■ The authority citation for these special conditions is as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113 and 44701; 14 CFR 21.16 and 21.101; and 14 CFR 11.38 and 11.19.

### The Special Conditions

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the following special conditions are issued as part of the type certification basis for Cirrus Design Corporation SR22 airplane modified by Op Technologies, Inc. to add an EFIS.

1. Protection of Electrical and Electronic Systems from High Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF). Each system that performs critical functions must be designed and installed to ensure that the operations, and operational capabilities of these systems to perform critical functions, are not adversely affected when the airplane is exposed to high intensity radiated electromagnetic fields external to the airplane.

2. For the purpose of these special conditions, the following definition applies: *Critical Functions:* Functions whose failure would contribute to, or cause, a failure condition that would prevent the continued safe flight and landing of the airplane.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri on May 25, 2007.

**Kim Smith,**

*Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. E7-11044 Filed 6-6-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 23

[Docket No. CE268; Special Conditions No. 23-208-SC]

#### **Special Conditions: AmSafe, Incorporated; Quest Aircraft Company, LLC., Kodiak Model 100; Inflatable Four-Point Restraint Safety Belt With an Integrated Airbag Device**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final special conditions; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** These special conditions are issued for the installation of an AmSafe, Inc., Inflatable Four-Point Restraint Safety Belt with an Integrated Airbag Device on Quest Aircraft Company, LLC, Kodiak Model 100. These airplanes, as modified by the installation of this Inflatable Safety Belt, will have novel and unusual design features associated with the upper-torso restraint portions of the four-point safety belt, which contains an integrated airbag device. The applicable airworthiness regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for this design feature. These special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established by the existing airworthiness standards.

**DATES:** The effective date of these special conditions is May 25, 2007. Comments must be received on or before July 9, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** Mail two copies of any comments to: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Regional Counsel, ACE-7, Attention: Rules Docket, Docket No. CE268, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. You may also deliver two copies of your comments to the Regional Counsel at

the above address. Comments must be marked: Docket No. CE268. You may inspect comments in the Rules Docket weekdays, except Federal holidays, between 7:30 a.m. and 4 p.m.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Bob Stegeman, Federal Aviation Administration, Aircraft Certification Service, Small Airplane Directorate, ACE-111, 901 Locust, Kansas City, Missouri, 816-329-4140, fax 816-329-4090, e-mail [Robert.Stegeman@faa.gov](mailto:Robert.Stegeman@faa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA has determined that notice and opportunity for prior public comment is impractical because these procedures would significantly delay issuance of approval and thus delivery of the affected aircraft. In addition, the substance of these special conditions has been subject to the public comment process in several prior instances with no substantive comments received. The FAA, therefore, finds that good cause exists for making these special conditions effective upon issuance.

### Comments Invited

We invite interested persons to take part in this rulemaking by sending written data, views, or comments. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the special conditions, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data. We ask that you send two copies of written comments.

We will file in the docket all comments we receive, as well as a report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel about these special conditions. You may inspect the docket before and after the comment closing date. If you wish to review the docket in person, go to the address in the **ADDRESSES** section of the preamble between 7:30 am and 4 pm, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

We will consider all comments we receive by the closing date for comments. We will consider comments filed late if it is possible to do so without incurring expense or delay. We may change these special conditions based on the comments we receive.

If you want us to let you know we received your comments on these special conditions, send us a pre-addressed, stamped postcard on which the docket number appears. We will stamp the date on the postcard and mail it back to you.

### Background

On March 6, 2000, Quest Aircraft Company, LLC applied for a type certificate, for the installation of a four-