information. The CPS provides data on all jobseekers, and on all persons outside the labor force, while payrollbased surveys cannot, by definition, cover these sectors of the population. Finally, the CPS data on employment, unemployment, and on persons not in the labor force can be linked to the demographic characteristics of the many groups that make up the Nation's population, while the data from most other surveys are devoid of demographic information. Many groups, both in the government and in the private sector, are eager to analyze this wealth of demographic and labor force data for the populations of persons with and without disabilities.

II. Current Action

Office of Management and Budget clearance is being sought for the Current Population Survey (CPS). Questions are being added to the instrument in June 2008 to gather information on disability status of household members. The new questions ask if anyone in the household is deaf or has a serious difficulty hearing; if anyone is blind or has serious difficulty seeing, even when wearing glasses; if anyone has serious difficulty concentrating, remembering, or making decisions because of a physical, mental, or emotional condition; if anyone has serious difficulty walking or climbing stairs; if anyone has difficulty dressing or bathing; and if anyone has difficulty doing errands alone such as visiting a doctor's office or shopping because of a physical, mental, or emotional condition. When an affirmative answer is received, a follow up question is asked to determine which of the household members is/are affected. These new questions may be used in conjunction with the other demographic and labor force data collected in the CPS to examine the characteristics of the population of persons with disabilities and to make comparisons to the population of persons without disabilities and other groups.

These six new questions will be asked of all households in June 2008 to generate baseline data and will thereafter be asked only of households in months 1 and 5 of the interview cycle and of replacement households. Because of the initial June collection, burden hours will be higher in 2008 than in later years. In 2008, total burden for the labor force section of the CPS will be 84,000 hours (of which 7,000 hours are for the disability questions.) In 2009 and 2010, burden will fall to 82,600 hours (of which 5,600 hours are for the disability questions.)

III. Desired Focus of Comments

The Bureau of Labor Statistics is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility.
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used.
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they also will become a matter of public record.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Agency: Bureau of Labor Statistics. Title: Current Population Survey (CPS).

OMB Number: 1220–0100. Affected Public: Households. Total Respondents: 55,000 per month. Frequency: Monthly. Total Responses: 660,000.

Average Time per Response: 7.6 minutes.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 84,000 hours.

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): \$0.

Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance): \$0.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 19th day of December, 2007.

Cathy Kazanowski,

Chief, Division of Management Systems, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

[FR Doc. E7–24995 Filed 12–26–07; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING$ CODE 4510–24–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Mine Safety and Health Administration

Fee Adjustment for Testing, Evaluation, and Approval of Mining Products

AGENCY: Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Notice of fee adjustment.

SUMMARY: This notice describes MSHA's revised fee schedule for testing, evaluating, and approving mining products as permitted by 30 CFR 5.50. MSHA charges applicants a fee to cover its costs associated with testing and evaluating equipment and materials manufactured for use in the mining industry. The new fee schedule, effective January 1, 2008, is based on MSHA's direct and indirect costs for providing services during fiscal year (FY) 2007.

DATES: This fee schedule is effective January 1, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John P. Faini, Chief, Approval and Certification Center, 304–547–2029 or 304–547–0400.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Under 30 CFR 5.50, MSHA may revise the fee schedule for testing, evaluation, and approval of mining products at least once every three years although the fee schedule must remain in effect for at least one year. MSHA last revised the fee schedule December 28, 2006 (71 FR 78224). The fee schedule became effective January 1, 2007.

Under 30 CFR 5.30(a), this fee adjustment does not apply to the 30 CFR part 15 testing (explosives and sheathed explosive units) that outside organizations perform on MSHA's behalf. In addition, under 30 CFR 5.40, this fee adjustment does not apply to travel expenses incurred under this Part. When the nature of the product requires MSHA to test and evaluate the product at a location other than on MSHA premises, MSHA must be reimbursed for the travel, subsistence, and incidental expenses of its representative according to Federal government travel regulations. This reimbursement is in addition to the fees charged for evaluation and testing.

II. Fee Computation

MSHA computed the 2008 fees using FY 2007 costs for baseline data. MSHA calculated a weighted-average based on the direct and indirect costs to applicants for testing, evaluation, and approval services rendered during FY 2007. From this average, MSHA computed a single hourly rate, which applies uniformly to all applications.

As a result of this process, MSHA has determined that as of January 1, 2008, the fee will be \$84 per hour of services rendered.

III. Applicable Fee

- Applications postmarked before January 1, 2008: MSHA will process these applications under the 2007 hourly rate of \$80. This information is also available on MSHA's Web site at http://www.msha.gov/REGS/FEDREG/NOTICES/2006MISC/E6-22317.asp.
- Applications postmarked on or after January 1, 2008: MSHA will process these applications under the 2008 hourly rate of \$84.

Dated: December 19, 2007.

Richard E. Stickler,

Assistant Secretary for Mine Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. E7–25079 Filed 12–26–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–43–P

NATIONAL FOUNDATION FOR THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

Notice of Proposed Information Collection: IMLS Digital Collections and Content

AGENCY: Institute of Museum and Library Services, National Foundation for the Arts and the Humanities.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS) as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3508(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently the Institute of Museum and Library Services is soliciting comments concerning the proposed study to assess the impact of access to computers and the Internet and to related services at public libraries on individuals, families, and communities.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section below on or before February 26, 2008. IMLS is particularly interested in comments that help the agency to:

• Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including

whether the information will have practical utility;

- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submissions of responses.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to: Barbara G. Smith, E-Projects Officer, Institute of Museum and Library Services, 1800 M Street, NW., 9th floor, Washington, DC 20036, by telephone: 202–653–4688; fax: 202–653–4625; or by e-mail at bsmith@imls.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Institute of Museum and Library Services is authorized by the Museum and Library Services Act, Public Law 108–81, and is the primary source of federal support for the nation's 122,000 libraries and 17,500 museums. The Institute's mission is to create strong libraries and museums that connect people to information and ideas. The Institute works at the national level and in coordination with state and local organizations to sustain heritage, culture, and knowledge; enhance learning and innovation; and support professional development.

II. Current Actions

The purpose of the collections is to continue the development of the Institute of Museum and Library Services' Digital Collections and Content (DCC) project, which, in its first phase, created a publicly available registry of IMLS National Leadership Grant (NLG) and Library Services and Technology Act (LSTA) digital collections and a repository of itemlevel metadata available from these collections. The DCC, which is available to the public via the Internet, provides important information about and access to the digital collections funded through IMLS grant programs.

Agency: Institute of Museum and Library Services.

Title: IMLS Digital Collections and Content.

OMB Number: To be determined. *Agency Number:* 3137.

Frequency: Two surveys per funded grant project.

Affected Public: General public, libraries, museums, State Library Administrative agencies.

Number of Respondents: To be determined.

Estimated Time per Respondent: To be determined.

Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs: To be determined.

Total Costs: To be determined.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Rachel Frick, Senior Program Officer, Institute of Museum and Library Services, 1800 M Street, NW., 9th Floor, Washington, DC 20036. Telephone: 202/ 653–4667. E-mail: rfrick@imls.gov.

Dated: December 20, 2007.

Barbara G. Smith,

E-Projects Officer, Institute of Museum & Library Services.

[FR Doc. E7–25117 Filed 12–26–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7036–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 52-012 and 52-013]

South Texas Project Nuclear Operating Company; Notice of Hearing and Opportunity To Petition for Leave To Intervene on a Combined License for the South Texas Project Units 3 and 4

Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the regulations in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Part 2, "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings and Issuance of Orders," 10 CFR part 50, "Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities,' and 10 CFR part 52, "Early Site Permits; Standard Design Certifications; and Combined Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants," notice is hereby given that a hearing will be held, at a time and place to be set in the future by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC, the Commission) or designated by the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (Board). The hearing will consider the application dated September 20, 2007, filed by South Texas Project Nuclear Operating Company, pursuant to Subpart C of 10 CFR part 52 for a combined license (COL). The application, which was supplemented by letters dated September 26, 2007, October 15, 2007, October 18, 2007, November 8, 2007, November 12, 2007, November 13, 2007, and November 21, 2007, requests approval of a COL for South Texas Project Units 3 and 4 located in Matagorda County, Texas. The application was accepted for docketing on November 29, 2007. The