There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive email notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please email *FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov*, or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 05–15396 Filed 8–2–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP05-518-000]

North Baja Pipeline, LLC; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tairff

July 29, 2005.

Take notice that on July 26, 2005, North Baja Pipeline, LLC (NBP) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets, to become effective July 27, 2005:

Fourth Revised Sheet No. 6, Second Revised Sheet No. 8, Original Sheet No. 8A, Original Sheet No. 8B.

NBP states that these tariff sheets are being submitted to add language to two explanatory footnotes for negotiated rates under Rate Schedule FTS-1.

NBP further states that a copy of this filing has been served on NBP's jurisdictional customers and interested state regulatory agencies.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. Such notices, motions, or protests must be filed in accordance with the provisions of Section 154.210 of the Commission's regulations (18 CFR 154.210). Anyone filing an intervention or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant. Anyone filing an intervention or protest on or before the intervention or protest date need not serve motions to intervene or protests on persons other than the Applicant.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at http://www.ferc.gov. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at http://www.ferc.gov, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive email notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please email FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5–4183 Filed 8–2–05; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6717–01–P**

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL05-126-000]

Oklahoma Municipal Power Authority v. American Electric Power Service Corporation; Notice of Institution of Proceeding and Refund Effective Date

July 26, 2005.

On July 26, 2005, the Commission issued an order that instituted a proceeding in Docket No. EL05–126–000, pursuant to section 206 of the Federal Power Act (FPA), 16 U.S.C. 824e, concerning the continued justness and reasonableness of American Electric Power Services Corporation's Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement with the Oklahoma Municipal Power Authority. Oklahoma Municipal Power Authority v. American Electric Power Service Corp., 112 FERC ¶ 61,107 (2005).

The refund effective date in Docket No. EL05–126–000, established pursuant to section 206(b) of the FPA, will be 60 days from the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5–4156 Filed 8–2–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 1962-113]

Pacific Gas and Electric Company; Notice Dismissing Complaint

July 25, 2005.

- 1. On May 31, 2005, the Anglers Committee (Anglers) filed a complaint against Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), licensee for the Rock Creek-Cresta Project No. 1962, located on the North Fork Feather River in Butte and Plumas Counties, California.¹ On June 21, 2005, PG&E filed an answer to the complaint. On July 13, 2005, Anglers filed a rebuttal to PG&E's answer.
- 2. The Anglers contend that the Ecological Resource Committee (Committee), created by the licensee,² will not allow the public to participate in the meetings (other than to attend and listen) and to have access to Committee documents. The Anglers request that the Commission require PG&E to establish requirements and proceedings for Committee meetings to provide public participation in all matters and access to Committee documents.
- 3. The Commission's regulations provide that a complaint may be filed seeking Commission action against any person alleged to be "in contravention or violation of any statute, rule, order, or other law administered by the Commission or for any other alleged wrong over which the Commission may have jurisdiction." ³ The regulations further provide that the complaint must [c]learly identify the action or inaction which is alleged to violate applicable statutory standards or regulatory requirements." ⁴

¹ The Commission issued PG&E a new license for the Rock Creek-Cresta Project and approved a settlement agreement resolving various projectrelated issues on October 24, 2001. 97 FERC ¶61,084 (2001).

² Appendix Condition No. 22 of the license required PG&E to establish the Committee in coordination with the parties to the Settlement Agreement for the purpose of assisting the licensee in the design of monitoring plans, review and evaluation of data, and preparation of adaptive management measures for implementation by the licensee as provided in the Settlement Agreement.

The Anglers previously participated in settlement discussions regarding the relicensing of the project but, as stated in their complaint, they chose not to become signatories to the Settlement Agreement because of their disagreement with certain terms and conditions in the agreement. Members of the Committee are limited to the Settlement Agreement signatories.

³ See 18 CFR 385.206(a)(2005).

⁴ Id.