numbers. The changes proposed in this notice concern the procedures for payment of the filing fee, search fee, examination fee, and the application size fee, including setting forth which fees must be paid in order for an application to be processed and retained by the Office such that it may be used as the basis for foreign filing and for benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120 and §1.78(a).

Interested persons are requested to send comments regarding these information collections, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Robert J. Spar, Director, Office of Patent Legal Administration, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention: Desk Officer for the Patent and Trademark Office.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person is required to respond to nor shall a person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act unless that collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number.

## List of Subjects in 37 CFR Part 1

Administrative practice and procedure, Courts, Freedom of Information, Inventions and patents, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Small businesses.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 37 CFR part 1 is proposed to be amended as follows:

## PART 1—RULES OF PRACTICE IN PATENT CASES

1. The authority citation for 37 CFR part 1 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2).

2. Section 1.16 is amended by revising paragraphs (f) and (s) to read as follows:

## §1.16 National application filing, search, and examination fees.

(f) Surcharge for filing any of the basic filing fee, the search fee, the examination fee, or oath or declaration on a date later than the filing date of the application, except provisional applications:

By a small entity (§ 1.27(a))—\$65.00 By other than a small entity—\$130.00 \* \* \*

(s) Application size fee for any application under 35 U.S.C. 111 filed on in § 1.53(b) or § 1.53(d) and have paid

or after December 8, 2004, the specification and drawings of which exceed 100 sheets of paper, for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof (see § 1.52(f) for applications submitted in whole or in part on an electronic medium):

By a small entity (§ 1.27(a))—\$125.00 By other than a small entity-\$250.00 \* \* \*

3. Section 1.21 is amended by removing and reserving paragraph (l):

## §1.21 Miscellaneous fees and charges.

- \* \* \*
- (l) [Reserved] \* \* \*

4. Section 1.52 is amended by revising paragraph (f)(1) to read as follows:

#### §1.52 Language, paper, writing, margins, compact disc specifications. \* \* \* \*

(f)(1) Any sequence listing in an electronic medium in compliance with §§ 1.52(e) and 1.821(c) or (e), and any computer program listing filed in an electronic medium in compliance with §§ 1.52(e) and 1.96, will be excluded when determining the application size fee required by § 1.16(s) or § 1.492(j). For purposes of determining the application size fee required by § 1.16(s) or § 1.492(j), for an application the specification and drawings of which, excluding any sequence listing in compliance with § 1.821(c) or (e), and any computer program listing filed in an electronic medium in compliance with §§ 1.52(e) and 1.96, are submitted in whole or in part on an electronic medium other than the Office electronic filing system, each two kilobytes of content submitted on an electronic medium shall be counted as a sheet of paper.

5. Section 1.53 is amended by revising paragraph (f)(5) to read as follows:

## §1.53 Application number, filing date, and completion of application.

\* (f) \* \* \*

\*

(5) If applicant does not pay the basic filing fee during the pendency of the application, the Office may dispose of the application. \*

6. Section 1.78 is amended by removing paragraph (a)(1)(iii) and revising paragraph (a)(1)(ii) to read as follows:

## §1.78 Claiming benefit of earlier filing date and cross references to other applications. (a)(1) \* \* \*

(ii) Entitled to a filing date as set forth

therein the basic filing fee set forth in § 1.16 within the pendency of the application.

7. Section 1.492 is amended by revising paragraphs (h) and (j) to read as follows:

#### §1.492 National stage fees. \*

\*

\*

(h) Surcharge for filing any of the search fee, the examination fee, or the oath or declaration later than thirty months from the priority date pursuant to § 1.495(c):

By a small entity (§ 1.27(a))—\$65.00 By other than a small entity—\$130.00

(j) Application size fee for any international application for which the basic national fee was not paid before December 8, 2004, the specification and drawings of which exceed 100 sheets of paper, for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof (see § 1.52(f) for applications submitted in whole or in part on an electronic medium): By a small entity (§ 1.27(a))—\$125.00 By other than a small entity—\$250.00

Dated: February 22, 2005.

#### Jon W. Dudas,

Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office. [FR Doc. 05-3743 Filed 2-25-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION** AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[R05-OAR-2004-IN-0007; FRL-7875-4]

## Approval and Promulgation of Air **Quality Implementation Plans; Indiana**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the particulate matter (PM) and sulfur dioxide  $(SO_2)$ emission requirements for Pfizer, Inc. (Pfizer). Pfizer operates a medicinal chemical manufacturing facility in Vigo County, Indiana. On October 7, 2004, Indiana submitted a request for PM and SO<sub>2</sub> emission limit revisions as an amendment to its State Implementation Plan (SIP). Pfizer has removed five boilers from its facility. Indiana has requested the deletion of the sitespecific PM and SO<sub>2</sub> emission limits for all five removed boilers. A new boiler has replaced three of the removed boilers. It is subject to the applicable

New Source Performance Standards. There will be no increase in PM or SO<sub>2</sub> emissions as a result of the requested revisions.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before March 30, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments, identified by Regional Material in EDocket (RME) ID No. R05–OAR–2004– IN–0007 by one of the following methods:

Federal eRulemaking Portal: *http:// www.regulations.gov.* Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments. Agency Web site: *http://docket.epa.gov/ rmepub/index.jsp.* RME, EPA's electronic public docket and comment system, is EPA's preferred method for receiving comments. Once in the system, select "quick search," then key in the appropriate RME Docket identification number. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

E-mail: *mooney.john@epa.gov*.

Fax: (312) 886–5824.

Mail: You may send written comments to:

John Mooney, Chief, Criteria Pollutant Section, (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Hand delivery: Deliver your comments to: John Mooney, Chief, Criteria Pollutant Section (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, 18th floor, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office's normal hours of operation. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. excluding Federal holidays.

Instructions: Direct your comments to RME ID No. R05-OAR-2004-IN-0007. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through RME, regulations.gov, or e-mail. The EPA RME Web site and the federal regulations.gov website are ''anonymous access'' systems, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through RME or regulations.gov, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is

placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM vou submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional instructions on submitting comments, go to Section I of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

Docket: All documents in the electronic docket are listed in the RME index at http://www.epa.gov/rmepub/ index.jsp. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *i.e.*, CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in RME or in hard copy at Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. Please telephone Matt Rau at (312) 886– 6524 before visiting the Region 5 Office.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matt Rau, Environmental Engineer, Criteria Pollutant Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), USEPA, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886–6524. Rau.matthew@epa.gov.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information.

- A. Does This Action Apply to me?B. What Should I Consider as I Prepare my Comments for EPA?
- II. What Action is EPA Taking Today?
- III. Where can I Find More Information About This Proposal and the Corresponding Direct Final Rule?

# I. General Information

A. Does This Action Apply to Me?

This action applies to a single source, Pfizer, Incorporated in Vigo County, IN.

# B. What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?

1. Submitting CBI. Do not submit Confidential Business Information to EPA through RME, regulations.gov or email. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD– ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD–ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD–ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. Tips for Preparing Your Comments. When submitting comments, remember to:

a. Identify the rulemaking by docket number and other identifying information (subject heading, **Federal Register** date and page number).

b. Follow directions—The agency may ask you to respond to specific questions or organize comments by referencing a Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part or section number.

c. Explain why you agree or disagree; suggest alternatives and substitute language for your requested changes.

d. Describe any assumptions and provide any technical information and/ or data that you used.

e. If you estimate potential costs or burdens, explain how you arrived at your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.

f. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns, and suggest alternatives.

g. Explain your views as clearly as possible, avoiding the use of profanity or personal threats.

h. Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.

## **II. What Action Is EPA Taking Today?**

The EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the particulate matter and sulfur dioxide emission requirements for Pfizer. On October 7, 2004, Indiana submitted a request for PM and SO<sub>2</sub> emissions limit revisions as an amendment to its SIP. Pfizer is replacing three boilers and removing two additional boilers. Indiana requested deleting the limits for all five boilers. The new boiler is subject to the new source performance standard limits for PM and SO<sub>2</sub> emissions which are not being revised. The requested SIP revisions consist of the limit deletions only. There will be no increase in PM or  $SO_2$  emissions from the requested revisions. Pfizer operates a medicinal chemical manufacturing facility in Vigo County, Indiana.

# III. Where Can I Find More Information About This Proposal and the Corresponding Direct Final Rule?

For additional information, see the Direct Final Rule which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**. Copies of the request and the EPA's analysis are available electronically at RME or in hard copy at the above address. Please telephone Matt Rau at (312) 886–6524 before visiting the Region 5 Office.

Dated: February 10, 2005.

## Norman Niedergang,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5. [FR Doc. 05–3676 Filed 2–25–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

# 47 CFR Part 1

[MD Docket No. 05-59; FCC 05-35]

## Assessment and Collection of Regulatory Fees for Fiscal Year 2005

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission will revise its Schedule of Regulatory Fees in order to recover the amount of regulatory fees that Congress has required it to collect for fiscal year 2005. Section 9 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, provides for the annual assessment and collection of regulatory fees under sections 9(b)(2) and 9(b)(3), respectively, for annual "Mandatory Adjustments" and "Permitted Amendments" to the Schedule of Regulatory Fees.

**DATES:** Comments are due March 8, 2005, and reply comments are due March 18, 2005. Written comments on the Paperwork Reduction Act proposed information collection requirements must be submitted by the public, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and other interested parties on or before April 29, 2005.

ADDRESSES: In addition to filing comments with the Secretary, a copy of any comments on the Paperwork Reduction Act information collection requirements contained herein should be submitted to Judith B. Herman, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1–C804, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554, or via the Internet to *Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov*, and to Kristy L. LaLonde, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10234 NEOB, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, via the Internet to *Kristy\_L*.

*LaLonde@omb.eop.gov*, or via fax at 202–395–5167.

## **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Roland Helvajian, Office of Managing Director at (202) 418–0444 or Rob

Fream. Office of Managing Director at (202) 418–0408. For additional information concerning the Paperwork Reduction Act information collection requirements contained in this document, contact Judith B. Herman at 202–418–0214, or via the Internet at *Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov.* 

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Initial Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 Analysis: This document contains proposed information collection requirements. The Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, invites the general public and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to comment on the information collection requirements contained in this document, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. Public and agency comments are due April 29, 2005. Comments should address: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimates; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. In addition, pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, Public Law 107-198, see 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(4), we seek specific comment on how we might "further reduce the information collection burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees."

ÔMB Control Number: 3060–1064. Title: Regulatory Fee Assessment True-Ups.

Form No.: Not applicable.

*Type of Review:* Revision of currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* Businesses or other forprofit entities.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 1,650.

*Estimated Time Per Response: .*25 hours.

Frequency of Response: Annually. Estimated Total Annual Burden: 413 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Costs:* \$0. *Privacy Act Impact Assessment:* This information collection does not affect individuals or households; thus, there is no impact under the Privacy Act.

*Needs and Uses:* The Commission collects Congressionally-mandated regulatory fees from its regulatees based

upon a schedule of fees that it establishes each year in an annual rulemaking proceeding. As part of our modernization efforts, we are able to provide regulatory fee assessments to select categories of regulatees: (1) Cable television operators, (2) media services licensees and (3) commercial mobile radio service (CMRS) licensees. Along with the fee assessment notices that we intend to send to these three categories of regulatees, we will provide them with a "true-up" opportunity to correct, update or otherwise rectify their assessed fee amounts well before the actual due date for payment of regulatory fees. This "true-up" collection of information is necessary because it enables regulatees to confirm for themselves what their regulatory fee payment obligations will be, well before their fees are due. The "true-up" opportunity also serves to provide the Commission with a higher degree of certainty in its regulatory fee payment expectations for the fiscal year.

Adopted: February 11, 2005; Released: February 15, 2005. By the Commission:

#### **Table of Contents**

- I. Introduction
- II. Discussion
  - A. Development of FY2005 Fees 1. Calculation of Revenue and Fee
  - Requirements 2 Additional Adjustments
  - 2. Additional Adjustments to Payment Units
  - B. Commercial Mobile Radio Service (CMRS) Messaging Service
  - C. Local Multipoint Distribution Service (LMDS)
  - D. International Bearer Circuits
  - E. Multichannel Video Distribution and Data Service (MVDDS)
  - F. Broadband Radio Service (BRS) / Educational Broadband Service (EBS), (formerly MDS/MMDS and ITFS)
  - G. Regulatory Fees for AM and FM Construction Permits
  - H. Clarification of Policies and Procedures 1. Ad Hoc Issues Concerning Our
  - Regulatory Fee Exemption Policies 2. Regulatory Fee Obligations for Digital
  - Broadcasters
  - 3. Regulatory Fee Obligations for AM Expanded Band Broadcasters
  - 4. Effective Date of Payment of Multi-Year Wireless Fees
  - I. Proposals for Notification, Assessment and Collection of Regulatory Fees
  - 1. Interstate Telecommunications Service Providers (ITSPs)
  - 2. Satellite Space Station Licensees
  - 3. Media Services Licensees
  - 4. Commercial Mobile Radio Service (CMRS) Cellular and Mobile Services
  - 5. Cable Television Subscribers
  - J. Future Streamlining of the Regulatory Fee Assessment and Collection Process
- III. Procedural Matters
  - A. Payment of Regulatory Fees
  - 1. De Minimis Fee Payment Liability