**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The RAC will be discussing their role in the process of reviewing future Resource Management Plans (RMP); improving RMP communications; listening to various presentations from the Natural Resources Committee, Utah's Lands Policy Group, and an overview of Richfield Field Office's RMP.

All meetings are open to the public; however, transportation, lodging, and meals are the responsibility of the participating public.

Dated: March 2, 2005.

## Sally Wisely,

State Director.

[FR Doc. 05-4639 Filed 3-8-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-\$\$-P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–101 (Second Review)]

# **Greige Polyester/Cotton Printcloth From China**

**AGENCY:** International Trade

Commission.

**ACTION:** Revised schedule for the subject

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gail

review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 1, 2005.

# Burns (202) 205–2501, Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS)

at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 25, 2004, the Commission established a schedule for the conduct of the subject review (69 FR 53465, September 1, 2004), and revised its schedule on January 28, 2005 (70 FR 6036, February 4, 2005). The Commission is again revising its schedule; the Commission's hearing will be held at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building at 9:30 a.m. on April 5, 2005, and the deadline for filing posthearing briefs is April 12, 2005. The

Commission's schedule in this review is otherwise unchanged. No party has objected to the Commission's schedule, as revised.

For further information concerning this review, see the Commission's notices cited above and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A and C (19 CFR part 207).

**Authority:** This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.21 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission. Issued: March 3, 2005.

### Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 05–4571 Filed 3–8–05; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-460]

Certain Sortation Systems, Parts Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Determination To Rescind a Limited Exclusion Order

**AGENCY:** International Trade

Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to rescind the limited exclusion order in the above-captioned investigation.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Rodney Maze, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3065. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This patent-based section 337 investigation was instituted by the Commission based on a complaint filed by Rapistan Systems Advertising Corp. and Siemens Dematic Corp., both of Grand Rapids, Michigan. 66 FR 38741 (July 25, 2001). The complaint named Vanderlande Industries Nederland BV of the Netherlands, and Vanderlande Industries of Atlanta, Georgia (collectively "Vanderlande") as respondents.

Complainants alleged that Vanderlande had violated section 337 by importing into the United States, selling for importation, and selling within the United States after importation certain sortation systems, or components thereof, covered by independent claims 1, 13, 23, 30, and 42 and dependent claims 2-4, 8, 9, 17, 18, 20, 22, 24, 27, 29, 33, 35-37, 39, 43, 45-47, and 49 of U.S. Patent No. 5,127, 510 ("the '510 patent"), owned by Rapistan Systems and exclusively licensed to Siemens Dematic. On April 5, 2002, complainants filed an unopposed motion asking for the termination of the investigation with respect to claims 2, 3, 8, 9, 18, 24, 36, 37, 29, 46, 47, and 49. On May 16, 2002, the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) granted the motion in an ID (Order No. 32) and the Commission determined not to review the ID. The claims of the '510 patent at issue were therefore claims 1, 4, 13, 17, 20, 22, 23, 27, 29, 30, 33, 35, 42, 43, and 45. The complaint further alleged that an industry in the United States exists, as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

On October 22, 2002, the ALJ issued his final initial determination (ID) on violation and his recommended determination on remedy. The ALJ found a violation of section 337 by reason of infringement of claims 1 and 4 of the '510 patent. He also found that the '510 patent is not invalid or unenforceable. With respect to remedy, the ALJ recommended issuance of a limited exclusion order barring importation of the respondents' accused Mark 2 Posisorter sortation system and its parts and components. On November 4, 2002, Vanderlande and the Commission investigative attorney (IA) petitioned for review of portions of the ALJ's final ID. Rapistan submitted a contingent petition for review asking that the Commission review certain issues if it decided to review the ID. All parties filed responses to the petitions on November 12, 2002.

On December 10, 2002, the Commission determined to review the ID and requested submissions regarding the issues under review as well as