# **Notices**

Federal Register

Vol. 70, No. 198

Friday, October 14, 2005

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

#### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

#### Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

[Docket No. 05-061-1]

#### Agricultural Inspector Uniform Allowance

**AGENCY:** Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA, is changing the colors of its employees' basic uniform to ensure our inspectors are easy to distinguish from personnel of other Federal agencies who are stationed at ports of entry. To offset the one-time cost to uniformed employees who must replace their existing uniforms, we are increasing, for one year only, our maximum uniform allowance rate for fiscal year 2006. We are publishing this notice in accordance with the civil service regulations regarding uniform allowances, which provide, among other things, that annual uniform allowances greater than \$400 require public notice and comment.

**DATES:** We will consider all comments that we receive on or before December 13, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by either of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a> and, in the "Search for Open Regulations" box, select "Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service" from the agency drop-down menu, then click on "Submit." In the Docket ID column, select APHIS-2005-0082 to submit or view public comments and to view supporting and related materials available electronically. After the close

of the comment period, the docket can be viewed using the "Advanced Search" function in Regulations.gov.

• Postal Mail/Commercial Delivery: Please send four copies of your comment (an original and three copies) to Docket No. 05–061–1, Regulatory Analysis and Development, PPD, APHIS, Station 3C71, 4700 River Road Unit 118, Riverdale, MD 20737–1238. Please state that your comment refers to Docket No. 05–061–1.

Reading Room: You may read any comments that we receive on this docket in our reading room. The reading room is located in room 1141 of the USDA South Building, 14th Street and Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC. Normal reading room hours are 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. To be sure someone is there to help you, please call (202) 690–2817 before coming.

Other Information: Additional information about APHIS and its programs is available on the Internet at http://www.aphis.usda.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Martin Torrez, Resource Management Staff, PPQ, APHIS, 4700 River Road Unit 130, Riverdale, MD 20737–1232; (301) 734–7764.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) of the United States Department of Agriculture protects the health and value of American agriculture and natural resources by, among other things, conducting programs to prevent the introduction of exotic pests and diseases into the United States and conducting surveillance, monitoring, control, and eradication programs for pests and diseases in this country. These activities enhance agricultural productivity and competitiveness and contribute to the national economy and the public health.

To carry out the APHIS mission, our inspectors are stationed at ports of entry into the United States as well as at other locations where interstate trade in agricultural products and other Federal regulatory programs and initiatives are conducted. In 2003, many of our employees who historically had conducted inspections of imported articles at ports of entry were transferred to the Department of Homeland Security

(DHS) under the Homeland Security Act of 2002. Despite the transfer of the majority of APHIS inspectors to DHS, there are still approximately 1,700 uniformed APHIS personnel stationed at ports of entry and other locations throughout the United States.

Uniformed APHIS personnel currently wear black pants, a white shirt, and a black tie. Most APHIS inspectors work in close proximity to inspectors from DHS's Transportation Security Administration (TSA), which has adopted a uniform with the same color scheme as APHIS'; the only distinguishing facet of the uniforms are the agency badges. Given the importance and uniqueness of the APHIS mission, we believe it is important to have a uniform that is clearly distinguishable from those of DHS-TSA. As such, we intend to change the basic inspector's uniform to one that has green pants and a tan shirt.

In accordance with 5 CFR 591.103, APHIS may pay its uniformed employees an allowance for a uniform not to exceed \$400 a year, or furnish a uniform at a cost not to exceed \$400 a vear; APHIS does the former. The cost for the purchase of the new APHIS basic uniform, in addition to other annual uniform needs, exceeds the \$400 allowance. In order to offset the onetime cost of changing the APHIS inspector uniform, we need to increase that allowance for fiscal year 2006 (which runs from October 1, 2005, through September 30, 2006) to \$800. We believe \$800 is a suitable amount to allow employees to purchase uniform components in sufficient minimum quantities to maintain a professional appearance. This action would result in additional costs to APHIS of approximately \$680,000, which APHIS has accounted for in its budget for fiscal vear 2006.

The specific items required for a basic uniform vary according to employee job function, and cost of certain items may vary according to gender. APHIS allows for variety in uniform components according to duty station (e.g., an inspector in North Dakota will have a different uniform wardrobe than an inspector in Florida). Following is a partial list of basic uniform components:

Type	Activities for which required	Minimum components	Cost each
Class A	Formal functions, including passenger inspections	Green dress pants	\$52.57
		Long sleeve khaki service shirt	41.02
		Short sleeve khaki service shirt	38.18
		Green Tie	3.75
Class B	Cargo inspections, domestic field activities	Green cargo pants	57.46
		Polo shirt	34.82
Utility	May be used in combination with other parts of Class A and B uniforms for functions that do not involve direct contact with the public.	Green coveralls	56.16
		Cargo shorts	51.83
		Walking shoes	94.00 to
			140.00
		Boots	199.00 to
			209.00
Accessories	May be used in combination with other parts of Class A and B uniforms.	Wide-brimmed straw hat	61.04
		Rain jacket	36.89
		All weather overcoat	204.85
		Commando sweater	40.11
		Work belt	17.88
		Pair socks	6.10

The usual \$400 annual uniform allowance is intended to assist employees in maintaining a neat professional appearance, and may be used to purchase whatever uniform components listed above that the employee may require. We are providing an additional \$400 for fiscal year 2006 to cover the cost of building a new basic uniform, which could include a combination of items such as:

Class A shirts (2)	\$ 82.04
Class A dress pants (2)	104.14
Class B cargo pants (1)	57.46
Class B cargo shorts (1)	51.83
Class B polo shirts (2)	69.64
Pair socks (5)	30.50
Tatal	005.01
Total:	395.61

This change in uniform allowance would be effective for fiscal year 2006 only. Beginning fiscal year 2007, the uniform allowance would revert to \$400.

This notice is intended to satisfy the requirements of 5 CFR 591.104(d), which requires that prior to adopting a uniform allowance that is greater than \$400, a Federal agency must provide a justification for the allowance and make it available for public notice and comment.

Done in Washington, DC, this 7th day of October 2005.

#### Jennifer Cervantes-Eggers,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Administration, USDA.
[FR Doc. E5–5651 Filed 10–13–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-34-P

### COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

#### **Procurement List Addition**

**AGENCY:** Committee for Purchase From People Who are Blind or Severely Disabled.

**ACTION:** Additions to Procurement List.

**SUMMARY:** This action adds to the Procurement List products to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 13, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Jefferson Plaza 2, Suite 10800, 1421 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia, 22202–3259.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sheryl D. Kennerly, Telephone: (703) 603–7740, Fax: (703) 603–0655, or email *SKennerly@jwod.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On May 6, 2006, the Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled published notice (70 F.R. 23979) of proposed additions to the Procurement List.

After consideration of the material presented to it concerning capability of qualified nonprofit agencies to provide the products and impact of the additions on the current or most recent contractors, the Committee has determined that the products listed below are suitable for procurement by the Federal Government under 41 U.S.C. 46–48c and 41 CFR 51–2.4.

The following comments pertain to Accustamp.

Comments were received from three of the current contractors for these stamps. Two of the contractors claimed that the stamps the nonprofit agency will be providing under the Committee's program are made in China, as opposed to the stamps the contractors provide, which one contractor makes in the United States (U.S.) and the other contractor assembles in the U.S. from components made in Japan and China, and that the Committee should not permit its program to displace U.S. products with Chinese products. One contractor claimed that it has been a Government supplier of the stamps for over 35 years, and has become reliant on these sales, which constitute a significant minority of its total Government sales. The same contractor claimed the nonprofit agency's stamps do not meet Government specifications in four specific areas. That contractor claimed that U.S. workers should not be laid off to provide jobs for people with severe disabilities. Another contractor cited the continuing impact of Procurement List additions on its sales. Two contractors claimed, without providing supporting data, that this addition will impact either the small businesses that supply the products or the distributors who sell them.

Contrary to the contractors' claims, the nonprofit agency will be using its employees with severe disabilities to assemble and package the stamps in the U.S. from components made in Austria, which is a designated country under the Trade Agreements Act, 19 U.S.C. 2501 et seq. Like the contractor workers they may displace, the persons with severe disabilities who will produce the stamps are U.S. workers, but with an unemployment rate which is well above