### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: September 13, 2005.

## Laura Yoshii,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

■ Part 52, Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

## PART 52—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

### Subpart F—California

■ 2. Section 52.220 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(335)(i)(A)(3) to read as follows:

## § 52.220 Identification of plan.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (c) \* \* \* (335) \* \* \* \* (i) \* \* \* (A) \* \* \* (A) \* \* \* (3) Rule 404, adopted on December 15, 2004.

[FR Doc. 05–20603 Filed 10–13–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## 40 CFR Part 52

[R05-OAR-2005-WI-0002; FRL-7974-4]

Approval and Promulgation of Maintenance Plan Revisions; Wisconsin

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is approving an alternative volatile organic compounds (VOC) control device for Serigraph, Inc. (Serigraph) as a revision to the Wisconsin State Implementation Plan (SIP). On May 18, 2005, the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources submitted a request to revise the Wisconsin SIP. The revision approves Serigraph's use of a biofilter to control VOC emissions from its printing facility in Washington County, Wisconsin. The biofilter will achieve VOC emission reductions at or beyond the level of the control methods listed in the SIP. Serigraph has designed one of its plants as a permanent total enclosure (PTE), which captures all VOC emissions and routes them to the biofilter. There are no fugitive emissions from the plant. This control system will reliably control emissions at or below the level of Federally mandated emission limits.

DATES: This rule is effective on December 13, 2005, unless EPA receives adverse written comments by November 14, 2005. If EPA receives adverse comments, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the rule in the Federal Register and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments. identified by Regional Material in EDocket (RME) ID No. R05-OAR-2005-WI-0002, by one of the following methods: Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments. Agency Web site: http:// docket.epa.gov/rmepub/. Regional RME, EPA's electronic public docket and comments system, is EPA's preferred method for receiving comments. Once in the system, select "quick search," then key in the appropriate RME Docket identification number. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

E-mail: *mooney.john@epa.gov*. Fax: (312) 886–5824.

Mail: You may send written comments to: John M. Mooney, Chief, Criteria Pollutant Section, (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Hand delivery: Deliver your comments to: John M. Mooney, Chief, Criteria Pollutant Section, (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, 18th floor, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office's normal hours of operation. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. excluding Federal holidays.

Instructions: Direct your comments to RME ID No. R05-OAR-2005-WI-0002. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information the disclosure of which is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through RME, regulations.gov, or e-mail. The EPA RME Web site and the federal regulations.gov Web site are "anonymous access" systems, which

means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through RME or regulations.gov, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional instructions on submitting comments, go to Section I of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of the related proposed rule which is published in the Proposed Rules section of this Federal Register.

Docket: All documents in the electronic docket are listed in the RME index at http://docket.epa.gov/rmepub/. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in RME or in hard copy at Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. We recommend that you telephone Matt Rau, Environmental Engineer, at (312) 886-6524 before visiting the Region 5 office. This Facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matt Rau, Environmental Engineer, Criteria Pollutant Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886–6524, rau.matthew@epa.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document whenever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean EPA. This supplementary information section is arranged as follows:

- I. General Information
  - A. Does this action apply to me?
  - B. How can I get copies of this document and other related information?
  - C. How and to whom do I submit comments?
- II. What is EPA approving?
- III. What are the changes from the current rule?

- IV. What is EPA's analysis of the supporting material?
- V. What action is EPA taking today? VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

#### I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

This action applies to a single source—Serigraph, Incorporated in Washington County, Wisconsin.

B. How can I get copies of this document and other related information?

 The Regional Office has established an electronic public rulemaking file available for inspection at RME under ID No. R05-OAR-2005-WI-0002, and a hard copy file which is available for inspection at the Regional Office. The official public file consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, any public comments received, and other information related to this action. Although a part of the official docket, the public rulemaking file does not include CBI or other information the disclosure of which is restricted by statute. The official public rulemaking file is the collection of materials that is available for public viewing at the Air Programs Branch, Air and Radiation Division, EPA Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. EPA requests that, if at all possible, you contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION **CONTACT** section to schedule your inspection. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. excluding Federal holidays.

2. Electronic Access. You may access this **Federal Register** document electronically through the regulations.gov Web site located at <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a> where you can find, review, and submit comments on Federal rules that have been published in the **Federal Register**, the Government's legal newspaper, and that are open for comment.

For public commenters, it is important to note that EPA's policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing at the EPA Regional Office, as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, CBI, or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. When EPA identifies a comment containing copyrighted material, EPA will provide a reference to that material in the version of the comment that is placed in the official public rulemaking file. The entire printed comment, including the copyrighted material, will be available

at the Regional Office for public inspection.

C. How and to whom do I submit comments?

You may submit comments electronically, by mail, or through hand delivery/courier. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, identify the appropriate rulemaking identification number by including the text "Public comment on proposed rulemaking Region 5 Air Docket R05-OAR-2005-WI-0002" in the subject line on the first page of your comment. Please ensure that your comments are submitted within the specified comment period. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked "late." EPA is not required to consider these late comments.

For detailed instructions on submitting public comments and on what to consider as you prepare your comments see the ADDRESSES section and the section I General Information of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of the related proposed rule which is published in the proposed rules section of this Federal Register.

#### II. What is EPA approving?

EPA is approving a revision to the Wisconsin VOC SIP for Serigraph. The revision approves Serigraph's use of a biofilter to control VOC emissions from several lines in Plant 2 at its facility. This is an alternative to the control methods listed in the SIP. An alternate control method is allowed under section NR 422.04(2)(d) of the Wisconsin Administrative Code. The biofilter will reliably control VOC emissions at a similar level with other control techniques for surface printing facilities. Plant 2 is designed as a PTE, which ensures a 100% capture efficiency. An 80% overall control efficiency for VOC emissions is required for this control device. Overall control efficiency includes both capture and destruction efficiencies. Serigraph's biofilter has achieved a greater than 85% overall control efficiency.

## III. What are the changes from the current rule?

Southeastern Wisconsin screen printers are required to use low solvent coatings or a control device to limit VOC emissions. This requirement is found in section NR 422.04(2) of the Wisconsin Administrative Code. The control devices allowed are vapor recovery systems or vapor incinerators. Section NR 422.04(2)(d) adds that a printer may use an alternate control device if it will reliably control VOC emissions to a level at or below the

applicable emission limit and is approved by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. Federal approval of the alternative control device is required for this change to the Wisconsin SIP.

# IV. What is EPA's analysis of the supporting material?

Serigraph is adding a biofilter on its Plant 2 printing facility as an alternative VOC emissions control device. The print room is designed as a PTE ensuring all of the print room emissions are exhausted into the biofilter. Serigraph's biofilter system consists of two humidifiers and two media chambers. Each biofilter unit can operate independently. This allows for emission control even when a unit is offline. The print room exhaust goes through a humidifier then the warm, moist gas stream proceeds into the media chamber. Microorganisms in the media chemically convert the VOC into carbon dioxide and water. Fans in each chamber control the rate of the gas moving through the media so that proper conversion occurs. For Serigraph's system, the media retention time should be about 30 seconds. The biofilter exhausts through a 25 foot stack. Testing of the gas in the print room exhaust duct and the biofilter exhaust stack will confirm that Serigraph's control device reduces the VOC emissions by the required amount. The biofilter has been in operation since May 1997. An average of 54 tons of VOC emissions are vented to the biofilter each year. The average exhaust from the biofilter is about 8 tons of VOC per year. This easily exceeds the 80% control requirement.

#### V. What action is EPA taking today?

EPA is approving, through direct final rulemaking, revisions to the VOC regulations for Serigraph, Inc. in Washington County, Wisconsin.

We are publishing this action without prior proposal because we view this as a noncontroversial amendment and anticipate no adverse comments. However, in the proposed rules section of this Federal Register publication, we are publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to approve the state plan if relevant adverse written comments are filed. This rule will be effective December 13, 2005, without further notice unless we receive relevant adverse written comments by November 14, 2005. If we receive such comments, we will withdraw this action before the effective date by publishing a subsequent document that will withdraw the final action. All public comments received will then be

addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed action. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. If we do not receive any comments, this action will be effective December 13, 2005.

## VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Executive Order 12866; Regulatory Planning and Review

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget.

Executive Order 13211: Actions That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use

Because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 or a "significant energy action," this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001).

#### Regulatory Flexibility Act

This action merely approves state law as meeting federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.).

#### Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

Because this rule approves preexisting requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4).

Executive Order 13175 Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

This rule also does not have tribal implications because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (59 FR 22951, November 9, 2000).

Executive Order 13132 Federalism

This action also does not have federalism implications because it does not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This action merely approves a state rule implementing a federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act.

Executive Order 13045 Protection of Children From Environmental Health and Safety Risks

This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

National Technology Transfer Advancement Act

In reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the state to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply.

#### Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

## Congressional Review Act

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United

States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by December 13, 2005. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See Section 307(b)(2).)

### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: September 15, 2005.

#### Bharat Mathur,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.

■ For the reasons stated in the preamble, part 52, chapter I, of title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

## PART 52—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

## Subpart YY—Wisconsin

■ 2. Section 52.2570 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(112) to read as follows:

## § 52.2570 Identification of plan.

(c) \* \* \* \* \*

(112) On May 18, 2005, Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources submitted a source specific State Implementation Plan revision.

Serigraph, Inc. in Washington County is seeking to use an alternative volatile organic compounds control device.

Serigraph, Inc. will use a biofilter to control volatile organic compound emissions from sources in its Plant 2.

This is considered an equivalent control system under section NR 422.04(2)(d) of the Wisconsin Administrative Code because it will reliably control emissions at or below the level of the

applicable emission limits, Wisconsin Administrative Code section NR 422.145.

(i) Incorporation by reference.
Department of Natural Resources
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law,
and Decision AM–04–200 dated
November 24, 2004.

[FR Doc. 05–20604 Filed 10–13–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

### 50 CFR Parts 222 and 223

[Docket No. 051007258-5258-01; I.D. 100505D]

RIN 0648-AT96

# Sea Turtle Conservation; Shrimp Trawling Requirements

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Temporary rule.

SUMMARY: NMFS issues this temporary rule for a period of 30 days, to allow shrimp fishermen to use limited tow times as an alternative to Turtle Excluder Devices (TEDs) in state and Federal waters off Cameron Parish, Louisiana (approximately 92°37′ W. long.), westward to the boundary shared by Matagorda and Brazoria Counties, Texas, and extending offshore 50 nautical miles. This action is necessary because environmental conditions resulting from Hurricane Rita are preventing some fishermen from using TEDs effectively.

**DATES:** Effective from October 11, 2005 through November 10, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Requests for copies of the Environmental Assessment on this action should be addressed to the Chief, Marine Mammal Division, Office of Protected Resources, NMFS, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910.

# **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael Barnette, 727–551–5794.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

All sea turtles that occur in U.S. waters are listed as either endangered or threatened under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (ESA). The Kemp's ridley (*Lepidochelys kempii*), leatherback (*Dermochelys coriacea*), and hawksbill (*Eretmochelys imbricata*)

turtles are listed as endangered. The loggerhead (*Caretta caretta*) and green (*Chelonia mydas*) turtles are listed as threatened, except for breeding populations of green turtles in Florida and on the Pacific coast of Mexico, which are listed as endangered.

Sea turtles are incidentally taken, and some are killed, as a result of numerous activities, including fishery-related trawling activities in the Gulf of Mexico and along the Atlantic seaboard. Under the ESA and its implementing regulations, the taking of sea turtles is prohibited, with exceptions identified in 50 CFR 223.206(d), or according to the terms and conditions of a biological opinion issued under section 7 of the ESA, or according to an incidental take permit issued under section 10 of the ESA. The incidental taking of turtles during shrimp or summer flounder trawling is exempted from the taking prohibition of section 9 of the ESA if the conservation measures specified in the sea turtle conservation regulations (50 CFR 223) are followed. The regulations require most shrimp trawlers and summer flounder trawlers operating in the southeastern United States (Atlantic area, Gulf area, and summer flounder sea turtle protection area, see 50 CFR 223.206) to have a NMFS-approved TED installed in each net that is rigged for fishing to allow sea turtles to escape. TEDs currently approved by NMFS include single-grid hard TEDs and hooped hard TEDs conforming to a generic description, the flounder TED, and one type of soft TED the Parker soft TED (see 50 CFR 223.207).

TEDs incorporate an escape opening, usually covered by a webbing flap, which allows sea turtles to escape from trawl nets. To be approved by NMFS, a TED design must be shown to be 97 percent effective in excluding sea turtles during testing based upon specific testing protocols (50 CFR 223.207(e)(1)). Most approved hard TEDs are described in the regulations (50 CFR 223.207(a)) according to generic criteria based upon certain parameters of TED design, configuration, and installation, including height and width dimensions of the TED opening through which the turtles escape.

The regulations governing sea turtle take prohibitions and exemptions provide for the use of limited tow times as an alternative to the use of TEDs for vessels with certain specified characteristics or under certain special circumstances. The provisions of 50 CFR 223.206(d)(3)(ii) specify that the NOAA Assistant Administrator for Fisheries (AA) may authorize compliance with tow time restrictions as an alternative to the TED requirement

if the AA determines that the presence of algae, seaweed, debris, or other special environmental conditions in a particular area makes trawling with TED-equipped nets impracticable. The provisions of 50 CFR 223.206(d)(3)(i) specify the maximum tow times that may be used when tow time limits are authorized as an alternative to the use of TEDs. Each tow may be no more than 55 minutes from April 1 through October 31 and no more than 75 minutes from November 1 through March 31, as measured from the time that the trawl doors enter the water until they are removed from the water. These tow time limits are designed to minimize the level of mortality of sea turtles that are captured by trawl nets not equipped with TEDs.

#### Recent Events

On September 27, 2005, the NMFS Southeast Regional Administrator received requests from the Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries (LADWF) and the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) to allow the use of tow times as an alternative to TEDs in state and federal waters because of the presence of excessive stormrelated debris on the fishing grounds as a result of Hurricane Rita. When a TED is clogged with debris, it can no longer catch shrimp effectively nor can it effectively exclude turtles. Phone conversations between NMFS Southeast Region's Protected Resources staff, fishermen, and state resource agency staffs confirm there are problems with debris in state and Federal waters off Louisiana, westward to the boundary shared by Matagorda and Brazoria Counties, Texas, and extending offshore 50 nautical miles, which are likely to affect the effectiveness of TEDs.

### **Special Environmental Conditions**

The AA finds that debris washed into state and Federal waters by Hurricane Rita off Cameron Parish, Louisiana (approximately 92°37′ W. long.), westward to the boundary shared by Matagorda and Brazoria Counties, Texas, and extending offshore 50 nautical miles, has created special environmental conditions that make trawling with TED-equipped nets impracticable. Therefore, the AA issues this notification to authorize the use of restricted tow times as an alternative to the use of TEDs in state and federal waters off Cameron Parish, Louisiana (approximately 92°37′ W. long.), westward to the boundary shared by Matagorda and Brazoria Counties, Texas, and extending offshore 50 nautical miles, for a period of 30 days. Tow times must be limited to no more