- 5. Technical information on test and evaluation methods for defining ATV characteristics that are specifically relevant to the vehicles' stability.
- 6. Technical information on motion sensing technology that can be used to measure displacement, velocity, and acceleration of both the test operator and test vehicle.
- 7. Technical reports and evaluations of any prototype ATVs with enhanced safety designs.
- 8. Technical reports and evaluations of ATV low pressure tire performance on various surfaces.
- 9. Information about ATV rider training programs, including descriptions of these programs, copies of materials used, expertise of instructors, consumer reactions to the programs, evaluations of the effectiveness of these programs, etc.
- 10. Information about ATV rider training and education programs (including public service campaigns, videos, school materials, Web sites, etc.) targeted to children and teenagers and/or targeted to parents and any evaluations of the effectiveness of these programs.
- 11. Studies, reports, focus group information, etc. dealing with children and teenagers' attitudes and/or behavior regarding ATVs or other off-road vehicles.
- 12. Information about the feasibility and marketability of a transitional ATV geared to larger children and/or small adults, and the effect such an ATV might have on safety.
- 13. Information about the applicability of sensor technology to improve the safety of ATVs;
- 14. Studies documenting the effectiveness of state and local legislation;
- 15. Studies documenting the effectiveness of ATV helmet use; and
- 16. Information about tandem ATVs, particularly their similarities to and differences from traditional ATVs.
- 17. All other relevant information and suggestions about ways in which ATV safety might be improved, including proposals and specific suggestions for greater public information efforts, enhanced safety activities by ATV dealers, associations and clubs, etc.

Comments should be e-mailed to cpsc-os@cpsc.gov. and should be captioned "ATV ANPR." Comments may also be mailed, preferably in five copies, to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207–0001, or delivered to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 502, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland 20814; telephone

(301) 504–0800. Comments also may be filed by telefacsimile to (301) 504–0127. All comments and submissions should be received no later than December 13, 2005

Dated: October 7, 2005.

Todd A. Stevenson,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 05–20557 Filed 10–13–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6355–01–P

POSTAL SERVICE

39 CFR Part 111

Use of Ancillary Service Endorsement for Mailing Certain Types of Checks

AGENCY: Postal Service.

ACTION: Proposed rule; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service is withdrawing a proposed rule that would require ancillary service endorsements on mailpieces containing certain types of checks.

DATES: Withdrawal effective October 14, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William Chatfield, Mailing Standards, United States Postal Service, 202–268– 7278.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In a proposed rule published in the Federal Register on October 27, 2004 (69 FR 6263), the Postal Service presented for public comment a proposed revision to Mailing Standards of the United States Postal Service, Domestic Mail Manual (DMM®) to require the use of ancillary service endorsements on mailpieces containing certain types of checks mailed at Standard Mail postage rates. The proposed revision was intended to protect postal customers.

We received comments from the financial industry discussing a number of safeguards for customers that reduce the incidence of fraud and the misuse of information on these checks. We have concluded that the requirements in our proposal are unnecessary, and we withdraw our proposal.

Neva R. Watson,

BILLING CODE 7710-12-P

Attorney, Legislative. [FR Doc. 05–20563 Filed 10–13–05; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[R09-OAR-2005-CA-0009; FRL-7975-2]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Monterey Bay United Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Monterey Bay United Air Pollution Control District (MBUAPCD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern oxides of nitrogen (NO $_{\rm X}$) and sulfur compounds emitted by various sources. We are proposing to approve a local rule to regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

DATES: Any comments on this proposal must arrive by November 14, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments, identified by docket number R09–OAR–2005–CA–0009, by one of the following methods:

- 1. Agency Web site: http://docket.epa.gov/rmepub/. EPA prefers receiving comments through this electronic public docket and comment system. Follow the on-line instructions to submit comments.
- 2. Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the on-line instructions.
 - 3. E-mail: steckel.andrew@epa.gov.
- 4. Mail or deliver: Andrew Steckel (Air-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Instructions: All comments will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at

http://docket.epa.gov/rmepub/, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information that vou consider CBI or otherwise protected should be clearly identified as such and should not be submitted through the agency Web site, eRulemaking portal or e-mail. The agency Web site and eRulemaking portal are "anonymous access" systems, and EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send e-mail directly to EPA, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and

included as part of the public comment. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

Docket: The index to the docket for this action is available electronically at http://docket.epa.gov/rmepub and in hard copy at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. While all documents in the docket are listed in the index, some information may be publicly available only at the hard copy location (e.g., copyrighted material), and some may not be publicly available in either location (e.g., CBI). To inspect the hard copy materials, please schedule an appointment during normal business hours with the contact listed in the FOR **FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.** FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Francisco Dóñez, EPA Region IX, (415) 972–3956, *Donez.Francisco@epa.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This proposal addresses the following local rule: MBUAPCD 404. In the Rules and Regulations section of this Federal Register, we are approving this local rule in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe these SIP revisions are not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule.

We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: September 13, 2005.

Laura Yoshii,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX. [FR Doc. 05–20602 Filed 10–13–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[R05-OAR-2005-WI-0002; FRL-7974-5]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Wisconsin

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve a revision to the Wisconsin State Implementation Plan (SIP) for an

alternative volatile organic compounds (VOC) control device for Serigraph, Incorporated (Serigraph). On May 18, 2005, the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources submitted a request to revise the Wisconsin SIP. The proposed revision approves Serigraph's use of a biofilter to control VOC emissions from its printing facility in Washington County, Wisconsin. The biofilter system will reliably control emissions at or below the level of the control methods listed in the SIP. Serigraph has designed one of its plants as a permanent total enclosure (PTE), which captures all VOC emissions and routes them to the biofilter. There are no fugitive emissions from the plant. This reduces the total VOC emissions from Serigraph's facility.

In the final rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal, because EPA views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. We do not intend to take any further action in relation to this proposed rule unless we receive adverse comment this action. If EPA receives adverse comment, we will withdraw the direct final rule and will respond to all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before November 14, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments, identified by Regional Material in EDocket (RME) ID No. R05–OAR–2005–WI–0002 by one of the following methods:

Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

Agency Web site: http://docket.epa.gov/rmepub/.

RME, EPA's electronic public docket and comment system, is EPA's preferred method for receiving comments. Once in the system, select "quick search," then key in the appropriate RME Docket identification number. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

E-mail: *mooney.john@epa.gov*. Fax: (312) 886–5824.

Mail: You may send written comments to: John M. Mooney, Chief, Criteria Pollutant Section, (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Hand delivery: Deliver your comments to: John M. Mooney, Chief, Criteria Pollutant Section (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, 18th floor, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office's normal hours of operation. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. excluding Federal holidays.

Instructions: Direct your comments to RME ID No. R05-OAR-2005-WI-0002. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through RME, regulations.gov, or e-mail. The EPA RME Web site and the Federal regulations.gov Web site are "anonymous access" systems, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through RME or regulations.gov, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional instructions on submitting comments, go to Section I(B) of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

Docket: All documents in the electronic docket are listed in the RME index at http://www.epa.gov/rmepub/. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., CBI or other information the disclosure of which is restricted by statute. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in RME or in hard copy at Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago,