

Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230.

If a comment alleges that these fabrics can be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner, CITA will closely review any supporting documentation, such as a signed statement by a manufacturer of the fabric stating that it produces the fabric that is the subject of the request, including the quantities that can be supplied and the time necessary to fill an order, as well as any relevant information regarding past production.

CITA will protect any business confidential information that is marked "business confidential" from disclosure to the full extent permitted by law. CITA generally considers specific details, such as quantities and lead times for providing the subject product as business confidential. However, information such as the names of domestic manufacturers who were contacted, questions concerning the capability to manufacture the subject product, and the responses thereto should be available for public review to ensure proper public participation in the process. If this is not possible, an explanation of the necessity for treating such information as business confidential must be provided. CITA will make available to the public non-confidential versions of the request and non-confidential versions of any public comments received with respect to a request in room 3100 in the Herbert Hoover Building, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230. Persons submitting comments on a request are encouraged to include a non-confidential version and a non-confidential summary.

**D. Michael Hutchinson,**

*Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.*

[FR Doc. 05-11474 Filed 6-6-05; 4:11 pm]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

**COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS**

**Request for Public Comments on Commercial Availability Request under the United States-Caribbean Basin Trade Partnership Act (CBTPA)**

June 3, 2005.

**AGENCY:** Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA)

**ACTION:** Request for public comments concerning a request for a determination that certain 100 percent cotton, yarn

dyed, seersucker fabrics cannot be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner under the CBTPA.

**SUMMARY:** On June 1, 2005, the Chairman of CITA received a petition from Sandler, Travis & Rosenberg, P.A., on behalf of their client B\*W\*A of New York City, alleging that certain 100 percent cotton, yarn dyed, plain weave double warp beam seersucker fabrics, of specifications detailed below, classified in subheadings 5208.42.30, 5208.42.40, 5208.42.50, and 5209.41.60 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), cannot be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner. The petition requests that woven shirts, blouses, and sleepwear of such fabrics be eligible for preferential treatment under the CBTPA. CITA hereby solicits public comments on this request, in particular with regard to whether such fabrics can be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner. Comments must be submitted by June 23, 2005 to the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, Room 3001, United States Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20230.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Richard Stetson, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482-3400.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Authority:** Section 211(a) of the CBTPA, amending Section 213(b)(2)(A)(v)(II) of the Caribbean Basin Recovery Act (CBERA); Section 6 of Executive Order No. 13191 of January 17, 2001; Presidential Proclamations 7351 of October 2, 2000.

**BACKGROUND:**

The CBTPA provides for quota- and duty-free treatment for qualifying textile and apparel products. Such treatment is generally limited to products manufactured from yarns and fabrics formed in the United States or a beneficiary country. The CBTPA also provides for quota- and duty-free treatment for apparel articles that are both cut (or knit-to-shape) and sewn or otherwise assembled in one or more beneficiary countries from fabric or yarn that is not formed in the United States, if it has been determined that such fabric or yarn cannot be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner. In Executive Order No. 13191, the President delegated to CITA the authority to determine whether yarns or

fabrics cannot be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner under the CBTPA and directed CITA to establish procedures to ensure appropriate public participation in any such determination. On March 6, 2001, CITA published procedures that it will follow in considering requests. (66 FR 13502).

On June 1, 2005 the Chairman of CITA received a petition from B\*W\*A alleging that certain 100 percent cotton, yarn dyed, plain weave double warp beam seersucker fabrics, of specifications detailed below, classified in HTSUS subheadings 5208.42.30, 5208.42.40, 5208.42.50, and 5209.41.60, for use in woven shirts, blouses, and sleepwear, cannot be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner and requesting quota- and duty-free treatment under the CBTPA for such apparel articles that are both cut and sewn in one or more beneficiary countries from such fabrics.

**Specifications:**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Petitioner Style Number: | Various   |
| Fiber Content:           | 100% Cotton   |
| Yarn Number:             | (1) 33/1 - 119/1 metric warp;<br>(2) 33/1 - 119/1 & 33/2 - 119/2 metric warp<br>33/1 - 119/1 metric filling;<br>overall average yarn number:<br>30 - 115 metric |
| Thread Count:            | 23 - 48 warp ends per centimeter; 19 - 40 filling picks per centimeter; total: 42 - 88 threads per square centimeter  |
| Weave:                   | Plain weave double warp beam seersucker   |
| Weight:                  | 101 - 255 grams per square meter  |
| Width:                   | 136 - 152 centimeters   |
| Finish:                  | Of yarns of different colors in both warp and filling   |

The petitioner states that one very important feature of the fabrics is that they are genuine seersucker fabrics, woven with two warp beams, one with half the warp yarns subject to normal warp tension, the other with the warp yarns in a relaxed or tensionless state. Thus, the unique "crinkled" appearance and feel of the finished fabric is achieved on the loom and enhanced in the dyeing and finishing process, not merely by dyeing and finishing alone.

CITA is soliciting public comments regarding this request, particularly with respect to whether these fabrics can be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner. Also relevant is whether other fabrics that are supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner are substitutable for these fabrics for purposes of the intended use. Comments must be

received no later than June 23, 2005. Interested persons are invited to submit six copies of such comments or information to the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, room 3100, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230.

If a comment alleges that these fabrics can be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner, CITA will closely review any supporting documentation, such as a signed statement by a manufacturer of the fabric stating that it produces the fabric that is the subject of the request, including the quantities that can be supplied and the time necessary to fill an order, as well as any relevant information regarding past production.

CITA will protect any business confidential information that is marked "business confidential" from disclosure to the full extent permitted by law. CITA generally considers specific details, such as quantities and lead times for providing the subject product as business confidential. However, information such as the names of domestic manufacturers who were contacted, questions concerning the capability to manufacture the subject product, and the responses thereto should be available for public review to ensure proper public participation in the process. If this is not possible, an explanation of the necessity for treating such information as business confidential must be provided. CITA will make available to the public non-confidential versions of the request and non-confidential versions of any public comments received with respect to a request in room 3100 in the Herbert Hoover Building, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230. Persons submitting comments on a request are encouraged to include a non-confidential version and a non-confidential summary.

**D. Michael Hutchinson,**

*Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.*

[FR Doc. 05-11475 Filed 6-6-05; 4:11 pm]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

**CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION**

**Notification of Request for Extension of Approval of Information Collection Activity—Customer Satisfaction Surveys**

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In the February 25, 2005 *Federal Register* (70 FR 9275), the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC or Commission) published a notice in accordance with provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) (PRA), to announce the agency's intention to seek extension of its PRA approval to conduct surveys to determine the kind and quality of services CPSC customers want and customers' level of satisfaction with existing services. The Commission now announces that it has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request for extension of approval of that collection of information.

The Commission received one comment stating that the CPSC should obtain all requested information at the initial contact without any additional follow up on customer satisfaction. For most of the CPSC programs, it is not possible to interview the customer regarding customer satisfaction at the time of initial contact because the requested service may not yet have been performed or completed. In those circumstances, customer satisfaction may only be assessed through follow up contact after the implementation of the CPSC program.

CPSC will use the information it obtains in these surveys to improve its work on behalf of the American public. In addition, the CPSC Office of Planning and Evaluation will use information from the surveys to prepare sections of the agency's annual Performance and Accountability report (required by the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA)). This information will provide measures of the quality and effectiveness of agency efforts related to three goals in its strategic plan (informing the public, industry services, and customer satisfaction). In the past, information from these surveys has shown an overall high level of customer satisfaction. If this information is not periodically collected, the CPSC would not have useful measures of its effectiveness in reaching consumers and others, and the information necessary to guide program development and improvement would not be available. The Commission would be unable to measure its ability to meet identified GPRA goals. CPSC will collect this information in several ways, such as using telephone interviews, as well as mail and web-based questionnaires. Up to 6 customer surveys or information collection activities a year would be conducted using this clearance.

**Additional Information About the Request for Extension of Approval of Information Collection Activity**

*Title of information collection:* Customer Satisfaction Surveys: Fast track recall survey; ombudsman survey, state partner survey, hotline survey, web site survey and clearinghouse survey.

*Type of request:* Extension of approval.

*Frequency of collection:* Each survey will be conducted once during a 3-year period.

*General description of respondents:* (1) Persons telephoning the Hotline or accessing the CPSC web site via the Internet; (2) persons or companies contacting the National Injury Information Clearinghouse for information; (3) State representatives who work with CPSC on cooperative programs; (4) firms using CPSC's Fast-Track Product Recall Program; and (5) small business that have contacted the CPSC's small business ombudsman.

*Estimated number of respondents:* 745 per year.

*Estimated average number of responses per respondent:* One per year.

*Estimated number of responses for all respondents:* 745 per year.

*Estimated number of hours per response:* 4 minutes.

*Estimated number of hours for all respondents:* 50 hours per year.

*Estimated cost of collection for all respondents:* \$1,170 per year.

*Comments:* Comments on this request for extension of approval of an information collection activity should be submitted by July 8, 2005, to (1) Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for CPSC, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503; telephone: (202) 395-7340, and (2) the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207. Comments may be delivered to the Office of the Secretary, room 419, North Tower, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland, 20814. Comments may also be sent to the Office of the Secretary by facsimile at (301) 504-0127, or by e-mail at [cpsc-os@cpsc.gov](mailto:cpsc-os@cpsc.gov).

Copies of this request for approval of an information collection activity are available from Linda L. Glatz, Management & Program Analyst, Office of Planning and Evaluation, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207; telephone: (301) 504-7671.