*Summary:* EPA has no objections to the preferred alternative.

# Rating LO

EIS No. 20050113, ERP No. D–BLM– K65439–NV, Sloan Canyon National Conservation Area, Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Cities of Las Vegas and Henderson, Clark County, NV.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns related to mitigation measures and the cumulative impacts analysis for air quality and water resources.

# Rating EC2

EIS No. 20050114, ERP No. D-AFS-F65053–IN, Hoosier National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Brown, Crawford, Dubois, Jackson, Lawrence, Martin, Monroe, Orange, Perry Counties, IN.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns related to early- and late-successional management and the timeline for conversion of non-native pines/ restoration of oak-hickory habitat and the seasonal trail closures in the wilderness area.

### Rating EC2

EIS No. 20050118, ERP No. D–AFS– F65054–MI, Ottawa National Forest, Proposed Land and Resource Management Plan, Forest Plan Revision, Implementation, Baraga, Gogebic, Houghton, Iron, Marquette and Ontonagan Counties, MI.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns related to potential impacts to water quality and on the management ATVs, deer, and old growth habitat. EPA suggested the final alternative emphasize late successional northern hardwoods and producing an old growth continuous canopy.

# Rating EC2

EIS No. 20050128, ERP No. D–AFS– L65480–ID, Porcupine East, 9 Allotment Grazing Analysis Project, Authorizing Livestock Grazing, Caribou-Targhee National Forest, Dubois Ranger District, Centennial Mountains, Clark County, ID.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns related to alternatives, and potential impacts to water quality/source water for drinking water.

#### Rating EC2

EIS No. 20050134, ERP No. D–AFS– L65481–00, Caribou Travel Plan Revision, Determine the Motorized Road and Trail System, Implementation, Caribou-Targhee National Forest, Westside, Soda Spring and Montpelier Ranger Districts, Bannock, Bear River, Bonneville, Caribou, Franklin, Oneida and Power Counties, ID.

*Summary:* EPA has concerns with adverse impacts to water quality, air quality and wilderness.

#### Rating EC2

# **Final EISs**

EIS No. 20050185, ERP No. F–NRC– F03009–MI, Generic—Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant, Units No. 1 and 2, (TAC No. MC1221 and MC1222) License Renewal, Supplement 20 to NUREG 1437, Berrien County, MI.

Summary: EPA continues to express environmental concerns related to radiological impacts/risk estimates and reducing the entrainment of fish and shellfish in early life stages. EPA recommends that additional information on these issues be included in the Record of Decision.

EIS No. 20050219, ERP No. F–BLM– K65275–00, California Coastal National Monument Resource Management Plan, To Protect Important Biological and Geological Values: Islands, Rocks, Exposed Reefs, and Pinnacles above Mean High Tide, CA, OR, and Mexico. Summary: EPA has no objections to

the proposed plan.

Dated: July 5, 2005.

#### Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 05–13468 Filed 7–7–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

# [ER-FRL-6665-1]

## Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or http://www.epa.gov/ compliance/nepa/ Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed 06/27/2005 Through 07/01/2005 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 20050274, Draft EIS, AFS, ND, NE McKenzie Allotment Management Plan Revisions, Proposes to Continue Livestock Grazing on 28 Allotments, Dakota Prairie Grasslands Land and Resource Management Plan, Dakota Prairie Grasslands, McKenzie Ranger District, McKenzie County, ND, Comment Period Ends: 08/22/2005, Contact: Libby Knotts 701–842–3008.

EIS No. 20050275, Final EIS, FHW, WI, WI–26 State Trunk Highway (STH) Improvements, Janesville at IH–90 to STH–60–East north of Watertown Road, Funding, (Project ID 1390–04– 00), Rock, Jefferson and Dodge Counties, WI, Wait Period Ends: 08/ 08/2005, Contact: Johnny Gerbitz 608–829–7511.

- EIS No. 20050276, Final EIS, FRC, 00, Entrega Pipeline Project, Construction and Operation New Interstate Natural Gas Pipeline System, Right-of-Way Grant Issue by BLM, Meeker Hub and Cheyenne Hub, Rio Blanco and Weld Counties, CO, and Sweetwater County, WY, Wait Period Ends: 08/ 08/2005, Contact: Thomas Russo 1– 866–208–3372.
- EIS No. 20050277, Final EIS, FHW, MO, U.S. Route 67 Corridor Project, Improvements from South of Fredericktown to the South of Neelyville, Madison, Wayne and Butler Counties, MO, Wait Period Ends: 08/08/2005, Contact: Peggy Casey 573–636–7104.
- EIS No. 20050278, Draft Supplement, AFS, WA, Upper Charley Subwatershed Ecosystem Restoration Projects, Proposing to Amend the Umatilla National Forests Land and Resource Management Plan to Incorporate Management for Canada lynx, Pomeroy Ranger District, Umatilla National Forest, Garfield County, WA, Comment Period Ends: 08/22/2005, Contact: Monte Fujishin 509-843-1891. This document is available on the Internet at: http:// www.fs.fed.us/r6/uma/projects/ readroom/pomeroy/upcharley\_dseis.pdf.
- EIS No. 20050279, Final EIS, NPS, AL, Selma to Montgomery National Historic Trail Comprehensive Management Plan, Implementation, Dallas, Lowndes and Montgomery Counties, AL, Wait Period Ends: , 08/ 08/2005 Contact: John Barrett 404– 562–3124 Ext 637.
- EIS No. 20050280, Final EIS, COE, FL, Herbert Hoover Dike Major Rehabilitation Evaluation Study, Proposed to Reduce the Probability of a Breach of Reach One, Lake Okeechobee, Martin and Palm Beach Counties, FL, Wait Period Ends: 08/ 08/2005, Contact: Rebecca Weis 904– 232–1577.
- EIS No. 20050281, Draft EIS, AFS, CA, North Fork Eel Grazing Allotment Management Project, Proposing to Authorize Cattle Grazing on Four Allotment, Six Rivers National Forest, Mad River Ranger District, North Fork Eel River and Upper Mad River, Trinity County, CA, Comment Period Ends: 08/22/2005, Contact: Julie Ranieri 707–441–3673.
- EIS No. 20050282, Final EIS, FHW, OH, US 33 Nelsonville Bypass Project, To Upgrade Existing Four-Lane

Controlled Access Expressway between Haydenville in Hocking County and New Floodwood in Hocking and Athens Counties, OH, Wait Period Ends: 08/08/2005, Contact: Dave Snyder 614–280–6852.

Dated: July 5, 2005.

### Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 05–13469 Filed 7–7–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7926-5]

# Clean Water Act Section 303(d): Availability of List Decisions

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** This action announces the availability of EPA decisions identifying water quality limited segments and associated pollutants in New Hampshire to be listed pursuant to Clean Water Act section 303(d)(2), and requests public comment. Section 303(d)(2) requires that states submit and EPA approve or disapprove lists of waters for which existing technology-based pollution controls are not stringent enough to attain or maintain state water quality standards and for which total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) must be prepared.

EPA has partially approved and partially disapproved New Hampshire's 2004 submittal. Specifically, EPA approved New Hampshire's listing of 637 waterbody segments (5189 including mercury impairments), associated pollutants and priority rankings. EPA disapproved New Hampshire's decision not to list five water quality limited segments and associated pollutants. EPA identified these additional waterbody segments, pollutants, and priority rankings for inclusion on the 2004 section 303(d) list.

EPA is providing the public the opportunity to review its decision to

add waters and pollutants to New Hampshire's 2004 Section 303(d) list, as required by EPA's Public Participation regulations. EPA will consider public comments in reaching its final decision on the additional water bodies and pollutants identified for inclusion on New Hampshire's final list. DATES: Comments must be submitted to EPA on or before August 8, 2005. **ADDRESSES:** Comments on the proposed decisions should be sent to Al Basile, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA New England Regional Office, One Congress Street, Suite 1100 (CWQ), Boston, MA 02114–2023, telephone (617) 918-1599, e-mail basile.alfred@epa.gov. Oral comments will not be considered. Copies of the proposed decisions concerning New Hampshire which explain the rationale for EPA's decision can be obtained from the EPA Web site at http:// www.epa.gov/region1/eco/tmdl/ *index.html* or by writing or calling Mr. Basile at the above address. Underlying documentation comprising the record for these decisions are available for public inspection at the above address. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Al

Basile at (617) 918–1599 or basile.alfred@epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) requires that each state identify those waters for which existing technology-based pollution controls are not stringent enough to attain or maintain state water quality standards. For those waters, states are required to establish TMDLs according to a priority ranking.

EPA's Water Quality Planning and Management regulations include requirements related to the implementation of section 303(d) of the CWA (40 CFR 130.7). The regulations require states to identify water quality limited waters still requiring TMDLs every two years. The lists of waters still needing TMDLs must also include priority rankings and must identify the waters targeted for TMDL development during the next two years (40 CFR 130.7). On March 31, 2000, EPA promulgated a revision to this regulation that waived the requirement for states to submit section 303(d) lists in 2000 except in cases where a court order, consent decree, or settlement agreement required EPA to take action on a list in 2000 (65 FR 17170).

Consistent with EPA's regulations, New Hampshire submitted to EPA its listing decisions under section 303(d)(2) on April 1, 2004. EPA approved New Hampshire's listing of 637 waterbody segments (5189 including mercury impairments) and associated priority rankings. EPA disapproved New Hampshire's decision not to list five water quality limited segments and associated pollutants. EPA identified these additional waters and pollutants along with priority rankings for inclusion on the 2004 section 303(d) list. EPA solicits public comment on its identification of five additional waters and associated pollutants for inclusion on New Hampshire's 2004 Section 303(d) list.

Dated: June 14, 2005.

#### Linda M. Murphy,

Director, Office of Ecosystem Protection, New England Regional Office. [FR Doc. 05–13496 Filed 7–7–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## [FRL-7934-6]

#### New Hampshire Marine Sanitation Device Standard; Receipt of Petition

Notice is hereby given that a petition has been received from the State of New Hampshire requesting a determination of the Regional Administrator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, pursuant to Section 312(f)(3) of Public Law 92–500 as amended by Public Law 95–217 and Public Law 100–4, that adequate facilities for the safe and sanitary removal and treatment of sewage from all vessels are reasonably available for the coastal waters of New Hampshire. The area covered under this petition is:

Waterbody/general area	Latitude	Longitude
Open Ocean—southern	42°51′26.81241″	-70°44′50.43790″
Open Ocean—south of Isles of Shoals	42°54′54.69793″	-70°37′48.0360″
Open Ocean—east of Isles of Shoals	42°57′24.92153″	-70°32′6.08357″
Open Ocean—northern	43°0′40.06352″	-70°39′39.85119″
Open Ocean—center	42°57′13.00278″	-70°41′42.94551″
Hampton Falls River	42°54′39.99647″	-70°51′49.17592″
Great Bay—Squamscott River	42°58′55.12418″	-70°56′45.02511″
Great Bay—Lamprey River	43°4′53.81971″	-70°56′4.65330″
Little Bay—Oyster River	43°7′51.91065″	-70°55′4.70649″
Cocheco River	43°11′42.30454″	-70°52′21.96791″