

LIST OF PETITION ACTION BY TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE FOR PERIOD FEBRUARY 22, 2003—MARCH 19, 2003—
Continued

Firm name	Address	Date petition accepted	Product
Fiorini Ranch	15472 West Lombardy Avenue, Turlock, CA 95380.	03/03/03	Grapes, peaches and almonds.
Sunset Mold, LLC	727 Commerce Drive, Venice, FL 34292.	03/04/03	Injection molds for Drive rubber and plastic.
Security Locknut, Inc	9650 West Foster Avenue, Chicago, IL 60656.	03/06/03	Locking lugnut fasteners.
OMSAC, Inc dba Newstripe, Inc	1700 Jasper Street, Aurora, CO 80011.	03/07/03	Marking and stripping equipment (dispensers & sprayers), stencils, industrial compactors and parts and accessories and field maintenance equipment.
Paramount Machine Co., Inc	10824 Edison Court, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730.	03/07/03	Hydraulic parts for aircraft—landing gear, actuator flaps, and rear stabilizers.
Pentz Design Pattern & Foundry, Inc	14823 Main Street NE., Duvall, WA 98019.	03/08/03	Castings and molds for various industries—electronics, telecommunications, and automotive.
Ivan Kosbruk	7811 Kiana Circle, Anchorage, AK 99507.	03/13/03	Salmon.
W. G. Strohwig Tool & Die Company	3285 Industrial Road, Richfield, WI 53076.	03/19/03	Injection molds for plastics.
Woodbine Alaska Fish Co	P.O. Box 757, Rio Vista, CA 94571 ..	03/19/03	Salmon.
Denman & Davis	1 Broad Street, Clifton, NJ 07015	03/19/03	Stainless steel and hot rolled carbon steel plates used in the dry cleaning and food industry.

The petitions were submitted pursuant to section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2341). Consequently, the United States Department of Commerce has initiated separate investigations to determine whether increased imports into the United States of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by each firm contributed importantly to total or partial separation of the firm's workers, or threat thereof, and to a decrease in sales or production of each petitioning firm. Any party having a substantial interest in the proceedings may request a public hearing on the matter. A request for a hearing must be received by Trade Adjustment Assistance, Room 7315, Economic Development Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230, no later than the close of business of the tenth calendar day following the publication of this notice.

(The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance official program number and title of the program under which these petitions are submitted is 11.313, Trade Adjustment Assistance.)

Dated: March 20, 2003.

Anthony J. Meyer,

Coordinator, Trade Adjustment and Technical Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-7317 Filed 3-26-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-24-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-549-813]

Canned Pineapple Fruit from Thailand: Notice of Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 27, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marin Weaver at (202) 482-2336 or Monica Gallardo at (202) 482-3147, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

TIME LIMITS:

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to complete the preliminary results within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order/finding for which a review is requested and the final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary results to

a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order/finding for which a review is requested and for the final results to 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary results) from the date of publication of the preliminary results.

Background

On August 27, 2002, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on canned pineapple fruit from Thailand, covering the period July 1, 2001, through June 30, 2002 (67 FR 55000). On September 25, 2002, the Department published a correction to the initiation (67 FR 60210). The preliminary results are currently due no later than April 2, 2003.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time limit for the reasons stated in our memorandum from Charles Riggle, Program Manager, Office 5, to Gary Taverman, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement II, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the main Commerce building. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until no later than June 6, 2003. We intend to issue the final results no

later than 120 days after publication of the preliminary results notice.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: March 20, 2003.

Gary Taverman,

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for for AD/
CVD Enforcement II.*

[FR Doc. 03-7358 Filed 3-26-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-827]

Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review, and Determination to Revoke Order in Part: Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Aantidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review and Determination to Revoke Order in Part.

SUMMARY: On February 13, 2003, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of initiation and preliminary results of an antidumping duty changed circumstances review with the intent to revoke, in part, the antidumping duty order on certain cased pencils (pencils) from the People's Republic of China (PRC). See *Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Intent to Revoke Order in Part: Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China*, 68 FR 7344,7345 (February 13, 2003) (*Initiation and Preliminary Results*). We are now revoking this order, in part, with respect to pencils meeting the specifications described below, based on the fact that domestic parties have expressed no interest in the continuation of the order with respect to these particular pencils. The Department will instruct the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) to liquidate, without regard to antidumping duties, all unliquidated entries of pencils meeting the specifications described below. Further, the Department will instruct Customs to refund with interest any estimated antidumping duties collected with respect to unliquidated entries of pencils meeting the specifications described below entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for

consumption after November 30, 2000.¹ In addition, the Department will order the suspension of liquidation ended for the merchandise covered by this partial revocation, effective on the date of publication of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 27, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michele Mire or Howard Smith, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group II, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4711 and 482-5193, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 23, 2002, The Smencil Company (Smencil Co.) filed a request with the Department to revoke the antidumping duty order on certain cased pencils from the PRC with respect to the patented, scent-infused pencils produced in the PRC that it imports. See Smencil Co.'s letter to the Secretary, dated December 10, 2002 (*Smencil Co. Request Letter*).

Specifically, Smencil Co. requested that the Department revoke the antidumping duty order with respect to imports meeting the following description: scent-infused pencils manufactured in the PRC under U.S. patent number 6,217,242,² (Patent) that are made from rolled sheets of paper, namely rolled sheets of recycled newspaper, and infused with various scents so as to create scented pencils named Smencils. See Smencil Co. Request Letter at 1-2.

Smencil Co. attached to its request a letter dated December 10, 2002, from the petitioners in the pencils antidumping duty proceeding³ stating that they are not interested in having the order on pencils from PRC apply to pencils manufactured in the PRC under U.S. patent number 6,217,242 that are made from rolled sheets of recycled newspaper that are infused with various scents, thereby creating products with

¹ We inadvertently identified the date as December 1, 2001, in the *Initiation and Preliminary Results*.

² Patent number 6,217,242 (April 17, 2001) describes the invention as a "scented writing implement (comprising) . . . a fragrant pencil and a method for making same." (See *Smencil Co. Request Letter* at Appendix 2.) The patent is owned by Evaco, Ltd., doing business as The Smencil Company (See *Smencil Co. Request Letter* at 1).

³ The petitioners are the Pencil Section of the Writing Instrument Manufacturers Association (WIMA), a trade association comprised of domestic pencil producers, and Sanford Corporation, Dixon-Ticonderoga Corporation, Tennessee Pencil Company, Musgrave Pencil Company, Moon Products, Inc., and Aakron Rule, Inc.

odors distinct from those that may emanate from pencils made without the scent infusion. The petitioners indicated that the exclusion of the above-described pencils from the order should be narrowly drawn and not encompass pencils manufactured from recycled paper products without the scent infusion or with odors infused by means not covered by the Patent.

Subsequent to Smencil Co.'s request, the petitioners reconfirmed their position stated in their December 10, 2002, letter, as well as informed the Department that they account for more than 90 percent of the production of the domestic like product. See Memorandum to The File from Holly A. Kuga, Senior Office Director, "Telephone Discussion with Counsel for Petitioners," dated January 31, 2003, which is on file in Import Administration's Central Records Unit, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Room B-099, Washington, DC 20230.

As noted above, on February 13, 2003, we published the *Initiation and Preliminary Results* and gave interested parties an opportunity to comment. We received no comments from interested parties.

New Scope Based on this Changed Circumstances Review

The products covered by this antidumping duty order are shipments of certain cased pencils of any shape or dimension which are writing and/or drawing instruments that feature cores of graphite or other materials, encased in wood and/or man-made materials, whether or not decorated and whether or not tipped (*e.g.*, with erasers, etc.) in any fashion, and either sharpened or unsharpened. The pencils subject to the order are classified under subheading 9609.10.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Specifically excluded from the scope of the order are mechanical pencils, cosmetic pencils, pens, non-cased crayons (wax), pastels, charcoals, chalks, and pencils produced under U.S. patent number 6,217,242, from paper infused with scents by the means covered in the above-referenced patent, thereby having odors distinct from those that may emanate from pencils lacking the scent infusion.

Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Final Results of Review; Partial Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order

The affirmative statement of no interest by petitioners concerning