

In this action, the United States sought response costs incurred by the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), pursuant to Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9607, in connection with the clean-up of the Beaumont Glass Site, located in Morgantown, West Virginia. EPA incurred \$7.3 million in response costs. The Consent Decree represents an ability-to-pay settlement with Morgantown Engineering and Construction, Inc. ("MEC"), the owner of the Site. Under the Consent Decree, MEC will pay EPA \$250,000 in three installments over a period of two years. MEC will pay \$25,000 within 30 days after entry of the Consent Decree by the court and will pay \$112,500, plus interest as provided in the Consent Decree, one year later, and a third payment of \$112,500, plus interest, two years after the entry date.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of 30 days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States v. Morgantown Engineering and Construction, Inc.*, DOJ Ref. No. 90-11-3-07651.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 1100 Main Street, Suite 200, Wheeling, West Virginia 26003; and U.S. EPA Region 3, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. During the public comment period, the proposed Consent Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html>. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax number (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$6.00 (.25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the U.S. Treasury.

Robert D. Brook,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 03-11400 Filed 5-7-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to Comprehensive Environment Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on April 17, 2003, a proposed consent decree in *United States v. Wyeth, et al*, Civil Action No. 03-1758, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey.

In this action, the United States alleges under, *inter alia*, Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. 9607, that Wyeth, f/k/a American Home Products, Corporation, and Wyeth Holdings Corporation, f/k/a American Cyanamid Company, are liable for the federal government's costs in responding to the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the American Cyanamid Superfund Site in Bridgewater Township, Somerset County, New Jersey (the Site). Under the terms of the proposed consent decree, the settling defendants will pay the United States the sum of \$220,000 with respect to the United States' claims.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Wyeth, et al.*, Civil Action No. 03-1758, D.J. Ref. 90-11-3-07250.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, District of New Jersey, 970 Broad Street, Newark, New Jersey 07102, and at U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region II, 290 Broadway, New York, New York 10007-1866. During the public comment period, the proposed consent decree, may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html>. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. If requesting a copy of the proposed consent decree, please so note and enclose a check in the amount of \$4.50 (25 cent per page

reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury.

Ronald Gluck,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 03-11402 Filed 5-7-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Michael J. Clair, D.D.S.; Revocation of Registration

On March 12, 2002, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause to Michael Jerome Clair, D.D.S. (Dr. Clair) at his registered location in Orlando, Florida. The Order to Show Cause notified Dr. Clair of an opportunity to show cause as to why DEA should not revoke his DEA Certificate of Registration, BC1867172 under 21 U.S.C. 824(a), and deny any pending applications for renewal or modification of that registration. Specifically, the Order to Show Cause alleged that Dr. Clair was without state license to handle controlled substances in the State of Florida. The Order to Show Cause also notified Dr. Clair that should no request for a hearing be filed within 30 days, his hearing right would be deemed waived.

As alluded to above, the Order to Show Cause was sent by certified mail to Dr. Clair at his registered address, however, the order was returned to DEA unclaimed. On April 19, 2002, DEA investigators hand delivered the Order to Show Cause to the aforementioned registered address where investigators left the order with Dr. Clair's wife. DEA has not received a request for hearing or any other reply from Dr. Clair or anyone purporting to represent him in this matter.

Therefore, the Deputy Administrator, finding that (1) 30 days have passed since the receipt of the Order to Show Cause, and (2) no request for a hearing having been received, concludes that Dr. Clair is deemed to have waived his hearing right. After considering material from the investigative file in this matter, the Deputy Administrator now enters his final order without a hearing pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.43(d) and (e) and 1301.46.

The Deputy Administrator finds that Dr. Clair is currently registered with DEA as a practitioner authorized to handle controlled substances in Schedules II through V. In or around

September 2001, Dr. Clair sought to renew his DEA registration when he submitted an undated application for renewal. In response to a question on the application which asks the applicant whether he has ever had a state professional license or controlled substance registration revoked, suspended, denied, restricted, or placed on probation, Dr. Clair replied in the affirmative. He supplemented that response with a written explanation where he asserted that his Maryland dental license had been revoked in August 2000 for a period of five years, but the revocation action was "not related in any way to the prescribing of controlled substances." Dr. Clair further wrote that he is " * * * actively licensed in [Florida] and [Massachusetts]."

The Deputy Administrator's review of the investigative file reveals that on September 17, 2001, the State of Florida Board of Dentistry (Dental Board) entered a Final Order revoking Dr. Clair's state license to practice dentistry. The Dental Board's action was taken in response to the revocation of Dr. Clair's license to practice in the State of Maryland on August 12, 1999. The Dental Board also based its action in part upon findings that while practicing dentistry in Maryland, Dr. Clair performed unnecessary dental procedures on patients and encourage dentists who worked for him to do the same.

Despite assertions of professional good standing in Florida which accompanied his most recent DEA renewal application, there is no evidence before the Deputy Administrator to rebut findings that Dr. Clair's Florida dental license has been revoked and has not been reinstated. Therefore, the Deputy Administrator finds that since Dr. Clair is not currently authorized to practice dentistry in Florida, it is reasonable to infer that he is not authorized to handle controlled substances in that state.

DEA does not have statutory authority under the Controlled Substances Act to issue or maintain a registration if the applicant or registrant is without state authority to handle controlled substances in the state in which he conducts business. *See* 21 U.S.C. 802(21), 823(f) and 824(a)(3). This prerequisite has been consistently upheld. *See* Richard J. Clement, M.D., 68 FR 12103 (2003); Dominick A. Ricci, M.D., 58 FR 51104 (1993); Bobby Watts, M.D., 53 FR 11919 (1988).

Here, it is clear that Dr. Clair is not licensed to handle controlled substances in Florida, where he is registered with

DEA. Therefore, he is not entitled to maintain that registration.

Accordingly, the Deputy Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration, pursuant to the authority vested in him by 21 U.S.C. 823 and 824 and 28 CFR 0.100(b) and 0.104, hereby orders that DEA Certificate of Registration, BC1867172, issued to Michael Jerome Clair, D.D.S., be, and it hereby is, revoked. The Deputy Administrator further orders that Dr. Clair's pending application for renewal of the aforementioned registration be, and it hereby is, denied. This order is effective June 9, 2003.

Dated: April 21, 2003.

John B. Brown, III,

Deputy Administrator.

[FR Doc. 03-11431 Filed 5-7-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. 02-41]

Jacqueline Cleggett-Lucas, M.D., JCL Enterprises, L.L.C., Revocation of Registration

On March 21, 2002, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause to Jacqueline Cleggett-Lucas, M.D., and JCL Enterprises, L.L.C. (Respondents)¹, proposing to revoke her DEA Certificate of Registration, BC3404681, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(4) and deny any pending applications for renewal or modification of such registration under 21 U.S.C. 823(f). As a basis for revocation, the Order to Show Cause alleged that the Respondents' continued registration would be inconsistent with the public interest and that the Respondent was no longer authorized to handle controlled substances in Louisiana, the State in which she practices.

By letter dated April 24, 2002, the Respondents, through legal counsel, requested a hearing in this matter. In the request for hearing, the Respondents legal counsel argued that "(Respondents) have not been found guilty of 'prescribing large amounts of controlled substances in an

inappropriate (manner) to many people who do not [have] proven indications for the need of pain alleviating drugs.'" The Respondents further asserted that any decision involving the DEA license at issue should be withheld pending the outcome of a scheduled hearing before the Louisiana State Board of Medical Examiners (State Board).

On May 31, 2002, the Government filed Government's Motion for Summary Disposition and a request for stay of proceedings pending a ruling on its motion. On June 3, 2002, the presiding Administrative Law Judge Gail A. Randall (Judge Randall) issued an Order providing Respondents until June 24, 2002, to respond to the Government's motion. However, the Respondents did not file a response.

On July 19, 2002, Judge Randall issued her Opinion, Order, and Recommended Ruling of the Administrative Law Judge (Opinion and Recommended Ruling) where she granted the Government's motion for summary disposition and found that the Respondents lack authorization to handle controlled substances in the State of Louisiana. In granting the Government's motion, Judge Randall also recommended that the Respondents' DEA registration be revoked and any pending applications for renewal be denied. Neither party filed exceptions to her Opinion and Recommended Ruling, and on October 29, 2002, Judge Randall transmitted the record of these proceedings to the Office of the Deputy Administrator. The Deputy Administrator has considered the record in its entirety, and pursuant to 21 CFR 1316.67, hereby issues his final order based upon findings of fact and conclusions of law as hereinafter set forth.

The Deputy Administrator finds that the Respondents are currently registered as a practitioner under DEA Certificate of Registration BC3404681. That registration was issued under the names of two separate entities at an address in New Orleans, Louisiana. On February 14, 2002, the Board issued its Order for Summary Suspension of Medical License with respect to the Respondents' Louisiana medical license. The Board's action was based on a finding that the Respondent inappropriately prescribed "large amounts of controlled drugs" to individuals for no legitimate medical purpose. While the Civil District Court of Louisiana granted the Respondents' subsequent request for stay of the Board's suspension order, that same court lifted the stay on February 22, 2002, and reinstated the suspension of Respondents' medical license.

¹ In her July 19, 2002, Opinion, Order, and Recommended Ruling, Administrative Law Judge Gail A. Randall noted that for purposes of these proceedings, the two names represented herein are separate entities who obtained a single DEA registration by virtue of Dr. Gleggett-Lucas' ability to handle controlled substances. The Deputy Administrator hereby adopts that finding for purposes of this final ruling.