

**§ 73.202 [Amended]**

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Texas, is amended by adding Channel 248C2 and removing Channel 248C1 at Archer City.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Peter H. Doyle,**

*Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 03-12201 Filed 5-15-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

---

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**
**47 CFR Part 73**

[DA 03-1533; MM 00-148; RM-9939, RM-10198]

**Radio Broadcasting Services; Archer City, TX, Ardmore, OK, Converse, TX, Durant, OK, Elk City, OK, Flatonia, TX, Georgetown, TX, Healdton, OK, Ingram, TX, Keller, TX, Knox City, TX, Lakeway, TX, Lago Vista, TX, Llano, TX, Lawton, OK, McQueeney, TX, Nolanville, TX, Quanah, TX, Purcell, OK, San Antonio, Seymour, Waco and Wellington, TX**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule, dismissal.

**SUMMARY:** This document dismisses a proposal filed by Nation Wide Radio Stations for the allotment of Channel 233C3 to Quanah, Texas. This document also dismisses a Counterproposal jointly filed by First Broadcasting Company, L.P., Rawhide Radio, L.L.C., Next Media Licensing, Inc., Capstar TX Limited Partnership and Clear Channel Broadcast Licenses, Inc. See 65 FR 53689, published September 5, 2000. With this action, the proceeding is terminated.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Robert Hayne, Media Bureau (202) 418-2177.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's *Report and Order* in MM Docket No. 00-148, adopted May 7, 2003, and released May 8, 2003. The full text of this decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC's Reference Information Center at Portals II, CY-A257, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 202-863-2893, facsimile 202-863-2898, or via e-mail [qualexint@aol.com](mailto:qualexint@aol.com). Federal Communications Commission.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Peter H. Doyle,**

*Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 03-12204 Filed 5-15-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

---

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**
**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**
**50 CFR Part 660**

[I.D. 050703A]

**Fisheries off the West Coast States and in the Western Pacific; Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery; Amending the Notice to Prepare a Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for Fishing Conducted Under the Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery Management Plan (FMP)**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to revise the scope of a Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS); request for written comments.

**SUMMARY:** On April 10, 2001, NOAA announced in the **Federal Register** its intention to prepare a PEIS, in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), to assess the impacts of Federal management of the Pacific Coast groundfish fishery on the human environment. The proposed scope of the PEIS analysis included many issues related to the conduct of the fishery, including the effects of the groundfish fishery on essential fish habitat (EFH). As a result of public comments received during the scoping process, NMFS enhanced the description of the purpose and need for NMFS' action, clearly identified significant issues related to the proposed action, and a distinction between proposed actions related to EFH and the broader management program for Pacific groundfish. To avoid confusion as a result of this distinction, NMFS decided to prepare a separate EIS to address EFH issues. Subsequent to that decision, the Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) and NMFS have taken a number of management actions to prevent overfishing and to rebuild overfished groundfish stocks. In addition, a number of court cases have affected the fishery regulatory processes and have required additional analysis of environmental impacts of the Federal groundfish

fishery management program. NMFS believes these events and activities have influenced the purpose of and need for action and is considering revision to the scope of the alternatives and analysis. The intent of this document is to describe the rationale for revising the purpose and need for action and the scope of the analysis.

**DATES:** Written comments will be accepted on or before June 13, 2003. A public scoping meeting is scheduled for June 16, 2003 (see **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**).

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on suggested alternatives and potential impacts, and any other issues or concerns related to the proposed action which should be analyzed in detail in the PEIS, as described in this scoping notice, should be sent to Robert Lohn, Administrator, Northwest Region, NMFS, 7600 Sand Point Way N.E., BIN C15700, Bldg. 1, Seattle, WA 98115 0070. Comments also may be sent via facsimile (fax) to 206 526 6736. Comments will not be accepted if submitted via e-mail or Internet.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jim Glock, Northwest Region, NMFS, 503-231-2178; fax: 503-872-2737 and email: [jim.glock@noaa.gov](mailto:jim.glock@noaa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**
**Electronic Access**

This **Federal Register** scoping notice is also available on the Government Printing Office's website at: <http://www.nwr.noaa.gov/1sustfsh/gdfsh01.htm>.

**Background**

In June 2001, NMFS concluded the initial scoping process for a PEIS on the Federal management of the Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery and published a summary report. Scoping was initiated on April 10, 2001, through publication of a Notice of Intent (66 FR 18586). The report was initially published on the NMFS, Northwest Region website in August 2001 to provide a summary of all comments received and key issues identified during the scoping process. In February 2002 NMFS clarified the purpose and need for Federal action and revised the scope of analysis, which resulted in the preparation of two separate EISs. The PEIS was intended to be a broad analysis of the Federal fishery management program, and the additional EIS was specific to the designation of EFH and associated management measures, including measures to reduce effects of fishing on EFH. This separation was intended to improve public understanding and participation in the NEPA process, make