

**Financial Privacy:** Discussion of standardized short-form privacy notices that institutions could provide to customers about the institutions' privacy policies.

**Predatory Lending:** Discussion of responsible lending programs and products to counter predatory lending.

**Issuance of Debit and Credit Cards:** Discussion of rules for unsolicited issuance of debit cards under Regulation E (Electronic Fund Transfer Act) and credit cards under Regulation Z (Truth in Lending Act) – specifically whether card issuers should be permitted to issue at any time unsolicited, activated, supplemental debit or credit cards to current cardholders.

**Committee Reports:** Council committees will report on their work.

Other matters initiated by Council members also may be discussed.

Persons wishing to submit views to the Council on any of the above topics may do so by sending written statements to Ann Bistay, Secretary of the Consumer Advisory Council, Division of Consumer and Community Affairs, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, DC 20551. Information about this meeting may be obtained from Ms. Bistay, 202-452-6470.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, May 29, 2003.

**Jennifer J. Johnson**

*Secretary of the Board*

[FR Doc. 03-13933 Filed 6-3-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-S

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

**TIME AND DATE:** 11:30 a.m., Monday, June 9, 2003.

**PLACE:** Marriner S. Eccles Federal Reserve Board Building, 20th and C Streets, NW., Washington, DC 20551.

**STATUS:** Closed.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:**

1. Personnel actions (appointments, promotions, assignments, reassignments, and salary actions) involving individual Federal Reserve System employees.

2. Any items carried forward from a previously announced meeting.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michelle A. Smith, Assistant to the Board; 202-452-2955.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** You may call 202-452-3206 beginning at approximately 5 p.m. two business days before the meeting for a recorded announcement of bank and bank

holding company applications scheduled for the meeting; or you may contact the Board's Web site at <http://www.federalreserve.gov> for an electronic announcement that not only lists applications, but also indicates procedural and other information about the meeting.

Dated: May 30, 2003.

**Jennifer J. Johnson,**

*Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. 03-14110 Filed 5-30-03; 4:34 pm]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-P

## FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 031 0068]

### Southern Union Co., et al.; Analysis To Aid Public Comment

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed consent agreement.

**SUMMARY:** The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint that accompanies the consent agreement and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before June 27, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments filed in paper form should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159-H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. Comments filed in electronic form should be directed to: [consentagreement@ftc.gov](mailto:consentagreement@ftc.gov), as prescribed in the Supplementary Information section.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dennis Johnson, FTC, Bureau of Competition, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580, (202) 326-2712.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and § 2.34 of the Commission's rules of practice, 16 CFR 2.34, notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the

complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for May 29, 2003), on the World Wide Web, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/2003/05/index.htm>. A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room 130-H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326-2222.

Public comments are invited, and may be filed with the Commission in either paper or electronic form. Comments filed in paper form should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159-H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. If a comment contains nonpublic information, it must be filed in paper form, and the first page of the document must be clearly labeled "confidential." Comments that do not contain any nonpublic information may instead be filed in electronic form (in ASCII format, WordPerfect, or Microsoft Word) as part of or as an attachment to e-mail messages directed to the following e-mail box: [consentagreement@ftc.gov](mailto:consentagreement@ftc.gov). Such comments will be considered by the Commission and will be available for inspection and copying at its principal office in accordance with § 4.9(b)(6)(ii) of the Commission's rules of practice, 16 CFR 4.9(b)(6)(ii).

### Analysis of Proposed Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

#### I. Introduction

The Federal Trade Commission ("Commission" or "FTC") has made public a draft complaint ("Complaint") alleging that the proposed acquisition of Panhandle Eastern Pipeline Company ("Panhandle") from Respondent CMS Energy Corporation ("CMS") by Respondent Southern Union Company ("Southern Union" or "SU") would violate section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 18, and section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 45, and has entered into an agreement containing consent order ("Agreement Containing Consent Order") pursuant to which Respondents agree to be bound by a proposed consent order ("Proposed Consent Order") that remedies the likely anticompetitive effects arising from the proposed acquisition, as alleged in the Complaint.

#### II. Description of the Parties and the Transaction

Southern Union, headquartered in Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania, is engaged either directly or through affiliates in the distribution and sale of natural gas to residential, commercial and

industrial customers located in certain states, including Missouri, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island and Massachusetts. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002, SU reported sales of nearly \$1.3 billion and assets of approximately \$2.67 billion.

Pursuant to an agreement executed November 20, 2002, which continued until the agreement was terminated on May 12, 2003, Respondent SU's subsidiary, Energy Worx, Inc. ("Energy Worx"), served as the operator and manager of the Central pipeline. The Central pipeline, which transports natural gas to customers in certain Midwestern states, including Kansas and Missouri, is owned by American International Group, Inc. ("AIG") through its affiliate Southern Star Central Corp. ("Southern Star").

CMS, headquartered in Dearborn, Michigan, is engaged either directly or through affiliates in the business of oil and gas exploration, natural gas transportation, liquefied natural gas services, independent power production, gas and electricity distribution, and marketing and management services. Panhandle, a subsidiary of CMS, owns and operates the Panhandle pipeline, which transports natural gas to customers in certain Midwestern states, including Kansas and Missouri.

Pursuant to an agreement dated December 21, 2002, and a letter of understanding dated December 20, 2002, Southern Union and affiliates of AIG agreed to acquire all of the capital stock of Panhandle from CMS. The agreement provided that Southern Union would own approximately 77.9%, and affiliates of AIG would own approximately 22.1%, of the equity interest in Panhandle. On May 12, 2003, in order to resolve competitive issues arising from this transaction, Southern Union, Southern Union Panhandle Corp., and CMS Gas Transmission Company entered into an amended and restated stock purchase agreement pursuant to which Southern Union Panhandle Corp., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Southern Union, intends to purchase all of the capital stock of Panhandle from CMS Gas Transmission Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of CMS. AIG is not a party to the revised transaction and will have no ownership interest in Panhandle. The total value of the transaction is approximately \$1.8 billion.

### III. The Complaint

The Complaint alleges that the acquisition of Panhandle from Respondent CMS by Respondent SU would violate section 7 of the Clayton

Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 18, and section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 45, by substantially lessening competition in the transportation of natural gas by pipeline into the Kansas City area. To remedy the alleged anticompetitive effects of the merger, the Proposed Order requires Respondent Southern Union, prior to the proposed acquisition, to terminate the Management Services Agreement with AIG for the management of the Central pipeline. The proposed order also prohibits Southern Union from acquiring an equity position in AIG or the Central Pipeline. In addition, the Proposed Order prohibits Respondents Southern Union and CMS from transferring or otherwise providing any ownership interest in the Panhandle pipeline to AIG.

The Complaint alleges that a relevant line of commerce, or product market, in which to analyze the effects of the proposed acquisition is the transportation of natural gas by pipeline. The only way to economically transport commercial quantities of natural gas over significant distances is through large diameter, high pressure pipelines. Transportation of natural gas by other methods would be unsafe, prohibitively expensive, and otherwise not viable. Buyers of natural gas transportation services could not and would not switch to other means of transportation, or to alternative fuels, if the cost of pipeline transportation of natural gas were to increase by 5% to 10%.

The Complaint further alleges that the proposed transaction would lessen competition in a geographic market in the Kansas City area, consisting of Cass, Henry, Jackson, Johnson, Lafayette, Pettis and Saline Counties in Missouri, and Anderson, Butler, Chase, Coffey, Franklin, Johnson, Lyon, Marion, Miami and Osage Counties in Kansas. Buyers of natural gas in this geographic market can receive natural gas only from pipelines that travel through or terminate in that geographic market, and cannot economically access natural gas pipelines outside that area.

The only pipelines that transport natural gas to the relevant geographic market are the Panhandle pipeline, the Central pipeline, and two smaller pipelines that service only part of the western portion of the relevant geographic market. These other two pipelines could not act as a pricing constraint on Central or Panhandle because of operational limitations, capacity constraints, and distance limitations. As a result, for many buyers of natural gas transportation services in

the relevant geographic market, Central and Panhandle are the only viable alternatives.

Pursuant to a Management Services Agreement with an affiliate of AIG, Southern Union's subsidiary, Energy Worx, served as the operator and manager of the Central pipeline from November 20, 2002, until the parties to that Management Services Agreement terminated it on May 12, 2003, in order to resolve competitive issues arising from this transaction. The Central pipeline transports a significant portion of the natural gas delivered to the relevant geographic market. Pursuant to the Management Services Agreement, Southern Union had effective control over the business of the Central pipeline, access to confidential competitive information about the Central pipeline, and a financial interest in the Central pipeline. The Management Services Agreement also contemplated that Southern Union would have an equity position in the Central pipeline.

The market for the pipeline transportation of natural gas to the relevant geographic market is highly concentrated and would become significantly more concentrated as a result of the proposed acquisition. As originally proposed, common ownership interest and/or common management and control would exist between the only two alternatives for the transportation of natural gas for many buyers in the relevant geographic market.

Entry into the relevant line of commerce in the relevant section of the country is difficult and would not be timely, likely or sufficient to prevent anticompetitive effects that are likely to result from the proposed acquisition. Building a new pipeline is capital intensive, would involve significant sunk costs, is subject to significant regulatory constraints, and would require more than two years to accomplish. As a result, new entry would not be able to prevent a 5-10% increase in the price of pipeline transportation of natural gas.

The Complaint charges that the proposed acquisition, absent relief, is likely to substantially lessen competition and lead to higher prices for the transportation of natural gas by pipeline to the Kansas City area, by eliminating direct competition between the Panhandle pipeline and the Central pipeline; by placing the Panhandle pipeline and the Central pipeline under common ownership and/or common management and control; by increasing the likelihood that unilateral market power would be exercised in the

relevant geographic market; and by increasing the likelihood of, or facilitating, collusion or coordinated interaction in the relevant geographic market.

#### *IV. Resolution of the Competitive Concerns*

The Commission has provisionally entered into an Agreement Containing Consent Order with Respondents Southern Union and CMS in settlement of the Complaint. The Agreement Containing Consent Order contemplates that the Commission would issue the Complaint and enter the Proposed Order to remedy the likely anticompetitive effects arising from the proposed acquisition, as alleged in the Complaint.

The parties have agreed to a proposed consent order that requires Southern Union to terminate the Management Services Agreement with AIG for the management of the Central pipeline by Southern Union's wholly-owned subsidiary, Energy Worx, prior to the proposed acquisition. Southern Union and AIG terminated the Management Services Agreement on May 12, 2003. In addition, the Proposed Order prohibits Southern Union and CMS from transferring any ownership interest in the Panhandle pipeline to AIG. The Proposed Order remedies the anticompetitive effects that are likely to result from common ownership and/or common management of the Panhandle pipeline and the Central pipeline in the relevant geographic market.

Paragraph II of the Proposed Order requires Respondents SU and CMS, prior to the acquisition date, to secure the consent or waiver of AIG for the termination of the Management Services Agreement and to absolutely terminate the Management Services Agreement. The Proposed Order explicitly prohibits Southern Union and CMS from consummating the proposed transaction until the agreement has been terminated. Following the acquisition, Respondent SU shall not, directly or indirectly, operate or manage the Central Pipeline. Additionally, the Proposed Order prohibits Respondent SU from acquiring any ownership interest in AIG or the Central pipeline. This paragraph is designed to ensure that Southern Union will not have an ownership interest in AIG, or any role in managing or operating the Central pipeline.

Paragraph III of the Proposed Order prohibits Respondents Southern Union and CMS from transferring any ownership interest in Southern Union, Panhandle or the Panhandle pipeline to AIG. If either Respondent SU or CMS transfers a non-public ownership

interest in Southern Union, Panhandle, or the Panhandle Pipeline to someone other than AIG, it must transfer such interest subject to a restriction that prohibits the sale of such interest to AIG. Paragraph III is designed to prevent the parties from providing any interest in the Panhandle pipeline to AIG.

Paragraphs IV through VII contain standard reporting, notice and access provisions. Pursuant to Paragraph IV, Respondents are required to submit to the Commission a verified written report of compliance every thirty days until the Order is complied with and annually for nine years after the first year the Order becomes final. Paragraph V of the Proposed Order provides for notification to the Commission in the event of any corporate changes in the Respondents. Paragraph VI requires that Respondents provide the Commission with access to their facilities and employees for the purposes of determining or securing compliance with the Proposed Order. Finally, Paragraph VII terminates the Order ten years from the date it becomes final.

#### *V. Opportunity for Public Comment*

The Proposed Order has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days for receipt of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this thirty day comment period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the Proposed Order and the comments received and will decide whether it should withdraw from the Proposed Order or make final the agreement's Proposed Order.

By accepting the Proposed Order subject to final approval, the Commission anticipates that the competitive problems alleged in the Complaint will be resolved. The purpose of this analysis is to invite public comment on the Proposed Order and to aid the Commission in its determination of whether it should make final the Proposed Order contained in the agreement. This analysis is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the Proposed Order, nor is it intended to modify the terms of the Proposed Order in any way.

By direction of the Commission.

**Donald S. Clark,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 03-14032 Filed 6-3-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6750-01-P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

### **Office of the Secretary**

[Document Identifier: OS-0937-0166]

### **Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, HHS.

In compliance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of the Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services, is publishing the following summary of proposed collections for public comment. Interested persons are invited to send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including any of the following subjects: (1) The necessity and utility of the proposed information collection for the proper performance of the agency's functions; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology to minimize the information collection burden.

*Type of Information Collection Request:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

*Title of Information Collection:* 42 CFR subpart B: Sterilization of Persons in Federally Assisted Family Planning Projects; Form No.: OMB #0937-0166; Use: These regulations and informed consent procedures are associated with Federally funded sterilization services. Selected consent forms are audited during the site visits and program reviews by Federal programs to ensure compliance with the regulations and protection of individual's rights.

*Frequency:* On Occasion.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households, not for profit institutions, and/or State, local or tribal government.

*Number of Respondents:* 50,000.

*Total Annual Responses:* 50,000.

*Total Annual Hours:* 50,000.

To obtain copies of the supporting statement and any related forms for the proposed paperwork collections referenced above, or E-mail your request, including your address, phone number, OS document identifier, to [John.Burke@hhs.gov](mailto:John.Burke@hhs.gov), or call the Reports Clearance Office on (202) 690-8356. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collections must be mailed within 30 days of this notice directly to the OMB desk officer; OMB Human