

Mahindra-British Telecom Limited, Mumbai, India; Persistent Solutions, Jonkoping, Sweden; Tropic Networks Inc., Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; PT ExcelComindo Pratama, Jakarta, Indonesia; CGI Group Inc., Toronto, Ontario, Canada; Internap Network Services, Atlanta, GA; Aircom International, Redhill, Surrey, United Kingdom; Institute for Telecommunications Sciences-US Department of Commerce, Boulder, CO; Netprofits Limited, Englangen, Germany; Exigen Group, St. John, New Brunswick, Canada; St. Paul Venture Capital, Westboro, MA; Telewest Communication PLC, Woking, Surrey, United Kingdom; TCSI Corporation, Alameda, CA; T-Systems International GmbH, Frankfurt, Germany; CINTEL-The Colombian Telecommunications Research Center, Bogota, Colombia; SI-TECH Information Technology Ltd., Beijing, People's Republic of China; New Generations Operations, E. Windsor, NJ; Telexpertise De Mexico, S.A., Saltillo, Mexico; and Photuris, Inc., Piscataway, NJ have been added as parties to this venture.

The following existing members have changed their names: Convergere is now called Datamat S.p.A., Roma, Italy; CNI/NMG is now called Steleus Group, Inc., Limonest, France; Instituto Costarricense De Electricidad is now called ICE, Miami, FL; Omnitel Pronto Italia S.p.A. is now called Vodafone Omnitel S.p.A., Ivrea, Italy; Telecom & Technology is now called JT Venture Partners, Denville, NJ; Agilent is now called Agilent Technologies, Folsom, CA; Blaze Advisor is now called HNC Software, Falls Church, VA; Kapsch AG is now called Kapsch CarrierCom AG, Vienna, Austria; Verdonick, Klooster is now called Verdonick, Klooster & Associates, Zoetermeer, The Netherlands; Amdocs Ltd., is now called Amdocs, Amdocs Management Ltd., London, United Kingdom; Metasolv Software is now called MetaSolv Software, Inc., Plano, TX; Otto-Henning & Company is now called Otto, Henning & Company International Strategy Consultants GmbH, Frankfurt, Germany; PQ Africa is now called Comparex Africa, Gauteng, South Africa; Sigma Systems Group is now called Liberate Technologies, San Carlos, CA; HNC Software is now called Fair, Isaac and Company, Valbonne, France; MITRE Corporation is now called MITRE, Bedford, MA; TeleDanmark A/S is now called TDC, Copenhagen, Denmark; and Telia and Sonera is now called TeliaSonera, Helsinki, Finland.

The following company has reinstated its membership: Compaq Telcom, Sophia Antipolis Cedex, France.

The following members have cancelled or have had their memberships cancelled: Opening Technologies, McLean, VA; Mitsubishi Electric Corporation, Vilaine, France; JetStream Communications, San Jose, CA; Au-Systems, Stockholm, Sweden; Innovance Networks, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; Intech Taiwan Corporation, Hsinchu, Taiwan; Movaz Networks, Norcross, GA; Extreme Networks, Pleasanton, CA; Ryan-Hankin-Kent, Inc., Palo Alto, CA; Etnoteam, Milano, Italy; GE Global Exchange Services, Gaithersburg, MD; Lynx Photonic Networks, Rosh Ha'Ayin, Israel; MDSI-Mobile Dat Solutions Inc., Aurora, CO; Credit Suisse First Boston, Zuerich, Switzerland; High Deal, Redwood Shores, CA; Lumos Technologies, Inc., Santa Monica, CA; US Interactive, Cupertino, CA; Equant, Atlanta, GA; Linmor Technologies, Nepean, Ontario, Canada; Lumos Technologies, Santa Monica, CA; Mahi Networks, Petaluma, CA; Opticom, Carmel, IN; Portal Software, Cupertino, CA; Wavesmith Networks, Acton, MA; Convergingeering, Fair Haven, NJ; and Paul Short Consulting, Huddinge, Sweden.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and the Forum intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On October 21, 1988, the Forum filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on December 8, 1988 (53 FR 49615).]

The last notification was filed with the Department on July 24, 2002. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on September 18, 2002 (67 FR 58825).

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 03-17912 Filed 7-15-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

July 8, 2003.

TIME AND DATE: 10 a.m., Thursday, July 17, 2003.

PLACE: Hearing Room, 9th Floor, 601 New Jersey Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: The Commission will consider and act upon the following in open session: *Secretary of Labor v. Cougar Coal Co. and Leslie B. Combs*, Docket Nos. KENT 2000-133 and KENT 2000-277. (Issues include whether the judge erred in determining that Cougar Coal's violation of 30 CFR 77.501 was not a result of the operator's unwarrantable failure to comply with the regulation; whether the judge erred in determining that Leslie Combs was not liable for the violation of 30 CFR 77.501 under section 110(c) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977; and whether the judge erred in dismissing alleged violations of 30 CFR 50.10 and 50.12 on the basis that no "accident" occurred.)

Any person attending this meeting who requires special accessibility features and/or auxiliary aids, such as sign language interpreters, must inform the Commission in advance of those needs. Subject to 29 CFR 2706.150(a)(3) and 2706.160(d).

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFO: Jean Ellen, (202) 434-9950, (202) 708-9300 for TDD Relay, 1-800-877-8339 for toll free.

Jean H. Ellen,

Chief Docket Clerk.

[FR Doc. 03-18111 Filed 7-14-03; 1:17 pm]

BILLING CODE 6735-01-M

FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

TIME AND DATE: 10 a.m., Thursday, July 24, 2003.

PLACE: Hearing Room, 9th Floor, 601 New Jersey Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: The Commission will consider and act upon the following in open session: *Secretary of Labor v. Western Industrial Insulating, Inc.*, Docket No. WEST 2001-473-RM. (Issues include whether substantial evidence supports the judge's determination that the operator failed to provide safe access to work scaffolding in violation of 30 CFR 56.11001; and whether the judge properly found that the violation was significant and substantial.)

Any person attending this meeting who requires special accessibility features and/or auxiliary aids, such as

sign language interpreters, must inform the Commission in advance of those needs. Subject to 29 CFR 2706.150(a)(3) and 2706.160(d).

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFO: Jean Ellen, (202) 434-9950, (202) 708-9300 for TDD Relay, 1-800-877-8339 for toll free.

Jean H. Ellen,
Chief Docket Clerk.

[FR Doc. 03-18112 Filed 7-14-03; 1:20 pm]

BILLING CODE 6735-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Documents Containing Reporting or Recordkeeping Requirements: Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review

AGENCY: U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

1. *Type of submission, new, revision, or extension:* Revision.

2. *The title of the information collection:* Proposed Rule to Update 10 CFR part 52, "Early Site Permits; Standard Design Certifications; and Combined Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants."

3. *The form number if applicable:* N/A.

4. *How often the collection is required:* One occasion and every 10 to 20 years for applications for renewal.

5. *Who will be required or asked to report:* Designers of commercial nuclear power plants, electric power companies, and any person eligible under the Atomic Energy Act to apply for a construction permit for a nuclear power plant.

6. *An estimate of the number of responses:* 0.

7. *The estimated number of annual respondents:* 0.

8. *An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 3,429 hours, however, no combined license applications are anticipated during the next three years.

9. *An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104-13 applies:* N/A.

10. *Abstract:* The NRC is proposing to reorganize 10 CFR part 52 to establish

a separate subpart for each of the seven licensing processes currently described in 10 CFR part 52 (early site permits, early site reviews, standard design certification, standard design approvals, combined licenses, manufacturing licenses, and duplicate design licenses). The purpose of this reorganization is to clarify that each licensing process has equal standing. In addition, several subparts would be reserved for future licensing processes. No substantive changes are intended by the incorporation of current Appendices M, N, O, and Q into the new subparts in 10 CFR part 52.

The NRC is also proposing to retitle 10 CFR part 52 as "Additional Licensing Processes for Nuclear Power Plants," to clarify that the licensing processes in 10 CFR part 52 are in addition to and supplement the two-step licensing process in 10 CFR part 50 and the license renewal process in 10 CFR part 54, and are not limited to the early site permit, standard design certification, and combined license processes as the current title implies.

Submit, by August 15, 2003, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the submittal may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O-1 F23, Rockville, MD 20852. The proposed rule indicated in "The title of the information collection" is or has been published in the **Federal Register** within several days of the publication date of this **Federal Register** Notice. The OMB clearance package and rule are available at the NRC worldwide Web site: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/Omb/index.html> for 60 days after the signature date of this notice and are also available at the rule forum site, <http://ruleforum.llnl.gov>.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer by August 15, 2003: Bryon Allen, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-0151), NEOB-10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395-3087.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, 301-415-7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 10th day of July 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Brenda Jo. Shelton,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 03-17961 Filed 7-15-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-311]

PSEG Nuclear, LLC Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission or the NRC) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-75 issued to PSEG Nuclear, LLC (PSEG or the licensee) for operation of the Salem Nuclear Generating Station (Salem), Unit No. 2, located in Salem County, New Jersey.

The proposed amendment would revise License Condition 2.C.10, "Fire Protection," to reflect changes to the Salem post-fire Safe Shutdown (SSD) strategy for Fire Areas 2-FA-AB-64B, 2-FA-AB-84C, and 2-FA-AB-84B. The proposed changes were submitted as a result of PSEG's re-analysis of post-fire SSD capability and recent plant modifications implemented in response to resolution of Electrical Raceway Fire Barrier System issues at Salem.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), section 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a