Amendment No. city, state	Amendment approved date	Original approved net PFC revenue	Amended approved net PFC revenue	Original esti- mated charge exp. date	Amemded es- timated charge exp. date
01–02–C–01–HRL Harlingen, TX	05/27/03	\$5,032,330	\$6,025,961	02/01/06	06/01/07
93-01-C-01-LAX Los Angeles, CA	06/02/03	\$116,109,000	\$116,370,846	01/01/96	01/01/96
96-02-U-01-LAX Los Angeles, CA	06/02/03	NA	NA	01/01/96	01/01/96
93-01-I-02-ONT Ontario, CA	06/02/03	33,148,439	27,333,931	12/01/96	12/01/96
95-02-U-01-ONT Ontario, CA	06/02/03	NA	NA	12/01/96	12/01/96
01-02-C-02-SDF Louisville, KY	06/02/03	15,789,940	10,732,140	04/01/18	04/01/17
01-02-C-01-BJI Bemidji, MN	06/10/03	201,952	416,452	10/01/03	08/01/05
01-04-C-01-DAY Dayton, OH	06/10/03	64,544,267	63,946,085	12/01/13	12/01/13
02-05-C-01-MSY New Orleans, LA	06/12/03	148,665,172	135,190,660	04/01/05	07/01/03
97-02-C-02-TYR Tyler, TX	06/13/03	1,166,292	1,046,577	01/01/03	09/01/03
93-01-C-14-ORD Chicago, IL	06/19/03	1,145,473,994	1,155,421,243	12/01/05	04/01/04
95-03-C-06-ORD Chicago, IL	06/19/03	NA	NA	12/01/05	04/01/04
96-05-C-08-ORD Chicago, IL	06/19/03	467,714,130	467,714,130	04/01/08	04/01/08
92-01-C-06-DTW Detroit, MI	06/20/03	1,604,483,000	2,198,215,360	05/01/18	05/01/26
96-02-U-01-DTW Detroit, MI	06/20/03	NA	NA	05/01/18	05/01/26
97-03-C-03-DTW Detroit, MI	06/20/03	54,967,000	54,967,000	10/01/29	10/01/29
96-05-C-01-SMF Sacramento, CA	06/25/03	62,823,190	48,223,407	08/01/06	01/01/06
00-06-C-01-SMF Sacramento, CA	06/25/03	115,700,000	115,700,000	11/01/13	03/01/11

Dated: Issued in Washington, DC on August 1, 2003

#### Barry Molar,

Manager, Airports Financial Assistance Division.

[FR Doc. 03–20411 Filed 8–8–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

Proposed Notification Regarding the Use of Approved Model List (AML) for Avionics Systems and Component Installation Approvals

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** AML Supplemental Type Certificate (STC) Approvals for installation of complex avionics system and components targeted for installation in small airplanes are deemed as significant programs as defined in Order 8100.5 and Order 8110.4 and require coordination with the Small Airplane Directorate until otherwise noted. This includes AML STC approvals made by holders of FAA delegations—Designated Alteration Station (DAS) or Delegation Option Authorization (DOA). Complex avionics projects can sometimes be controversial since they may involve new technology that has either not been previously certified, or for which certification criteria has not been published. The increased coordination is necessary to improve standardization of the AML STC process and develop

better practices for future AML STC policy.

**DATES:** Send comments on or before August 31, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Address comments to the individual assigned under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. Comments may be mailed to: Federal Aviation Administration, Regulations & Policy, ACE–110, Room 301, 901 Locust, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. Also, comments may be sent by electronic mail to wes.ryan@faa.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wes Ryan, Aerospace Engineer, Standards Office (ACE-110), Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone (816) 329-4127, fax (816) 329-4090.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

### **Comments Invited**

We invite your comments on this notice. Send any data or views pertaining to the subject of this notice, as desired. Identify comments with "AML STC Process Comments, ATTN: Wes Ryan". The FAA will consider all comments received on or before the closing date listed above before issuing a final notification.

# **Background Information**

AML STC approvals have ranged from simple in-flight entertainment systems to complex primary flight displays. There has been a wide variation in the complexity of the avionics systems and components being approved for use in small airplanes and in the interpretation of current guidance regarding approval of these systems using the AML process.

In addition, the ACO procedures for adding or removing models to the AML are not standardized. As a result, the coordination of all AML STC projects with the FAA Small Airplane Directorate Standards Office should facilitate standardization of the AML STC process.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on July 21, 2003.

### Michael Gallagher,

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 03–20405 Filed 8–8–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# **Maritime Administration**

[Docket Number: MARAD 2003 15853]

Information Collection Available for Public Comments and Recommendations

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Maritime Administration's (MARAD's) intentions to request extension of approval for three years of a currently approved information collection.

**DATES:** Comments should be submitted on or before October 10, 2003.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Franklin, Maritime Administration, (MAR–610), 400 Seventh St., SW., Washington, DC 20590. Telephone: 202–366–2628, FAX: