

NORTH CAROLINA**Wake County**

Heartsfield—Perry Farm, NC 2224, 0.1 mi. SE of NC 2300, Rolesville, 02001728

OHIO**Seneca County**

National Home, Daughters of America, 652 N. Sandusky St., Tiffin, 02001730

TEXAS**Harris County**

Saturn V Launch Vehicle, Johnson Space Center, Houston, 02001731

UTAH**Box Elder County**

Anderson, Martin, House, (Brigham City MPS) 105 N 300 W, Brigham City, 02001735

Fawson, Alfred and Marie, House, (Brigham City MPS) 66 S 100 W, Brigham City, 02001736

Forsgren, Peter and Anna Christena, House, 59 S 100 E, Brigham City, 02001737

Hoist, Christian and Annie, House, (Brigham City MPS) 495 S 200 E, Brigham City, 02001738

Kane County

Pary Lodge, (Kanab, Utah MPS) 89 E. Center St., Kanab, 02001734

Salt Lake County

Salt Lake City East Side Historic District (Boundary Increase), Roughly bounded 400 South, University St., 900 South, and 700 East, Salt Lake City, 02001739

WISCONSIN**Kenosha County**

Washington Park Clubhouse, 2205 Washington Rd., Kenosha, 02001740

Due to procedural error this nomination is being reprinted for comment:

NEW YORK**Tioga County**

Halsey Valley Grand Army of Republic (GAR) Meeting Hall, Hamilton Valley Rd., Spencer vicinity, 02001646

[FR Doc. 03-587 Filed 1-10-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-484]

In the Matter of Certain Machine Vision Systems, Parts and Components Thereof and Products Containing Same; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S.

International Trade Commission on December 12, 2002, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Cognex Corporation of Natick, Massachusetts. Letters supplementing the complaint were filed on December 20, 2002, December 23, 2002, and December 31, 2002. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States and the sale within the United States after importation of certain machine vision systems, parts and components thereof and products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1 and 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,301,396, claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,960,125, claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,978,521, or claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,978,080. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, at the conclusion of the investigation, issue a permanent exclusion order and a permanent cease and desist order.

ADDRESSES: The complaint and supplements, except for any confidential information contained therein, are available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>.

The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDISON-LINE) at <http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol/public>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Juan Cockburn, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-2572.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2002).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S.

International Trade Commission, on January 7, 2003, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain machine vision systems, parts or components thereof, or products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1 or 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,301,396, claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,960,125, claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,978,521, or claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,978,080, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served: (a) The complainant is—Cognex Corporation, One Vision Drive, Natick, Massachusetts 01760.

(b) The respondents are the following companies alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Nikon Corporation, Fuji Building, 2-3, Marunouchi 3-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-831, Japan.
Nikon Precision, Inc., 1399 Shoreway Road, Belmont, CA 94002-4107.
Aval Data Corporation, 25-10, Asahi-Machi 1-chome, Machida City, Tokyo 194-0023, Japan.

(c) Juan Cockburn, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436, who shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Delbert R. Terrill, Jr. is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received no later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the

complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to that respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter both an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against that respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 8, 2003.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 03-638 Filed 1-10-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Caterpillar Inc.: Structural Health Integrated Electronic Life Determination (“Shield”)

Notice is hereby given that, on November 19, 2002, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Caterpillar has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of a joint venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are Caterpillar Inc., Mossville, IL; Motorola, Inc., Schaumburg, IL; and Native American Technologies Company, Golden, CO. The nature and objectives of the venture are to develop and demonstrate an on-board, electronic, real time structural health monitoring system for metals. The activities of this Joint Venture project will be partially funded by an award from the Advanced Technology Program, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Department of Commerce.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 03-627 Filed 1-10-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—FreedomCAR Hydrogen Storage and Vehicle Interface Technical Team

Notice is hereby given that, on December 3, 2002, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), FreedomCAR Hydrogen Storage and Vehicle Interface Technical Team has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are General Motors Corporation, Detroit, MI; DaimlerChrysler Corporation, Auburn Hills, MI; and Ford Motor Company, Dearborn, MI. The nature and objectives of the venture are to conduct joint research necessary to develop and demonstrate commercially viable technology for storage of hydrogen on board vehicles and the various interfaces between the hydrogen storage unit and other components of the vehicle. The research will support FreedomCAR, a joint effort of the Federal government and the U.S. auto industry to develop affordable, hydrogen-powered vehicles. To accomplish this objective, the parties, working in conjunction with government entities, universities and suppliers, will conduct workshops, experiments and other acts allowed by the National Cooperative Research and Production Act that would advance those goals.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 03-624 Filed 1-10-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—The Hop Breeding Company, LLC

Notice is hereby given that, on December 3, 2002, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), The Hop Breeding Company, LLC has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are John I. Haas, Inc., Washington, DC; and Yakima Chief Ranches, LLC, Sunnyside, WA. The nature and objectives of the venture are to develop pest-resistant and disease-resistant hop varieties with strong commercial qualities.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 03-628 Filed 1-10-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—IMS Global Learning Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on December 11, 2002, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), IMS Global Learning Consortium, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Act Consultants, Ltd., Sheffield, United Kingdom has been added as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project.