

\$495 million dollars.<sup>1</sup> Trade in this market is directly linked to ports of embarkation, along with the associated export inspection facilities. This rule will designate Portland International Airport as a port of embarkation from which animals can be exported from the United States and B Bar C Ranch and Pony World Farm as export inspection facilities. These inspection facilities meet the standards described in the regulations, which range from the use of prescribed building materials to procedures for cleaning and disinfecting the facility.

In 2000–2001, there were approximately 21,000 livestock operations in Oregon<sup>2</sup> and production of all livestock products in the State for that year was valued at \$786.1 million.<sup>3</sup> Of the 21,000 livestock operations, more than 90 percent are classified as small businesses.<sup>4</sup> Consequently, this analysis of the economic effects of adding a port of embarkation, along with the associated inspection facilities, is also sufficient for analyzing the small entity impact.

#### Impact on Small Entities

In 2000–2001, the total earnings in Oregon from exports of live animals and meat were more than \$8.9 million.<sup>5</sup> Currently, the State of Oregon does not have a designated port of embarkation or approved export inspection facilities. As such, livestock owners wishing to export their animals have to first transport the cargo to one of the nearest port and inspection facilities, located in California and Washington. The cost savings resulting from decreased transportation cost will result in a monetary benefit for exporters of livestock, primarily through reduced fuel charges. Of course, the more a particular livestock owner is involved in the export of live animals, the greater the cost savings will be. However, it is clear that in terms of per animal value, the reduced cost of fuel to transport animals to ports of embarkation would not likely result in significant savings.

Under these circumstances, the Administrator of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service has determined that this action will not

have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### Executive Order 12372

This program/activity is listed in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance under No. 10.025 and is subject to Executive Order 12372, which requires intergovernmental consultation with State and local officials. (See 7 CFR part 3015, subpart V.)

#### Executive Order 12988

This rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. This rule: (1) Preempts all State and local laws and regulations that are inconsistent with this rule;

(2) has no retroactive effect; and (3) does not require administrative proceedings before parties may file suit in court challenging this rule.

#### Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule contains no new information collection or recordkeeping requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

#### List of Subjects in 9 CFR Part 91

Animal diseases, Animal welfare, Exports, Livestock, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Transportation.

Accordingly, 9 CFR part 91 is amended as follows:

#### PART 91—INSPECTION AND HANDLING OF LIVESTOCK FOR EXPORTATION

■ 1. The authority citation for part 91 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 8301–8317; 19 U.S.C. 1644a(c); 21 U.S.C. 136, 136a, and 618; 46 U.S.C. 3901 and 3902; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.4.

■ 2. In § 91.14, paragraphs (a)(14) through (a)(18) are redesignated as paragraphs (a)(15) through (a)(19), respectively, and a new paragraph (a)(14) is added to read as follows:

#### § 91.14 Ports of embarkation and export inspection facilities.

(a) \* \* \*

(14) Oregon.

(i) Portland International Airport.

(A) B Bar C Ranch, 6460 Highway 219, Gervais, OR 97026, (503) 981–1600.

(B) Pony World Farm, 13630 NW., Springville Lane, Portland, OR 97229, (503) 330–8300.

(ii) [Reserved]

\* \* \* \* \*

Done in Washington, DC, this 13th day of May 2003.

**Peter Fernandez,**

*Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.*

[FR Doc. 03–12389 Filed 5–16–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 25

[Docket No. NM253, Special Conditions No. 25–235–SC]

#### Special Conditions: Raytheon Aircraft Company Model HS 125 Series 700A and 700B Airplanes; High Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF)

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) DOT.

**ACTION:** Final special conditions; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** These special conditions are issued for the Raytheon Aircraft Company Model HS 125 Series 700A and 700B airplanes modified by Raytheon Aircraft Services, Inc. These modified airplanes will have novel and unusual design features when compared to the state of technology envisioned in the airworthiness standards for transport category airplanes. The applicable airworthiness regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for the protection of these systems from the effects of high-intensity radiated fields (HIRF). These special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that provided by the existing airworthiness standards.

**DATES:** The effective date of these special conditions is April 18, 2002. Comments must be received on or before July 3, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on these special conditions may be mailed in duplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Transport Airplane Directorate, Attn: Rules Docket (ANM–113), Docket No. NM253, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington, 98055–4056; or delivered in duplicate to the Transport Airplane Directorate at the above address. All comments must be marked: Docket No. NM253.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Connie Beane, FAA, Standardization Branch, ANM–113, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, 1601 Lind Avenue SW.,

<sup>1</sup> World Trade Atlas, based on Bureau of Census data.

<sup>2</sup> USDA, *Agricultural Statistics 2002*. Washington, DC: National Agricultural Statistics Service, 2002.

<sup>3</sup> OASS, *Oregon Livestock, Dairy, and Poultry 2000*. Portland, OR: Oregon Agricultural Statistics Service 2000–2001.

<sup>4</sup> A small livestock operation is one having \$750,000 or less in annual receipts. Table of Size Standards based on NAICS 2002. Washington, DC: U.S. Small Business Administration, 2002.

<sup>5</sup> USDA, *U.S. Agricultural Exports*. Washington, DC: Foreign Agricultural Service, 2002.

Renton, Washington, 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2796; facsimile (425) 227-1149.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Comments Invited**

The FAA has determined that notice and opportunity for prior public comment is impracticable because these procedures would significantly delay certification of the airplane and thus delivery of the affected aircraft. In addition, the substance of these special conditions has been subject to the public comment process in several prior instances with no substantive comments received. The FAA therefore finds that good cause exists for making these special conditions effective upon issuance; however, the FAA invites interested persons to participate in this rulemaking by submitting written comments, data, or views. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the special conditions, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data. We ask that you send us two copies of written comments.

We will file in the docket all comments we receive, as well as a report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerning these special conditions. The docket is available for public inspection before and after the comment closing date. If you wish to review the docket in person, go to the address in the **ADDRESSES** section of this preamble between 7:30 a.m., and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

We will consider all comments we receive on or before the closing date for comments. We will consider comments filed late if it is possible to do so without incurring expense or delay. We may change these special conditions based on the comments received.

If you want the FAA to acknowledge receipt of your comments on these special conditions, include with your comments a pre-addressed, stamped postcard on which the docket number appears. We will stamp the date on the postcard and mail it back to you.

**Background**

On December 31, 2002, Raytheon Aircraft Services Inc., applied to the FAA, Fort Worth Special Certification Office, for a supplemental type certificate (STC) to modify certain Raytheon Aircraft Company Model HS 125 Series 700A and 700B airplanes. These airplanes are two flightcrew, two-engine airplanes, each with a maximum takeoff weight of up to 25,500 lbs. The proposed modification incorporates the installation of an Electronic Flight

Instrument System (EFIS). The equipment originally installed in these airplanes presented the required information in the form of analog displays. The information presented is flight critical. The EFIS as a digital system is vulnerable to HIRF external to the airplane. The avionics/electronics and electrical systems installed in this airplane have the potential to be vulnerable to HIRF external to the airplane.

**Type Certification Basis**

Under the provisions of 14 CFR 21.101, Amendment 21-69, effective September 16, 1991, Raytheon Aircraft Services, Inc. must show that the modified Raytheon Aircraft Company Model HS 125 Series 700A and 700B airplanes, as changed, continue to meet the applicable provisions of the regulations incorporated by reference in Type Certificate No. A3EU, or the applicable regulations in effect on the date of application for the change. Subsequent changes have been made to 14 CFR 21.101 as part of Amendment 21-77, but those changes do not become effective until June 10, 2003. The regulations incorporated by reference in the type certificate are commonly referred to as the "original type certification basis."

The regulations incorporated by reference in Type Certificate No. A3EU include Part 10 of the Civil Air Regulations (CAR). This certification is equivalent to CAR 4b dated December 1953, as amended by Amendment 4b-1 through Amendment 4b-11, exclusive of CAR 4b 350(e), and includes Special Regulation SR 422B. In addition, the certification basis includes certain later amendments to 14 CFR part 25 that are not relevant to these special conditions.

If the Administrator finds that the applicable airworthiness regulations (*i.e.*, part 25, as amended) do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for the modified Raytheon Aircraft Company Model HS 125 Series 700A and 700B airplanes modified by Raytheon Aircraft Services Inc. because of a novel or unusual design feature, special conditions are prescribed under the provisions of § 21.16.

In addition to the applicable airworthiness regulations and special conditions, the Model HS 125 Series 700A and 700B airplanes must comply with the fuel vent and exhaust emission requirements of 14 CFR part 34 and the noise certification requirements of 14 CFR part 36.

Special conditions, as defined in 14 CFR 11.19, are issued in accordance with § 11.38 and become part of the type certification basis in accordance with

§ 21.101(b)(2), Amendment 21-69, effective September 16, 1991.

Special conditions are initially applicable to the model for which they are issued. Should Raytheon Aircraft Services Inc. apply at a later date for a supplemental type certificate to modify any other model included on the same type certificate to incorporate the same novel or unusual design feature, these special conditions would also apply to the other model under the provisions of § 21.101(a)(1), Amendment 21-69, effective September 16, 1991.

**Novel or Unusual Design Features**

The modified Raytheon Aircraft Company Model HS 125 Series 700A and 700B airplanes will incorporate brand new avionics/electronics and electrical systems that will perform critical functions. These systems may be vulnerable to HIRF external to the airplane.

**Discussion**

There is no specific regulation that addresses protection requirements for electrical and electronic systems from HIRF. Increased power levels from ground-based radio transmitters and the growing use of sensitive avionics/electronics and electrical systems to command and control airplanes have made it necessary to provide adequate protection.

To ensure that a level of safety is achieved equivalent to that intended by the regulations incorporated by reference, special conditions are needed for the Raytheon Aircraft Company Model HS 125 Series 700A and 700B airplanes. These special conditions require that new avionics/electronics and electrical systems that perform critical functions be designed and installed to preclude component damage and interruption of function due to both the direct and indirect effects of HIRF.

**High-Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF)**

With the trend toward increased power levels from ground-based transmitters, and the advent of space and satellite communications coupled with electronic command and control of the airplane, the immunity of critical digital avionics/electronics and electrical systems to HIRF must be established.

It is not possible to precisely define the HIRF to which the airplane will be exposed in service. There is also uncertainty concerning the effectiveness of airframe shielding for HIRF. Furthermore, coupling of electromagnetic energy to cockpit-installed equipment through the cockpit

window apertures is undefined. Based on surveys and analysis of existing HIRF emitters, an adequate level of protection exists when compliance with the HIRF protection special condition is shown with either paragraph 1 or 2 below:

1. A minimum threat of 100 volts rms (root-mean-square) per meter electric field strength from 10 KHz to 18 GHz.

a. The threat must be applied to the system elements and their associated wiring harnesses without the benefit of airframe shielding.

b. Demonstration of this level of protection is established through system tests and analysis.

2. A threat external to the airframe of the field strengths identified in the following table for the frequency ranges indicated. Both peak and average field strength components from the table are to be demonstrated.

Frequency	Field strength (volts per meter)	
	Peak	Average
10 kHz–100 kHz .....	50	50
100 kHz–500 kHz .....	50	50
500 kHz–2 MHz .....	50	50
2 MHz–30 MHz .....	100	100
30 MHz–70 MHz .....	50	50
70 MHz–100 MHz .....	50	50
100 MHz–200 MHz .....	100	100
200 MHz–400 MHz .....	100	100
400 MHz–700 MHz .....	700	50
700 MHz–1 GHz .....	700	100
1 GHz–2GHz .....	2000	200
2 GHz–4 GHz .....	3000	200
4 GHz–6 GHz .....	3000	200
6 GHz–8 GHz .....	1000	200
8 GHz–12 GHz .....	3000	300
12 GHz–18 GHz .....	2000	200
18 GHz–40 GHz .....	600	200

The field strengths are expressed in terms of peak of the root-mean-square (rms) over the complete modulation period.

The threat levels identified above are the result of an FAA review of existing studies on the subject of HIRF, in light of the ongoing work of the Electromagnetic Effects Harmonization Working Group of the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee.

#### Applicability

As discussed above, these special conditions are applicable to Raytheon Aircraft Company Model HS 125 Series 700A and 700B airplanes. Should Raytheon Aircraft Services Inc. apply at a later date for a change to the type certificate to include another model incorporating the same novel or unusual design feature, these special conditions would apply to that model as well as under the provisions of 14 CFR 21.101(a)(1), Amendment 21–60, effective September 16, 1991.

#### Conclusion

This action affects only certain novel and unusual design features on the Raytheon Aircraft Company Model HS 125 Series 700A and 700B airplanes. It is not a rule of general applicability and affects only the applicant who applied to the FAA for approval of these features on the airplane.

The substance of the special conditions has been subjected to the notice and comment procedure in several prior instances and has been derived without substantive change from those previously issued. Because a delay would significantly affect the certification of the airplane, which is imminent, the FAA has determined that prior public notice and comment are unnecessary and impracticable, and good cause exists for adopting these special conditions upon issuance. The FAA is requesting comments to allow interested persons to submit views that may not have been submitted in response to the prior opportunities for comment described above.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 25

Aircraft, Aviation safety, Reporting and record keeping requirements.

The authority citation for these special conditions is as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701, 44702, 44704.

#### The Special Conditions

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the following special conditions are issued as part of the supplemental type certification basis for the modified Raytheon Aircraft Company Model HS 125 Series 700A and 700B airplanes:

1. *Protection from Unwanted Effects of High-Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF).* Each electrical and electronic system that performs critical functions must be designed and installed to ensure that the operation and operational capability of these systems to perform critical functions are not adversely affected when the airplane is exposed to high intensity radiated fields.

2. For the purpose of these special conditions, the following definition applies: *Critical Functions:* Functions whose failure would contribute to or cause a failure condition that would prevent the continued safe flight and landing of the airplane.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 18, 2003.

**Ali Bahrami,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 03–12376 Filed 5–16–03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA–2003–14931; Airspace Docket No. 03–ACE–34]

#### Modification of Class D Airspace; and Modification of Class E Airspace; Kansas City Downtown Airport, MO

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Direct final rule; request for comments; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This action corrects a direct final rule; request for comments that was published in the **Federal Register** on Monday, May 5, 2003, (68 FR 23577) [FR Doc. 03–11030]. It corrects an error in the identified paragraph of the legal description of Class E airspace to be amended at Kansas City Downtown Airport, MO.

**DATES:** This direct final rule is effective on 0901 UTC, September 4, 2003.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before June 10, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brenda Mumper, Air Traffic Division, Airspace Branch, ACE–520A, DOT Regional Headquarters Building, Federal Aviation Administration, 901 Locust, Kansas City, MO 64106; telephone: (816) 329–2524.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### History

Federal Register Document 03–11030, published on Monday, May 5, 2003, (68 FR 23577), modified Class D and Class E airspace areas at Kansas City Downtown Airport, MO. The paragraph of Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9K pertaining to Class E airspace was misidentified.

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the Class E airspace at Kansas City Downtown Airport, MO, as published in the **Federal Register** on May 5, 2003, (68 FR 23577) [FR Doc. 03–11030], is corrected as follows:

#### § 71.1 [Corrected]

■ On page 23578, Column 3, third paragraph from the bottom, change “Paragraph 6002 Class E Airspace