

Signed at Washington, DC, this 24th day of September 2003.

**James J. Jochum,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

[FR Doc. 03-25629 Filed 10-8-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-U

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1303]

#### Approval for Expanded Manufacturing Authority (Addition of Medical Imaging Products, and Expansion of Production of Color Negative Photographic Film and Paper) Within Foreign-Trade Subzone 38C; Fuji Photo Film, Inc.; Greenwood, South Carolina

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

*Whereas*, the South Carolina State Ports Authority, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 38, has applied on behalf of Fuji Photo Film, Inc. (Fuji), to expand the scope of manufacturing authority under zone procedures within Subzone 38C, at the Fuji plant in Greenwood, South Carolina, to include additional finished products (medical imaging products, components, and related products), and to increase the overall level of production authorized under FTZ procedures of color negative photographic paper and film (FTZ Doc. 63-2002; filed 12-17-2002);

*Whereas*, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 79048-79049, 12-27-2002); and,

*Whereas*, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that approval of the application is in the public interest;

*Now, therefore*, the Board hereby approves the request subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 24th day of September, 2003.

**James J. Jochum,**

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

[FR Doc. 03-25630 Filed 10-8-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-U

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-357-812]

#### Honey From Argentina: Rescission of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of rescission of antidumping duty new shipper review.

**SUMMARY:** On February 6, 2003, the Department published the initiation of a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order of honey from Argentina covering the period of May 11, 2001 to November 30, 2002. *See Honey From Argentina: Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 68 FR 6114 (February 6, 2003) (New Shipper Initiation). This review covers one exporter, Nutrin S.A. (Nutrin) of Argentina. For the reasons discussed below, we are rescinding this new shipper review in its entirety.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 9, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Angela Strom or Donna Kinsella at (202) 482-2704 and (202) 482-0194, respectively; AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 8, Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Scope of the Review

The merchandise under review is honey from Argentina. For purposes of this review, the products covered are natural honey, artificial honey containing more than 50 percent natural honey by weight, preparations of natural honey containing more than 50 percent natural honey by weight, and flavored honey. The subject merchandise includes all grades and colors of honey whether in liquid, creamed, comb, cut comb, or chunk form, and whether packaged for retail or in bulk form.

The merchandise under review is currently classifiable under subheadings 0409.00.00, 1702.90.90, and 2106.90.99 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and U.S. Bureau of Customs and Border Protection purposes, the Department's written description of the merchandise under this order is dispositive.

## Background

On February 6, 2003, the Department published the initiation of a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order of honey from Argentina. This review involves one exporter, Nutrin S.A. of Argentina, and covers the period of May 11, 2001 through November 30, 2002. *See New Shipper Initiation*. On July 14, 2003, the Department extended the time limit for the completion of the preliminary results of this new shipper review until November 28, 2003. *See Honey From Argentina: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of New Shipper Review* 68 FR 41557 (July 14, 2003).

On February 19, 2003, the Department issued Sections A through C of the Department's antidumping questionnaire to Nutrin. Nutrin responded on March 14, 2003 and April 7, 2003. Petitioners submitted comments on Nutrin's questionnaire responses on April 4, 2003 and May 2, 2003. On May 23, 2003, the Department issued its first supplemental questionnaire, and Nutrin submitted its supplemental questionnaire response on June 13, 2003. Petitioners again commented on Nutrin's responses on July 1, 2003, and August 4, 2003.

#### Analysis of New Shipper Review

On August 15, 2003, the Department issued a memorandum detailing our analysis of the *bona fides* of Nutrin's U.S. sale and our intent to rescind this review because we preliminarily determined that Nutrin's U.S. sale was not a bona fide transaction based on the totality of the circumstances of the sale. *See Memorandum from Angela Strom through Richard Weible to Barbara E. Tillman: New Shipper Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Honey from Argentina: Intent to Rescind*, dated August 21, 2003 (Nutrin Intent to Rescind Memo). In this memorandum, the Department preliminarily determined that the single U.S. sale made by Nutrin was not *bona fide* due to (1) the conflicting information contained in different copies of the sales invoice for Nutrin's U.S. sale; (2) Nutrin's failure to disclose other apparent changes in the terms of the U.S. sale; (3) conflicting information and insufficient documentation regarding the date on which the essential terms of sale and final destination of goods were established; (4) inconsistent invoicing practices regarding the U.S. sale and other like sales; (5) atypical payment terms and (6) highly unusual sales and shipping arrangements. The totality of the facts on the record lead the Department to

conclude that the sale was neither commercially reasonable nor *bona fide*.

### Comments

The Department provided parties an opportunity to comment on the Intent to Rescind Memo dated August 21, 2003. The initial deadline for comments for all parties was August 29, 2003; however, Nutrin requested a seven day extension of time to file its comments. The Department granted the extension and set an extended due date of September 5, 2003. On September 5, 2003, Nutrin requested yet another extension of time; however, the Department denied this additional request given its statutory and regulatory time constraints in completing this review. Nutrin did not submit comments regarding the Department's Intent to Rescind even though it had two weeks to do so. Petitioners submitted comments supporting the Department's position to rescind the new shipper review with respect to Nutrin.

### Rescission of New Shipper Reviews

We received no comments rebutting or in disaccord with the Department's findings in its Intent to Rescind Memo regarding Nutrin. Therefore, for the reasons stated above and pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) and 19 CFR 351.214(f), we are rescinding this new shipper review.

### Notification

The Department will notify the U.S. Bureau of Customs and Border Protection that bonding is no longer permitted to fulfill security requirements for shipments of Argentine honey by Nutrin entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption in the United States on or after the publication of this rescission notice in the **Federal Register**, and that a cash deposit of 30.24 percent ad valorem should be collected for any entries exported by Nutrin.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: October 2, 2003.

**James J. Jochum,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-25627 Filed 10-8-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-001]

#### Potassium Permanganate From the People's Republic of China: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of rescission of the antidumping duty administrative review of potassium permanganate from the People's Republic of China.

**SUMMARY:** In response to requests from the petitioner, Carus Chemical Company (Carus), and a U.S. importer, Groupstars Chemicals, LLC, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on potassium permanganate from the People's Republic of China (PRC) covering the period January 1, 2002 through December 31, 2002. Because Carus withdrew its review request, and Groupstars Chemicals, LLC's review request does not identify the PRC exporter to be reviewed, the Department is rescinding this administrative review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 9, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Conniff or Drew Jackson, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-1009 and (202) 482-4406, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On January 31, 1984, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (49 FR 3897) the antidumping duty order on potassium permanganate from the PRC (the order). On January 2, 2003, the Department issued a notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" of the order on a number of products including potassium permanganate from the PRC. See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 68 FR 80. On January 28, 2003, Groupstars Chemicals, LLC requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the order. On January 31, 2003, Carus requested an administrative review of Groupstars Chemicals Co., Ltd.-Shandong, Groupstars Chemical Co.,

Ltd.-Yunan (a joint venture owned by Groupstars Chemicals, LLC and the Yunan Jianshui County Chemical Industry Factory (JCC)), JCC and the Jianshui Chemical Plant (also translated as Jianshui Chemical Factory and Jianshui General Chemical Plant).

On February 27, 2003, and March 25, 2003, the Department published in the **Federal Register** notices initiating administrative reviews of the requested companies. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 68 FR 9048 and *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 68 FR 14394 (this notice includes companies inadvertently omitted from the February 27, 2003, initiation notice).

On March 17, 2003, the Department issued its antidumping questionnaire to the respondents. Groupstars Chemicals Co., Ltd. (which includes both the Shandong and Yunan operations) (Groupstars) responded to the Department's questionnaire on April 21, 2003 and May 8, 2003. On May 29, 2003, Groupstars submitted a letter to the Department on behalf of JCC (also referred to as Jianshui County Chemical Industry Factory) stating that JCC and the Jianshui General Chemical Plant are the same company, and this company did not have any sales to the United States during the POR. The Department issued a supplemental questionnaire to Groupstars on May 15, 2003. In Groupstars' June 10, 2003, response to the supplemental questionnaire, it stated that Groupstars Chemical Co., Ltd.-Yunan did not have any sales of the subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. See Groupstars' June 10, 2003, supplemental response at 6.

In a letter dated September 11, 2003, Groupstars notified the Department that it will no longer participate in the administrative review. On September 16, 2003, Carus withdrew its request for an administrative review and urged the Department to immediately rescind the administrative review.

The Department is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

#### Rescission of Review

On January 28, 2003, Groupstars Chemicals, LLC submitted a letter to the Department in which it requested "an antidumping administrative review in the above-referenced matter {potassium permanganate from the People's Republic of China;} for the review period covering January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2002." On January 31,