accumulation unit value of the Accounts. Applicants contend, however, that the recapture of the Credit does not violate Rule 22c-1. To effect a recapture of a Credit, the Companies will redeem interests in a Contract at a price determined on the basis of the current accumulation unit value of the subaccounts to which the owner's account value is allocated. The amount recaptured will equal the amount of the Credit paid out of the Companies general account assets. Although the owner will be entitled to retain any investment gain attributable to the Credit, the amount of that gain will be determined on the basis of the current accumulation unit values of the applicable subaccounts. Thus, no dilution will occur upon the recapture of the Credit. Applicants also submit that the second harm that Rule 22c-1 was designed to address, namely speculative trading practices calculated to take advantage of backward pricing, will not occur as a result of the recapture of the Credit. Because neither of the harms that Rule 22c-1 was meant to address is found in the recapture of the Credit, Rule 22c-1 should not apply. However, to avoid any uncertainty as to full compliance with the Act, Applicants request an exemption from the provisions of Rule 22c-1 to the extent deemed necessary to permit them to recapture the Credit under the Contracts and Future Contracts.

#### Conclusion

Applicants submit that their request for an order that applies to the Accounts and any Other Accounts established by the Companies, in connection with the issuance of the Contracts and Future Contracts, is appropriate in the public interest. Applicants state that such an order would promote competitiveness in the variable annuity market by eliminating the need to file redundant exemptive applications, thereby reducing administrative expenses and maximizing the efficient use of Applicants' resources. Applicants state that investors would not receive any benefit or additional protection by requiring Applicants to repeatedly seek exemptive relief that would present no issue under the Act that has not already been addressed in this application. Applicants submit that having Applicants file additional applications would impair Applicants' ability to take advantage of business opportunities as they arise. Further, Applicants state that if Applicants were required repeatedly to seek exemptive relief with respect to the same issues addressed in this application, investors would not receive

any benefit or additional protection thereby.

Applicants submit, based on the grounds summarized above, that their exemptive requests meet the standards set out in section 6(c), namely, that the exemptions requested are necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act, and that, therefore, the Commission should grant the requested order.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

### Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–18189 Filed 7–17–03; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

#### **Sunshine Act Meeting**

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act, Pub. L. 94–409, that the Securities and Exchange Commission will hold the following meetings during the week of July 21, 2003: Closed Meetings will be held on Tuesday, July 22, 2003 at 2 p.m. and Thursday, July 24, 2003 at 3 p.m., and an Open Meeting will be held on Thursday, July 24, 2003 at 2 p.m.

Commissioners, Counsel to the Commissioners, the Secretary to the Commission, and recording secretaries will attend the Closed Meetings. Certain staff members who have an interest in the matters may also be present.

The General Counsel of the Commission, or his designee, has certified that, in his opinion, one or more of the exemptions set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(3), (5), (7), (9)(B) and (10) and 17 CFR 200.402(a)(3), (5), (7), (9)(ii) and (10), permit consideration of the scheduled matters at the Closed Meetings.

The subject matter of the Closed Meeting scheduled for Tuesday, July 22, 2003 will be:

Institution and settlement of administrative proceedings of an enforcement nature:

Institution and settlement of injunctive actions;

Formal order of investigation; and Opinions.

The subject matter of the Open Meeting scheduled for Thursday, July 24, 2003 will be:

1. The Commission will hear oral argument on an appeal by Robert M.

Fuller, a former Chairman of the Board of Directors and Executive Vice-President for Investor Relations of Vista 2000, Inc. ("Vista"), from an administrative law judge's initial decision.

The law judge found that Fuller caused Vista to violate Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, sections 10(b) and 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and Exchange Act Rules 10b–5, 13a–1, and 12b–20. The law judge ordered Fuller to cease and desist from committing or causing any violations or future violations of these provisions.

The Commission will consider the following issues:

a. Whether Fuller caused Vista to commit the alleged violations; and

b. If so, whether the imposition of a cease-and-desist order is appropriate and in the public interest.

The subject matter of the Closed Meeting scheduled for Thursday, July 24, 2003 will be: Post-argument discussion.

At times, changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items. For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted, or postponed, please contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 942–7070.

Dated: July 15, 2003.

#### Jill M. Peterson,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–18395 Filed 7–15–03; 4:48 pm] BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–48172; File No. SR–Amex–2003–34]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Order Granting Approval of Proposed Rule Change by the American Stock Exchange LLC, Relating to Indications, Openings and Re-Openings

July 14, 2003.

On April 23, 2003, the American Stock Exchange LLC ("Amex" or "Exchange"), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to codify and revise the Exchange's policies regarding tape indications and re-openings in stocks that are subject to a trading halt (other than "circuit").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.