conspired and acted in concert with others, known and unknown, to violate the former regulations and Regulations. The goal of the conspiracy was to evade Department of Commerce export licensing requirements relating to night vision scopes and to export night vision scopes from the United States to Japan without the required Department of Commerce licenses. To accomplish the conspiracy, the conspirators, including ABO, took actions in furtherance of the conspiracy, including falsifying invoices and shipping documents and disassembling the night vision scopes before exporting them from the United States to Japan to avoid detection by U.S. authorities, including the Department of Commerce.

2. One Violation of 15 CFR 764.2(a)-Engaging in Prohibited Conduct-Export of Night Vision Scopes Without the Required Licenses. On or about February 28, 1997, ABO exported or caused to be exported items subject to the Regulations, specifically night vision scopes, models Night Ranger 150 and Night Ranger 250; from the United States to Japan without the required Department of Commerce license. An export license was required for the export of the Night Vision scopes, which were classified under ECCN 6A002.c on the Commerce Control List, from the United States to Japan by § 742.6 of the regulations.

BIS and ABO having entered into a Settlement Agreement pursuant to Section 766.18(a) of the regulations whereby they agreed to settle this matter in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth therein, and the terms of the Settlement Agreement having been approved by me;

It is therefore ordered:

First, that a civil penalty of \$20,000 is assessed against ABO, which shall be paid to the U.S. Department of Commerce within 30 days from the date of the entry of this Order. Payment shall be made in the manner specified in the attached instructions.

Second, that, pursuant to the Debt Collection Act of 1982, as amended (21 U.S.C. 3701-3720E (2000)), the civil penalty owed under this Order accrues interest as more fully described in the attached Notice, and, if payment is not made by the due date specified herein, ABO will be assessed, in addition to the full amount of the civil penalty and interest, a penalty charge and an administrative charge, as more fully described in the attached Notice.

Third, that for a period of two years from the date of this Order, ABO (USA) Inc., 2653 NW 20th Street, Miami, FL 33142, its successors or assigns, and when acting for or on behalf of ABO, its

officers, representatives, agents or employees ("denied person") may not, directly or indirectly, participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software, or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as "item") exported or to be exported from the United States to any destination other than Canada, that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations, including, but not limited to:

A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export control document relating to any destination other than Canada;

B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States to any destination other than Canada, that is subject to the regulations, or in any other activity subject to the regulations;

C. Benefiting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States to any destination other than Canada, that is subject to the regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations.

Fourth, that no person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export or reexport other than to Canada, to or on behalf of the denied person any item subject to the regulations;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the denied person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States to any destination other than Canada, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the denied person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the denied person of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States to any destination other than

D. Obtain from the denied person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States to any destination other than Canada; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that

has been or will be exported from the United States to any destination other than Canada, and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the denied person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the denied person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States to any destination other than Canada. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or

Fifth, that after notice and opportunity for comment as provided in § 766.23 of the Regulations, any person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to ABO by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be subject to the provisions of this Order.

Sixth, that this Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the Regulations where the only items involved that are subject to the Regulations are the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.origin technology.

Seventh, that, as authorized by § 766.18(c) of the regulations, the \$20,000 civil penalty set forth above shall be suspended in its entirety for one year fro the date of this Order, and shall thereafter be waived, provided that during the period of suspension, ABO has committed no violation of the Act or any regulation, order or license issued thereunder.

Eighth, that the proposed charging letter, the Settlement Agreement, and this Order shall be made available to the

This Order, which constitutes the final agency action in this matter, is effective immediately.

Entered this 15th day of December 2003. Julie L. Myers,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 03-31411 Filed 12-19-03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DT-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-549-813]

Final Court Decision and Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Canned Pineapple Fruit From Thailand

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Court Decision and Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Canned Pineapple Fruit from Thailand.

SUMMARY: On September 15, 2003, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) affirmed the Department of Commerce's redetermination on remand of the final results of the fifth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on canned pineapple fruit from Thailand. See Maui Pineapple Company, Ltd. v. United States, Slip Op. 03-120 (September 15, 2003), Court No. 01-01017 (Maui Pineapple). Consistent with the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (Federal Circuit) in Timken Co. v. United States, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (Timken), on October 1, 2003, the Department of Commerce (the Department) notified the public that Maui Pineapple and the CIT's earlier opinion in this case were "not in harmony" with the Department's original results. See Notice of Decision of the Court of International Trade: Canned Pineapple Fruit from Thailand, 68 FR 56619 (October 1, 2003) (Notice of Decision). No party has appealed the CIT's decision within the 60-day time period provided and the Department is now issuing these amended final results reflecting the CIT's decision.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 22, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Layton or Charles Riggle, Office 5, Group II, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0371 and (202) 482–0650, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 17, 2001, the Department published a notice of the final results of the fifth administrative review of canned pineapple fruit from Thailand. See Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Rescission of Administrative Review in Part: Canned Pineapple Fruit From Thailand, 66 FR 52744 (October 17, 2001) (Final Results). Maui Pineapple Company, Ltd. filed a lawsuit challenging these results and the CIT issued an Order and Opinion dated April 16, 2003 remanding two issues to the Department. See Maui Pineapple Company, Ltd. v. United States, 264 F.Supp.2d 1244 (CIT 2003) (September

15, 2003). Pursuant to the CIT's April 16, 2003 Order and Opinion, the Department filed its remand results on June 16, 2003. On September 15, 2003, the CIT affirmed the Department's final results of redetermination in Maui Pineapple. On October 1, 2003, the Department published the Notice of CIT Decision consistent with the Federal Circuit decision in Timken. The time period for appealing the CIT's decision has expired and no party has appealed the CIT's affirmation of the Departments final results of redetermination.

Amendment To Final Determinations

Pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, as there is now a final and conclusive court decision, we are now amending the final results of the antidumping duty administrative review to reflect a revised weighted average margin for Dole Food Company, Dole Packaged Foods and Dole Thailand (collectively, Dole). See Final Results. We determine that the following revised weighted-average margin exists for Dole for the period of July 1, 1999 through June 30, 2000:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Margin (percent)
Dole	0.98

Accordingly, the Department will determine and the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) will assess appropriate antidumping duties on the relevant entries of subject merchandise covered by the review period listed above. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the CBP within 15 days of the publication of this notice.

Dated: 15, 2003.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E3–00606 Filed 12–19–03; $8:45~\mathrm{am}$] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-855]

Certain Non-Frozen Apple Juice Concentrate from the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Rescission of the 2001–2002 Administrative Review, and Final Results of the New Shipper Review.

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results and Partial Rescission of the 2001–2002 Administrative Review, and Final Results of the New Shipper Review.

SUMMARY: We have determined that sales of certain non-frozen apple juice concentrate from the People's Republic of China were not made below normal value during the period June 1, 2001, through May 31, 2002. We are also rescinding the review, in part, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3).

Based on our review of comments received and a reexamination of surrogate value data, we have made certain changes in the margin calculations for all of the reviewed companies. The final weighted-average dumping margins for these firms are listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review." Changsha Industrial Products & Minerals Import and Export Co., Ltd. did not respond to the Department's questionnaire and will receive the facts available rate. See "Use of Fact Otherwise Available" section, below. Based on these final results of review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to assess antidumping duties based on the difference between the export price and normal value on all appropriate entries.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 22, 2003. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Audrey Twyman, Stephen Cho, or John Brinkmann, Group 1, Office I, Antidumping/Countervailing Duty Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–3534, (202) 482–3798, and (202)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

482-4126, respectively.

Background

On July 7, 2003, the Department published the preliminary results of this review of certain non-frozen apple juice concentrate (≥AJC'') from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). See Certain Non-Frozen Apple Juice Concentrate From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of 2001–2002 Administrative Review and New Shipper Review, and Partial Rescission of Review, 68 FR 40244 (July 7, 2003) ("Preliminary Results"). The period of review ("POR") is June 1, 2001, through May 31, 2002. This review covers the following producers or exporters (referred to collectively as "the respondents"): Shaanxi Haisheng Fresh Fruit Juice Co., Ltd. ("Haisheng"), SDIC Zhonglu Juice Group Co., Ltd. ("Zhonglu"), Yantai Oriental Juice Co.,