The CSAP GPRA Adult and Youth questionnaires, which have been approved by OMB (OMB No. 0930-0208) for use in all CSAP prevention services programs, will be used to measure ATOD use and risk factors associated with ATOD abuse among program participants and comparison subjects. Scales from the CSAP Core Measures list (OMB No. 0930-0230) and the CSAP National Youth Survey (OMB No. 0930–0178) will be used to measure other important risk and protective factors. The cross-site instrument (containing CSAP GPRA, scales from the CSAP Core Measures list, and scales from the CSAP National Youth Survey) is augmented with additional scales in order to measure other important risk factors such as family conflict and parental stress. Protective factors that serve to guard against ATOD abuse include educational aspirations, school connectedness, and family cohesion. Data will also be gathered from program

reports using a "dosage form" that will document services provided to youth and their adult caregivers.

The evaluation data will be collected through self-report questionnaires administered to program and comparison youth and adults, and to Mentors at the Mentoring Study Sites. Each youth and adult in the intervention and comparison group will complete questionnaires at three different times: (1) Baseline, (2) program exit and (3) 6-month follow up. Each Mentor will complete a background information form at baseline; mentees will complete a questionnaire about their mentor at program exit and at 6month follow up. The dosage form will be completed by Mentors and Family Strengthening program staff on a weekly basis for program youth and adults.

Sample size, respondent burden, and intrusiveness have been minimized to be consistent with the cross-site evaluation objectives. Procedures are

employed to safeguard the privacy and confidentiality of participants. Every effort has been made to coordinate cross-site data collection with local data collection efforts in order to minimize respondent burden. Pilot tests assisted in controlling burden and ensuring the user-relevance of questions.

Evaluation results will have significant implications for the substance abuse prevention field, the allocation of grant funds, and evaluation activities conducted by multiple Federal, state, and local government agencies. Results will be used to develop federal policy in support of SAMHSA/CSAP program initiatives, inform the public of lessons learned and findings, improve existing programs, and promote replication and dissemination of effective prevention strategies.

The following table shows the estimated annualized burden for data collection.

Response type	Number of respondents	Responses/ respondent	Average burden/ response (hrs.)	Total burden hours
*Youth (intervention and comparison)	2,500	3	.50	3,750
*Adult Family Strengthening (intervention and comparison)	1,250	3	.50	1,875
**Adult Mentoring	1,250	3	.667	2,501
Mentor Information Form	121	1	.167	20
Mentee Measure Form	692	2	.250	346
Weekly Dosage Form—Family Strengthening Staff	46	4,000	.083	15,272
Weekly Dosage Form—paid mentors	21	18,900	.083	32,943
Weekly Dosage Form—volunteer mentors	100	200	.083	1,660
Total	5,167			58,367
3-Yr. Average Annual	1,722			19,456

<sup>\*</sup>Average response burden does not include the 20 minutes for completing the CSAP GPRA Tool (OMB No. 0930–0208) or 10 minutes for completing questions that are part of the Core Measures (OMB No. 0930–0230) for the Youth Questionnaires and the Adult Family Strengthening Questionnaires

ening Questionnaire.

\*\*The Adult Mentoring Questionnaire does not include CSAP GPRA Tool.

Written comments and recommendations concerning the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of this notice to: Allison Herron Eydt, Human Resources and Housing Branch, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: January 2, 2003.

### Richard Kopanda,

Executive Officer, SAMHSA.

[FR Doc. 03-489 Filed 1-9-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4162-20-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4809-N-02]

### Federal Property Suitable as Facilities To Assist the Homeless

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This Notice identifies unutilized, underutilized, excess, and surplus Federal property reviewed by HUD for suitability for possible use to assist the homeless.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mark Johnston, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Room 7262, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington,

DC 20410; telephone (202) 708–1234; TTY number for the hearing- and speech-impaired (202) 708–2565, (these telephone numbers are not toll-free), or call the toll-free Title V information line at 1–800–927–7588.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In

accordance with the December 12, 1988 court order in *National Coalition for the Homeless v. Veterans Administration.*No. 88–2503–OG (D.D.C.), HUD publishes a Notice, on a weekly basis, identifying unutilized, underutilized, excess and surplus Federal buildings and real property that HUD has reviewed for suitability for use to assist the homeless. Today's Notice is for the purpose of announcing that no additional properties have been determined suitable or unsuitable this week.

Dated: January 3, 2003.

### Mark R. Johnston,

Deputy Director, Office of Special Needs Assistance Programs.

[FR Doc. 03–358 Filed 1–9–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-29-M

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### **Bureau of Indian Affairs**

Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Jamul Indian Village 101 Acre Fee-to-Trust Transfer and Casino Project, San Diego County, CA

AGENCY: Bureau of Indian Affairs,

Interior. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice advises the public that the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA), in cooperation with the Jamul Indian Village and the National Indian Gaming Commission (NIGC), intends to file a draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency for the proposed approval of a 101-acre fee-to-trust transfer, a gaming management contract and the construction of associated casino and support facilities. Details on the proposed action, location and areas

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section. This notice also announces a public hearing to receive comments on the DEIS

the DEIS are provided in the

of environmental concern addressed in

**DATES:** Written comments on the DEIS must arrive by March 2, 2003. The public hearing will be held on February 6, 2003, from 6 p.m. to 10 p.m., or until the last public comment is received.

ADDRESSES: You may mail or hand carry written comments to Ronald Jaeger, Regional Director, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Pacific Regional Office, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, California 95825–1846. Please include your name, return address and the caption, "DEIS Comments, Jamul Indian Village 101 Acre Fee-to-Trust and Casino Project, San Diego County, California," on the first page of your written comments.

The public hearing will be at the El Cajon Community Center, 195 East Douglas, El Cajon, California 92020. This meeting will be co-hosted by the BIA and Jamul Indian Village.

To obtain a copy of the DEIS, please write or call William Allan, Environmental Protection Specialist, Pacific Region, Bureau of Indian Affairs, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, California 95825–1846, telephone (916) 978–6043. Copies of the DEIS are also available for review at the Jamul Indian

Village Tribal Administration Office, 14191 Highway 94, Jamul, California 91935, and at the following two public libraries: San Diego County Library—El Cajon Branch, 201 East Douglas Avenue, El Cajon, California 92020; and San Diego County Library—Fletcher Hills Branch, 576 Garfield Avenue, El Cajon, California 92020.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William Allan, (916) 978–6043.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Jamul Indian Village is located in eastern San Diego County, California, approximately one mile south of the community of Jamul. The project area is bordered by Melody Road to the north, vacant and residentially developed land to the west, vacant land to the south and State Route 94 to the east. State Route 94 provides direct access to downtown San Diego, approximately 20 miles to the west, where it intersects with Interstate 5.

The Jamul Indian Village proposes that 101 acres of land be taken into trust and that a casino, parking, hotel and other facilities supporting the casino be constructed on the existing Jamul Indian Village site and the 101-acre trust acquisition. The gaming facility will be managed by Lakes Kean Argovitz Resorts-California, LLC, (LKAR–CA) on behalf of the Tribal Government, pursuant to the terms of the management agreement between the Tribal Government and LKAR-CA. The BIA serves as the Lead Agency for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance, with the NIGC, which is responsible for approval of the gaming management contract, acting as a Cooperating Agency.

Alternatives to the proposed project that are considered in the DEIS include: (1) Trust acquisition and casino and hotel construction (the proposed action); (2) trust acquisition, casino and retail development; (3) trust acquisition and retail development; (4) trust acquisition, hotel/casino north of Melody Road; and (5) no action.

Environmental issues addressed in the DEIS include land and water resources, air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, socioeconomic conditions, resource use patterns, public services, environmental justice, growth inducing effects, and cumulative impacts.

#### **Public Comment Solicitation**

Comments, including names and addresses of respondents, will be available for public review at the BIA mailing address shown in the ADDRESSES section, during regular business hours, 9 a.m. to 4 p.m.,

Monday through Friday, except holidays. Individual respondents may request confidentiality. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address from public review or from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, vou must state this prominently at the beginning of your written comment. Such requests will be honored to the extent allowed by the law. We will not, however, consider anonymous comments. All submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, will be made available for public inspection in their entirety.

### Authority

This notice is published in accordance with section 1503.1 of the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations (40 CFR part 1500 through1508) implementing the procedural requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4371 et seq.), and the Department of the Interior Manual (516 DM 1–6), and is in the exercise of authority delegated to the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs by 209 DM 8.

Dated: January 2, 2003.

### Neal A. McCaleb,

Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs. [FR Doc. 03–517 Filed 1–9–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-W7-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

# Bureau of Land Management [NV-050-03-1430-ER]

Notice of Intent To Prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for the Flood Control Master Plan, Clark County Regional Flood Control District

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Las Vegas Field Office.

**COOPERATING AGENCY:** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento District.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare a supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) for the Flood Control Master Plan, Clark County Regional Flood Control District (CCRFCD).

SUMMARY: This document provides notice that the BLM intends to prepare an SEIS of the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Flood Control Master Plan, Clark County Regional Flood Control District, approved on June 4, 1991, by record of decision. The project area is located in Clark County,