

Signed at Washington, DC, this 21st day of August 2003.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-22999 Filed 9-9-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,405]

#### **Dorr-Oliver Eimco USA, Inc. Formerly Known as Eimco Processing Company, Salt Lake City, UT; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on January 13, 2003, applicable to workers of the Dorr-Oliver Eimco USA, Inc., Salt Lake City, Utah. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 6, 2003 (68 FR 6212).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers were engaged in the production of liquid/solid separation equipment.

New information shows that Dorr-Oliver Eimco USA, Inc., formerly known as Eimco Process Equipment Company, was formed following a merger in November 2002 between GL&V/Dorr-Oliver and Eimco Process Equipment Company, a Division of Baker Hughes, Incorporated.

Accordingly, the Department is amending this certification to properly reflect this matter.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Dorr-Oliver Eimco USA, Inc. who were adversely affected by a shift in production to Mexico, Canada and India.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-50,405 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Dorr-Oliver Eimco USA, Inc., formerly known as Eimco Process Equipment Company, Salt Lake City, Utah, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after December 20, 2001, through January 13, 2005, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC this 25th day of August 2003.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-23001 Filed 9-9-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,908]

#### **Halliburton Formation Evaluation Machine Shop Including Workers of Jet Research Corporation, Alvarado, Texas; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on March 4, 2003, applicable to workers of Halliburton Formation Evaluation Machine Shop, Alvarado, Texas. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on March 19, 2003 (68 FR 13332).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information shows that worker separations have occurred involving employees of Jet Research Corporation, Alvarado, Texas, employed at Halliburton Formation Evaluation Machine Shop, Alvarado, Texas.

The Jet Research Corporation employees were engaged in the production and support of logging tools for oil drilling at the Alvarado, Texas location of the subject firm.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Jet Research Corporation, Alvarado, Texas working at Halliburton Formation Evaluation Machine Shop, Alvarado, Texas who were adversely affected by increased imports.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to properly reflect this matter.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-50,908 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Halliburton Formation Evaluation Machine Shop, Alvarado, Texas, including workers of Jet Research Corporation, Alvarado, Texas producing logging tools for oil drilling at Halliburton Formation Evaluation Machine Shop, Alvarado, Texas, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 13, 2002, through March 4,

2005, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC this 21st day of August, 2003.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-22998 Filed 9-9-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,588]

#### **Murray Engineering, Inc. Complete Design Service, Flint, MI; Notice of Negative Determination On Remand**

The United States Court of International Trade (USCIT) granted the Secretary of Labor's motion for a voluntary remand for further investigation in *Former Employees of Murray Engineering, Inc. v. U.S. Secretary of Labor*, No. 03-00219.

On February 5, 2003, the Department of Labor (Department) issued a negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) for the workers of Murray Engineering, Inc., Complete Design Service, Flint, Michigan (hereafter referred to as Murray Engineering). The determination was based on the investigation's finding that the workers' firm provided industrial design and engineering services and did not produce an article in accordance with Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974. On February 24, 2003, the Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance for Murray Engineering, Inc., Complete Design Service, Flint, Michigan was published in the **Federal Register** (68 FR 8620).

The initial TAA investigation showed that workers at Murray Engineering supplied design and engineering solutions for general manufacturing industries. Workers of Murray Engineering drafted designs and drawings, which were then sent to customers either copied on to a computer disk or CD-Rom, printed out on paper, or electronically. The investigation also revealed that workers of Murray Engineering did not supply components to either a TAA-certified company or an affiliate of a TAA-certified company.

In a letter dated February 19, 2003, the petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination. The Department