CFR section	Respondent universe (rail- roads)	Total annual responses	Average time per response	Total annual burden hours	Total annual burden cost
213.303—Responsibility for Compliance—High Speed Track; Assignment of Responsibility.	2	1 petition	8 hours	8	304
213.347—Automotive or RR Crossing at Grade—Plans.	1	2 plans	8 hours	16	608
213.353—Turnouts and Crossovers	1	1 guide book	40 hours	40	1,520
213.361—Right of Way—Class 8 & 9—Plan Approv	1	1 plan	40 hous	40	1,520
213.369—Inspection Records	2	500 records	1 minute	8	240
213.369—Inspection Records	2	500 records	1	8	240
—Designation records	2	2 designations		1	38
—Inspection Records of Defects and Remedial Actions.	2	50 records	5 minutes	4	120

Total Responses: 1,635,052. Pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 3507(a) and Total Estimated Total Annual Burden: CFR 1320.5(b), 1320.8(b)(3)(vi), FRA informs all interested parties that it is a second control of the control of the

Type of Request: Extension of a Currently Approved Collection. Title: Special Notice For Repairs. OMB Control Number: 2130-0504. Abstract: The Special Notice For Repairs is issued to notify the carrier in writing of an unsafe condition involving a locomotive, car, or track. The carrier must return the form after repairs have been made. The collection of information is used by State and Federal inspectors to remove freight car or locomotives until they can be restored to a serviceable condition. It is also used by State and Federal inspectors to reduce the maximum authorized speed on a section of track until repairs can be

Form Number(s): FRA F 6180.8; FRA F 6180.8a.

Affected Public: Businesses.
Respondent Universe: 685 railroads.
Frequency of Submission: On

Total Responses: 58.

made.

Total Estimated Annual Burden: 7 hours.

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Title: Designation of Qualified Persons.

OMB Control Number: 2130–0511. Abstract: The collection of information is used to prevent the unsafe movement of defective freight cars. Railroads are required to inspect freight cars for compliance and to determine restrictions on the movements of defective cars.

Form Number(s): None. Affected Public: Businesses. Respondent Universe: 685 railroads. Frequency of Submission: On occasion.

Total Estimated Annual Burden: 40 hours.

Total Responses: 1,200. Type of Request: Extension of a Currently Approved Collection. Pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 3507(a) and 5 CFR 1320.5(b), 1320.8(b)(3)(vi), FRA informs all interested parties that it may not conduct or sponsor, and a respondent is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Authority: 44 U.S.C. 3501-3520.

Issued in Washington, DC on December 5, 2003.

#### Maryann Johnson,

Acting Director, Office of Information Technology and Support Systems, Federal Railroad Administration.

[FR Doc. 03–30652 Filed 12–10–03; 8:45 am]  $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 4910–06–P$ 

### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Railroad Administration

# Petition for Waiver of Compliance

In accordance with Part 211 of Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), notice is hereby given that the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) received a request for a waiver of compliance with certain requirements of its safety standards. The individual petition is described below, including the party seeking relief, the regulatory provisions involved, the nature of the relief being requested, and the petitioner's arguments in favor of relief.

#### Canadian Pacific Railway

[Waiver Petition Docket Number FRA-2003-16439]

The Canadian Pacific Railway
Company (CPR) seeks a waiver of
compliance from certain provisions of
the Railroad Locomotive Safety
Standards, 49 CFR Part 229, on behalf
of themselves, their U.S. subsidiaries,
the Delaware & Hudson and the Soo
Line Railroads, and the New York Air
Brake Corporation (NYAB). Specifically,
CPR requests relief from the

requirements of 49 CFR 229.27(a)(2) Annual Tests and 49 CFR 229.29(a) Biennial Tests, in order to evaluate extending the required periodic maintenance time intervals for NYAB generation II Computer Controlled Brake (CCB) equipment.

CPR currently owns and operates 213 GE AC4400 locomotives built between December 1998 and September 2003, that are equipped with CCB II brake equipment. In August 2003, CPR, Transport Canada, and NYAB jointly performed a 5-year COT&S with a detailed tear-down inspection of the CCB II brake equipment from two randomly selected locomotives. According to CPR, all of the parties agreed that continued testing of extended COT&S intervals on a year-toyear basis was warranted, based on the encouraging results of the tests and inspections.

CPR has proposed evaluating the extended COT&S intervals according to a test plan that NYAB developed for CPR and Transport Canada. The test plan has assigned locomotives into tests groups based on the scheduled periodic maintenance cycles. Candidate locomotives for test tear-downs would only include those units which have not had a prior COT&S and which have had the least amount of air brake maintenance activity since entering service.

Approval of this waiver will permit the continued operation of the test locomotives in the United States, as the COT&S time intervals are extended beyond the five-year requirement. Also, it will further add to the industry's knowledge of the reliability of the CCB technology, building on a similar waiver (FRA–1999–6252) which was granted to CSXT on in September 1, 2000. It is CPR's intention that FRA would join Transport Canada and NYAB in evaluating the extended COT&S intervals for their CCB equipped locomotives, if this waiver is approved.

Interested parties are invited to participate in these proceedings by submitting written views, data, or comments. FRA does not anticipate scheduling a public hearing in connection with these proceedings since the facts do not appear to warrant a hearing. If any interested party desires an opportunity for oral comment, they should notify FRA, in writing, before the end of the comment period and specify the basis for their request.

All communications concerning these proceedings should identify the appropriate docket number (e.g., Waiver Petition Docket Number FRA-2003-16429) and must be submitted to the Docket Clerk, DOT Docket Management Facility, Room PL-401 (Plaza Level), 400 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Communications received within 45 days of the date of this notice will be considered by FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after that date will be considered as far as practicable. All written communications concerning these proceedings are available for examination during regular business hours (9 a.m.-5 p.m.) at the above facility. All documents in the public docket are also available for inspection and copying on the Internet at the docket facility's Web site at http://dms.dot.gov.

Ånyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477–78). The Statement may also be found at <a href="http://dms.dot.gov">http://dms.dot.gov</a>.

Issued in Washington, DC on December 5, 2003.

### Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development.

[FR Doc. 03–30649 Filed 12–10–03; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## **Federal Railroad Administration**

## **Petition for Waiver of Compliance**

In accordance with part 211 of title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), notice is hereby given that the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) received a request for a waiver of compliance with certain requirements of its safety standards. The individual petition is

described below, including the party seeking relief, the regulatory provisions involved, the nature of the relief being requested, and the petitioner's arguments in favor of relief.

#### **Union Pacific Railroad Company**

[Waiver Petition Docket Number FRA-2003-16306]

The Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) seeks a waiver of compliance from certain provisions of the Railroad Locomotive Safety Standards, 49 CFR part 229. Specifically, UP requests relief from the requirements of 49 CFR 229.27(a)(2) Annual Tests and 49 CFR 229.29(a) Biennial Tests, applicable to all existing and future installations of electronic air brake equipment furnished by Wabtec Corporation of Wilmerding, Pennsylvania on UP locomotives.

Part 229.27(a)(2) requires that, "Brake cylinder relay valve portions, main reservoir safety valves, brake pipe vent valve portions, feed and reducing valve portions in the air brake system (including related dirt collectors and filters) shall be cleaned, repaired, and tested" at intervals that do not exceed 368 calendar days. Part 229.29(a) requires in part that "\* \* \* all valves, valve portions, MU locomotive brake cylinders and electric-pneumatic master controllers in the air brake system (including related dirt collectors and filters) shall be cleaned, repaired, and tested at intervals that do not exceed 736 calendar days." UP requests these provisions be temporarily waived to allow them to conduct a long term test program designed to show that Wabtec's electronic air brake technology has sufficiently improved overall system reliability and safety to a point where it is now possible to move toward a "component repair as required, performance based COT&S criterion" similar in scope to that outlined a previous waiver granted September 1, 2000, to CSX Transportation in Docket FRA-1999-6252. This referenced waiver covers CSXT locomotives utilizing New York Air Brake Corporation's Computer Controlled Brake (CCB) equipment, with the intent of moving to a component repair as required, performance-based COT&S criterion.

In 1985, the time interval for the requirements of § 229.29(a) was extended to 1,104 calendar days for 26L Brake equipment based on proven service reliability with the evolution of improved components. The time interval for Wabtec's EPIC equipment was extended to five years in 1992, per FRA Test Waiver, H–92–3 (since renamed FRA–2002–13397.) Currently,

UP has more than 1700 locomotives equipped with the Wabtec EPIC brake equipment and maintained under the conditions of waiver FRA–2002–13397.

UP believes the "vigilance" capability of Wabtec's electronic equipment is key to this waiver request. This feature employs the controlling computer to constantly monitor the proper functioning of the system as a whole and in real time. If any key operational parameters are found to fall outside of the allowable tolerance established for each, an appropriate action is automatically and immediately initiated to insure safe operation of the equipment. Less critical faults are logged for follow-up maintenance. UP concludes that the combination of real time vigilant monitoring and fault logging enables "EPIC" COT&S intervals to be similarly increased beyond the current five years with no impact on safety.

Therefore, UP proposes to initiate a test program to extend the Wabtec electronic air brake COT&S based on the following assertions: (1) A reduction of pneumatic devices by substitution of computer-based logic; (2) real time fault detection and control of critical faults to a known fail-safe condition made possible by constant "vigilance" of the controlling computer; (3) development of emergency brake cylinder pressure accomplished conventionally by a backup pneumatic control valve, as well as electronically under all conditions; (4) demonstrated performance to date of Wabtec "EPIC" brake system under the current waiver FRA 2002-13397 (formally H-92-3); and (5) supporting test and inspection results documented over the past decade for the "EPIC" equipment as required by the current waiver.

As part of this waiver request, UP recommends that a detailed test plan, necessary for properly tracking and documenting the results, be jointly developed between UP, Wabtec Corporation, and FRA. At the completion of the test program, UP further requests that FRA conduct a formal review of the results relative to the objective of moving toward a "performance-based COT&S" criterion.

Interested parties are invited to participate in these proceedings by submitting written views, data, or comments. FRA does not anticipate scheduling a public hearing in connection with these proceedings since the facts do not appear to warrant a hearing. If any interested party desires an opportunity for oral comment, they should notify FRA, in writing, before the end of the comment period and specify the basis for their request.