[FR Doc. 03–18303 Filed 7–21–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 271

[FRL-7530-8]

Texas: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revisions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Removal of immediate final

rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is removing the immediate final rule, Texas: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revisions, published on April 15, 2003, at 68 FR 18126, which authorized changes to Texas' hazardous waste program under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). EPA stated in the immediate final rule that if EPA received written comments that opposed this authorization during the comment period, EPA would publish a timely notice of withdrawal in the Federal Register. Since EPA did receive comments that opposed this authorization, EPA is removing the immediate final rule. EPA will address these comments in a subsequent final action.

DATES: As of July 22, 2003. EPA removes the immediate final rule published on April 15, 2003, at 68 FR 18126.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alima Patterson, Regional Authorization Coordinator, Grants and Authorization Section (6PD–G), Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division, EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202– 2733, (214) 665–8533.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA's removal of this immediate final rule is based on the Agency receiving written comments that opposed this authorization. The EPA is removing the immediate final rule, Texas: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revisions, published on April 15, 2003, at 68 FR 18126, which authorized changes to Texas' hazardous waste rules. EPA stated in the immediate final rule that if EPA received written comments that opposed this authorization during the comment period, EPA would publish a timely notice of withdrawal in the Federal Register. The immediate final rule became effective June 16, 2003.

However, since EPA received comments that opposed this action, EPA is today removing the immediate final rule. EPA will address the comments received during the comment period in a subsequent final action.

Dated: July 11, 2003.

Richard E. Greene,

Regional Administrator, Region 6. [FR Doc. 03–18293 Filed 7–21–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

46 CFR Part 530

[Docket No. 03-03]

Amendment to Service Contract Regulations

July 17, 2003.

AGENCY: Federal Maritime Commission. **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Maritime Commission is amending its regulations on the electronic filing of service contracts for ocean transportation under the Shipping Act of 1984 ("Shipping Act") (46 U.S.C. app. 1701 et seq.), as amended by the Ocean Shipping Reform Act of 1998 ("OSRA"), to add a provision which permits persons authorized to transmit electronically service contract filings for vesseloperating common carriers, conferences and agreements, to correct, within 48 hours, an original service contract filing or an amendment that is defective due to electronic transmission errors. The revision allows a "corrected transmission" of the original service contract or amendment submission to be designated as such and filed in the Commission's electronic service

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 8, 2003. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Florence A. Carr, Director, Bureau of

contract filing system, SERVCON.

Trade Analysis, Federal Maritime Commission, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Room 940, Washington, DC 20573, 202–523–5796, E-mail:

florence@fmc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Federal Maritime Commission initiated this proceeding by a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("NPR") published in the **Federal Register** on April 2, 2003, 68 FR 15978. The NPR solicited comment on the proposed rule from the public. Three comments were received. Comments were submitted by Distribution-Publications, Inc. ("DPI")

and Pacific Coast Tariff Bureau ("PCTB"), both tariff publishers. Attorney Howard Levy also filed a comment.

All of the comments were generally supportive of the proposed rule. Both tariff publishers endorsed the scope of errors to be corrected under the rule. The comments of DPI specifically noted that the 48-hour window to correct electronic transmission errors in service contract filings is the right amount of time for the correction process. The comments of PCTB also included a suggestion that the SERVCON system should be altered further to reintroduce the ability of a filer to completely withdraw a filed service contract or amendment that contains erroneous matter.

Discussion

Section 8(c) of the Shipping Act of 1984, as amended by the Ocean Shipping Reform Act of 1998 ("OSRA"), 46 U.S.C. app. 1707(c), and the Commission's current service contract regulations, 46 CFR part 530, subpart A, require service contracts between shippers and ocean common carriers in the foreign commerce of the United States to be filed electronically with the Commission on a confidential basis. Only an "authorized person," as defined in 46 CFR 530.3(c), can access the confidential section of the Commission's electronic service contract filing system, SERVCON, available via the Commission's website. Some carriers use individual employees as the authorized person to file their service contracts; however, the majority of carriers authorize third parties to make their service contract filings. The filings may consist of an original service contract or an amendment to an existing service contract.

Current regulations provide for the amendment, correction, and cancellation of service contract filings (46 CFR 530.10). This final rule will provide filers the ability to correct purely electronic "transmission errors" made when filing either the original service contract or an amendment to a service contract into SERVCON, or errors made in the process of converting the service contract filing into electronic format for submission to the SERVCON system.

Under this final rule only errors resulting from electronic transmission and data conversion for SERVCON format may be corrected. Examples of substantive service contract changes that are not permitted under the new 46 CFR 530.10(d) are: Change of rates; deletion of a port or point to be served or a commodity to be carried under the

contract; addition or deletion of a shipper entitled to access the service contract; and modification of the duration or minimum quantity commitment of the contract. This is not an exhaustive list, but instead serves as a specific example for general guidance. These and other similar types of changes should continue to be made as "amendments" under 46 CFR 530.10(b) or, if retroactivity is deemed necessary, by filing a request for permission to correct a clerical or administrative error in the terms of a filed service contract under 46 CFR 530.10(c).

Corrections to an initial filing are allowed within 48 hours from the time and date of receipt recorded in SERVCON (excluding Saturdays, Sundays and legal public holidays). For example, an initial filing received at 5 p.m. on a Friday must be corrected before 5 p.m. the following Tuesday. The SERVCON system currently has and will continue to have the ability to identify such corrected service contract filings. The Bureau of Trade Analysis will monitor the use of the correction process; any abuse of the limited permission in the rule would be considered a violation of the Commission's regulations.

Under this new rule, the SERVCON system will be modified to accept only corrected service contracts that the filer identifies as such and for which the filer provides a description of the changes being made by the correction process. A new field will be added to the online filing system as a checkbox for the filer to identify the submission as a corrected service contract. If the filer fails to use this new checkbox, the contract will be rejected because the SERVCON system will not accept service contracts that have duplicate file names or service contract or amendment numbers. The system also will flag resubmitted contracts and will give a unique internal file name to the corrected transmission for FMC monitoring purposes. A new separate SERVCON field for filers to enter a description of the corrections being made will be provided.

The Commission has determined that it will not add a "withdrawal" function to the final rule as recommended by PCTB. The Commission's previous Automated Tariff Filing and Information ("ATFI") system did have a "withdrawal" function that permitted a filer to withdraw a service contract filing containing erroneous matter on the same date that it was filed and allowed the re-filing of the appropriate copy. That "withdrawal" function was eliminated when the ATFI system was discontinued September 30, 1999. Presumably, filers have been

accomplishing any needed "withdrawals" of service contracts by filing an amendment to cancel an unintentionally filed document, which is permitted under 46 CFR 530.10(b). Therefore, even though the final rule does not permit reuse of the service contract number and file name, or the complete withdrawal of an unintended contract filing as suggested by PCTB, the Commission does not believe it is necessary to add a separate "withdrawal" feature to the SERVCON system. The 48-hour window to correct a transmission error contained in the final rule, 46 CFR 530.10(d), adequately provides an opportunity for filers to address erroneous service contract filings. For example, under the final rule the SERVCON system will accept a correction via the Corrected Transmission ("CT") function to allow an erroneous service contract number, organization number, or document file name on an initial contract filing to be corrected.

List of Subjects in 46 CFR Part 530

Freight, Maritime carriers, Reporting and record keeping requirements.

■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Commission is adding a new paragraph (d) in 46 CFR part 530, subpart B, section 530.10, as follows:

PART 530—SERVICE CONTRACTS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 530 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 553; 46 U.S.C. app. 1704, 1705, 1707, 1716.

■ 2. Section 530.10 is amended by revising the section heading; by redesignating paragraphs (d) and (e) as paragraphs (e) and (f) and by adding a new paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§530.10 Amendment, correction, cancellation, and electronic transmission errors.

(d) Electronic transmission errors. An authorized person who experiences a purely technical electronic transmission error or a data conversion error in transmitting a service contract filing or an amendment thereto is permitted to file a Corrected Transmission ("CT") of that filing within 48 hours of the date and time of receipt recorded in SERVCON (excluding Saturdays, Sundays and legal public holidays). This time-limited permission to correct an initial defective service contract filing is not to be used to make changes in the original service contract rates, terms or conditions that are otherwise provided for in paragraphs 530.10(b) and (c) of this section. The CT tab box

in SERVCON must be checked at the time of resubmitting a previously filed service contract, and a description of the corrections made must be stated at the beginning of the corrected service contract in a comment box. Failure to check the CT box and enter a description of the correction will result in the rejection of a file with the same name, since documents with duplicate file names or service contract and amendment numbers are not accepted by SERVCON.

By the Commission.

Bryant L. VanBrakle,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-18565 Filed 7-21-03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6730-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 69

[CC Docket Nos. 96-262, 94-1, 99-249, 96-45 FCC 03-139]

Access Charge Reform; Price Cap **Performance Review for Local Exchange Carriers: Assessment of Presubscribed Interexchange Carrier Charges of Public Payphones**

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: In this document the Commission modified its rules so that payphone lines are no longer subject to the PICC (Presubscribed Interexchange Carrier Charge). This action is necessary because the Commission determined that eliminating the PICC for payphone lines is more consistent with section 276 of the Act. To ensure compliance with the anti-subsidization and antidiscrimination provisions of section 276 of the Act, the Commission determined that payphone line rates should be set according to the cost-based new services test. Because the multi-line business PICC is a subsidy from multi-line business lines to residential and singleline business lines whose subscriber line charge (SLC) rates are capped by the Commission's rules, the PICC is not cost-based and so it does not comply with the new services test. The intended effect of this action is to exempt payphones lines from the PICC. **DATES:** Effective October 1, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Aaron Goldschmidt, Wireline Competition Bureau, 202-418-1520.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Order on Reconsideration, FCC 03-139 in CC