entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: January 29, 2003.

## Holly A. Kuga,

Senior Office Director, Group II, Office 4, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03–2446 Filed 1–31–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

### Howard Hughes Medical Institute; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5 P.M. in Suite 4100W, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 02–049. Applicant: Howard Hughes Medical Institute at New York University, New York, NY 10003. Instrument: Multisync Clinton Monoray monitor and FE–1 Goggles. Manufacturer: Cambridge Research Systems Ltd., United Kingdom. Intended Use: See notice at 67 FR 77749, December 19, 2002.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides special goggles with rapid response time and a matched CRT display with very fast phosphors to obtain right eve/left eve image extinction values below 0.1% for study of stereopsis. The National Institutes of Health advises in its memorandum of December 10, 2002 that (1) this capability is pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value

to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

### Gerald A. Zerdy,

Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 03–2447 Filed 1–31–03; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P** 

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

# National Institutes of Health— Bethesda, MD; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscope

This is a decision pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5 P.M. in Suite 4100W, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 02–047. Applicant: National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892–8025. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Tecnai 12 TWIN. Manufacturer: FEI Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 67 FR 77749, December 19, 2002. Order Date: September 16, 2002.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as the instrument is intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instrument was ordered. Reasons: The foreign instrument is a conventional transmission electron microscope (CTEM) and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring a CTEM. We know of no CTEM, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of the instrument.

#### Gerald A. Zerdy,

Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 03–2448 Filed 1–31–03; 8:45 am]

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### **International Trade Administration**

North American Free-Trade Agreement, Article 1904; NAFTA Panel Reviews; Request for Panel Review

**AGENCY:** NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade

Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of first request for panel review.

**SUMMARY:** On January 27, 2003, CEMEX, S.A. de C.V. ("CEMEX") filed a first request for panel review with the United States Section of the NAFTA Secretariat pursuant to Article 1904 of the North American Free Trade Agreement. Panel review was requested of the 11th administrative review made by the International Trade Administration, respecting Gray Portland Cement and Clinker from Mexico. This determination was published in the Federal Register (68 FR 1816) on January 14, 2003. The NAFTA Secretariat has assigned Case Number USA-MEX-2003-1904-01 to this request.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Caratina L. Alston, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482–5438.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Chapter** 19 of the North American Free-Trade Agreement ("Agreement") establishes a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a request for panel review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the government of the United States, the government of Canada and the government of Mexico established Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews ("rules"). These rules were published in the Federal Register on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686).

A first request for panel review was filed with the United States Section of the NAFTA Secretariat, pursuant to Article 1904 of the Agreement, on January 27, 2003, requesting panel review of the determination described above.

The rules provide that:

(a) A party or interested person may challenge the final determination in whole or in part by filing a complaint in accordance with rule 39 within 30 days after the filing of the first request for panel review (the deadline for filing a complaint is February 26, 2003);