ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to: Rosymar De La Torre Colón; Regulatory Development Section; Air Planning Branch; Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division; U.S. **Environmental Protection Agency** Region 4; 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. Comments may also be submitted electronically, or through hand delivery/courier. Please follow the detailed instructions described in the direct final rule, SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (sections I.B.1.i. through iii.) which is published in the Rules Section of this Federal Register.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Rosymar De La Torre Colón, Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, Region 4, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. The telephone number is (404) 562–8965. Ms. De La Torre Colón can also be reached via electronic mail at delatorre.rosymar@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, see the direct final rule which is published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: August 28, 2003.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4. [FR Doc. 03–23583 Filed 9–15–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA-271-0412b; FRL-7551-9]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Monterey Bay Unified and San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD) and the Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District (MBUAPCD) portions of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern volatile organic compounds (VOC) emissions from organic solvents, animal reduction, leather processing, and industries coating glass products. We are proposing to rescind and approve local rules that regulate these emission

sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

DATES: Any comments on this proposal must arrive by October 16, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR–4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901 or email to steckel.andrew@epa.gov.

You can inspect copies of the submitted SIP revisions and EPA's technical support documents (TSDs) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted SIP revisions at the following locations: California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 1001 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814. Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District, 24580 Silver Cloud Ct., Monterey, CA 93940–6536. San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, 1990 E. Gettysburg, Fresno, CA 93726.

A copy of the rules may also be available via the Internet at http://www.arb.ca.gov/drdb/drdbltxt.htm. Please be advised that this is not an EPA Web site and may not contain the same version of the rules that were submitted to EPA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cynthia G. Allen, EPA Region IX, (415) 947–4120.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This proposal addresses the following local rules: MBUAPCD Rules 414 and 430 and SJVUAPCD Rules 4610 and 4661. In the Rules and Regulations section of this Federal Register, we are approving these local rules and rule rescissions in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe these SIP revisions are not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. Please note that if we receive adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, we may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action. Dated: August 5, 2003.

Debbie Jordan,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX. [FR Doc. 03–23589 Filed 9–15–03; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 70

[IA 183-1183; FRL-7559-7]

Approval and Promulgation of Operating Permits Program; State of lowa

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve revisions to the Iowa Operating Permits Program for air pollution control submitted to EPA on March 11, 2002, and July 17, 2002. This action proposes approval of numerous rules adopted by the state in 2002. Iowa rule revisions addressed in this action pertain to the deadlines for which an application for a significant modification is due, and Title V insignificant activities, and insignificant emission levels. Approval of these revisions will ensure consistency between the state and federally-approved rules.

DATES: Comments on this proposed action must be received in writing by October 16, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted either by mail or electronically. Written comments should be mailed to Judith Robinson, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101. Electronic comments should be sent either to robinson.judith@epa.gov or to http://www.regulations.gov, which is an alternative method for submitting electronic comments to EPA. To submit comments, please follow the detailed instructions described in "What action is EPA taking" in the SUPPLEMENTARY **INFORMATION** section of the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of the Federal Register.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Judith Robinson at (913) 551–7825, or by e-mail at *robinson.judith@epa.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the final rules section of the Federal Register, EPA is approving the state's operating permits program revisions as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no relevant adverse