CVP-23 from India and the PRC are causing material injury, or threatening to cause material injury, to a U.S. industry. A negative ITC determination for any country will result in the investigation being terminated with respect to that country; otherwise, these investigations will proceed according to statutory and regulatory time limits. This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: December 11, 2003.

James Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E3-00596 Filed 12-18-03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade ADministration

[A-122-822]

Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Canada: Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On September 30, 2003, the Department published the initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on corrosionresistant carbon steel flat products from Canada, covering the period August 1, 2002, through July 31, 2003. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Request for Revocation and Deferral of Administrative Reviews (68 FR 56262) ("Initiation"). This administrative review was initiated on the following exporters: Continuous Color Coat, Ltd. ("CCC"), Dofasco Inc. ("Dofasco"), Ideal Roofing Company, Ltd. ("Ideal Roofing"), Impact Steel Canada, Ltd. ("Impact Steel"), Russel Metals Export ("Russel Metals"), Sorevco and Company, Ltd. ("Sorevco"), and Stelco Inc. ("Stelco"). For the reasons discussed below, we are rescinding the administrative reviews of CCC, Impact Steel, and Ideal Roofing.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 19, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Scott Lindsay or Dana Mermelstein at (202) 482–0780 and (202) 482–1391, respectively; Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VII, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 30, 2003, the Department published the initiation of administrative review of CCC, Dofasco, Ideal Roofing, Impact Steel, Russel Metals, Sorevco, and Stelco, covering the period August 1, 2002, through July 31, 2003. See Initiation. On October 10, 2003, the International Steel Group ("ISG") withdrew its request for an administrative review of CCC. On October 29, 2003, Impact Steel withdrew its own request for an administrative review. Each request was the only request for review of these two companies. On October 10, 2003, Ideal Roofing withdrew its request for an administrative review. On November 18, 2003, United States Steel Corporation ("USSC") also withdrew its request for an administrative review of Ideal Roofing. These were the only requests for review of Ideal Roofing.

Rescission, in Part, of the Administrative Review

Pursuant to the Department's regulations, the Department will rescind an administrative review "if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review." See 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). Since both ISG and Impact Steel submitted timely withdrawals of their requests for review of CCC and Impact Steel, respectively, and since they were the only requesters, the Department is rescinding its antidumping administrative review of these companies, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). Since Ideal Roofing and USSC timely withdrew their request for review, and they were the only requesters for Ideal Roofing, we are rescinding our review of Ideal Roofing. Based on these rescissions, the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on corrosionresistant carbon steel flat products from Canada covering the period August 1, 2002, through July 31, 2003, now covers the following companies: Dofasco, Russel Metals, Sorevco, and Stelco.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with section 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4) of the regulations.

Dated: December 9, 2003.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E3–00595 Filed 12–18–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570–831]

Fresh Garlic From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of New Shipper Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limit for the preliminary results of new shipper reviews.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China until April 25, 2004. This extension applies to the new shipper reviews of the following seven exporters: Linyi Sanshan Import & Export Trading Co., Ltd., Sunny Import & Export Limited, Linshu Dading Private Agricultural Products Co., Ltd., Tancheng County Dexing Foods Co., Ltd., Jinxiang Dong Yun Freezing Storage Co., Ltd., Shanghai Ever Rich Trade Company, and Taian Ziyang Food Co., Ltd. The period of review is November 1, 2002, through April 30,

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 19, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brian Ellman or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Enforcement 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4852 and (202) 482–1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 7, 2003, the Department of Commerce (the Department) announced the initiation of the new shipper reviews for seven companies. See Notice of Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Reviews: Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China, 68 FR 40242.

The Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), at section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) provides that the Department will issue the preliminary results of a new shipper review of an antidumping duty order within 180 days after the date on which the new shipper review was initiated. The Act also provides that the Department may extend that 180-day period to 300 days if it concludes that the new shipper review is