

Friday, December 19, 2003

Part VI

Department of Housing and Urban Development

Statutorily Mandated Designation of Difficult Development Areas and Qualified Census Tracts for Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; Notice

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4889-N-01]

Statutorily Mandated Designation of Difficult Development Areas and Qualified Census Tracts for Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, HUD. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: This document designates "Difficult Development Areas" and "Qualified Census Tracts" for purposes of the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) under section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the Code). The United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) makes new Difficult Development Area designations annually and makes Qualified Census Tract Designations at this time due to the recent release of relevant data from the 2000 Census.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For questions on how areas are designated and on geographic definitions: Kurt G. Usowski, Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Economic Affairs, Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410-6000, telephone (202) 708–2770, e-mail Kurt G. Usowski@hud.gov. For specific legal questions pertaining to section 42: Office of the Associate Chief Counsel, Passthroughs & Special Industries, Internal Revenue Service, 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW.; Washington, DC 20224, telephone (202) 622-3040, fax (202) 622-4753. For questions about the "HUBZones" program: Michael P. McHale, Assistant Administrator for Procurement Policy, Office of Government Contracting, Suite 8800, Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street, SW., Washington, DC 20416, telephone (202) 205-8885, fax (202) 205-7167, e-mail hubzone@sba.gov. A text telephone is available for persons with hearing or speech impairments at (202) 708-9300. (These are not toll-free telephone numbers.) Additional copies of this notice are available through HUD User at (800) 245-2691 for a small fee to cover duplication and mailing costs.

Copies Available Electronically: This notice and additional information about Difficult Development Areas and Qualified Census Tracts are available electronically on the Internet (World Wide Web) at http://www.huduser.org/datasets/qct.html.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

This Document

The designations of Difficult Development Areas in this notice are based on fiscal year (FY) 2003 Fair Market Rents (FMRs), FY 2003 income limits, and 2000 Census population counts as explained below. This notice designates Difficult Development Areas for each of the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, American Samoa, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the Virgin Islands. The designations of Qualified Census Tracts in this notice are based on 2000 Census data. This notice designates Qualified Census Tracts for American Samoa, Guam, and the Northern Mariana Islands. The 2003 Qualified Census Tracts designated for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands published December 12, 2002, at 67 FR 76452 are unchanged by this notice.

2000 Census

Data from the 2000 Census on total population of metropolitan areas and nonmetropolitan counties are used in the designation of Difficult Development Areas. The Census Bureau has recently released the data needed to update Qualified Census Tract designations for American Samoa, Guam, and the Northern Mariana Islands, so this notice makes new qualified Census Tract designations in these areas based on 2000 Census data. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) published new metropolitan area definitions incorporating 2000 Census data in OMB Bulletin No. 03-04 on June 6, 2003. The Census Bureau has not yet released official data on 1999 median incomes in the newly defined metropolitan areas and nonmetropolitan areas of states. Also, the FY 2003 FMRs and 2003 income limits used to designate Difficult Development Areas are based on the Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSA) and Primary Metropolitan Statistical Areas (PMSA) definitions established by OMB in OMB Bulletin No. 99-04 on June 30, 1999. Therefore, for the purposes of designating Difficult Development Areas and Qualified Census Tracts "metropolitan areas" will continue to be defined according to the MSA/PMSA definitions established by the OMB in OMB Bulletin No. 99-04 on June 30, 1999, until further notice.

Background

The U.S. Treasury Department and the Internal Revenue Service thereof are authorized to interpret and enforce the provisions of the Code, including the LIHTC found at section 42 of the Code (26 U.S.C. 42). The Secretary of HUD is required to designate Difficult Development Areas and Qualified Census Tracts by section 42(d)(5)(C) of the Code.

In order to assist in understanding HUD's mandated designation of Difficult Development Areas and Qualified Census Tracts for use in administering section 42 of the Code, a summary of section 42 is provided. The following summary does not purport to bind the Treasury or the IRS in any way, nor does it purport to bind HUD, as HUD has no authority to interpret or administer the Code, except in those instances where it has a specific delegation.

Summary of Low Income Housing Tax Credit

The LIHTC is a tax incentive intended to increase the availability of lowincome housing. Section 42 provides an income tax credit to owners of newly constructed or substantially rehabilitated low-income rental housing projects. The dollar amount of the LIHTC available for allocation by each state (credit ceiling) is limited by population. Each state is allocated credit based on a statutory formula indicated at section 42(h)(3). States may carry forward unallocated credit derived from the credit ceiling for one year; if a certain portion of this unallocated credit is not used by then, this portion goes into a national pool to be allocated to states as additional credit. State and local housing agencies allocate the state's credit ceiling among low-income housing buildings whose owners have applied for the credit. Besides section 42 credits derived from the credit ceiling, states may also provide section 42 credits to owners of buildings based upon the percentage of certain building costs financed by tax-exempt bond proceeds. Credits provided under the tax-exempt bond "volume cap" do not reduce the credit available from the credit ceiling.

The credit allocated to a building is based on the cost of units placed in service as low-income units under certain minimum occupancy and maximum rent criteria. In general, a building must meet one of two thresholds to be eligible for the LIHTC: either 20 percent of units must be rentrestricted and occupied by tenants with incomes no higher than 50 percent of the area median gross income (AMGI), or 40 percent of units must be rent restricted and occupied by tenants with incomes no higher than 60 percent of AMGI. The term "rent-restricted" means that gross rent, including an allowance for utilities, cannot exceed 30 percent of the tenant's imputed income limitation

(i.e., 50 percent or 60 percent of AMGI). The rent and occupancy thresholds remain in effect for at least 15 years, and building owners are required to enter into agreements to maintain the low-income character of the building for at least an additional 15 years.

The LIHTC reduces income tax liability dollar for dollar. It is taken annually for a term of ten years and is intended to yield a present value of either (1) 70 percent of the "qualified basis" for new construction or substantial rehabilitation expenditures that are not federally subsidized (i.e., financed with tax-exempt bonds or below-market federal loans), or (2) 30 percent of the qualified basis for the cost of acquiring certain existing projects or projects that are federally subsidized. The actual credit rates are adjusted monthly for projects placed in service after 1987 under procedures specified in section 42. Individuals can use the credit up to a deduction equivalent of \$25,000 (the actual maximum amount of credit that an individual can claim depends upon the individual's marginal tax rate). Individuals cannot use the credit against the alternative minimum tax. Corporations, other than S or personal service corporations, can use the credit against ordinary income tax. They cannot use the credit against the alternative minimum tax. These corporations can also deduct losses from the project.

The qualified basis represents the product of the "applicable fraction" of the building and the "eligible basis" of the building. The applicable fraction is based on the number of low-income units in the building as a percentage of the total number of units, or based on the floor space of low income-units as a percentage of the total floor space of residential units in the building. The eligible basis is the adjusted basis attributable to acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction costs (depending on the type of LIHTC involved). These costs include amounts chargeable to capital account incurred prior to the end of the first taxable year in which the qualified low-income building is placed in service or, at the election of the taxpayer, the end of the succeeding taxable year. In the case of buildings located in designated Qualified Census Tracts or designated Difficult Development Areas, eligible basis can be increased up to 130 percent of what it would otherwise be. This means that the available credit also can be increased by up to 30 percent. For example, if a 70 percent credit is available, it effectively could be increased up to 91 percent.

Section 42 of the Code defines a Difficult Development Area as any area designated by the Secretary of HUD as an area that has high construction, land, and utility costs relative to the AMGI. All designated Difficult Development Areas in MSAs/PMSAs may not contain more than 20 percent of the aggregate population of all MSAs/PMSAs, and all designated areas not in metropolitan areas may not contain more than 20 percent of the aggregate population of all nonmetropolitan counties.

Under section 42(d)(5)(C) of the Code, a Qualified Census Tract is any census tract (or equivalent geographic area defined by the Bureau of the Census) in which at least 50 percent of households have an income less than 60 percent of the AMGI or in which the poverty rate is at least 25 percent. There is a limit on the number of Qualified Census Tracts in any MSA or PMSA that may be designated to receive an increase in eligible basis: all of the designated census tracts within a given MSA/ PMSA may not together contain more than 20 percent of the total population of the MSA/PMSA. For purposes of **HUD** designations of Qualified Census Tracts, all nonmetropolitan areas in a state are treated as if they constituted a single metropolitan area.

Explanation of HUD Designation Methodology

A. Qualified Census Tracts

In developing this list of LIHTC Qualified Census Tracts, HUD used 2000 Census data and the MSA/PMSA definitions established by the Office of Management and Budget in OMB Bulletin No. 99–04 on June 30, 1999. The LIHTC Qualified Census Tracts were determined as follows:

- 1. A census tract must have 50 percent of its households with incomes below 60 percent of the AMGI or have a poverty rate of 25 percent or more to be "eligible." In metropolitan areas, HUD calculates 60 percent of AMGI by multiplying the MSA/PMSA median family income for 1999, as reported by the 2000 Census, by a factor of 0.6. Outside of metropolitan areas, HUD calculates 60 percent of AMGI by multiplying the state-specific, nonmetropolitan balance median family income by a factor of 0.6.
- 2. For each census tract, the percentage of households below the 60 percent income standard (the income criterion) was determined by (a) calculating the average household size of the census tract, (b) applying the income standard after adjusting it to match the average household size, and (c) calculating the number of

- households with incomes below the income standard.
- 3. For each census tract, the poverty rate was determined by dividing the population with incomes below poverty by the population for whom poverty status has been determined.
- 4. Qualified Census Tracts are those in which 50 percent or more of the households meet the income criterion or 25 percent or more of the population is in poverty, such that the population of all census tracts that satisfy either one or both of these criteria does not exceed 20 percent of the total population of the respective area.
- 5. In areas where more than 20 percent of the population resides in eligible census tracts, census tracts are designated as QCTs in accordance with the following procedure:
- a. Eligible tracts are placed in one of two groups. The first group includes tracts that satisfy both the income and poverty criteria. The second group includes tracts that satisfy either the income criterion or the poverty criterion, but not both.
- b. Tracts in the first group are ranked from lowest to highest on the income criterion. Then tracts in the first group are ranked from lowest to highest on the poverty criterion. The two ranks are averaged to yield a combined rank. The tracts are then sorted on the combined rank, with the census tract with the highest combined rank being placed at the top of the sorted list. In cases of tied combined ranks, more populous tracts are ranked above less populous ones.
- c. Tracts in the second group are ranked from lowest to highest on the income criterion. Then tracts in the second group are ranked from lowest to highest on the poverty criterion. The two ranks are then averaged to yield a combined rank. The tracts are then sorted on the combined rank, with the census tract with the highest combined rank being placed at the top of the sorted list. In cases of tied combined ranks, more populous tracts are ranked above less populous ones.
- d. The ranked first group is stacked on top of the ranked second group to yield a single, concatenated, ranked list of eligible census tracts.
- e. Working down the single, concatenated, ranked list of eligible tracts, census tracts are designated until the designation of an additional tract would cause the 20 percent limit to be exceeded. If a census tract is not designated because doing so would raise the percentage above 20 percent, then subsequent census tracts are considered to determine if one or more census tract(s) with smaller population(s) could

be designated without exceeding the 20 percent limit.

B. Difficult Development Areas

In developing the list of Difficult Development Areas, HUD compared incomes with housing costs. HUD used 2000 Census population data and the MSA/PMSA definitions as published by the Office of Management and Budget in OMB Bulletin No. 99-04 on June 30, 1999, with the exceptions described in section D., below. The basis for these comparisons was the FY 2003 HUD income limits for Very Low-Income households and FMRs used for the section 8 Housing Assistance Payments program. The procedure used in making the Difficult Development Area calculations follows:

1. For each MSA/PMSA and each nonmetropolitan county, a ratio was calculated. This calculation used the FY 2003 two-bedroom FMR and the FY 2003 four-person VLIL.

a. The numerator of the ratio was the area's FY 2003 FMR. In general the FMR is based on the 40th percentile rent paid by recent movers for a two-bedroom apartment. In metropolitan areas granted a FMR based on the 50th percentile rent for purposes of improving the administration of HUD's Housing Choice Voucher program (see 66 FR 162) the 40th percentile rent is used for nationwide consistency of comparisons.

b. The denominator of the ratio was the monthly LIHTC income-based rent limit calculated as 1/12 of 30 percent of 120 percent of the area's VLIL (where 120 percent of the VLIL was rounded to the nearest \$50 and not allowed to exceed 80 percent of the AMGI in areas where the VLIL is adjusted upward from its 50 percent of AMGI base).

2. The ratios of the FMR to the LIHTC income-based rent limit were arrayed in descending order, separately, for MSAs/PMSAs and for nonmetropolitan counties.

3. The Difficult Development Areas are those with the highest ratios cumulative to 20 percent of the 2000 population of all metropolitan areas and of all nonmetropolitan counties.

C. Application of Population Caps to Difficult Development Area Determinations

In identifying Difficult Development Areas and Qualified Census Tracts, HUD applied various caps, or limitations, as noted above. The cumulative population of metropolitan Difficult Development Areas cannot exceed 20 percent of the cumulative population of all metropolitan areas and the cumulative population of nonmetropolitan Difficult Development Areas cannot exceed 20 percent of the cumulative population of all nonmetropolitan counties.

In applying these caps, HUD established procedures to deal with how to treat small overruns of the caps. The remainder of this section explains the procedure. In general, HUD stops selecting areas when it is impossible to choose another area without exceeding the applicable cap. The only exceptions to this policy are when the next eligible excluded area contains either a large absolute population or a large percentage of the total population, or the next excluded area's ranking ratio as described above was identical (to four decimal places) to the last area selected, and its inclusion resulted in only a minor overrun of the cap. Thus for both the designated metropolitan and nonmetropolitan Difficult Development Areas there may be a minimal overrun of the cap. HUD believes the designation of these additional areas is consistent with the intent of the legislation. Some latitude is justifiable because it is impossible to determine whether the 20 percent cap has been exceeded, as long as the apparent excess is small, due to measurement error. Despite the care and effort involved in a decennial census, it is recognized by the Census Bureau, and all users of the data, that the population counts for a given area and for the entire country are not precise. The extent of the measurement error is unknown. Thus, there can be errors in both the numerator and denominator of the ratio of populations used in applying a 20 percent cap. In circumstances where a strict application of a 20 percent cap results in an anomalous situation, recognition of the unavoidable imprecision in the census data justifies accepting small variances above the 20 percent limit.

D. Exceptions to OMB Definitions of MSAs/PMSAs and Other Geographic Matters

As stated in OMB Bulletin 99–04 defining metropolitan areas:

"OMB establishes and maintains the definitions of the [Metropolitan Areas] solely for statistical purposes * * * OMB does not take into account or attempt to anticipate any nonstatistical uses that may be made of the definitions * * *. We recognize that some legislation specifies the use of metropolitan areas for programmatic purposes, including allocating federal funds."

HUD makes exceptions to OMB definitions in calculating FMRs by deleting counties from metropolitan areas whose OMB definitions are determined by HUD to be larger than their housing market areas.

The following counties are assigned their own FMRs and VLILs and evaluated as if they were separate metropolitan areas for purposes of designating Difficult Development Areas.

Metropolitan Area and Counties Deleted Chicago, IL: DeKalb, Grundy, and

Kendall Counties.
Cincinnati-Hamilton, OH–KY–IN:
Brown County, Ohio; Gallatin, Grant,
and Pendleton Counties, Kentucky;
and Ohio County, Indiana.

Dallas, TX: Henderson County.
Flagstaff, AZ–UT: Kane County, Utah.
New Orleans, LA: St. James Parish.
Washington, DC–MD–VA–WV: Clarke,
Culpeper, King George, and Warren
Counties, Virginia; and Berkely and
Jefferson Counties, West Virginia.

Affected MSAs/PMSAs are assigned the indicator "(part)" in the list of Metropolitan Difficult Development Areas. Any of the excluded counties designated as difficult development areas separately from their metropolitan areas are designated by the county name.

In the New England states (Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont) OMB defines MSAs/PMSAs according to county subdivisions or Minor Civil Divisions (MCDs) rather than county boundaries. Thus, when a New England county is designated as a Nonmetropolitan Difficult Development Area, only that part of the county (the group of MCDs) not included in any MSA/PMSA is the Nonmetropolitan Difficult Development Area. Affected counties are assigned the indicator "(part)" in the list of Nonmetropolitan Difficult Development Areas. Also in the New England states, census tracts may be cut by MSA/PMSA boundaries. Only those LIHTC projects located in the part of the tract in the listed MSA/ PMSA or nonmetropolitan area may be allowed the increase in basis. Affected tracts are marked with an asterisk (*) in the list of Qualified Census Tracts.

For the convenience of readers of this notice, the geographic definitions of designated Metropolitan Difficult Development Areas and the MCDs included in Nonmetropolitan Difficult Development Areas in the New England states are included in the list of Difficult Development Areas.

Certain nonmetropolitan county equivalent areas in Alaska for which FMRs and VLILs are calculated and thus form the basis of Difficult Development Area designations are no longer recognized as geographic entities by the Census Bureau. Therefore, no 2000 Census population counts are produced for these areas. HUD estimates the 2000 population of these areas as follows:

- 1. The 2000 Population of Denali Borough (1,893) was allocated entirely to the Yukon-Koyukuk Census Area. The part of Denali Borough created from the Southeast Fairbanks Census Area was deemed uninhabited after examination of Census Block data for, and maps of, the area of Denali Borough formerly in the Southeast Fairbanks Census Area.
- 2. The population of Yakutat City and Borough (808) was allocated to the former Skagway-Yakutat-Angoon Census Area (680) and the Valdez-Cordova Census Area (128). The populations of Yakutat City and Borough Census Blocks located east of 141° longitude were allocated to the Skagway-Yakutat-Angoon Census Area. The populations of Yakutat City and Borough Census Blocks located west of 141° longitude were allocated to the Valdez-Cordova Census Area.

Future Designations

Difficult Development Areas are designated annually as updated income and FMR data become available. Qualified Census Tracts are updated periodically to reflect changes in OMB's designations of metropolitan areas. Qualified Census Tracts are not being updated at this time to reflect the recent change in metropolitan area definitions (OMB Bulletin No. 03-04, June 6, 2003) because the Census Bureau has not yet released official data on median incomes in the newly defined metropolitan areas and nonmetropolitan parts of states. This notice designates Qualified Census Tracts for American Samoa, Guam, and the Northern Mariana Islands based on 2000 Census data. The 2003 Qualified Census Tracts designated for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands published December 12, 2002, at 67 FR 76452 are unchanged by this notice.

Effective Date

The lists of Difficult Development Areas and the list of Qualified Census Tracts are effective for allocations of credit made after December 31, 2003. In the case of a building described in section 42(h)(4)(B) of the Code, the lists are effective if the bonds are issued and the building is placed in service after December 31, 2003.

Interpretive Examples for Effective Date

For the convenience of readers of this notice, interpretive examples are provided below to illustrate the consequences of the effective date in areas that gain or lose Difficult Development Area status with respect to projects described in section 42(h)(4)(B) of the Code. The examples are equally applicable to Qualified Census Tract designations.

(Case A) Project "A" is located in a newly designated 2004 Difficult Development Area. Bonds are issued for Project "A" on November 1, 2003, and Project "A" is placed in service March 1, 2004. Project "A" IS NOT eligible for the increase in basis otherwise accorded a project in this location because the bonds were issued BEFORE January 1, 2004

(Case B) Project "B" is located in a newly designated 2004 Difficult Development Area. Project "B" is placed in service November 15, 2003. The bonds that will support the permanent financing of Project "B" are issued January 15, 2004. Project "B" IS NOT eligible for the increase in basis otherwise accorded a project in this location because the project was placed in service BEFORE January 1, 2004.

(Case C) Project "C" is located in an area that is a Difficult Development Area in 2003, but IS NOT a Difficult Development Area in 2004. Bonds are issued for Project "C" on October 30, 2003, but Project "C" is not placed in service until March 30, 2004. Project "C" is eligible for the increase in basis available to projects located in 2003 Difficult Development Areas because the first of the two events necessary for triggering the effective date for buildings described in section 42(h)(4)(B) of the Code (the two events being bonds issued and buildings placed in service) took place on October 30, 2003, a time when project "C" was located in a Difficult Development Area.

Other Matters

Environmental Impact

In accordance with 40 CFR 1508.4 of the CEQ regulations and 24 CFR 50.19(c)(6) of the HUD regulations, the policies and procedures contained in this notice provide for the establishment of fiscal requirements or procedures which do not constitute a development decision that affects the physical condition of specific project areas or building sites and therefore, are categorically excluded from the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act, except for extraordinary circumstances, and no FONSI is required.

Impact on Small Entities

The Secretary, in accordance with 5 U.S.C. section 605(b) (the Regulatory Flexibility Act), has reviewed and approved this notice, and in so doing certifies that this notice does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The notice involves the designation of "Difficult Development Areas" and "Qualified Census Tracts" as required by section 42 of the Code, as amended, for use by political subdivisions of the states in allocating the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit. This notice places no new requirements on the states, their political subdivisions, or the applicants for the credit. This notice also details the technical methodology used in making such designations.

Federalism Impact

Executive Order 13132 (entitled "Federalism") prohibits an agency from publishing any rule that has federalism implications if the notice either imposes substantial direct compliance costs on state and local governments and is not required by statute, or the rule preempts state law, unless the agency meets the consultation and funding requirements of section 6 of the Executive Order. As a result, the notice is not subject to review under the order. The notice merely designates "Difficult Development Areas" and "Qualified Census Tracts" as required under section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended, for the use by political subdivisions of the states in allocating the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit. The notice also details the technical methodology used in making such designations.

Dated: December 11, 2003.

Mel Martinez,

Secretary.

BILLING CODE 4210-62-P

2004 IRS SECTION 42(d)(5)(C) QUALIFIED CENSUS TRACTS (2000 DATA, MSA/PMSA DEFINITIONS JUNE 30, 1999)

| /_ \/ _ \/ _ \ | | | | | | | | | | | 1000 | |
|---|----------------------|---------|---------|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| NONMETROPOLITAN PART OF: American Samoa | an Samoa | | | | | | | | | | | |
| COUNTY OR COUNTY EQUIVALENT | TRACT | TRACT | TRACT | TRACT | TRACT TRACT | TRACT | TRACT | TRACT TRACT | | TRACT | TRACT | TRACT |
| Eastern District | 9501.00 | 9504.00 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Manu'a District | 9517.00 | 9518.00 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Swains Island | 9520.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Western District | 9510.00 | 9515.00 | | | | | | | | | | |
| NONMETROPOLITAN PART OF: Guam | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| COUNTY OR COUNTY EQUIVALENT | TRACT | TRACT | TRACT | TRACT TRACT TRACT TRACT TRACT TRACT | TRACT | TRACT | TRACT | TRACT | TRACT | TRACT | TRACT | TRACT |
| Guam | 9508.00 | 9513.00 | 9515.00 | 9518.00 | 9522.00 | 9524.00 | 9526.00 | 9528.00 | 9530.00 | 9533.00 | 9534.00 | 9544.00 |
| | 9548.00 | 9554.00 | | | | | | | | | | |
| NONMETROPOLITAN PART OF: Northe | nern Mariana Islands | lands | | | | | | | | | | |
| COUNTY OR COUNTY EQUIVALENT | TRACT | TRACT | TRACT | TRACT | TRACT TRACT | TRACT | TRACT | TRACT | TRACT | TRACT | TRACT | TRACT |
| Northern Islands Municipality | 9501.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Saipan Municipality | 9507.00 | 9509.00 | 9510.00 | 9511.00 | 9512.00 | 9513.02 | | | | | | |

Yarmouth town

Sandwich town

Orleans town

| State | Metropolitan Area Name | Metropolitan Area Components | ents | | |
|-------|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| AZ | Flagstaff, AZ-UT MSA (part) | Coconino County | | | |
| | Yuma, AZ MSA | Yuma County | | | |
| CA | Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA PMSA | Los Angeles County | | | |
| | Oakland, CA PMSA | Alameda County | Contra Costa County | | |
| | Sacramento, CA PMSA | El Dorado County | Placer County | Sacramento County | |
| | Salinas, CA MSA | Monterey County | | | |
| | San Diego, CA MSA | San Diego County | | | |
| | San Francisco, CA PMSA | Marin County | San Francisco County San Mateo County | San Mateo County | |
| | San Jose, CA PMSA | Santa Clara County | | • | |
| | San Luis Obispo-Atascadero-Paso Robies, CA MSA | San Luis Obispo County | | | |
| | Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Lompoc, CA MSA | Santa Barbara County | | | |
| | Santa Cruz-Watsonville, CA PMSA | Santa Cruz County | | | |
| | Santa Rosa, CA PMSA | Sonoma County | | | |
| | Vallejo-Fairfield-Napa, CA PMSA | Napa County | Solano County | | |
| 교 | Miami, FL PMSA | Miami-Dade County | | | |
| | Orlando, FL MSA | Lake County | Orange County | Osceola County | Seminole County |
| MA | Barnstable-Yarmouth, MA MSA | BARNSTABLE COUNTY towns of | Barnstable city | Brewster town | Chatham town |
| | | Dennis town | Eastham town | Harwich town | Mashbee town |

| | l |
|----------------|---|
| as | l |
| Areas | l |
| ī | ı |
| Ĕ | ı |
| Š | ١ |
| ě | İ |
| = | l |
| fficu | |
| Diffic | l |
| an | l |
| ē | ı |
| Š | l |
| Met | ŀ |
| 2(d)(5)(C) Met | l |
| <u>3</u> | ľ |
| 2(d | l |
| n 4 | l |
| tio | ŀ |
| Se | ľ |
| 04 IRC S | |
| 140 | ŀ |
| 200 | ŀ |
| | |

| State | State Metropolitan Area Name | Motoroniiton Ann Commonto | 945 | | |
|--------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|--|--------------------|
| MA (cont.) | Boston MA NIL DMSA | metiopolitali Alea collipolie | 1115 | | |
| IMP (COIII.) | BOSIOII, MA-INT PMOA | BRISTOL COUNTY TOWNS OF | Berkley town | Dignton town | Manstield town |
| | | Norton town | Taunton city | | |
| | | ESSEX COUNTY towns of | Amesbury town | Beverly city | Danvers town |
| | | Essex town | Gloucester city | Hamilton town | Ipswich town |
| | | Lynn city | Lynnfield town | Manchester-by-the-Sea town Marblehead town | wn Marblehead town |
| | | Middleton town | Nahant town | Newbury town | Newburyport city |
| | | Peabody city | Rockport town | Rowley town | Salem city |
| | | Salisbury town | Saugus town | Swampscott town | Topsfield town |
| | | Wenham town | | | |
| | | MIDDLESEX COUNTY towns of | Acton town | Arlington town | Ashland town |
| | | Ayer town | Bedford town | Belmont town | Boxborough town |
| | | Burlington town | Cambridge city | Carlisle town | Concord town |
| | | Everett city | Framingham town | Holliston town | Hopkinton town |
| | | Hudson town | Lexington town | Lincoln town | Littleton town |
| | | Malden city | Marlborough city | Maynard town | Medford city |
| | | Melrose city | Natick town | Newton city | North Reading town |
| | | Reading town | Sherborn town | Shirley town | Somerville city |
| | | Stoneham town | Stow town | Sudbury town | Townsend town |
| | | Wakefield town | Waltham city | Watertown city | Wayland town |
| | | Weston town | Wilmington town | Winchester town | Woburn city |
| | | NORFOLK COUNTY towns of | Bellingham town | Braintree town | Brookline town |
| | | Canton town | Cohasset town | Dedham town | Dover town |
| | | Foxborough town | Franklin city | Holbrook town | Medfield town |
| | | Medway town | Millis town | Milton town | Needham town |
| | | Norfolk town | Norwood town | Plainville town | Quincy city |
| | | Randolph town | Sharon town | Stoughton town | Walpole town |
| | | Wellesiey town | Westwood town | Weymouth town | Wrentham town |
| | | PLYMOUTH COUNTY towns of | Carver town | Duxbury town | Hanover town |
| | | Hingham town | Hull town | Kingston town | Marshfield town |
| | | Norwell town | Pembroke town | Plymouth town | Rockland town |
| | | Scituate town | Wareham town | | |
| | | SUFFOLK COUNTY towns of | Boston city | Chelsea city | Revere city |
| | | Winthrop town | | | |
| | | WORCESTER COUNTY towns of | Berlin town | Blackstone town | Bolton town |
| | | Harvard town | Hopedale town | Lancaster town | Mendon town |
| | | Milford town | Millville town | Southborough town | Upton town |
| ĭ | Boston, MA-NH PMSA | ROCKINGHAM COUNTY towns of | Seabrook town | South Hampton town | |

| 2004 IR | 2004 IRC Section 42(d)(5)(C) Metropolitan Difficult | Difficult Development Areas | | | |
|---------|---|------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| State | Metropolitan Area Name | Metropolitan Area Components | onents | | |
| 3 | Atlantic-Cape May, NJ PMSA | Atlantic County | Cape May County | | |
| | Jersey City, NJ PMSA | Hudson County | | | |
| | Vineland-Millville-Bridgeton, NJ PMSA | Cumberland County | | | |
| Ν¥ | Dutchess County, NY PMSA | Dutchess County | | | |
| | Nassau-Suffolk, NY PMSA | Nassau County | Suffolk County | | |
| | New York, NY PMSA | Bronx County | Kings County | New York County | Putnam County |
| | | Queens County | Richmond County | Rockland County | Westchester County |
| PR | Aguadilla, PR MSA | Aguada Municipio | Aguadilla Municipio | Moca Municipio | |
| | Caguas, PR PMSA | Caguas Municipio | Cayey Municipio | Cidra Municipio | Gurabo Municipio |
| | | San Lorenzo Municipio | | | |
| | Mayaguez, PR MSA | Anasco Municipio | Cabo Rojo Municipio | Hormigueros Municipio | Mayaguez Municipio |
| | | Sabana Grande Municipio | San German Municipio | | |
| | San Juan-Bayamon, PR PMSA | Aguas Buenas Municipio | Barceloneta Municipio | Bayamon Municipio | Canovanas Municipio |
| | | Carolina Municipio | Catano Municipio | Ceiba Municipio | Comerio Municipio |
| | | Corozal Município | Dorado Municipio | Fajardo Municipio | Florida Municipio |
| | | Guaynabo Municipio | Humacao Municipio | Juncos Municipio | Las Piedras Municipio |
| | | Loiza Municipio | Luquillo Municipio | Manati Municipio | Morovis Municipio |
| | | Naguabo Municipio | Naranjito Municipio | Rio Grande Municipio | San Juan Municipio |
| | | Toa Alta Municipio | Toa Baja Municipio | Trujillo Alto Municipio | Vega Alta Municipio |
| | | Vega Baja Municipio | Yabucoa Municipio | | |

| SE | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| nt Areas | |
| nt / | |
| ne | |
| g | |
| t Developm | |
| å | , |
| 품 | |
| 띭 | |
| ۵ | Ļ |
| tan | |
| Ö | |
| õ | (|
| net | |
| oni | |
| N | |
| on 42(d)(5)(C) Nonmetropolitan D | (|
| 2(d)(2)(C | - 7 |
| 3 | - |
| 1 4 | j |
| 읡 | 1 |
| Sec | 1 |
| 2004 IRC Section | T 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 |
| # | j |
| | 7 |
| " | ٠ |

| State | Nonmetropolitan Counties or County Equivalents | y Equivalents | | |
|-------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| AK | Bethel Census Area | Dillingham Census Area | Fairbanks North Star Borough | Haines Borough |
| | Juneau Borough | Ketchikan Gateway Borough | Kodiak Island Borough | Lake and Peninsula Borough |
| | Matanuska-Susitna Borough | Nome Census Area | North Slope Borough | Northwest Arctic Borough |
| | Sitka Borough | Valdez-Cordova Census Area | Wrangell-Petersburg Census Area | |
| ΑZ | Apache County | Cochise County | Gila County | Graham County |
| | La Paz County | Navajo County | Santa Cruz County | Yavapai County |
| AR | Baxter County | | | |
| CA | Amador County | Calaveras County | Colusa County | Del Norte County |
| | Glenn County | Humboldt County | Imperial County | Inyo County |
| | Kings County | Lake County | Lassen County | Mariposa County |
| | Mendocino County | Modoc County | Mono County | Nevada County |
| | San Benito County | Sierra County | Siskiyou County | Tehama County |
| | Trinity County | Tuolumne County | | |
| 8 | Archuleta County | Garfield County | La Plata County | Pitkin County |
| | San Miguel County | Summit County | | |
| 5 | LITCHFIELD COUNTY (part) towns of | Canaan town | Colebrook town | Cornwall town |
| | Goshen town | Kent town | Litchfield town | Morris town |
| | Norfolk town | North Canaan town | Salisbury town | Sharon town |
| | | Torrington town | Warren town | |
| | MIDDLESEX COUNTY (part) towns of | Chester town | Deep River town | Essex town |
| | Westbrook town | | | |
| DE | Sussex County | | | |
| 료 | Calhoun County | Citrus County | Columbia County | DeSoto County |
| | Dixie County | Franklin County | Gilchrist County | Glades County |
| | Guif County | Hamilton County | Hardee County | Hendry County |
| | Highlands County | Holmes County | Indian River County | Jackson County |
| | Jefferson County | Lafayette County | Levy County | Liberty County |
| | Madison County | Monroe County | Okeechobee County | Putnam County |
| | Sumter County | Suwannee County | Taylor County | Union County |
| | Walton County | Washington County | | |
| Ξĺ | Hawaii County | Kauai County | Maui County | |
| ₽ | Bonner County | Kootenai County | | |
| ≱ | Harlan County | Knox County | Laurel County | |

| 2 | |
|---|--|
| reas | |
| 4 | |
| 重 | |
| 믑 | |
| Ĕ | |
| ŏ | |
| It Development Areas | |
| ž | |
| ዶ | |
| = | |
| 3 | |
| ఴ | |
| ₹ | |
| n Difficult Developme | |
| 듩 | |
| ≝ | |
| 0 | |
| | |
| 9 | |
| trop | |
| netrop | |
| nmetrop | |
| lonmetrop | |
| Nonmetrop (| The second secon |
| (C) Nonmetrop | 110 |
| 5)(C) Nonmetrop | The state of the s |
|)(5)(C) Nonmetrop | |
| :(d)(5)(C) Nonmetrop | |
| 42(d)(5)(C) Nonmetrop | and the same of th |
| n 42(d)(5)(C) Nonmetropolitan Difficult | |
| ion 42(d)(5)(C) Nonmetrop | The second secon |
| ction 42(d)(5)(C) Nonmetrop | |
| Section 42(d)(5)(C) Nonmetrop | |
| Section 42(d)(5)(C) Nonmetrop | |
| RC Section 42(d)(5)(C) Nonmetrop | |
| 004 IRC Section 42(d)(5)(C) Nonmetrop | |

| State Nonmetropolitan Counties or County Equivalents | Equivalents | | |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| ANDROSCOGGIN COUNTY (part) towns of | Durham town | Leeds town | Livermore Falls town |
| Livermore town | Minot town | | |
| Aroostook County | | | |
| CUMBERLAND COUNTY (part) towns of | Baldwin town | Bridgton town | Brunswick town |
| Harpswell town | Harrison town | Naples town | New Gloucester town |
| Pownal town | Sebago town | | |
| Franklin County | Hancock County | Kennebec County | |
| Knox County | Lincoln County | Oxford County | |
| PENOBSCOT COUNTY (part) towns of | Alton town | Argyle unorg. | Bradford town |
| Bradley town | Burlington town | Carmel town | Carroll plantation |
| Charleston town | Chester town | Clifton town | Corinna town |
| Corinth town | Dexter town | Dixmont town | Drew plantation |
| East Central Penobscot unorg. | East Millinocket town | Edinburg town | Enfield town |
| Etna town | Exeter town | Garland town | Greenbush town |
| Greenfield town | Howland town | Hudson town | Kingman unorg. |
| Lagrange town | Lakeville town | Lee town | Levant town |
| Lincoln town | Lowell town | Mattawamkeag town | Maxfield town |
| Medway town | Millinocket town | Mount Chase town | Newburgh town |
| Newport town | North Penobscot unorg | Passadumkeag town | Patten town |
| Plymouth town | Prentiss plantation | Seboeis plantation | Springfield town |
| Stacyville town | Stetson town | Twombly unorg. | Webster plantation |
| Whitney unorg. | Winn town | Woodville town | |
| Piscataquis County | Sagadahoc County | Somerset County | |
| WALDO COUNTY (part) towns of | Belfast city | Belmont town | Brooks town |
| Burnham town | Frankfort town | Freedom town | Islesboro town |
| Jackson town | Knox town | Liberty town | Lincolnville town |
| Monroe town | Montville town | Morrill town | Northport town |
| Palermo town | Prospect town | Searsmont town | Searsport town |
| Stockton Springs town | Swanville town | Thorndike town | Troy town |
| Unity town | Waldo town | | |
| Washington County | | | |
| YORK COUNTY (part) towns of | Acton town | Alfred town | Arundel town |
| Biddeford city | Cornish town | Dayton town | Kennebunk town |
| Kennebunkport town | Lebanon town | Limerick town | Lyman town |
| Newfield town | North Berwick town | Ogunquit town | Parsonsfield town |
| Saco city | Sanford town | Shapleigh town | Waterboro town |
| 11/0110 +0110/11 | | | |

| Areas | |
|---------------|---|
| 뉱 | l |
| Ē | 1 |
| g | 1 |
| eve | I |
| 므 | I |
| <u>5</u> | I |
| Diff | I |
| ä | ŀ |
| ₹ | I |
| 5 | I |
| met | I |
| <u></u> | I |
| <u>~</u> | ı |
| (5) | l |
| 2(d)(| l |
| 42 | I |
| tion | I |
| Šec | I |
| ű | ١ |
| 4 ₩ | I |
| 200 | ı |
| | • |

| State | Nonmetropolitan Counties or County Equivalents | Equivalents | | |
|--------|--|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| MA | BARNSTABLE COUNTY (part) towns of | Bourne town | Falmouth town | Provincetown town |
| | Truro town | Wellfleet town | | |
| | Dukes County | | | |
| | FRANKLIN COUNTY (part) towns of | Ashfield town | Bernardston town | Buckland town |
| | Charlemont town | Colrain town | Conway town | Deerfield town |
| | Erving town | Gill town | Greenfield town | Hawley town |
| | Heath town | Leverett town | Leyden town | Monroe town |
| | Montague town | New Salem town | Northfield town | Orange town |
| | Rowe town | Shelburne town | Shutesbury town | Warwick town |
| | Wendell town | Whately town | | |
| | HAMPDEN COUNTY (part) towns of | Blandford town | Brimfield town | Chester town |
| | Granville town | Tolland town | Wales town | |
| | HAMPSHIRE COUNTY (part) towns of | Chesterfield town | Cummington town | Goshen town |
| | Middlefield town | Pelham town | Plainfield town | Westhampton town |
| | Worthington town | | | |
| | Nantucket County | | | |
| | WORCESTER COUNTY (part) towns of | Athol town | Hardwick town | Hubbardston town |
| | New Braintree town | Petersham town | Phillipston town | Royalston town |
| | Warren town | | | |
| N N | Kanabec County | | | |
| MS | Bolivar County | Coahoma County | Issaquena County | Washington County |
| Ψ | Beaverhead County | Big Horn County | Blaine County | Broadwater County |
| | Carbon County | Carter County | Chouteau County | Custer County |
| | Daniels County | Dawson County | Deer Lodge County | Fallon County |
| | Fergus County | Flathead County | Gallatin County | Garfield County |
| | Glacier County | Golden Valley County | Granite County | Judith Basin County |
| | Lake County | Liberty County | Lincoln County | McCone County |
| | Madison County | Meagher County | Mineral County | Musselshell County |
| | Park County | Petroleum County | Phillips County | Pondera County |
| | Powder River County | Powell County | Prairie County | Ravalli County |
| | Richland County | Roosevelt County | Sanders County | Sheridan County |
| | Silver Bow County | Sweet Grass County | Teton County | Toole County |
| | Treasure County | Valley County | Wheatland County | Wibaux County |
| ≥ | Churchill County | Douglas County | Esmeralda County | Humboldt County |
| | Lincoln County | Lyon County | Mineral County | Pershing County |

| nt Areas | |
|--|--|
| 2004 IRC Section 42(d)(5)(C) Nonmetropolitan Difficult Development Areas | |
| Difficu | |
| netropolitan | |
|) Nonm | |
| ;(d)(5)(C | |
| ction 42 | |
| IRC Se | |
| 2004 | |

| | Constants formed to account of the contract of | | | |
|---------|--|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| ¥ | Belknap County | Carroll County | Cheshire County | Grafton County |
| | HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY (part) towns of | Antrim town | Bennington town | Deering town |
| | Francestown town | Greenfield town | Hancock town | Hillsborough town |
| | Lyndeborough town | New Boston town | Peterborough town | Sharon town |
| | Temple town | Windsor town | | |
| | MERRIMACK COUNTY (part) towns of | Andover town | Boscawen town | Bow town |
| | Bradford town | Canterbury town | Chichester town | Concord city |
| | Danbury town | Dunbarton town | Epsom town | Franklin city |
| | Henniker town | Hill town | Hopkinton town | Loudon town |
| | New London town | Newbury town | Northfield town | Pembroke town |
| | Pittsfield town | Salisbury town | Sutton town | Warner town |
| | Webster town | Wilmot town | | |
| | ROCKINGHAM COUNTY (part) towns of | Deerfield town | Northwood town | Nottingham town |
| | STRAFFORD COUNTY (part) towns of | Middleton town | New Durham town | Strafford town |
| ΣZ | Catron County | Chaves County | Cibola County | Curry County |
| | DeBaca County | Grant County | Guadalupe County | Harding County |
| | Luna County | McKinley County | Mora County | Otero County |
| | Quay County | Rio Arriba County | Roosevelt County | San Miguel County |
| | Sierra County | Socorro County | Taos County | |
| ¥ | Clinton County | Columbia County | Cortland County | Essex County |
| | Greene County | Jefferson County | Sullivan County | Tompkins County |
| | Ulster County | | | |
| S | Cleveland County | Jackson County | Pender County | Polk County |
| | Rutherford County | Watauga County | | |
| OK V | Payne County | | | |
| æ | Baker County | Clatsop County | Coos County | Crook County |
| | Curry County | Deschutes County | Douglas County | Grant County |
| | Harney County | Hood River County | Jefferson County | Josephine County |
| | Klamath County | Lake County | Lincoln County | Linn County |
| | Malheur County | Morrow County | Tillamook County | Union County |
| | Wallowa County | Wasco County | Wheeler County | |
| PA | Monroe County | Union County | Wayne County | |
| ≅ | NEWPORT COUNTY (part) towns of | Middletown town | Newport city | Portsmouth town |
| | WASHINGTON COUNTY (part) towns of | New Shoreham town | | |
| SD | Butte County | Lawrence County | Meade County | |
| ĭ | Aransas County | Camp County | Kleberg County | Nacogdoches County |
| | Reagan County | Val Verde County | Yoakum County | • |
| 5 | Cache County | Daggett County | Iron County | Tooele County |
| | Washington Causey | | • | • |

2004 IRC Section 42(d)(5)(C) Nonmetropolitan Difficult Development Areas State Nonmetropolitan Counties or County Equivalents

| State | State Nonmetropolitan Countles or County Equivalents | ty Equivalents | | |
|------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| ΛŢ | Addison County | Bennington County | Caledonia County | |
| | FRANKLIN COUNTY (part) towns of | Bakersfield town | Berkshire town | Enosburg town |
| | Fairfield town | Fletcher town | Franklin town | Highgate town |
| | Montgomery town | Richford town | Sheldon town | |
| | GRAND ISLE COUNTY (part) towns of | Alburg town | Isle La Motte town | North Hero town |
| | Lamoille County | Orange County | Rutland County | |
| | Washington County | Windham County | Windsor County | |
| ٧٨ | Caroline County | Orange County | Westmoreland County | |
| WA | Clallam County | Mason County | San Juan County | Skagit County |
| <u>a</u> . | Pacific Islands | | | |
| A. | All Nonmetropolitan Puerto Rico | | | |
| > | St Croix | St. Johns/St Thomas | | |

[FR Doc. 03–31268 Filed 12–18–03; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING$ CODE 4210–62–C