final rule dated September 22, 1999 (64 FR 51370), which incorporated by reference the 1989 Addenda through the 1996 Addenda of section III and section XI of the ASME BPV Code, and the 1995 Edition with the 1996 Addenda of the ASME OM Code. The final rule expedited the implementation of the 1995 Edition with the 1996 Addenda of Appendix VIII of section XI of the ASME BPV Code for qualification of personnel and procedures for performing UT examinations. The expedited implementation of Appendix VIII was considered a backfit because licensees were required to implement the new requirements in Appendix VIII prior to the next 120-month ISI program inspection interval update. Another example was the final rule dated August 6, 1992 (57 FR 34666), which incorporated by reference in § 50.55a the 1986 Addenda through the 1989 Edition of section III and section XI of the ASME BPV Code. The final rule added a requirement to expedite the implementation of the revised reactor vessel shell weld examinations in the 1989 Edition of section XI. Imposing these examinations was considered a backfit because licensees were required to implement the examinations prior to the next 120-month ISI program inspection interval update.

(3) When the NRC takes an exception to a ASME BPV or OM code provision and imposes a requirement that is substantially different from the current existing requirement as well as substantially different than the later code.

An example of this is that portion of the final rule dated September 19, 2002, in which the NRC adopted dissimilar metal piping weld ultrasonic (UT) examination coverage requirements.

[FR Doc. 03–9606 Filed 4–17–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Office of Counterintelligence

10 CFR Part 709
[Docket No. CN-03-RM-01]
RIN 1992-AA33

Polygraph Examination Regulations; Correction

AGENCY: Department of Energy. **ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking and opportunity for public comment; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects the preamble to a proposed rulemaking published in the **Federal Register** of April 14, 2003, regarding Polygraph Examination Regulations. This correction revises the web address where you may access this notice of proposed rulemaking and other supporting documentation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Douglas Hinckley, U.S. Department of

Energy, Office of Counterintelligence, CN-1, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586-5901; or Lise Howe, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of General Counsel, GC-73, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586-2906.

Correction

In proposed rule FR Doc. 03–9009, appearing on page 17886, in the issue of Monday, April 14, 2003, the following correction should be made:

In the **ADDRESSES** section, the last sentence is corrected to the following: This notice of proposed rulemaking and supporting documentation is available on DOE's Internet Home Page at the following address: www.so.doe.gov.

Issued in Washington, DC on April 14, 2003.

Stephen W. Dillard,

Director, Office of Counterintelligence. [FR Doc. 03–9631 Filed 4–17–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165 [COTP Tampa 03–060] RIN 1625–AA00

Security Zones; Tampa Bay, Florida

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard proposes to establish security zones in the waters immediately adjacent to power facilities at Big Bend, and Weedon Island in Tampa Bay, Florida. These zones are needed to ensure public safety and security in the greater Tampa Bay area. Entry into these zones would be prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, or their designated representative.

DATES: Comments and related material must reach the Coast Guard on or before June 17, 2003.

ADDRESSES: You may mail comments and related material to Marine Safety Office Tampa, U.S. Coast Guard, 155 Columbia Drive, Tampa, Florida 33606. The Operations Department of Marine Safety Office Tampa maintains the public docket for this rulemaking. Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, will become part of this docket [COTP Tampa 03–060]

and will be available for inspection or copying at Coast Guard Marine Safety Office Tampa between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

LCDR David McClellan, Coast Guard Marine Safety Office Tampa, at (813) 228–2189 extension 102.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Request for Comments

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments and related material. If you do so, please include your name and address, identify the docket number for this rulemaking [COTP Tampa 03-060], indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. If you submit them by mail and would like to know that they reached the Marine Safety Office, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. We may change this proposed rule in view of them.

Public Meeting

We do not now plan to hold a public meeting. But you may submit a request for one to the Coast Guard at the address under ADDRESSES explaining why one would be beneficial. If we determine that one would aid this rulemaking, we will hold one at a time and place announced by a later notice in the Federal Register.

Background and Purpose

The terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, killed thousands of people and heightened the need for development of various security measures throughout the seaports of the United States, particularly those vessels and facilities which are frequented by foreign nationals and are of interest to national security. Following these attacks by well-trained and clandestine terrorists, national security and intelligence officials have warned that future terrorists attacks are likely. The Captain of the Port of Tampa has determined that these proposed security zones would protect the public, ports, and waterways of the United States from potential subversive acts.

These proposed security zones are similar to the existing temporary security zones established for these waterfront facilities that will soon

On March 7, 2003, the Captain of the Port issued a temporary rule titled "Security Zones; Tampa Bay, Port of