the Department of Commerce (the Department) published its final determination that high and ultra-high voltage ceramic station post insulators (HVSPs) from Japan are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV). See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: High and Ultra-High Voltage Ceramic Station Post Insulators from Japan (68 FR 62560). On December 19, 2003, in accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, the U.S. International Trade Commission (the ITC) notified the Department of its final determination that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of LTFV imports of subject merchandise from Japan.

Therefore, in accordance with section 736(a)(1) of the Act, the Department will direct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess, upon further advice by the Department, antidumping duties equal to the amount by which the normal value of the merchandise exceeds the U.S. price of the merchandise for all relevant entries of HVSPs from Japan. These antidumping duties will be assessed on all unliquidated entries of HVSPs that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after June 16, 2003, the date on which the Department published its notice of an affirmative preliminary determination in the Federal Register. See Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: High and Ultra-High Voltage Ceramic Station Post Insulators from Japan (68 FR 35627). CBP must require, at the same time as importers would normally deposit estimated duties on this merchandise, a cash deposit equal to the estimated weighted-average antidumping duty margins listed below. The weightedaverage percentage dumping margins are as follows:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Weighted-Average Percent Margin
NGK Insulators Ltd	105.80 105.80

This notice constitutes the antidumping duty order with respect to HVSPs from Japan. Interested parties may contact the Department's Central Records Unit, room B-099 of the main Commerce building, for copies of an updated list of antidumping duty orders currently in effect.

This order is issued and published in accordance with section 736(a) of the Act and 19 C.F.R. § 351.211.

Dated: December 22, 2003.

Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03–32068 Filed 12–29–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration A-427–009

Industrial Nitrocellulose from France: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On September 30, 2003, the Department of Commerce initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on industrial nitrocellulose from France. The review covers one manufacturer/exporter, Bergerac, N.C. The period of review is August 1, 2002, through July 31, 2003. We are rescinding this review after receiving a timely withdrawal from the party requesting this review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 30, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Susan Lehman or Richard Rimlinger, AD/CVD Enforcement Group I, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: 202–482–0180 or 202–482–4477, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 1, 2003, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on industrial nitrocellulose from France covering the period August 1, 2002, through July 31, 2003. See *Notice of Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation*, 68 FR 45218.

On September 30, 2003, pursuant to a request by the petitioner, Green Tree Chemical Technologies, Inc. (Green Tree), the Department initiated an administrative review of Bergerac, N.C. (Bergerac) for the period August 1, 2002, through July 31, 2003. See *Initiation of*

Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Request for Revocation In Part and Deferral of Administrative Review, 88 FR 56262. On December 9, 2003, Green Tree withdrew its request for a review and asked the Department to rescind the administrative review.

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. Because Green Tree submitted its request for rescission within the 90-day time limit and there were no requests for a review from other interested parties, we are rescinding this review. As such, we will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

This notice is in accordance with section 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: December 19, 2003.

Jeffrey May,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03–32064 Filed 12–29–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-423–808]

Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Administrative Antidumping Review: Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 30, 2003.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elfi Blum or Scot Fullerton at (202) 482–0197 or (202) 482–1386, respectively; Office of Antidumping/Countervailing Duty Enforcement VII, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Background

On May 21, 1999, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on stainless steel plate in coils from Belgium (64 FR 27756). On May 30, 2003, in accordance with Section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930,

as amended (the Act) and section 19 CFR 351.213(b) of the Department's regulations, Allegheny Ludlum, North American Stainless, Butler-Armco Independent Union, Zanesville Armco Independent Union, and the United Steelworkers of America, AFL-CIO/CLC (collectively, petitioners) requested a review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel plate in coils from Belgium for ALZ N.V. (ALZ) and its affiliate Arcelor International America Inc. for the period May 1, 2002 through April 30, 2003. On July 1, 2003, we published a notice of "Initiation of Antidumping Review." See 68 FR

On September 11, 2003, Ugine & ALZ Belgium (U&A Belgium) submitted its response to section A of the Department's questionnaire. On October 2, 2003, U&A Belgium submitted its response to sections B and D of the Department's questionnaire. On October 6, 2003, U&A Belgium submitted its response to section C of the Department's questionnaire. On October 9, 2003, U&A Belgium requested that the Department consider U&A Belgium to be the successor to ALZ. On October 14, 2003, U&A Belgium submitted a revised version of its section C questionnaire response. On October 30, 2003, U&A Belgium requested that the Department extend the deadline for issuance of the preliminary results of review to May 31, 2004. On November 21, 2003, petitioners submitted comments concerning U&A Belgium's response to sections B and C of the Department's questionnaire. On December 3, 2003, U&A Belgium submitted corrections to certain clerical errors contained in U&A Belgium's questionnaire response.

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and section 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of the preliminary results of a review if it determines that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results within the statutory time limit of 245 days from the last day of the anniversary month of the order for which the administrative review was requested. In this review, the Department must determine whether the respondent is the legal successor to another company by examining a number of factors. Because of the complexity and timing of this and other issues in this case, it is not practicable to complete this review within the time limit mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Consequently, in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and section 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations, the Department is extending the time limit for the completion of the preliminary results to 365 days from the last day of the anniversary month of the order. As the 365th day falls on a Sunday, and the following Monday is a federal holiday, the preliminary results will now be due no later than June 1, 2004.

Dated: December 17, 2003.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III.

[FR Doc. 03–32070 Filed 12–29–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-588–852]

Structural Steel Beams from Japan: Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of initiation of changed circumstances review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce has received information sufficient to warrant initiation of a changed-circumstances review of the antidumping order on structural steel beams from Japan. The review will be conducted to determine whether Yamato Steel is the successor-in-interest to Yamato Kogyo for purposes of determining antidumping and countervailing duty liabilities.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 30, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John D. A. LaRose or Alex Villanueva, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–3794 or (202) 482–3208, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 19, 2000, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published in the Federal Register an antidumping duty order resulting from the Department's investigation of Structural Steel Beams from Japan. See Structural Steel Beams from Japan: Notice of Antidumping Duty Order, 65 FR 37960 (June 19, 2000). On November 17, 2003 Yamato Kogyo Co., Ltd. ("Yamato

Kogyo") submitted a request that the Department initiate a changed circumstances review to confirm that the newly-formed Yamato Steel Co., Ltd. ("Yamato Steel") is its successor-ininterest and should be entitled to the same cash deposit rate.

Scope of the Review

For purposes of this review, the products covered are doubly-symmetric shapes, whether hot or cold-rolled, drawn, extruded, formed or finished, having at least one dimension of at least 80 mm (3.2 inches or more), whether of carbon or alloy (other than stainless) steel, and whether or not drilled, punched, notched, painted, coated, or clad. These products ("Structural Steel Beams") include, but are not limited to, wide-flange beams ("W" shapes), bearing piles ("HP" shapes), standard beams ("S" or "I" shapes), and M-shapes.

All products that meet the physical and metallurgical descriptions provided above are within the scope of this review unless otherwise excluded. The following products, are outside and/or specifically excluded from the scope of this review:

Structural steel beams greater than 400 pounds per linear foot or with a web or section height (also known as depth) over 40 inches.

The merchandise subject to this review is classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") at subheadings: 7216.32.0000, 7216.33.0030, 7216.33.0060, 7216.63.0090, 7216.50.0000, 7216.69.0000, 7216.91.0000, 7216.99.0000, 7228.70.3040, 7228.70.6000. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and U.S. Customs Service ("Customs") purposes, the written description of the merchandise under review is dispositive.

Initiation of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review

Pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Act and 351.216 of the Department's regulations, the Department will conduct a changed circumstances review upon receipt of information concerning, or a request from an interested party for a review of, an antidumping duty finding which shows changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a review of the order. Information submitted by Yamato Kogyo Co. Ltd. ("Yamato Kogyo") and Yamato Steel Co., Ltd. ("Yamato Steel") claims Yamato Steel as the successor-ininterest to Yamato Kogyo and shows changed circumstances sufficient to