- ** Once per item.
- (f) Material Inspection and Receiving Report for embedded subassemblies, components, and parts requiring unique item identification. The Contractor shall report at the time of delivery, as part of the Material Inspection and Receiving Report specified elsewhere in this contract, the following information:
- (1) Unique item identifier of the item delivered under a contract line, subline, or exhibit line item that contains the embedded subassembly, component, or part.
- (2) Unique item identifier of the embedded subassembly, component, or part, consisting of—
- (i) Concatenated DoD unique item identifier; or
- (ii) DoD recognized unique identification equivalent.
- (3) Unique item identifier type. **
- (4) Issuing agency code (if ĎoD unique item identifier is used).**
- (5) Enterprise identifier (if DoD unique item identifier is used).**
- (6) Original part number. **
- (7) Serial number.**
- (8) Unit of measure.
- (9) Description.
- **Once per item.
- (g) The Contractor shall submit the information required by paragraphs (e) and (f) of this clause in accordance with the procedures at http://www.acq.osd.mil.uid.
- (h) Subcontracts. If paragraph (c)(1)(iii) of this clause applies, the Contractor shall include this clause, including this paragraph (h), in all subcontracts issued under this contract.

(End of clause)

[FR Doc. 03–31951 Filed 12–29–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–08–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 622

[Docket No. 031017264-3317-02; I.D. 100103C]

RIN 0648-AR48

Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; Reef Fish Fishery of the Gulf of Mexico; Referendum Procedures for a Potential Gulf of Mexico Red Snapper Individual Fishing Quota Program

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Proposed rule; revision and request for comments.

SUMMARY: NMFS issues this proposed rule to provide information about the schedule, procedures, and eligibility

requirements for participating in referendums to determine whether an individual fishing quota (IFQ) program for the Gulf of Mexico commercial red snapper fishery should be prepared and, if so, whether it should subsequently be submitted to the Secretary of Commerce (Secretary) for review. This proposed rule revises a previously published proposed rule based on public comments that were received on the initial proposed rule. In response to those public comments, this proposed rule includes additional options regarding the procedure for weighting votes by eligible participants. NMFS is soliciting additional public comment on this proposed rule and, particularly, comments on the vote-weighting options. The intended effect of this proposed rule is to implement the referendums consistent with the requirements of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act).

DATES: Comments must be received no later than 5 p.m., eastern time, on January 20, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on the proposed rule must be sent to Phil Steele, Southeast Regional Office, NMFS, 9721 Executive Center Drive N., St. Petersburg, FL 33702. Comments also may be sent via fax to 727–570–5583. Comments will not be accepted if submitted via e-mail or Internet.

Copies of supporting documentation for this proposed rule, which includes a regulatory impact review (RIR) and a Regulatory Flexibility Act Analysis (RFAA) are available from NMFS at the address above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Phil Steele, telephone: 727–570–5305, fax: 727–570–5583, e-mail: phil.steele@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The reef fish fishery in the exclusive economic zone (EEZ) of the Gulf of Mexico is managed under the Fishery Management Plan for the Reef Fish Resources of the Gulf of Mexico (FMP). The FMP was prepared by the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (Council) and is implemented under the authority of the Magnuson-Stevens Act by regulations at 50 CFR part 622.

The following is a restatement of the material contained in the original proposed rule, with minor changes regarding: Scheduling; date and location of the Council meeting where results of the initial referendum, if approved, would be presented; and clarification of an example stated in the original proposed rule regarding the landings categories (poundage ranges) to be used. See "Additional Alternatives for a Vote-

Weighting Formula," which follows this restatement of the original proposed rule, for a description of other vote-weighting alternatives that are under consideration and are provided for public comment. Restatement of the Original Proposed Rule Material.

Background

During the early to mid-1990s, the Council began development of an IFO program for the commercial red snapper fishery in the Gulf of Mexico. Development of this program involved extensive interaction with the fishing industry, other stakeholders, and the public through numerous workshops, public hearings, and Council meetings. The program was approved by NMFS and was scheduled for implementation in 1996. However, Congressional action in late 1995 prohibited implementation of any new IFQ programs in any U.S. fishery, including the Gulf of Mexico red snapper fishery, before October 2000. Subsequent Congressional action, passage of HR5666, incorporated this prohibition and related provisions into the 1996 amendments to the Magnuson-Stevens Act and ultimately extended the prohibition until October 1, 2002. However, HR5666 also provided authority to the Council to develop a profile for any fishery under its iurisdiction that may be considered for a quota management system.

Under Section 407(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, the Council is authorized to prepare and submit a plan amendment and regulations to implement an IFQ program for the commercial red snapper fishery, but only if certain conditions are met. First, the preparation of such a plan amendment and regulations must be approved in a referendum. If the result of the referendum is approval, the Council would be responsible for preparing any such plan amendment and regulations through the normal Council and rulemaking processes that would involve extensive opportunities for industry and public review and input at various Council meetings, public hearings, and during public comment periods on the plan amendment and regulations. Second, the submission of the plan amendment and regulations to the Secretary for review and approval or disapproval must be approved in a subsequent referendum. Both referendums must be conducted in accordance with Section 407(c)(2). Section 407(c)(2) also specifies that, "Prior to each referendum, the Secretary, in consultation with the Council, shall: (A) identify and notify all such persons holding permits with red snapper

endorsements and all such vessel captains; and (B) make available to all such persons and vessel captains information about the schedule, procedures, and eligibility requirements for the referendum and the proposed individual fishing quota program."

Purpose of This Proposed Rule and the Referendums

NMFS, in accordance with the provisions of Section 407(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, will conduct referendums to determine, based on the majority vote of eligible voters, whether a plan amendment and regulations to implement an IFQ program for the Gulf of Mexico commercial red snapper fishery should be prepared and, if so, whether any subsequently prepared plan amendment and regulations should be submitted to the Secretary for review and approval or disapproval. The primary purpose of this proposed rule is to notify potential participants in the referendums, and members of the public, of the procedures, schedule, and eligibility requirements that NMFS would use in conducting the referendums. The procedures and eligibility criteria used for purposes of conducting the referendums have no bearing on the procedures and eligibility requirements that might be applied in any future IFQ program that may be developed by the Council. The provisions of any proposed IFQ program would be developed independently by the Council through the normal plan amendment and rulemaking processes that would involve extensive opportunities for public review and comment during Council meetings, public hearings, and public comment on any proposed rule. There is no relation between eligibility to vote in the referendums, as described in this proposed rule, and any eligibility regarding a subsequent IFQ program.

Referendum Processes

Who Would Be Eligible to Vote in the Referendums?

Section 407(c)(2) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act establishes criteria regarding eligibility of persons to vote in the referendums. Those criteria are subject to various interpretations. After careful consideration of those criteria and the practicality and fairness of several possible interpretations, NMFS has determined that the following persons would be eligible to vote in the referendums.

(I) For the initial referendum:

(A) A person who according to NMFS permit records has continuously held their Gulf red snapper endorsement/

Class I license from September 1, 1996, through the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the final rule implementing these referendum procedures;

(B) In the case of a Class 1 license that has been transferred through sale since September 1, 1996, the person that according to NMFS' permit records holds such Class 1 license as of the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the final rule implementing these referendum procedures;

(C) In the case of a Class 1 license that has been transferred through lease since September 1, 1996, both the final lessor and final lessee as of the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the final rule implementing these referendum procedures, as determined by NMFS' permit records; and

(D) A vessel captain who harvested red snapper under a red snapper endorsement in each red snapper commercial fishing season occurring between January 1, 1993, and September 1, 1996.

(II) For the second referendum:

(A) A person who according to NMFS permit records has continuously held their Gulf red snapper endorsement/ Class I license from September 1, 1996 through the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of a subsequent notice announcing the second referendum;

(B) In the case of a Class 1 license that has been transferred through sale since September 1, 1996, the person that according to NMFS' permit records holds such Class 1 license as of the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of a subsequent notice announcing the second referendum:

(C) In the case of a Class 1 license that has been transferred through lease since September 1, 1996, both the final lessor and final lessee as of the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of a subsequent notice announcing the second referendum, as determined by NMFS' permit records; and

(D) A vessel captain who harvested red snapper under a red snapper endorsement in each red snapper commercial fishing season occurring between January 1, 1993, and September 1, 1996.

A person would only receive voting eligibility under one of the eligibility criteria, *i.e.*, a person would not receive dual voting eligibility by being both a qualifying vessel captain and a qualifying holder of an endorsement/ Class I license.

NMFS will have sufficient information in the Southeast Regional Office fisheries permit database to identify those persons who would be eligible to vote in the referendums based

on their having held a red snapper endorsement/Class 1 license during the required periods. However, NMFS did not have sufficient information to identify vessel captains whose eligibility would be based on the harvest of red snapper under a red snapper endorsement in each red snapper commercial fishing season occurring between January 1, 1993, and September 1, 1996. To obtain that information, NMFS prepared and distributed a fishery bulletin that described the general referendum procedures and provided a 20-day period (ending August 18, 2003) for submittal of detailed information by those vessel captains. That fishery bulletin was widely distributed to all Gulf reef fish permitees, including dealers, and to major fishing organizations, state fisheries directors, and others. Information received from that solicitation would be used to identify vessel captains whose eligibility to vote in the referendums is based on the red snapper harvest criterion.

How Would Votes Be Weighted?

Section 407(c)(2) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act requires that NMFS develop a formula to weight votes based on the proportional harvests under each eligible endorsement and by each eligible captain between the period January 1, 1993, and September 1, 1996. NMFS would obtain applicable red snapper landings data from the Southeast Fisheries Science Center reef fish logbook database. Information from NMFS' Southeast Regional Office permit database would be used to assign total applicable landings to each eligible voter (red snapper endorsement/Class 1 license holder, lessee/lessor, or vessel captain).

The weighting procedure is complicated somewhat by requirements to protect the confidentiality of landings data, when the applicable landings history involves landings by different entities. To address confidentiality concerns, NMFS would establish a series of categories (ranges) of red snapper landings based on 5,000-lb (2,268-kg) intervals, e.g., 0-5,000 lb (0-2,268 kg); 5,001–10,000 lb (2,268–4,536 kg); etc., concluding with the interval that includes the highest documented landings. Each eligible voter's total landings between the period January 1, 1993, and September 1, 1996, would be attributed to the appropriate category. The overall average landings attributed to each category would be determined. That average number of pounds would be the vote-weighting factor, i.e., one vote for each such pound, for each eligible voter whose landings fall within that category. For example, if the overall average number of pounds attributed to the 5,001–10,000-lb (2,268–4,536-kg) category is 8,150 lb (3,697 kg), each eligible voter within that category would receive 8,150 votes.

How Would the Vote Be Conducted?

On or about January 23, 2004, NMFS would mail each eligible voter a ballot that would specify the number of votes (weighting) that that voter is assigned. NMFS would mail the ballots and associated explanatory information, via certified mail return receipt requested, to the address of record indicated in NMFS' permit database for endorsement/Class I license holders and, for vessel captains, to the address provided to NMFS by the captains during the prior information solicitation that ended August 18, 2003. All votes assigned to an eligible voter must be cast for the same decision, i.e., either all to approve or all to disapprove the applicable referendum question. The ballot must be signed by the eligible voter. Ballots must be mailed to Phil Steele, Southeast Regional Office, NMFS, 9721 Executive Center Drive N., St. Petersburg, FL 33702. Ballots for the initial referendum must be received at that address by 4:30 p.m., eastern time, February 27, 2004; ballots received after that deadline would not be considered in determining the outcome of the initial referendum. Although it would not be required, voters may want to consider submitting their ballots by registered mail.

How Would the Outcome of the Referendums Be Determined?

Vote counting would be conducted by NMFS. Approval or disapproval of the referendums would be determined by a majority (i.e., a number greater than half of a total) of the votes cast. NMFS would prepare a fishery bulletin announcing the results of each referendum that is conducted and would distribute the bulletin to all Gulf reef fish permitees, including dealers, and to other interested parties. The results would also be posted on NMFS' Southeast Regional Office's Web site at http://caldera.sero.nmfs.gov.

What Would Happen After the Initial Referendum?

NMFS would present the results of the initial referendum at the March 8– 11, 2004, Council meeting in Mobile, AL. If the initial referendum fails, the Council cannot proceed with preparation of a plan amendment and regulations to implement an IFQ program for the commercial red snapper fishery in the Gulf of Mexico. If the initial referendum is approved, the Council would be authorized, if it so decides, to proceed with development of a plan amendment and regulations to implement an IFQ program for the commercial red snapper fishery in the Gulf of Mexico. The proposed IFQ program would be developed through the normal Council and rulemaking processes that would involve extensive opportunities for industry and public review and input at various Council meetings, public hearings, and during public comment periods on the plan amendment and regulations. The plan amendment and regulations could only be submitted to the Secretary for review and approval or disapproval if in a second referendum approval of the submission was passed by a majority of the votes cast by the eligible voters as described in this proposed rule. NMFS would announce any required second referendum by publishing a notice in the Federal Register that would provide all pertinent information regarding the referendum. Any second referendum would be conducted in conformance with Section 407(c)(2) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act and the provisions outlined in this proposed rule.

Background Information About a Potential IFQ Program

In anticipation of the October 2002 expiration of the Congressional moratorium on development of IFQ programs, and recognizing that HR5666 provided the Council the authority to develop a profile for any fishery that may be considered for a quota management system, some members of the commercial red snapper fishery requested that the Council develop an IFQ profile for the fishery. Based on that request, the Council convened an Ad Hoc Red Snapper Advisory Panel (AHRSAP), comprised of participants in the commercial red snapper fishery and other individuals knowledgeable about the fishery and/or IFQ programs, to develop a profile. This profile, later referred to as an Individual Transferable Quota (ITQ) Options Paper for the Problems Identified in the Gulf of Mexico Red Snapper Fishery, provides background information about historical management of the red snapper fishery, problems in the fishery, management goals, and issues and management alternatives associated with a potential IFQ/ITQ program. The profile addresses such issues as: ITQ units of measurement (percentage of quota or pounds of red snapper), duration of ITQ rights, set-aside for non-ITQ catches under current commercial quota, actions to be taken if the quota increases or decreases, types of ITQ share

certificates, initial allocation of ITQ shares and annual coupons (including eligibility, apportionment, transferability of landings histories, etc.), possible controls on ownership and transfer of ITQ shares, whether to include a "use it or lose it" provision, disposition of unused or sanctioned ITQ shares and coupons, possible landings restrictions, monitoring of ITQ share certificates and annual coupons, quota tracking, an appeals process, and size limit changes.

This profile represents an outline of an IFQ program as envisioned by the AHRSAP, with input from the Council—it does not reflect any final decisions by the Council regarding the structure of a proposed IFQ program for the red snapper commercial fishery. The Council may consider the options in the profile, and perhaps a variety of other options, if it chooses to pursue development of an IFQ program for the fishery. However, for purposes of the initial referendum, the Council intentionally refrained from adopting the profile. Any subsequent development of a proposed IFQ program for the red snapper commercial fishery would be conducted through the normal Council and Federal rulemaking processes that ensure numerous opportunities for review and comment by industry participants and members of the public.

Additional Alternatives for a Vote-Weighting Formula

On October 27, 2003, NMFS published a proposed rule that described procedures and eligibility requirements for participating in referendums regarding a potential individual fishing quota (IFQ) program for the Gulf of Mexico commercial red snapper fishery; comments were requested through November 12, 2003 (68 FR 61178). Public comment received on that October 27, 2003, proposed rule expressed concern about the voteweighting procedure, and specifically objected to allowing both a qualified lessor and qualified lessee fully weighted votes, resulting in double counting. In response to those public comments, NMFS is issuing a second proposed rule to include a broader range of potential options for weighting votes. NMFS is seeking public input regarding these or other options.

NMFS evaluated several additional alternatives for a vote-weighting formula for the IFQ referendums. In addition to the one vote per-participant-per-pound approach specified in the initial proposed rule (68 FR 61178) each alternative below is based on varying the vote-per-pound weighting by

specified eligible voting class based on their respective involvement in the fishery.

NMFS is expressly seeking comments as to alternative approaches for weighting votes, whether they focus on the following or propose entirely new alternatives not addressed below.

The following alternatives are not necessarily mutually exclusive and were considered individually and in combinations. For the purpose of these alternatives, the term "license" refers to a Class I license and/or endorsement, consistent with the context of Section 407(c)(2) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act.

(1) Allocating one half (or some other fraction) of a vote per qualifying pound to the qualifying historical vessel captain. Section 407(c)(2) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act specifically identifies such vessel captains as eligible to vote in the referendums; thus, implicitly it acknowledges the need for some level of multiple counting, unless all current license holders, whose license was previously fished by a qualifying historical captain, are subject to the same pound to vote ratio (see alternative 5 below). Fractionalizing historical captains' pound to vote ratio would reduce the impact of weighting multiple votes by the pounds from a single license's landings history, but this option alone would not eliminate such multiple counting of landings associated with a single license;

(2) Allocating one half vote (or some other fraction) per qualifying pound to both the lessor and lessee license holders to avoid double counting of the associated poundage. As is the case with historical captains, using this option alone would not eliminate the multiple counting of poundage associated with a single license. However, in combination with some fractionalization of historical captain weighting and associated reductions in lessor/lessee proportional votes, it would eliminate such multiple

counting (see alternative 5); (3) Allocating one vote per pound of landings to both lessors and lessees, while allocating two votes per pound to license holders who are not involved in lease arrangements with their license. This option addresses the multiple counting of landings by allowing all poundage to be counted at least twice, which while actually increasing the quantity of pounds multiple counted would provide the same treatment for virtually all poundage. This would result in increasing the voting weight of landings associated with non-leased licenses to the same level as the landings associated with leased licenses, i.e., all pounds would be counted at least twice;

(4) Votes could be weighted based on an individual's level of participation in the fishery, measured by length of time they held a license. This could be applied to all license holders, or some portion thereof, such as only lessors and lessees, and would prorate the respective weight of a vote based on the number of years of participation in the fishery. For example, using the 3-year time period established for historical captain eligibility, a participant could be awarded one vote per pound if they held a license for 3 or more years, twothirds of a vote per pound if they held a license for less than 3 but at least 2 years, and one-third of a vote if they held it less than 2 years. Once again, this would not eliminate multiple counting of poundage, but would increase the weighting factor for longerterm participants in the fishery;

(5) The total allowable weighted votes allocated to participants in each referendum could be capped by the total number of pounds harvested, which would eliminate all multiple counting of poundage. Then all participants with eligibility tied to a particular license would have their vote weighted at a ratio equal to all other participants associated with that license, so that their combined vote would be equal to one vote per one pound of landings associated with that license. For example, if a historical captain is eligible based on his landings under a specific license during the relevant time period, and that license is now held by a license holder who is not involved with lease arrangements with that license, but who is not the same historical captain, then each would get one-half of a vote per pound of landings associated with the license. In this example, should the current holder lease the same license, then each participant would have their vote weighted as one-third of a vote per pound, so that their combined vote would equal the total number of pounds associated with the license. While this option would eliminate all multiple counting, it is not directly tied to participation in the fishery.

Classification

This proposed rule has been determined to be not significant for purposes of Executive Order 12866.

The Chief Counsel for Regulation of the Department of Commerce certified to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration that this proposed rule, if adopted, would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The basis for this certification follows. The Magnuson-Stevens Act, as amended, provides the statutory basis for the proposed rule. The proposed rule would implement up to two referendums on a potential Individual Fishing Quota (IFQ) for the commercial red snapper fishery in the Gulf of Mexico, consistent with the requirements of the Magnuson-Stevens Act. The primary purpose of this proposed rule is to notify potential participants in the referendums, and members of the public, of the procedures, schedule, and eligibility requirements that NMFS would use in conducting the referendums.

One hundred and thirty-seven entities have been identified as having a vessel permit with a red snapper Class 1 license during the specified eligibility time frame and, therefore, qualify for participation in the referendums. Approximately 37 of these licenses are currently being fished on vessels operated by other entities through lease arrangements. One additional vessel captain has been identified as a referendum qualifier. Although the number of Class 1 licenses and vessel captains is known with certainty, lease arrangements may be subject to cancellation prior to a referendum such that the total number of eligible entities due to lease arrangements is not known with certainty. Although new lease arrangements are also a possibility, such that the number of lease arrangements could increase from the current total, increased leasing is not expected since this would dilute the voting power of the Class 1 license holder, absent control over the subsequent vote by the lessee. Thus, it is expected that the number of lease qualifiers will decline by some unknown amount. Assuming, however, that all current qualifiers maintain their status, the total number of entities that qualify for participation in the referendum is 175.

The total red snapper fishery is valued at approximately \$10 million in ex-vessel revenue on an annual basis. Although participants in this fishery do not harvest red snapper exclusively, among those vessels that target red snapper (as determined by whether the revenues from red snapper on an individual trip were greater than the revenues from any other individual species), approximately 57 percent of annual revenues for these vessels came from red snapper sales. If all qualifiers target red snapper and all red snapper ex-vessel revenues are attributed to these participants, and assuming red snapper revenues equal 57 percent of total commercial revenues for these participants, the average ex-vessel revenue per entity is approximately \$100,000 ((\$10 million/0.57)/175). If evaluated over the number of Class 1 licenses (137), the appropriate average revenue is approximately \$128,000. Although it is logical to assume that the qualifiers target red snapper, these estimates are biased high since all red snapper revenues cannot be attributed to either categories of entities. Thus, the average ex-vessel revenue per entity is less than either figure.

All referendum qualifiers that would be directly affected by the proposed rule are commercial fishing operations. The Small Business Administration defines a small business that engages in commercial fishing

as a firm with receipts up to \$3.5 million. Based on the revenue profile provided above, all commercial entities that would qualify for participation in the referendums are considered small entities. Since all qualifying entities would be affected by the proposed rule, it is concluded that the proposed rule would affect a substantial number of small entities.

The outcome of "significant economic impact" can be ascertained by examining two issues: disproportionality and profitability. The disproportionality question is: Do the regulations place a substantial number of small entities at a significant competitive disadvantage to large entities? Since all the entities that would be affected by the proposed rule are considered small entities, the issue of disproportionality does not arise in the present case.

The profitability question is: Do the regulations significantly reduce profit for a substantial number of small entities? Since the proposed rule would not directly affect fishery participation or harvest in any way, it would not reduce business profit for any fishery participants or related businesses. Profits are, therefore, not expected to be

significantly reduced by the proposed action. On this basis, the proposed rule may be adjudged not to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

As a result, an initial regulatory flexibility analysis was not prepared. Copies of the RIR and Regulatory Flexibility Act Analysis are available (see ADDRESSES).

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person is required to respond to nor shall a person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) unless that collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number.

This rule contains collection-ofinformation requirements subject to the PRA which have been approved by OMB under control number 0648–0477. Public reporting burden is estimated to average 10 minutes for a response to an initial referendum regarding preparation of an IFQ program; 20 minutes for a response to a subsequent referendum; and 10 minutes per response for any information request regarding vessel captains, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate, or any other aspect of this data collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to NMFS and OMB (see ADDRESSES).

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.

Dated: December 23, 2003.

Rebecca J. Lent,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 03-32034 Filed 12-23-03; 3:17 pm]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P