and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Learia Williams, Compliance Assessment and Media Programs Division (Mail Code 2223A), Office of Compliance, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 564–4113; fax number: (202) 564–0050; e-mail address: williams.learia@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA has submitted the following ICR to OMB for review and approval according to the procedures prescribed in 5 CFR 1320.12. On May 25, 2004 (69 FR 29718), EPA sought comments on this ICR pursuant to CFR 1320.8(d). EPA received no comments.

EPA has established a public docket for this ICR under Docket ID Number OECA-2004-0012, which is available for public viewing at the Enforcement and Compliance Docket and Information Center in the EPA Docket Center (EPA/ DC), EPA West, Room B102, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the Enforcement and Compliance Docket and Information Center Docket is: (202) 566-1752. An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA Dockets (EDOCKET) at http://www.epa.gov/edocket. Use EDOCKET to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. When in the system, select "search," then key in the docket ID number identified above.

Any comments related to this ICR should be submitted to EPA and OMB within 30 days of this notice. EPA's policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing in EDOCKET as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, Confidential Business Information (CBI), or other information whose public disclosure is restricted by statute. When EPA identifies a comment containing copyrighted material, EPA will provide a reference to that material in the version of the comment that is placed in EDOCKET. The entire printed comment,

including the copyrighted material, will be available in the public docket. Although identified as an item in the official docket, information claimed as CBI, or whose disclosure is otherwise restricted by statute, is not included in the official public docket, and will not be available for public viewing in EDOCKET. For further information about the electronic docket, see EPA's Federal Register notice describing the electronic docket at 67 FR 38102 (May 31, 2002), or go to https://www.epa.gov/edocket.

Title: NSPS for the Graphic Arts Industry (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart QQ) (Renewal).

Abstract: The New Source
Performance Standards (NSPS) for
subpart QQ were proposed on October
28, 1980, and promulgated on
November 8, 1982. These standards
apply to each publication rotogravure
printing press (not including proof
presses) commencing construction,
modification or reconstruction after the
date of proposal. This information is
being collected to assure compliance
with 40 CFR part 60, subpart QQ.

Owners or operators subject to this subpart are required to establish and maintain records, make reports, install, use and maintain monitoring equipment or methods as required, and provide other information as EPA may deem necessary. Owners or operators of the affected facilities described have certain notification, reporting, and recordkeeping requirements that are mandatory for compliance under this rule. These are a one-time-only notification of the actual dates of startup, keeping records of monthly emissions calculations, and reporting of the initial performance test. Any owner or operator subject to the provisions of this part will maintain a file of these measurements, and retain the file for at least two years following the date of such reports and records.

Notifications are used to inform the Agency or delegated authority when a source becomes subject to the standard. The reviewing authority may then inspect the source to check if the pollution control devices are properly installed and operated and the standard is being met. Performance test reports are needed as these are the Agency's records of a source's initial capability to comply with the emission standard.

All reports are sent to the delegated state or local authority. In the event that there is no such delegated authority, the reports are sent directly to the EPA regional office.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15, and are identified on the form and/or instrument, if applicable.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 37 (rounded) hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Owners/operators of Graphic Arts Industry.

Estimated Number of Respondents:

Frequency of Response: Semiannually, initially.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 1.718.

Estimated Total Annual Costs: \$108,663, which includes \$0 annualized capital/startup costs, \$0 O & M costs, and \$108,663 annual labor costs.

Changes in the Estimates: There is a decrease of 2,153 hours in the total estimated burden currently identified in the OMB Inventory of Approved ICR Burdens. This decrease in the burden from the most recently approved ICR is due to a more accurate estimate of existing and anticipated new sources.

Dated: August 2, 2004.

Oscar Morales.

Director, Collection Strategies Division. [FR Doc. 04–19150 Filed 8–19–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6654-9]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental

Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in the **Federal Register** dated April 2, 2004 (69 FR 17403).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D–CGD–G39040–LA Rating EC2, Gulf Landing Deepwater Port License Application for Construction of a Deepwater Port and Associated Anchorages in the Gulf of Mexico, South of Cameron, LA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with the proposed action and asked for additional information regarding air quality, cumulative impacts and mitigation be included in the Final EIS.

ERP No. D–DOE–K08029–00 Rating EC2, Imperial-Mexicali 230-kV Transmission Lines, Construct a Double-Circuit 230–kV Transmission Line, Presidential Permit and Right-of-Way Grants, Imperial Valley Substation to Calexico at the U.S.-Mexico Border, Imperial County, CA and U.S.-Mexico Border.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns regarding air quality impacts, particularly ozone formation, in Imperial County from the related Mexicali power plants; and cumulative impacts to water quality. ERP No. D-IBR-K39085-CA Rating

ERP No. D–IBR–K39085–CA Rating EC2, San Joaquin River Exchange Contractors Water Authority—2005 to 2014, Water Transfer Program, Stanislaus, San Joaquin, Merced, Madera, Fresno, San Benito, Santa Clara, Kern, and Kings Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns related to impacts to water quality and agricultural drainage, irrigated lands conditional waivers and restoration issues.

ERP No. D–NPS–D65030–VA Rating LO, Petersburg National Battlefield General Management Plan, Implementation, Petersburg, VA.

Summary: EPA expressed lack of objections with the proposed action.

ÉRP No. DS-BLM-K67050-NV Rating EC2, Pipeline/South Pipeline Pit Expansion Project, Updated Information on Modifying the Extending Plan of Operations (Plan), Gold Acres Mining District, Launder County, NV.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding potential impacts to pit lake water quality, wildlife, heap leach pad stability in the earth fissure-prone area, and air quality; and uncertainties regarding feasible mitigation measures, reclamation bonding, and the long-term contingency fund. EPA requested additional information regarding pit lake water quality, ecological risk assessment, air quality modeling, hazardous air pollutants, mitigation measures and the long-term contingency fund.

Final EISs

ERP No. F–AFS–D65029–PA, Spring Creek Project Area (SCPA), To Achieve and Maintain Desired Conditions, Allegheny National Forest, Marienville Ranger District, Elk and Forest Counties, PA.

Summary: The FEIS has adequately addressed EPA's concerns.

ERP No. F-AFS-L65444-OR, Eyerly Fire Salvage Project, Burned and Damaged Trees Salvage, Reforestation and Fuels Treatment, Implementation, Deschutes National Forest, Sisters Ranger District, Jefferson County, OR.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–BLM–J02041–WY, Desolation Flats Natural Gas Field Development Project, Drilling Additional Development Wells, Carbon and Sweetwater Counties, WY.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–FAA–F51046–MN, Flying Cloud Airport Expansion, Extensions of the Runway 10R/28L and 10L/28R, Long-Term Comprehensive Development, In the City of Eden Prairie, MN.

Summary: EPA has no objection to the proposed action provided mitigation measures are included in the Record of Decision.

ERP No. F–FRC–L05231–AK, Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve, Falls Creek Hydroelectric Project (FERC. NO. 11659) and Land Exchange Project, Issuance of License and Land Exchange, Kahtaheena River (Falls Creek) near Gustavus in Southeastern, AK.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. FS-AFS-L39057-OR, Rimrock Ecosystem Restoration Projects, New Information on the Commercial and Non-commercial Thinning Treatments in the C3 Management Area, Umatilla National Forest, Heppner Ranger District, Grant, Morrow and Wheeler Counties, OR.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: August 17, 2004.

Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 04–19147 Filed 8–19–04; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6654-8]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements filed August 9, 2004 through August 13, 2004 pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 040380, FINAL EIS, COE, CA, Napa River Salt Marsh Restoration Project, Salinity Reduction and Habitat Restoration in the Napa River Unit, San Pablo Bay, Napa and Solano Counties, CA. Wait Period Ends: September 20, 2004. Contact: Shirlin Tolle (415) 977–8467.

EIS No. 040381, FINAL EIS, COE, AL, Choctaw Point Terminal Project, Construction and Operation of a Container Handling Facility, Department of the Army (DA) Permit Issuance, Mobile County, AL. Wait Period Ends: September 20, 2004. Contact: Dr. Susan Ivester Rees (251) 694–4141.

EIS No. 040382, DRAFT EIS, FHW, CA, Bautista Canyon Road Project, California Forest Highway 224, Improvements between Florida Avenue (CA-74) and CA-371, Special-Use-Permit, NPDES Permit, U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permit, Riverside County, CA. Due: October 4, 2004. Contact: Stephen Hallisy (720) 963-3685.

EIS No. 040383, DRAFT EIS, FTA, WA, Adoption—WA–104 Edmonds Crossing, Connecting Ferries, Buses and Rails, Funding, NPDES Permit and U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits Issuance, City of Edmonds, Snohomish County, WA. Contact: Jennifer Bowman (206) 220–7933.

The U.S. Department of Transportation's (DOT's) Federal Transit Administration (FTA) has adopted DOT's Federal Highway Administration DEIS #980063, filed on 03/02/1998. FTA was a Cooperating Agency on the DEIS, Recirculation of the DEIS is Not Necessary under Section 1506.3(c) of the CEQ Regulations. FTA will be a