

February 1, 2003 through January 31, 2004.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 29, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Alex Villanueva, AD/CVD Operations, Group III, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3208.

#### Time Limits

##### Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department of Commerce ("the Department") to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month.

##### Background

On March 26, 2004, the Department published a notice of initiation of a review of heavy forged hand tools ("HFHTs") from the PRC covering the period February 1, 2003 through January 31, 2004. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 69 FR 15788 (March 26, 2004).

##### Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results

Completion of the preliminary results of this review within the 245-day period is not practicable. This review covers three companies, and to conduct the sales and factor analyses for each requires the Department to gather and analyze a significant amount of information pertaining to each company's sales practices, manufacturing methods and corporate relationships. The Department is also extending the preliminary results because additional time is needed to determine whether the reporting methodology submitted by these respondents is appropriate. In addition, the Department is analyzing issues related to scope exclusions of certain products.

Therefore, given the number and complexity of issues in this case, and in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of

the Act, we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of review by 120 days until February 28, 2005. The final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: October 25, 2004.

**Jeffrey A. May,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E4-2914 Filed 10-28-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-533-809]

#### Stainless Steel Flanges from India: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the due date for the preliminary results of review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel flanges from India from October 31, 2004, to February 28, 2005.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 29, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Fred Baker or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-2924 or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On February 9, 1994, the Department published the antidumping duty order on stainless steel flanges from India. See *Amended Final Determination and Antidumping Duty Order; Certain Forged Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Flanges from India*, 59 FR 5994 (February 9, 1994). On February 27, 2004, Echjay Forgings and the Viraj Group, producers of the subject merchandise, requested reviews of their U.S. sales during the period February 1, 2003, through January 31, 2004. On March 26, 2004, the Department published a notice initiating the requested reviews. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty*

*Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 69 FR 15788, (March 26, 2004).

#### Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

The Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), at section 351(a)(3)(A), provides that the Department will issue the preliminary results of an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Act provides further that if the Department determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, the Department may extend the 245-day period to 365 days.

The Department has determined that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results by the current 245-day deadline of October 31, 2004. There are a number of discrepancies in the submitted data that require additional information and analysis. These discrepancies pertain, *inter alia*, to control numbers, customer categories, and claimed adjustments (e.g., duty drawback). We require additional time to analyze the questionnaire responses and issue supplemental questionnaires. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), the Department is extending the time limit for the preliminary results by 120 days to February 28, 2005.

This notice of postponement is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: October 18, 2004.

**Jeffrey A. May,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E4-2915 Filed 10-28-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

### Denial of Commercial Availability Request under the Andean Trade Promotion and Drug Eradication Act (ATPDEA)

October 26, 2004.

**AGENCY:** The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

**ACTION:** Denial of the request alleging that certain polyester monofilament yarn, for use in women's and children's apparel, cannot be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial