

lighting is normally supplemented with a direct source of good lighting at intensity deemed appropriate by the inspector. Inspection aids such as mirror, magnifying lenses, etc., may be used. Surface cleaning and elaborate access procedures may be required."

#### Optional Terminating Action

(j) Replacing the aluminum alloy gland, P/N 200920604, with a new steel gland nut, P/N 200920639, in accordance with the applicable service bulletin, terminates the requirements of this AD.

#### Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(k) The Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

#### Related Information

(l) British airworthiness directive 008-06-003 also addresses the subject of this AD.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 9, 2004.

**Kevin M. Mullin,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 04-16682 Filed 7-21-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2002-NM-224-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A320-211, -212, -214, -232 and -233 Series Airplanes and Model A321-211, -231 and -232 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; withdrawal.

**SUMMARY:** This action withdraws a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) that proposed a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Airbus Model A320-211, -212, -214, -232, and -233 series airplanes and Model A321-211, -231, and -232 series airplanes. That action would have required a one-time ultrasonic inspection of certain floor crossbeams to determine if they are of nominal thickness; and a structural modification to reinforce any crossbeam that is not of nominal thickness. Since the issuance of the NPRM, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has received new data showing that all airplanes subject to the NPRM have already been inspected and all incorrect crossbeams

modified as required, which makes the NPRM unnecessary. Accordingly, the proposed rule is withdrawn.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dan Rodina, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2125; fax (425) 227-1149.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to add a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Airbus Model A320-211, -212, -214, -232, and -233 series airplanes and Model A321-211, -231, and -232 series airplanes, was published in the **Federal Register** as a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) on March 17, 2004 (69 FR 12596). The proposed rule would have required a one-time ultrasonic inspection of certain floor crossbeams to determine if they were of nominal thickness; and a structural modification to reinforce any crossbeam that was not of nominal thickness. That action was prompted by reports that an Airbus quality check revealed that, due to a process discrepancy during production, certain floor structural crossbeams were manufactured that were not of nominal thickness and were installed in certain airplanes before the discrepancy was discovered. The proposed actions were intended to prevent reduced structural integrity of the floor in the event of rapid depressurization or rapid vertical acceleration.

#### Actions That Occurred Since the NPRM Was Issued

Since the issuance of the NPRM, the FAA has received reports from Airbus indicating that all airplanes listed in the applicability section of the NPRM (corresponding to paragraph 1.A., "Effectivity," of Airbus Service Bulletin A320-53A1162, including Appendix 01 and Appendix 02, dated June 25, 2002) have been inspected and all incorrect crossbeam fittings have been found and modified in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A320-53A1163, dated June 25, 2002.

#### FAA's Conclusions

Upon further consideration, the FAA has determined that all airplanes subject to the proposed rule have already been inspected and repaired as needed and the proposed rule has become unnecessary. Accordingly, the proposed rule is hereby withdrawn.

Withdrawal of this NPRM constitutes only such action, and does not preclude the agency from issuing another action

in the future, nor does it commit the agency to any course of action in the future.

#### Regulatory Impact

Since this action only withdraws a notice of proposed rulemaking, it is neither a proposed nor a final rule and therefore is not covered under Executive Order 12866, the Regulatory Flexibility Act, or DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979).

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

#### The Withdrawal

Accordingly, the notice of proposed rulemaking, Docket 2002-NM-224-AD, published in the **Federal Register** on March 17, 2004 (69 FR 12596), is withdrawn.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 13, 2004.

**Kalene C. Yanamura,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 04-16683 Filed 7-21-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2004-18660; Directorate Identifier 2003-NM-161-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; Raytheon (Beech) Model MU-300-10, 400, 400A, and 400T Series Airplanes; and Raytheon (Mitsubishi) Model Beech MU-300 Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Raytheon (Beech) Model MU-300-10, 400, 400A, and 400T series airplanes; and certain Raytheon (Mitsubishi) Model Beech MU-300 airplanes. This proposed AD would require a one-time inspection of certain panels in the spoiler mixer bay for the presence of drain holes, and the addition of at least one new drain hole; and a one-time inspection for discrepancies of the sealant on the relief cutout on the aft pressure bulkhead, and on certain baffles; and corrective actions