

the amount contributed by each to the support of the claimed dependent.

[T.D. 6500, 25 FR 11402, Nov. 26, 1960, as amended by T.D. 6603, 28 FR 7094, July 11, 1963; T.D. 8989, 67 FR 20031, Apr. 24, 2002; T.D. 9040, 68 FR 4920, Jan. 31, 2003]

§ 1.152-4 Support test in case of child of divorced or separated parents.

(a) *Applicability.* For taxable years beginning after December 31, 1966, the provisions of section 152(e) and this section relate to a determination of which of separated parents (that is, parents who are divorced or legally separated under a decree of divorce or separate maintenance, or separated under a written separation agreement) is to be treated for purposes of section 152(a) and § 1.152-1 as having provided more than half of the support of a child, as defined in section 151(e)(3) and § 1.151-3(a). For section 152(e) and this section to apply either parent or both parents combined must provide more than one-half of the child's total support, within the meaning of § 1.152-1(a)(2)(i) during the calendar year in which the taxable year of the parent who is claiming the child as a dependent begins; and such child must be in the custody of one or both of his parents for more than one-half of the calendar year. Thus, section 152(e) and this section do not apply if a person other than the parents provides one-half or more for the support of such child during the calendar year or has custody of the child for one-half or more of the calendar year. In addition, section 152(e) and this section do not apply in any case where over half of the support of the child is treated as having been received from a taxpayer pursuant to a multiple support agreement under the provisions of section 152(c) and § 1.152-3. Nor does section 152(e) and this section apply to a period for which a joint return signed by both parents is filed.

(b) *Custody.* "Custody," for purposes of this section, will be determined by the terms of the most recent decree of divorce or separate maintenance, or subsequent custody decree, or, if none, a written separation agreement. In the event of so-called "split" custody, or if neither a decree or agreement establishes who has custody, or if the valid-

ity or continuing effect of such decree or agreement is uncertain by reason of proceedings pending on the last day of the calendar year, "custody" will be deemed to be with the parent who, as between both parents, has the physical custody of the child for the greater portion of the calendar year.

(c) *General rule.* For purposes of section 152(a) and § 1.152-1, a child shall be treated as receiving over half of his support during the calendar year from the parent (hereinafter referred to as the "custodial parent") having custody within the meaning of paragraph (b) of this section for a greater portion of the calendar year unless the exceptions of paragraph (d) of this section apply. If the parents of such a child are divorced or separated for only a portion of a calendar year after having had joint custody of the child for the prior portion of the year, the parent who has custody for the greater portion of the remainder of the year after divorce or separation shall be treated as having custody for a greater portion of the calendar year. Except as provided in section 152(e)(2)(A) and paragraph (d)(2) of this section (relating to decree or agreement) parents who are unable to enter into a multiple support agreement under section 152(c) cannot enter into an agreement as to which parent is entitled to claim a child as a dependent. Therefore, in general, the custodial parent shall be allowed as a deduction the exemption for the dependent child, if the requirements of section 151(e) are met.

(d) *Exceptions—(1) In general.* Notwithstanding paragraph (c) of this section, a child shall be treated as receiving over half of his support during the calendar year from the parent who is not the custodial parent (hereinafter referred to as the "noncustodial parent") if the conditions of subparagraph (2) or (3) of this paragraph are met.

(2) *Decree or agreement.* A noncustodial parent who provides at least \$600 for the support of a child during the calendar year shall be treated as having provided more than half the support of the child if the decree of divorce or of separate maintenance, or a written agreement between the parents applicable to the taxable year of the noncustodial parent beginning in such

calendar year, provides that the noncustodial parent shall be entitled to any deduction allowable under section 151 as an exemption for the dependent child. In order for this subparagraph to apply, the noncustodial parent must provide at least \$600 for the support of each child he claims as a dependent. For taxable years beginning after December 31, 1970, in the case of a written agreement or portion of a written agreement between the parents which allocates the deduction to the noncustodial parent, the noncustodial parent must attach to his return (or amended return) a copy of such agreement or such portion of such agreement which is applicable to the calendar year in which the taxable year of the noncustodial parent begins.

(3) *Actual support.* A noncustodial parent who provides \$1,200 or more support for the child (or, for taxable years beginning before October 5, 1976, if there is more than one child for which he claims an exemption, \$1,200 or more for the combined support for all of such children) shall be treated as having provided more than half the support for the child (or children) notwithstanding any provision to the contrary contained in a decree of divorce or separation or in a written agreement, unless the custodial parent clearly established that the custodial parent provided, in fact, more for the support of the child during the calendar year than the noncustodial parent. Under section 152(e)(2)(B) and this subparagraph, if the noncustodial parent established that the noncustodial parent has provided \$1,200 or more for support of the child, then the custodial parent has the burden of establishing by a clear preponderance of the evidence that the custodial parent has provided more for the support of the child than has been established by the noncustodial parent in order to be treated as having provided over half of the support of the child. See paragraph (e) of this section with regard to notification and submission of itemized statements.

(4) *Amount of support.* For purposes of this paragraph, amounts expended for the support of a child shall be treated as received from the noncustodial parent to the extent that the noncustodial parent provided amounts for the sup-

port of the child, whether or not such amounts provided by the noncustodial parent are actually expended for child support. Therefore, for example, if only the parents have provided support for the child during a calendar year, only the excess of the total amount expended for the support of the child over the amount so provided by the noncustodial parent shall be treated as provided by the custodial parent for the support of the child.

(e) *Itemized statement—(1) Exchange.*
(i) If a parent intends to claim for a taxable year a child as a dependent or a parent is uncertain whether he is entitled to claim a child and desires either to determine whether the second parent intends to or has claimed the same child as a dependent, or if the first parent desires to receive an itemized statement as provided in subparagraph (3) of this paragraph from the second parent, the first parent is entitled to receive such information from the second parent in writing upon request provided he both notifies the second parent of his intention (or possible intention) to so claim the child and sends the second parent a copy of such an itemized statement upon which the first parent's claim is based. A failure to make such a request shall not affect the right of the first parent to claim the child as a dependent. However, if the first parent makes such a request, and the second parent does not respond within a reasonable time, and it is determined that the first parent is not entitled to claim the child as a dependent, the inability of the first parent to obtain information will be taken into account in determining whether the addition to tax under section 6653, relating to failure to pay tax, is applicable.

(ii) Upon receipt of such a request accompanied by an itemized statement, if the second parent intends to claim (with respect to the calendar year in which such taxable year of the first parent begins) or has claimed the same child as a dependent, the second parent shall so inform the first parent, and if so requested shall send him a copy of the itemized statement upon which the second parent's claim is based. A notification under this subparagraph that

the parent is claiming or is not claiming the child as a dependent shall not affect the rights of the parent making such notification and does not constitute a waiver.

(2) *Attachment to return.* For taxable years beginning after December 31, 1970, if a parent intends to claim a child as a dependent and, prior to the filing of his return or the time prescribed by law for filing the return (determined without regard to any extension thereof), whichever is later, such parent makes or receives a request under the procedures provided under paragraph (e)(1) of this section, then unless he is reasonably certain that the other parent will not claim the child as a dependent, such parent must attach to his return (or if the return is already filed, to a corrected or amended return) a copy of the itemized statement upon which such parent's claim is based, as provided in subparagraph (3) of this paragraph, together with a copy of the other parent's itemized statement, if available, at the time the return is filed. Failure to attach an itemized statement to the extent required by this subparagraph will be taken into account in determining whether the addition to tax under section 6653, relating to failure to pay tax, is applicable in the event it is determined that the parent is not entitled to claim the child as a dependent.

(3) *Contents.* The itemized statement referred to in subparagraphs (1) and (2) of this paragraph shall include—

(i) The name of the child (or children) being claimed as a dependent as well as the name of both parents and, if known, the address and social security number of both parents;

(ii) If known, the number of months the dependent child (or children) lived during the calendar year in the home of each parent or person other than the parents;

(iii) If known, income for the taxable year of each dependent child;

(iv) If known, the total amount of support furnished the child (or children) (including amounts furnished by persons other than the parents);

(v) A list of amounts expended during the calendar year for the child (or children) made by the parent making the statement and itemized to show the

amounts expended for medical and dental care, food, shelter, clothing, education, recreation, and transportation;

(vi) Amounts actually paid by the parent making the statement during the calendar year for the support of the child (or children) pursuant to a decree of divorce or separate maintenance, or a written separation agreement; and

(vii) Other amounts paid or expended by the parent making the statement during the calendar year, for the support of the child (or children).

(4) *Requirement by officer.* Notwithstanding subparagraph (1), (2), or (3) of this paragraph, an internal revenue officer may require the submission of an itemized statement from either parent and may make it available to the other parent. Such itemized statement shall contain the information requested by the internal revenue officer and shall be filed within such reasonable time as may be designated by him. If the required statement is not furnished pursuant to the instructions of the internal revenue officer, the claim of support of the parent failing to comply with such requirement may be disallowed by the Internal Revenue Service.

(f) *Illustration of principles.* The application of the provisions of this section may be illustrated by the following examples:

Example (1). A, a child of B and C, who were divorced June 1, 1970, received \$1,000 for support during the calendar year 1970, of which \$400 was provided by B and \$300 was provided by C. No multiple support agreement was entered into. Prior to the divorce B and C jointly had custody of A, and for the remainder of 1970, B had custody of A for the months of October through December, while C had custody of A for the months of June through September. Since C had custody for 4 of the 7 months following the divorce, C is the custodial parent for 1970 and is treated as having provided over half of the support for A during 1970.

Example (2). Assume the same facts as in example (1) and that for the calendar year 1971, of \$1,000 support expended for A during 1971, \$400 was provided by B and \$300 was provided by C. Furthermore, assume that in addition to having custody of A for the months of October through December 1971, B had custody for the first 5 months of 1971. Since B had custody of A for a total of 8 months in 1971, B is the custodial parent for 1971 and is treated as having provided over half of the support for A during 1971.

Example (3). D received all of his support, \$1,000, during the calendar year 1970, from his parents E and F, who are separated under a written separation agreement. F had custody of D for the entire year of 1970, but under the agreement E was to provide \$600 for the support of D during 1970, and E is entitled to any deduction allowable under section 151 for the years 1970 and 1971. E, in fact, provides only \$550 for the support of D during 1970, but makes up the arrearage of \$50 early in 1971. Nevertheless, F is treated as having provided over half of the support for D during 1970.

Example (4). Assume the same facts as in example (3) and that F had custody of D for the entire year 1971, and of \$2,350 expended for the support of D during 1971, E provided \$650 while F provided \$1,700. Since under the written separation agreement E is entitled to any deduction allowable under section 151 for D for the year 1971 and E provided at least \$600 for the support of D, E is treated as having provided over half of the support of D, for 1971.

Example (5). G and H are legally separated under a decree of separate maintenance. G has custody of I, the child of G and H, for the entire year, and G and H enter into a written agreement that G is entitled to any deduction allowable under section 151 for I for the calendar year 1970. However, during 1970, of the \$2,000 provided for the support of I, H provided \$1,300 while G provided only \$700. H has provided more than \$1,200 for the support of I, and G cannot establish that G provided more for the support of I, than did H. Therefore, notwithstanding the agreement, since H does not have custody of I, H is treated as having provided over half of the support for I for 1970.

Example (6). J and K, the children of L and M, who are divorced, received a total of \$3,400 for the support of both during the calendar year 1970 from their parents. L, who has custody of J and K for the entire year 1970, provided \$1,800 for the support of both, while M, the noncustodial parent, provided \$1,600 for such support. Under the decree of divorce, M is entitled to any deduction allowable under section 151 for such children. Since M has provided at least \$600 for the support of each child, M is treated as having provided over half the support for J and K for 1970. Furthermore, as J and K are determined under section 152(e) and § 1.152-4 to be dependents of M for purposes of section 151(e), they are also considered to be dependents of M with respect to other provisions of the Code that are dependent upon such a determination for their operation. (For example, section 213.)

Example (7). N, O, and P are the children of divorced parents Q and R, both calendar year taxpayers. During calendar year 1976, the children received over half their support from Q and R. Q, who has custody of the three children for the entire year 1976, pro-

vided \$800 for the support of each of the three children. R, the noncustodial parent, provided \$2,700 during 1976 for the combined support of the three children under the terms of the decree of divorce. So, for calendar year 1976, although R, the noncustodial parent, did not provide support in the amount \$1,200 per child under paragraph (d)(3) of this section, R, the noncustodial parent, is treated as having provided more than half the support of each child during 1976, since R provided more than \$1,200 for the combined support of all the children and Q did not provide more for the support of either N, O, or P (\$800 per child) during 1976 than R provided during 1976 (\$900 per child).

Example (8). Assume the same facts that occurred in 1976 in example 7 also occurred in 1977. For 1977 R does not satisfy the \$1,200 support test under paragraph (d)(3) of this section because he has not provided \$1,200 support for each individual child N, O, or P for calendar year 1977. Therefore, R, the noncustodial parent, is not treated as having provided more than half the support of the children for calendar year 1977.

Example (9). A, B, and C, the children of divorced parents M and N, both calendar year taxpayers, receive all of their support, \$5,900, from their parents during the calendar year 1979. M has custody of A, B, and C and provides \$2,700 for their collective support during 1979. Pursuant to the terms of the decree of divorce N provided \$1,200 for the support of A, \$1,000 for the support of B, and \$1,000 for the support of C. Since N has provided \$1,200 or more for the support of A, and M has provided \$900 (\$2,700÷3) for the support of A during 1979, N is treated as having provided more than half the support for A during 1979. However, since N has not provided \$1,200 or more for the support of either B or C, N, the noncustodial parent, is not treated as having provided more than half the support of B or C during 1979.

[T.D. 7099, 36 FR 5337, Mar. 20, 1971, as amended by T.D. 7145, 36 FR 20039, Oct. 15, 1971; T.D. 7639, 44 FR 48674, Aug. 20, 1979]

§ 1.152-4T Dependency exemption in the case of a child of divorced parents, etc. (temporary).

(a) In general.

Q-1 Which parent may claim the dependency exemption in the case of a child of divorced or separated parents?

A-1 Provided the parents together would have been entitled to the dependency exemption had they been married and filing a joint return, the parent having custody of a child for the greater portion of the year (the custodial parent) will generally be entitled to the dependency exemption. This rule