

To Whom It May Concern

I/We fully support Static Control that the DMCA was not intended to apply to a printer and its supplies and certainly should not be interpreted to apply to a printer and its supplies.

I strongly disagree that any parallel of the toner cartridge recycling industry and the aftermarket manufacturing of its components with the Music or Movie industries the DMCA was intended to protect is absurd and unreasonable.

No patent or copyright should be granted or upheld that would allow a computer program to be embedded in either a printer or its consumable supplies that will prevent the owner of that printer from being able to choose between using either OEM, compatible, or recycled supplies.

If a computer program is installed in a printer or its supplies and is necessary for the proper operation of that printer then anyone should have the right to copy that program to allow the continued operation of that printer and the owners choice of supplies.

A printer manufacturer who attempts to prevent the use of its printer, with embedded computer programs, solely on the basis of source of supplies is in effect restricting trade and is involved in illegal activity.

Les Martenson
Fax-Pro, Calgary