Guidance for Industry

Pharmacogenomic Data Submissions — Companion Guidance

DRAFT GUIDANCE

This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 90 days of publication in the Federal Register of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit comments to the Division of Dockets Management (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the Federal Register.

For questions regarding this draft document contact (CDER) Federico Goodsaid, 301-796-1535 or (CBER) Raj Puri, 301-827-0471.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) National Center for Toxicological Research (NCTR) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH) August 2007 Procedural

Guidance for Industry Pharmacogenomic Data Submissions — Companion Guidance

Additional copies are available from: Office of Training and Communication Division of Drug Information, HFD-240 Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration 5600 Fishers Lane Rockville, MD 20857 (Tel) 301-827-4573 http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm and/or Office of Communication, Training and Manufacturers Assistance, HFM-40 Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration 1401 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852-1448 http://www.fda.gov/cber/guidelines.htm. (Tel) 800-835-4709 or 301-827-1800 and/or Office of Health and Industry Programs Division of Small Manufacturers Assistance (HFZ-220) Center for Devices and Radiological Health Food and Drug Administration 1350 Piccard Drive Rockville. MD 20850-4307 U.S.A. http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/ggpmain.html Email: dsma@cdrh.fda.gov Fax: 301.443.8818 (Tel) Manufacturers Assistance: 800.638.2041 or 301.443.6597 (Tel) International Staff Phone: 301.827.3993

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) National Center for Toxicological Research (NCTR) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH) August 2007 Procedural

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION1
II.	GENE EXPRESSION DATA FROM MICROARRAYS 2
А.	RNA Isolation, Handling, and Characterization2
2. 3. 4.	Pre-RNA Isolation Considerations2RNA Isolation from Tissues or Cells3RNA Isolation from Whole Blood and PBMCs4RNA Storage5RNA QC5Labeling Reactions6
C.	Hybridizations for Microarrays7
D.	Fluorescence Reader Settings for Microarrays
Е.	Differentially Expressed Genes9
F.	Biological Interpretation of Lists of Differentially Expressed Genes10
III.	GENOTYPING 11
А.	Genotyping Methods11
В.	DNA Isolation, Handling, and Characterization12
C.	Genotyping Report12
IV.	PROFICIENCY TESTING
V.	GENOMIC DATA IN CLINICAL STUDY REPORTS
VI.	GENOMIC DATA FROM NONCLINICAL TOXICOLOGY STUDIES 16
А.	Expanding the Selection Process Criteria17
В.	Characterization of a Particular Compound17
C.	General Scientific Discussion
VII.	DATA SUBMISSION FORMAT 18
А.	Submission Standard
В.	Microarray Gene Expression Data
C.	Clinical and Nonclinical Data19
APPE	NDIX I: EXPERIMENTAL SUMMARY TABLE (EXPSUMTABLE)
APPE	NDIX II: EXAMPLE—SUBMITTING A NONCLINICAL STUDY DATA

Guidance for Industry¹ Pharmacogenomic Data Submissions — Companion Guidance

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA's) current thinking on this topic. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if the approach satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. If you want to discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for implementing this guidance. If you cannot identify the appropriate FDA staff, call the appropriate number listed on the title page of this guidance.

13 14

1

2

3 4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17 18

19

I. INTRODUCTION

20 This guidance is intended to be used as a companion to the guidance *Pharmacogenomic Data*

21 Submissions (March 2005). It reflects experience gained since the issuance of that guidance with

voluntary genomic data submissions as well as with review by the FDA of numerous protocols

and data submitted under investigational new drug (IND) applications, new drug applications
 (NDAs), and biologics license applications (BLAs). The recommendations are intended to

24 (NDAS), and biologics license applications (BLAS). The recommendations are intended to 25 facilitate scientific progress in the field of pharmacogenomics and to facilitate the use of

26 pharmacogenomic data in drug development. The FDA believes that the recommendations made

in this companion guidance, together with the recommendations in the March 2005 guidance,

28 will benefit sponsors considering the submission of either voluntary genomic data submissions

29 or marketing submissions containing genomics data. As technology changes and more

30 experience is gained, these recommendations may be updated.

31

32 FDA's guidance documents, including this guidance, do not establish legally enforceable

33 responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should

For the purposes of this guidance, the term *drug* or *drug product* includes human drug and biological products.

Paperwork Reduction Act Public Burden Statement: According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, a collection of information should display a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0910-0557 (expires 12/31/2007). The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 10 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed and complete and review the information collection.

¹ This guidance has been prepared by the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), the National Center for Toxicological Research (NCTR) and the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER), in cooperation with the Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH) at the Food and Drug Administration.

be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or

36 recommended, but not required.

37

38

39 40

II. GENE EXPRESSION DATA FROM MICROARRAYS

41 The following methodological issues should be considered when submitting gene expression 42 data from microarrays. The recommendations made in this document apply to development of 43 microarray data that might be submitted in support of INDs, NDAs, and BLAs. For microarray 44 data supporting the clearance or approval of a diagnostic device, additional information beyond 45 these recommendations may be requested.

46 47

A. RNA Isolation, Handling, and Characterization

48 49 One of the most critical steps in performing RNA-based experiments such as microarray gene expression experiments is the isolation of high quality, intact RNA. To achieve this goal and 50 51 preserve sample integrity throughout the course of the experiment, some steps before and after 52 RNA purification should be carefully planned to ensure quality during isolation and confirm 53 high quality before use in a downstream application. A secondary goal is maximizing the yield 54 of RNA. In addition, storage and shipping conditions of samples can influence the stability of 55 RNA. Thus, it is very important to store the RNA under the best conditions to preserve the 56 integrity of the sample. Finally, we recommend that standard operating procedures (SOPs) be 57 established to ensure reproducibility of the RNA isolation method and RNA quality (e.g., see 58 http://www.fda.gov/nctr/science/centers/toxicoinformatics/maqc/docs/MAQC_Sample_Processi 59 ng_Overview_SOP.pdf). The following recommendations will help achieve these goals.

60 61

62 63

64

65

66

67

68

69

75 76

77

78

79

1. Pre-RNA Isolation Considerations

RNA is sensitive to degradation by RNase, which is ubiquitously present in living organisms. Thus, sample-handling issues should be addressed and methods for sample handling need to be assessed to ensure that the methods and their associated metrics are suitable for the purpose to which they are applied before embarking on RNA isolation from samples. We also recommend that any work areas and equipment to be used to generate data for submission studies be dedicated specifically for RNA isolation and other RNA-related work.

- RNase-free reagents and disposables/glassware: It is imperative to use RNase-free
 reagents and glassware for RNA isolation. Commercially available RNA isolation
 kits often provide these. It may be of value to confirm that RNase inactivation
 methods are functioning as expected prior to launching submission studies.
 - <u>RNA stabilizer(s)</u>: We recommend that the need for adding RNA stabilizing agents to samples/reagents be assessed and an appropriate RNA stabilizer be identified, and assessed for suitability in a pilot experiment.

	~
80	Batch size: We recommend that the maximal batch size for sample preparation be
81	determined to help identify and limit the time taken for the entire RNA isolation
82	process. Establishing an upper limit for batch size will reduce problems
83	encountered during the scaling-up process since long processing times can
84	jeopardize RNA integrity.
85	
86	Sample collection, storage and shipping conditions: there are numerous variables
87	that may affect sample reproducibility in microarray studies. We recommend that
88	the impact of the following variables on RNA quality be assessed. These include:
89	
90	 maximum and minimum sample dimensions
91	• volumes
92	• weights
93	
94	Additional important parameters include:
95	
96	• correct sampling technique per tissue/organ
97	 timing of sample dissection/processing time
98	 maximum allowed elapsed time between resection and stabilization of the
99	tissue
100	 stability of specimen in transport under recommended conditions
100	(temperature, duration, etc)
101	(temperature, duration, etc)
102	There may be other study specific peremeters to consider. For example, in
103	There may be other study-specific parameters to consider. For example, in
104	oncology studies we recommend that the percent tumor in the sample be determined.
	determined.
106	
107	
108	2 DNA Lastation from Times on Calls
109	2. RNA Isolation from Tissues or Cells
110	Treatment of colle on tissue complex prior to DNA isolation and comply handling
111	Treatment of cells or tissue samples prior to RNA isolation and careful handling
112	are necessary to preserve RNA. Several methods are available for successful
113	isolation of high quality RNA. A number of reagents are also available that aid in
114	preserving the quality of RNA. For example, an RNA stabilizer that is compatible
115	with RNA isolation procedures may be added to the isolated tissues or cells
116	before storing the samples. Alternatively, tissues or cells can be quickly frozen in
117	liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 ⁰ C to prevent RNA degradation. Tissues or cells
118	can also be homogenized in the presence of a strong denaturant that inactivates PN_{active} followed by foreging the basis of 20 $^{\circ}C$ as helpes. In succession, we have
119	RNase, followed by freezing the homogenate at -20 ^o C or below. In any case, we
120	recommend that the manufacturer's specifications be followed and that the quality
121	of the resulting RNA be acceptable for the study. RNase-free reagents,
122	equipment, materials, and work spaces should be used for subsequent isolation
123	and analytical steps.
124	

125	3. RNA Isolation from Whole Blood and PBMCs
126	
127	RNA can be isolated from whole blood or from peripheral blood mononuclear
128	cells (PBMCs). Most studies conducted so far have used the PBMCs since they
129	are the most transcriptionally active cells in blood. ² This fraction primarily
130	consists of lymphocytes and monocytes. RNA isolated from PBMCs and whole
131	blood should not be used interchangeably in the same study.
132	
133	RNA isolation from whole blood: RNA may be isolated from whole blood, and
134	this specimen type is attractive since the blood sample with the RNA stabilizer
135	can be stored for a long time, presumably without compromising RNA quality or
136	the stability of expression profiles under manufacturer-suggested conditions. The
137	storage conditions and the maximum storage durations used to store whole blood
138	samples selected should meet any acceptance criteria applicable to the selected
139	platform. One disadvantage of RNA isolation from whole blood is that
140	reticulocytes (immature red blood cells (RBCs)) in the specimen, while
141	representing only 0.5-2% of the RBCs, can contribute up to 70% of the mass of
142	mRNA in total RNA, of which globin mRNA is the major RNA. In microarray
143	gene expression experiments, the overabundance of globin mRNA can result in
144	failure to detect some transcripts that are of low abundance. ³ While working with
145	whole blood specimens, the need for protocols for reducing globin mRNA from
146	whole blood ⁴ or alternative methods to minimize the impact of globin mRNA on
147	gene expression data should be considered. If it is determined that such methods
148	are needed, ensure that they work as intended within the context of your method.
149	
150	The quality of the microarray data generated from whole blood specimens can be
151	improved by removing reticulocytes, although this often requires that blood be
152	processed at the site of blood draw. Any manipulation of the blood sample may
153	cause a change in the gene expression profiles of some transcripts. ⁵ We
154	recommend, therefore, that a study to simulate the conditions of the preclinical or
155	clinical blood specimen collection and manipulation to be employed be
156	conducted, to assess the impact of key variables on the chosen method.
157	
158	RNA isolation from PBMCs: RNA may be isolated from PBMCs that have been
159	isolated from a whole blood specimen using one of several techniques. Some

² An Analysis of Blood Processing Methods to Prepare Samples for GeneChip Expression Profiling- Technical Note from Affymetrix. (http://www.affymetrix.com/support/technical/technotes/blood_technote.pdf)

³ Fan H. (2005) The transcriptome in blood: challenges and solutions for robust expression profiling. *Current Molecular Medicine* **5**, 3-10.

⁴ Debey S. et al., (2006) A highly standardized, robust, and cost-effective method for genome-wide transcriptome analysis of peripheral blood applicable to large-scale clinical trials. *Genomics* **87**, 653-664.

⁵ Burczynski M.E. and Dorner A.J. (2006) Transcriptional profiling of peripheral blood cells in clinical pharmacogenomic studies. *Pharmacogenomics* **7**, 187-202.

160commonly used methods include the Ficoll-Hypaque centrifugation and use of161cell preparation tubes with sodium citrate. RNA isolation from PBMCs is the162preferred method for many applications since the RNA is free from globin mRNA163and generally gives better results on microarrays. However, it has been shown164that time delays and temperature changes can affect gene expression profiles of165several genes ^{6, 7} and therefore it is critical to isolate the PBMCs within hours of166blood collection, particularly if any stabilization materials or storage conditions to167stabilize the expression profiles are not used. Regardless of the method chosen,168one should assure, through measurement of quality parameters, that it consistently169and reliably yields RNA with acceptable performance parameters for the selected170analytical method. If more than one RNA isolation method (e.g., methods from171two different manufacturers) are selected, it should be ascertained that both172methods give equivalent results in your system.173174176For short term storage, RNA suspended in RNase-free water (with 0.1mM EDTA)177or in TE buffer should be stored at -80° C in aliquots in non-frost-free freezers.178Repeated freeze-thaws should be avoided. Generally, RNA is stable for about a179year at -80° C under the above-mentioned conditions. For long term storage, RNA180semplea could be acced at 20° C in athenel	160	commonly used motheds include the Figell Users and if settion and use of
162preferred method for many applications since the RNA is free from globin mRNA163and generally gives better results on microarrays. However, it has been shown164that time delays and temperature changes can affect gene expression profiles of165several genes ^{6, 7} and therefore it is critical to isolate the PBMCs within hours of166blood collection, particularly if any stabilization materials or storage conditions to167stabilize the expression profiles are not used. Regardless of the method chosen,168one should assure, through measurement of quality parameters, that it consistently169and reliably yields RNA with acceptable performance parameters for the selected170analytical method. If more than one RNA isolation method (e.g., methods from171two different manufacturers) are selected, it should be ascertained that both172methods give equivalent results in your system.173174176For short term storage, RNA suspended in RNase-free water (with 0.1mM EDTA)177or in TE buffer should be stored at -80° C in aliquots in non-frost-free freezers.178Repeated freeze-thaws should be avoided. Generally, RNA is stable for about a year at -80° C under the above-mentioned conditions. For long term storage, RNA		
163and generally gives better results on microarrays. However, it has been shown164that time delays and temperature changes can affect gene expression profiles of165several genes ^{6, 7} and therefore it is critical to isolate the PBMCs within hours of166blood collection, particularly if any stabilization materials or storage conditions to167stabilize the expression profiles are not used. Regardless of the method chosen,168one should assure, through measurement of quality parameters, that it consistently169and reliably yields RNA with acceptable performance parameters for the selected170analytical method. If more than one RNA isolation method (e.g., methods from171two different manufacturers) are selected, it should be ascertained that both172methods give equivalent results in your system.173174174 <i>A. RNA Storage</i> 175176176For short term storage, RNA suspended in RNase-free water (with 0.1mM EDTA)177or in TE buffer should be stored at -80° C in aliquots in non-frost-free freezers.178Repeated freeze-thaws should be avoided. Generally, RNA is stable for about a year at -80° C under the above-mentioned conditions. For long term storage, RNA		
164that time delays and temperature changes can affect gene expression profiles of165several genes ^{6, 7} and therefore it is critical to isolate the PBMCs within hours of166blood collection, particularly if any stabilization materials or storage conditions to167stabilize the expression profiles are not used. Regardless of the method chosen,168one should assure, through measurement of quality parameters, that it consistently169and reliably yields RNA with acceptable performance parameters for the selected170analytical method. If more than one RNA isolation method (e.g., methods from171two different manufacturers) are selected, it should be ascertained that both172methods give equivalent results in your system.173174176For short term storage, RNA suspended in RNase-free water (with 0.1mM EDTA)177or in TE buffer should be stored at -80° C in aliquots in non-frost-free freezers.178Repeated freeze-thaws should be avoided. Generally, RNA is stable for about a year at -80° C under the above-mentioned conditions. For long term storage, RNA		
165several genes ^{6, 7} and therefore it is critical to isolate the PBMCs within hours of166blood collection, particularly if any stabilization materials or storage conditions to167stabilize the expression profiles are not used. Regardless of the method chosen,168one should assure, through measurement of quality parameters, that it consistently169and reliably yields RNA with acceptable performance parameters for the selected170analytical method. If more than one RNA isolation method (e.g., methods from171two different manufacturers) are selected, it should be ascertained that both172methods give equivalent results in your system.1731741744. RNA Storage175176176For short term storage, RNA suspended in RNase-free water (with 0.1mM EDTA)177or in TE buffer should be stored at -80° C in aliquots in non-frost-free freezers.178Repeated freeze-thaws should be avoided. Generally, RNA is stable for about a year at -80° C under the above-mentioned conditions. For long term storage, RNA		
166blood collection, particularly if any stabilization materials or storage conditions to167stabilize the expression profiles are not used. Regardless of the method chosen,168one should assure, through measurement of quality parameters, that it consistently169and reliably yields RNA with acceptable performance parameters for the selected170analytical method. If more than one RNA isolation method (e.g., methods from171two different manufacturers) are selected, it should be ascertained that both172methods give equivalent results in your system.1731741744. RNA Storage175176176For short term storage, RNA suspended in RNase-free water (with 0.1mM EDTA)177or in TE buffer should be stored at -80° C in aliquots in non-frost-free freezers.178Repeated freeze-thaws should be avoided. Generally, RNA is stable for about a year at -80° C under the above-mentioned conditions. For long term storage, RNA		that time delays and temperature changes can affect gene expression profiles of
167stabilize the expression profiles are not used. Regardless of the method chosen,168one should assure, through measurement of quality parameters, that it consistently169and reliably yields RNA with acceptable performance parameters for the selected170analytical method. If more than one RNA isolation method (e.g., methods from171two different manufacturers) are selected, it should be ascertained that both172methods give equivalent results in your system.1731741744. RNA Storage175176176For short term storage, RNA suspended in RNase-free water (with 0.1mM EDTA)177or in TE buffer should be stored at -80° C in aliquots in non-frost-free freezers.178Repeated freeze-thaws should be avoided. Generally, RNA is stable for about a year at -80° C under the above-mentioned conditions. For long term storage, RNA		
168one should assure, through measurement of quality parameters, that it consistently169and reliably yields RNA with acceptable performance parameters for the selected170analytical method. If more than one RNA isolation method (e.g., methods from171two different manufacturers) are selected, it should be ascertained that both172methods give equivalent results in your system.173.1744. RNA Storage175.176For short term storage, RNA suspended in RNase-free water (with 0.1mM EDTA)177or in TE buffer should be stored at -80° C in aliquots in non-frost-free freezers.178Repeated freeze-thaws should be avoided. Generally, RNA is stable for about a year at -80° C under the above-mentioned conditions. For long term storage, RNA		
169and reliably yields RNA with acceptable performance parameters for the selected170analytical method. If more than one RNA isolation method (e.g., methods from171two different manufacturers) are selected, it should be ascertained that both172methods give equivalent results in your system.1731741744. RNA Storage175176176For short term storage, RNA suspended in RNase-free water (with 0.1mM EDTA)177or in TE buffer should be stored at -80° C in aliquots in non-frost-free freezers.178Repeated freeze-thaws should be avoided. Generally, RNA is stable for about a year at -80° C under the above-mentioned conditions. For long term storage, RNA		
 analytical method. If more than one RNA isolation method (e.g., methods from two different manufacturers) are selected, it should be ascertained that both methods give equivalent results in your system. <i>A. RNA Storage</i> <i>For short term storage, RNA suspended in RNase-free water (with 0.1mM EDTA)</i> <i>or in TE buffer should be stored at -80^o C in aliquots in non-frost-free freezers.</i> <i>Repeated freeze-thaws should be avoided. Generally, RNA is stable for about a</i> <i>year at -80^o C under the above-mentioned conditions. For long term storage, RNA</i> 		
 two different manufacturers) are selected, it should be ascertained that both methods give equivalent results in your system. <i>4. RNA Storage</i> For short term storage, RNA suspended in RNase-free water (with 0.1mM EDTA) or in TE buffer should be stored at -80° C in aliquots in non-frost-free freezers. Repeated freeze-thaws should be avoided. Generally, RNA is stable for about a year at -80° C under the above-mentioned conditions. For long term storage, RNA 		
 methods give equivalent results in your system. <i>RNA Storage</i> <i>RNA Storage</i> <i>For short term storage</i>, RNA suspended in RNase-free water (with 0.1mM EDTA) <i>or in TE buffer should be stored at -80⁰ C in aliquots in non-frost-free freezers</i>. <i>Repeated freeze-thaws should be avoided. Generally, RNA is stable for about a year at -80⁰ C under the above-mentioned conditions. For long term storage, RNA</i> 	170	
 173 174 175 176 176 For short term storage, RNA suspended in RNase-free water (with 0.1mM EDTA) 177 or in TE buffer should be stored at -80° C in aliquots in non-frost-free freezers. 178 Repeated freeze-thaws should be avoided. Generally, RNA is stable for about a 179 year at -80° C under the above-mentioned conditions. For long term storage, RNA 	171	
 <i>RNA Storage</i> <i>RNA Storage</i> <i>For short term storage, RNA suspended in RNase-free water (with 0.1mM EDTA)</i> <i>or in TE buffer should be stored at -80⁰ C in aliquots in non-frost-free freezers.</i> <i>Repeated freeze-thaws should be avoided. Generally, RNA is stable for about a</i> <i>year at -80⁰ C under the above-mentioned conditions. For long term storage, RNA</i> 	172	methods give equivalent results in your system.
 175 176 For short term storage, RNA suspended in RNase-free water (with 0.1mM EDTA) 177 or in TE buffer should be stored at -80° C in aliquots in non-frost-free freezers. 178 Repeated freeze-thaws should be avoided. Generally, RNA is stable for about a 179 year at -80° C under the above-mentioned conditions. For long term storage, RNA 	173	
176For short term storage, RNA suspended in RNase-free water (with 0.1mM EDTA)177or in TE buffer should be stored at -80° C in aliquots in non-frost-free freezers.178Repeated freeze-thaws should be avoided. Generally, RNA is stable for about a179year at -80° C under the above-mentioned conditions. For long term storage, RNA	174	4. RNA Storage
177or in TE buffer should be stored at -80° C in aliquots in non-frost-free freezers.178Repeated freeze-thaws should be avoided. Generally, RNA is stable for about a179year at -80° C under the above-mentioned conditions. For long term storage, RNA	175	
 or in TE buffer should be stored at -80° C in aliquots in non-frost-free freezers. Repeated freeze-thaws should be avoided. Generally, RNA is stable for about a year at -80° C under the above-mentioned conditions. For long term storage, RNA 	176	For short term storage, RNA suspended in RNase-free water (with 0.1mM EDTA)
178Repeated freeze-thaws should be avoided. Generally, RNA is stable for about a179year at -80° C under the above-mentioned conditions. For long term storage, RNA	177	
179 year at -80° C under the above-mentioned conditions. For long term storage, RNA	178	
	179	
100 Samples could be stored at -20°C in ethanol.	180	samples could be stored at -20° C in ethanol.
181		1
182 5. RNA QC		5. RNA OC
183		
184 The quality of RNA samples can be monitored in several ways. The most		The quality of RNA samples can be monitored in several ways. The most
185 widespread current metric is spectrophotometric analysis using the ratio of		
186 absorbance at 260nm/280nm as a measure of RNA quality and purity. ⁸ Two		absorbance at 260nm/280nm as a measure of RNA quality and purity 8 Two
187 common additional methods are agarose gel electrophoresis and analysis using a		common additional methods are agarose gel electrophoresis and analysis using a
188 dedicated RNA analyzing instrument. Considerations for RNA quality metrics		
189 include the following:		
190		include the following.
		• For spectrophotometric analysis, the ratio of absorbance at 260nm and 280 nm
		(A_{260}/A_{280}) can be used to assess RNA purity and is typically recommended to
192 (A_{260}/A_{280}) can be used to assess KNA purity and is typically recommended to 193 be greater than $1.8.^9$		
195 be greater than 1.8. 194		
	1/7	

⁶ Baechler E.C. (2004) Expression levels for many genes in human peripheral blood cells are highly sensitive to ex vivo incubation. *Genes and Immunity* **5**, 347-353.

⁷ Debey S. (2004) Comparison of different isolation techniques prior gene expression profiling of blood derived cells: impact on physiological responses, on overall expression and the role of different cell types. *The Pharmacologics Journal* **4**, 193-207.

⁸ <u>http://arrayconsortium.tgen.org/np2/public/qualitycontrol/jsp.</u>

⁹ Dumur, C.I. et al., (2004) Evaluation of quality-control criteria for microarray gene expression analysis. *Clinical Chemistry* **50**(11), 1994-2002.

195	• For agarose gel analysis, generally a 1% denaturing agarose gel is used, and
196	clearly visible 18S and 28S RNA bands are taken as measure of RNA
197	integrity. Ideally, the intensity of the 28S band should be twice the intensity of
198	the 18S band. Degraded RNA will have a smeared appearance and lack two
199	clear bands.
200	
201	• For dedicated RNA analysis instruments, several different metrics may be
202	useful, e.g., presence of 18S and 28S rRNA peaks, ratio of 28S/18S bands,
203	and percentage of total RNA represented by the rRNA peaks. ⁸ Specific
204	recommendations regarding use of dedicated RNA analysis instruments and
205	the data they generate can be found in manufacturers materials. 10
206	
207	Regardless of the method(s) chosen to assess RNA quality, it should be ascertained
208	that the acceptance criteria for the RNA samples are consistently appropriate to yield
209	RNA quality that is suitable for the analytical method selected. The selected RNA
210	isolation method should minimize genomic contamination of the isolated RNA
211	because genomic DNA could negatively affect downstream applications.
212	
213	B. Labeling Reactions
214	
215	In genomic submissions, it is important that sponsors use a labeling system that has been
216	documented to perform well on a given manufacturer's array. It is critical that the sponsor begin
217	the labeling process with high-quality RNA-free of contaminants that might affect the labeling
218	efficiency or introduce labeling bias, as compromised RNA quality will affect subsequent steps
219	of sample processing and ultimately lead to poorer quality microarray data. We recommend that
220	the use of accepted quality measures (18S/28S ratios) be included in this report and that RNA
221	samples prepared for labeling be of comparable quality.
222	
223	We recommend the use of consistent methods of target labeling throughout the particular study
224	or studies that will be analyzed as a group since dissimilar microarray data could be obtained
225	when kits from different manufacturers or different types of labeling kits are used. If there is any
226	change in a critical component in the labeling kit (kit manufacturer, key enzyme or reagent), we
227	recommend that it be tested to demonstrate comparability of the data generated prior to being
228	used with samples analyzed as an arm of a study. We recommend that reagent lot acceptance
229	criteria be developed to ensure the reproducibility of labeling reactions.
230 231	The use of standard operating procedures (SODs) is appropriated, and we recommend that
231	The use of standard operating procedures (SOPs) is encouraged, and we recommend that operators be fully trained on all protocols prior to processing of samples for the study.
232	Equipment should be on an appropriate maintenance schedule and the laboratory environment
233	maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations.
234	maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations.
433	

¹⁰ Imbeaud S, Graudens E, Boulanger V, Barlet X, Zaborski P, Eveno E, Mueller O, Schroeder A. and Auffray C. (2005) Towards standardization of RNA quality assessment using user-independent classifiers of microcapillary electrophoresis traces. *Nucleic Acids Research* **33**(6), e56.

- 236 The development of QC or intermediate labeling steps is highly recommended. If any
- 237 intermediate QC step indicates a problem and the RNA is of reasonable quality, the labeling
- 238 process can be repeated to produce higher quality input material for hybridization to the
- 239 microarray chip. In addition, it is recommended that reagents be stored under appropriate
- 240 conditions. Use of controls and reference standards are recommended to verify consistent
- 241 performance throughout the labeling procedure.
- 242

We recommend the use of validated standard operating procedures (SOPs) addressing all aspects of sample collection, storage, and sample and array processing to generate microarray data, and all operators should be fully trained on all protocols prior to initiating the study. It is also advisable to establish appropriate maintenance schedules for all equipment, and ensure that the laboratory environment is maintained in accordance with the SOPs.

248 249

C. Hybridizations for Microarrays

You should include pertinent information on reproducibility and accuracy of array hybridization
in your submission package. In the absence of widely accepted QA/QC control metrics for DNA
microarray technologies or consensus on how to establish the reliability of the results obtained
from a DNA microarray experiment, we recommend you establish and assess internal control
metrics for quality and reliability. For example, some organizations have used QA/QC pass/fail
filters to eliminate outlier arrays and some array manufacturers recommend thresholds for certain
platform-specific QC measurements.

258

Currently, the ERCC (External RNA Controls Consortium)¹¹ and MAQC (MicroArray Quality 259 260 Control Consortium) groups are developing spike-ins and reference standards, which may be 261 useful in evaluating the quality of a particular microarray experiment when available. Another 262 recent effort has produced a pair of reference RNA pools for use with rat DNA microarrays that allow accuracy, reproducibility, and dynamic range assessments.¹² Conceptually, this strategy 263 could be used to produce reference materials for any organism, including human. Until such 264 265 independent resources are widely available and consensus quality standards are developed and 266 implemented by the microarray community, carefully adhering to the microarray manufacturer's recommended procedures offers the best current practice at this time. Detailed protocols have 267 been prepared by major DNA microarray manufacturers and posted on the MAQC Web site.¹² 268 269 Because the microarray field is evolving, it is important to note that manufacturers occasionally 270 change probe sequences and protocols, reflecting continuing improvements to this technology. 271 Regardless of the source of quality control materials and methods, we recommend you describe 272 how you selected those that you use, and how you determined that they were acceptable for your 273 purposes. 274

- 275 We recommend that the following be clearly outlined in a figure:
- 276

¹¹ External RNA Controls Consortium. (2005) The External RNA Controls Consortium: a progress report. *Nature Methods* **2**: 731 - 734.

¹² http://edkb.fda.gov/MAQC/

- 277 Microarray chip details • 278 279 A key information in the submission package is the information of micoarray chips used. 280 There are at least two different categories of microarray chips, commercial chips and 281 customer chips (array manufactured by sponsor, or contractors). 282 283 1. If commercial array chips are used in the study, sponsor should provide the following 284 information: the name of manufacture, type of array, lot #, manufacture date (or 285 expiration date), and array QC parameters (QC tests performed by vendor). 286 287 2. If customer chips are used for the study, the sponsor should provide: manufacturing 288 protocol, documents from vendors if any materials purchased from commercial resources, 289 QC thresholds, and QC testing results. 290 291 Microarray experimental design details • 292 293 We recommend you include sample processing and labeling (e.g., were samples processed in 294 the same batch or different batches; was the same procedure used for all samples, technical 295 replication, biological replication and other appropriate information). 296 297 How data were generated and analyzed • 298 299 One approach would be to start with how the primary data were obtained (e.g., laser scanner 300 settings, software settings for image acquisition). We recommend you explain how the data 301 from individual microarrays were combined and the normalization method and then provide 302 data filtering, data analysis, statistical tests, and other appropriate information. 303 304 D. **Fluorescence Reader Settings for Microarrays** 305 306 Microarray technology uses a multi-step process in which variability at each step must be 307 reduced to maximize the probability of detecting changes that arise from biology and not from 308 experimental artifact. Scanners used to collect the microarray signals are a potential source of 309 variability in data derived from this technology. Recent publications have pointed out the importance of optimal reader settings for obtaining high-quality microarray data.¹³ The signal 310 311 readout system is often thought of as a black box that quantitates the signal from each DNA
- 312 microarray spot. The measurement of the abundance of RNA species by DNA microarray
- 313 technology assumes a linear relationship between the signal read-out from the scanner and the
- 314 dye concentration, which is further assumed to be linearly correlated with transcript abundance
- 315 in the RNA sample.
- 316
- 317 Each array system, scanner type, and signaling dye combination, may have its own linear 318
 - dynamic range, which changes with voltage gains. Important recommendations for scanners that

¹³ Shi, L., Tong, W., Su, Z., Han, T., Han, J., Puri, R.K., Fang, H., Branham, W.S., Chen, J.J., Xu, Z., Harris, S.C., Hong, H., Xie, Q., Perkins, R.G., and Fuscoe, J.C. (2005). Microarray scanner calibration curves: characteristics and implications. BMC Bioinformatics 6 (Suppl 2):S11.

319 320	will he follow	-	imize technical variability and improve consistency of data collection include the		
321	1.	Calib	ration of scanners as recommended by the manufacturers		
322 323	2.		ne use of standardized scanner reference materials for calibrations to allow for cterization of concentration-dependent read-outs		
324 325	3.		tion to scanner settings (e.g., laser power and voltage gain). Specifically, we mend that scanner settings be set to maximize the linear dynamic range.		
326 327	4.	-	ing the scanner laser power and voltage settings constant during a study. Note that scanners are not tunable, so that this source of variability is eliminated.		
328 329 330	5.	signal	dye-intensity to signal output relationship is defined, possible corrections when Is fall outside of the linear dynamic range, thus reducing variability in the very high ry low signal range		
331 332 333	6.	Subm packa	ission of scanner setting and calibration information as part of the submission age		
334		E.	Differentially Expressed Genes		
335					
336	-	0	es sets derived from microarray experiments can be proposed as genomic		
337			or a specific endpoint in a defined context. Such specific gene sets should be		
338			pon review if the analysis protocol is identical to that reported by the sponsor. The		
339	sponsor should include in the submission a clear description of the steps, parameters, and				
340	algorit	thms le	ading to the list of differentially expressed genes list in the genomic submission.		
341	D:00				
342			lysis protocols may yield dissimilar lists of differentially expressed genes, and these		
343	cannot be justified solely through a biological interpretation if they are to be proposed as				
344 345	0		narkers. To the extent that these genomic biomarker sets become part of a decision-		
343 346			ess in drug development or therapeutic applications, we recommend that transfer of marker sets from microarrevs to other platforms (such as quantitative PT PCP) be		
340 347	genomic biomarker sets from microarrays to other platforms (such as quantitative RT-PCR) be				
348	attempted only after the sponsor concludes that these differentially expressed genes are sensitive, specific, and reproducible.				
349	speen	ic, and	reproductore.		
350	Source	es of va	ariability in microarray data leading to the step in which the differentially expressed		
351			etermined may be minimized by following the recommendations in this document.		
352	To determine which genes are in fact differentially expressed, a number of factors need to be				
353	considered that may have confounding effects:				
354					
355	•	The a	pplication of platform-specific flags		
356	•		tion criteria for low-intensity transcripts		
357	•	-	tion criteria for outlier hybridizations		
358	•	•	orm-specific normalization protocols		
359	•		analysis protocol for selection of differentially expressed genes		
360					

- 361 There is no consensus at this time regarding the appropriate choices for each of these factors.
- 362 The sponsor should exercise care in how parameters and protocols are chosen for each of these
- 363 factors and should consult current literature regarding efforts to reach a consensus.^{14, 15, 16, 17, 18,}
- 364
- 365

366 In principle, several analysis protocols can be used to determine lists of differentially expressed 367 gene lists for a sufficiently large number of technical and biological replicates. In practice, 368 constraints on the number of technical and biological replicates are likely to be the norm in genomic submissions. For example, technical replicates are constrained by the minimum amount 369 370 of RNA needed to hybridize each biological sample. Both clinical as well as preclinical samples may have major constraints in the total amount of RNA available from each biological sample. 371 372 Biological replicates are constrained by the total number of subjects to be included in a study. 373 We recommend that these constraints be considered in the selection of analysis protocols for the 374 determination of differentially expressed genes.

- 375
- 376 377

F. Biological Interpretation of Lists of Differentially Expressed Genes

Once the list of differentially expressed genes has been generated via a variety of statistical and analytical tools, the next step in the process should be to interpret the biological meaning of gene expression changes and determine whether biological pathways may be of functional relevance to the mechanism of drug action, or may be correlated to safety and/or efficacy.

382 383

384

385

386

387

388

A number of questions should be addressed at this point, including, for example:

- Are genes from a particular pathway or set of pathways significantly overrepresented in the list?
 - How many pathways are affected?
- Can the mechanism of action be inferred from the functions of the pathways altered or from the pattern of expression across the genes within these pathways?

¹⁶ Dobbin KK, Simon RM. (2007) Sample size planning for developing classifiers using high dimensional DNA microarray data. *Biostatistics*. **8**(1):101-17.

¹⁷ Varma S, Simon R. (2006) Bias in error estimation when using cross-validation for model selection. *BMC Bioinformatics*. **7**:91.

¹⁸ Guo L, Lobenhofer EK, Wang C, Shippy R, Harris SC, Zhang L, Mei N, Chen T, Herman D, Goodsaid FM, Hurban P, Phillips KL, Xu J, Deng X, Sun YA, Tong W, Dragan YP, Shi L. (2006) Rat toxicogenomic study reveals analytical consistency across microarray platforms. *Nat Biotechnol.* **24**(9):1162-1169.

¹⁴ Simon R. Development and evaluation of therapeutically relevant predictive classifiers using gene expression profiling. (2006) *J Natl Cancer Inst.* **98**(17):1169-71.

¹⁵ Simon R. (2006) A checklist for evaluating reports of expression profiling for treatment selection. Clin *Adv Hematol Oncol.* **4**(3):219-24.

¹⁹ Canales RD, Luo Y, Willey JC, Austermiller B, Barbacioru CC, Boysen C, Hunkapiller K, Jensen RV, Knight CR, Lee KY, Ma Y, Maqsodi B, Papallo A, Peters EH, Poulter K, Ruppel PL, Samaha RR, Shi L, Yang W, Zhang L, Goodsaid FM. (2006) Evaluation of DNA microarray results with quantitative gene expression platforms. *Nat Biotechnol.* **24**(9):1115-22.

389 • What is the tissue specificity of the pathways and the gene function in relation to 390 biological processes? 391 • What are the magnitude and/or pattern of the alteration in a particular pathway in relation 392 to treatments with other compounds (related or unrelated) with known pharmacological 393 or toxicological properties? 394 395 At present, no single tool can be used to find answers to all these questions, but a combination of 396 tools can be used to address a particular question of interest as thoroughly as possible. To this 397 end, a variety of analytical platforms are available, either free on the Web or via purchase of a 398 commercially available product. 399 400 An overlap of the biological interpretations obtained with two or more different databases can 401 facilitate a consensus on what the interpretation should be. However, this is not always the case. 402 Consensus can be hindered by many factors including, but not limited to, absence of information 403 on the compound of interest in the reference databases or a lack of annotation for particular 404 pathways of interest. For example, subsets of genes may be placed in specific pathways in one 405 system, but they may not be represented in the same pathways in another pathway analysis tool, 406 or genes may not have been evaluated in a particular platform. In pathway analysis databases, 407 the information may differ depending on which content is extracted from the literature and how 408 that extraction is performed (whether automated or by manual curators). In addition, a critical 409 distinction is whether all information is extracted, or if only the information supported by direct 410 experimental evidence included in the publication is extracted. We recommend heavy reliance on 411 the literature and on reference databases to extract functional information on specific gene lists 412 and generate hypotheses on the biological significance of the relevant set of genes. 413 414 We also recommend that the biological significance of gene sets proposed by a sponsor be 415 accompanied by a standard set of information that will enable recapitulation of the analysis and 416 assessment of the validity of the interpretation by regulatory reviewers. In addition, we 417 recommend that the gene sets proposed by sponsors should be validated by other conventional 418 techniques, such as Q-PCR, or RT-PCR. Such information should include, but not be limited to: 419 420 • Type of database used for annotation, including vendor name 421 • Methods and approaches (cut-off, statistical tests) used to identify over-represented 422 pathways within the database 423 • References used to justify any user-defined annotation 424 A summary by the sponsor of the interpretation of the pathway annotation results • 425 426 427 III. **GENOTYPING** 428 429 Α. **Genotyping Methods** 430 431 Genetic differences among individuals occur in a variety of forms, from alterations in 432 chromosomal arrangement or copy number to single base-pair changes. Much of the genetic 433 variation currently used in pharmacogenetics occurs at the level of individual genes (e.g., drug 434 metabolizing enzymes) on a scale ranging from single base-pair changes to entire gene

duplications or deletions. Examining genomic DNA is often the most reliable and practical
method for characterizing genetic variation, although methods based on protein or mRNA
expression levels can be preferable in some situations, such as when determining treatmentsensitivity of cancer or viral infection. Many methods are currently available for characterizing
DNA variations, and new methods are rapidly being developed.

440 441

442

B. DNA Isolation, Handling, and Characterization

Whole blood is commonly used for the extraction of genomic DNA in clinical research settings.
Blood collection tubes generally use anticoagulants such as EDTA, CPD, ACD, Citrate or
Heparin. DNA in a blood sample is susceptible to degradation unless properly stored. Although
manufacturers of blood collection tubes usually recommend appropriate storage conditions for
optimum stability, we recommend you ensure that these conditions yield DNA that is suitable for
your assay, for example, by checking for the presence of full-length DNA.

449

450 When DNA is isolated from blood, carryover of contaminants such as salts, phenol, ethanol,

451 heme (in blood DNA isolation), and detergents from conventional purification procedures can

452 inhibit performance of DNA in downstream applications. In addition, contamination with the

453 anticoagulant heparin impairs amplification by PCR.^{20, 21} Potential for contamination and

interference in isolation procedures should be assessed, and procedures for avoiding these shouldbe implemented where necessary.

456

Although DNA is a relatively stable molecule, it should be stored carefully. Degradation of DNA
can have a major effect on any results obtained, generating errors that are both quantitative and
qualitative. There are several factors that can result in DNA degradation including introduction
of enzymatically active nucleases, acid hydrolysis, and degradation due to repeated freeze-thaw
cycles. You should implement DNA handling and storage procedures that limit these and any
other factors that could affect DNA quality. For example:

- 463
 Avoid exposure of DNA solutions to nucleases that may be present on lab equipment or in reagents;
- Store DNA at a slightly alkaline pH (e.g., Tris EDTA buffer) once isolated;
 - Maintain long-term storage of DNA at -20° C or at -80° C.
- Freeze sample in aliquots to reduce freeze-thaw degradation
- 468 469 470

466

C. Genotyping Report

We recommend that the following information be included in the genotyping report, regardless
of the genomics submission type (see the *Pharamcogenomic Data Submissions* guidance for
regulatory requirements):

- 474 475
- Description of assay platform or methodology

²⁰ Smythe et al., BMC Infectious Diseases, 2002, 2:13.

²¹ Yokota et al., Journal of Clinical Laboratory Analysis, 1999, 13: 133 – 140.

476	• Samples studied, including demographics and sample size justification for
477	genotype/clinical phenotype correlation and adequate coverage for ethnic/racial
478	groups; include expected allele frequency in different populations
479	• Alleles measured and correlation with metabolic status designation
480	– For metabolizing enzymes, how EM (extensive metabolizer), PM (poor
481	metabolizer), IM (intermediate metabolizer), or UM (ultra rapid
482	metabolizer) are determined
483	 Sample test report
484	 For new genes, correlation between gene variant and encoded protein
485	activity
486	• Whether the assay was performed in a CLIA-certified lab or research lab
487	
488	
489	IV. PROFICIENCY TESTING
490	
491	High-quality data are the foundation for deriving reliable biological conclusions from a
492	microarray gene expression study. However, large differences in data quality have been
493	observed in published data sets when the same platform was used by different laboratories. ^{22, 23}
494	In many cases, poor quality of microarray data was due not to the inherent quality problems of a
495	platform but to the lack of technical proficiency of the laboratory that generated the data. Such a
496	systematic <i>procedural failure</i> in a laboratory is much more serious than randomly failed
497	hybridizations that lead to outlying arrays, because the laboratory may not recognize that it has a
498	procedural failure problem.
499 500	The Agency recommends that sponsors provide data that will enable FDA reviewers to
500 501	objectively evaluate the competency of the laboratory that generated the data in a genomic
502	submission. Many studies report quality control metrics or use standards to provide internal
502	assessments of microarray data. This information is useful for confirming the technical ability to
505	reproducibly perform a given assay within an individual study.
505	reproduciory perform a green assay wrann an marriadar stady.
506	In addition to within-laboratory testing, an assessment of the overall competence of a facility can
507	be performed through inter-laboratory comparisons, such as proficiency testing. Laboratory
508	proficiency can be monitored through a number of approaches.
509	I J TI TI
510	• RNA sources
511	
512	Two FDA-led initiatives have developed and characterized reference RNA samples for
513	proficiency testing. Mixed tissue pools of rat RNA samples have been designed with known

²² Shi L, Tong W, Goodsaid F, Frueh FW, Fang H, Han T, Fuscoe JC and Casciano DA (2004) QA/QC: challenges and pitfalls facing the microarray community and regulatory agencies. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn* **4**:761-77.

²³ Shi L, Tong W, Fang H, Scherf U, Han J, Puri RK, Frueh FW, Goodsaid FM, Guo L, Su Z, Han T, Fuscoe JC, Xu ZA, Patterson TA, Hong H, Xie Q, Perkins RG, Chen JJ and Casciano DA (2005) Cross-platform comparability of microarray technology: intra-platform consistency and appropriate data analysis procedures are essential. *BMC Bioinformatics* 6 Suppl 2:S12.

differences in tissue-selective genes²⁴ and have been used in the first proficiency testing
program for microarray laboratories.²⁵ In addition, the MicroArray Quality Control
(MAQC) Project²⁶ developed two human reference materials and extensively tested them on
multiple gene expression platforms. Data from both initiatives have been deposited in public
databases, and the RNA samples used in the MAQC project are now commercially available
for use by laboratories to assess ability to reproduce MAQC data.

520 521

522

• Experimental design for proficiency testing

523 Most RNA-based genomic assays are designed to detect differentially expressed genes or 524 profiles. A proficiency testing program for these assays could be centered on testing of 525 replicates of two biologically different samples with known differences in transcript 526 abundance, in order to measure the ability to repeatedly detect differential gene expression. 527 For example, the laboratory could plan to process three or more replicates of sample A 528 (labeled A1, A2, and A3) and three or more replicates of sample B (labeled B1, B2, and B3), 529 to evaluate the within-laboratory repeatability both in terms of repeatable intensity 530 measurements and repeatable detection of differential gene expression. If multiple 531 laboratories provide data generated using the same RNA samples and the same platform, 532 site-to-site reproducibility and comparability of sites to detect differences in expression can 533 be assessed. We recommend that laboratories use a proficiency testing program, and that the 534 testing be repeated throughout the year so that multiple data sets from the same laboratory 535 can be compared to confirm the consistency of the laboratory's performance over time.

536 537

538

• Laboratory compliance

The Agency encourages microarray facilities to adhere to the good laboratory practices
outlined in 21 CFR 58. Laboratories may also wish to obtain CMS/CLIA certification if the
microarray data have potential clinical or diagnostic applications. All CLIA-compliant assays
require repeated data comparisons with other providers to verify the competency of
individual laboratories. Participation in a proficiency testing program would fulfill this CLIA
requirement.

545 546

²⁴ Thompson KL, Rosenzweig BA, Pine PS, Retief J, Turpaz Y, Afshari CA, Hamadeh HK, Damore MA, Boedigheimer M, Blomme E, Ciurlionis R, Waring JF, Fuscoe JC, Paules R, Tucker CJ, Fare T, Coffey EM, He Y, Collins PJ, Jarnagin K, Fujimoto S, Ganter B, Kiser G, Kaysser-Kranich T, Sina J and Sistare FD (2005) Use of a mixed tissue RNA design for performance assessments on multiple microarray formats. *Nucleic Acids Res* **33**:e187.

²⁵ Reid LH *et al.* (2006). Proficiency testing program for microarray facilities (in preparation). <u>http://www.expressionanalysis.com/proficiency_test.html</u>.

²⁶ Shi L, Reid LH *et al* (2006) MicroArray Quality Control (MAQC) Project: A comprehensive survey demonstrates concordant results between gene expression technology platforms. *Nat Biotechnol* **24**(9), 1151-1161.

547 V. **GENOMIC DATA IN CLINICAL STUDY REPORTS** 548 549 There are many possible sources of data for genomic data submissions. Genomic data from 550 clinical studies may result from microarray expression profiling experiments, genotyping or 551 single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) experiments, or from other evolving analytical 552 methodologies pertaining to drug dosing or metabolism, safety assessments, or efficacy 553 evaluations. Genomic data may also be reported from studies where other data are also reported, 554 such as with efficacy or safety data from clinical or nonclinical studies. However, these data can 555 be reviewed only if the content of the clinical data report included in the submission contains 556 sufficient detail regarding the sample selection. 557 558 The following describes FDA's current thinking about what data should be submitted with 559 genomics data in a submission to the Agency (including a voluntary submission). Regulatory 560 applications for these data are described in detail in FDA's *Pharmacogenomic Data Submissions* 561 guidance in the context of different algorithms for the submission of pharmacogenomic data 562 consistent with FDA requirements for INDs, NDAs, and BLAs, as well as for Voluntary 563 Genomic Data Submissions (VGDS). Throughout the following discussion, we suggest that you 564 refer to the *Pharmacogenomic Data Submissions* guidance for in-depth background on this 565 discussion. 566 567 In all genomic submissions, a full clinical study report is very helpful to Agency reviewers. The 568 report should provide a clear explanation of how the critical design features of the study were 569 chosen as well as enough information on the plan, methods, and conduct of the study to 570 eliminate ambiguity in how the study was carried out. The report with its appendices should also 571 provide individual patient data relevant to pharmacogenomics, including demographic and 572 baseline data, and details of analytical methods such as validation reports to allow replication of 573 the critical analyses. It is also particularly important that all analyses, tables, and figures carry 574 clear identification of the set of patients from which they were generated. 575 576 To improve the usefulness of the submission, we recommend that the content of the clinical 577 section describing a genomic experiment contain the following information: 578 - Title page 579 - Table of contents 580 - Synopses and summary of findings 581 Background and scientific rationale 582 - Primary and secondary study objectives 583 Study design, sample collection and storage, and pharmacogenomic methods _ - Clinical study protocol, including minimally²⁷: 584 - inclusion and exclusion criteria 585 586 - demographic data 587 - listing of individual experimental measurements by patient, including 588 pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic datasets and lab results; and explanation of 589 missing data

²⁷ ICH guidance E3 Structure and Content of Clinical Study Reports.

 590 591 592 593 594 595 596 	 disposition of patients protocol deviations individual adverse events or laboratory abnormalities pharmacogenomic and other biomarker datasets as necessary correlation between clinical and pharmacogenomic data discussion of the comprehensiveness of genomic data in all randomized patients and the impact of loss of such information, if any, on inferences and associations,
597	especially with outcomes
598	- additional discussion and conclusions
599	–References and any supplementary materials.
600	
601	The specific sequence and grouping of topics may change if alternatives are more logical for a
602	particular study. The Pharmacogenomics Data Submissions guidance and other Agency
603	regulations and guidance contain detailed discussions on specific regulatory requirements.
604	
605	The preferred <i>submission standard</i> for clinical data is the Clinical Data Interchanges Standards
606 607	Consortium (CDISC) Study Data Tabulation Model (SDTM) standard. Please see the FDA Data Standards Council Web site ²⁸ for more information on the standard. ²⁹
608	
609	
610	VI. GENOMIC DATA FROM NONCLINICAL TOXICOLOGY STUDIES
611	
612	Genomic data can be collected in nonclinical studies, such as toxicogenomic studies. This
613	section describes how to submit nonclinical toxicology data with a genomic data submission.
614	How the data should be submitted depends on the purpose of the submission. Three general
615	types of submissions can be identified:
616	
617	• The first type of submission might have the objective of expanding the selection process
618	criteria (i.e., screening to aid in the selection of a lead compound for clinical
619	development or to eliminate compounds with certain characteristics).
620	• The second might present the characterization of a particular compound.
621 622 623	• The third might present a general scientific discussion that might not be related to the development of a compound and/or compound class.

SDTM Implementation Guides:

• *The Study Data Tabulation Model Implementation Guide (SDTM-IG) for clinical study data* can be obtained from the CDISC web site at: <u>http://www.cdisc.org/models/sds/v3.1/index.html</u>

PK/PD data submission should be in SAS.XPT-compatible format.

Draft Not for Implementation

²⁸ See http://www.fda.gov/oc/datacouncil/.

²⁹ The SDTM can be obtained from the CDISC Web site at <u>http://www.cdisc.org/models/sds/v3.1/index.html</u> .

[•] The Study Data Specification for submitting SDTM datasets to CDER can be obtained at http://www.fda.gov/cder/regulatory/ersr/Studydata-v1.1.pdf

624 **Expanding the Selection Process Criteria** A. 625 626 When a submission is intended to expand the selection process criteria and precede the 627 development of a compound (i.e., screening for lead compounds or to eliminate certain 628 characteristics), we recommend the inclusion of the following information: 629 630 1. General narrative about the objective of the submitted application, brief narrative about the 631 compound(s), intended use, and mechanism of action 632 2. Objective of the submitted study with its experimental design (treatment, duration, replicates, 633 drug formulation, route of administration, rationale for dose selection). As applicable, 634 information about species, strain, sex, genetic background, age, weights, developmental 635 stage, organ/tissue where sample originated, cell type can be included. We recommend that a 636 brief description of sample handling, storage and preparation methodology also be included. 637 3. Toxicology parameters including clinical pathology (serum chemistry and hematology) and 638 histopathology data consistent with STP guidelines (Toxicologic Pathology, 32, 126-131 639 (2004)), preferably in an electronic format). When applicable, the correlation between 640 pathology findings and genetic variation or gene or protein expression should be explained. 641 4. Correlations of individual animal data to genetic variation or gene or protein expression 642 should be explained. 643 5. Pharmacokinetic parameters and ADME properties of the compound should be provided if 644 known. When applicable, correlation between pharmacokinetic findings and genetic 645 variation or gene or protein expression should be highlighted. 646 6. Reference should be made to scientific and analytical methods for genetic variation or gene 647 or protein expression, including genotyping or expression profiling methods, statistical 648 methods, and software packages used. 649 650 B. **Characterization of a Particular Compound** 651 652 If the intent of a submission is to characterize a particular compound, it is generally 653 recommended that the toxicology portion of the submission be reported in a similar format to a 654 toxicology report. These reports follow the good review practices template (Section 4.1 m (1 to 655 6)). If the template is not used, a copy of the study protocol should accompany the line listings 656 and generally include clinical signs, mortality, body weight, food consumption, hematology, 657 clinical chemistry, urinalysis, gross pathology, organ weights, histopathology, and pharmaco/toxicokinetics (as available) with a full tabulation of data suitable for detailed review. 658 659 These data contain line listings of the individual data points, including laboratory data points, for 660 each animal along with summary tabulations of data points. A copy of the study protocol is 661 expected to accompany the line listings. 662 C. 663 **General Scientific Discussion** 664

When a submission contains data to support a general scientific discussion that is not necessarily
related to the development of a compound and/or compound class, the minimal amount of
nonclinical data to be submitted should be similar to the previously described scenarios.

However, it is up to the sponsor to provide adequate information to clarify and support the 668 669 scientific issues discussed. The data submitted will probably not be detailed, but we recommend 670 that it be tabulated in a form that will be concise and adequately descriptive for the specific 671 purpose of the submission. 672 673 674 VII. **DATA SUBMISSION FORMAT** 675 676 A general description of clinical and non-clinical data associated with genomic data submissions 677 is included in Sections III and IV of this guidance.. This section provides details on electronic data submission formats for genomic and associated non-clinical or clinical data. 678 679 680 **Submission Standard** A. 681 682 For any type of genomic data submission, we encourage you to submit the data electronically in 683 a tab-delimited file conforming to the Clinical Data Interchange Standards Consortium (CDISC) 684 Study Data Tabulation Model (SDTM) standard or the Standard for Exchange of Nonclinical Data (SEND) SDTM format per the CDISC guidelines (http://www.cdisc.org/).³⁰ 685 686 687 B. **Microarray Gene Expression Data** 688 689 When a microarray gene expression experiment is included in a genomic data submission, both 690 raw and normalized gene expression data as well as the gene lists that are used to support the 691 biological conclusions in the submission should be submitted electronically. 692 693 *Raw data* – It is recommended that one file be submitted per array. For example, CEL • files would be submitted for the Affymetrix GeneChip platform, while the tab delimited 694 695 spreadsheet format could be used for other platforms with the gene ID (e.g., GenBank Acc#, manufacturer ID), in the first column. 696 697 *Normalized data* – It is recommended that one file be submitted per array. The tab 698 delimited spreadsheet format should be used with the gene ID (e.g., GenBank Acc#, 699 manufacturer ID) in the first column. 700 • Gene lists – Lists of genes supporting a biological interpretation in the submission should 701 be included. Probeset IDs in each array should identify each entry in these lists. The lists 702 should be submitted along with parameters such as fold change and p-value for each gene 703 of interest in a tab delimited format. 704 Besides the parameters mentioned above, the gene lists (or Results) submission should • 705 also include following information: 706 o software used for data analysis

³⁰ More information can be found at FDA Data Standards Council Web site, <u>http://www.fda.gov/oc/datacouncil/.</u> The *Standard for Exchange of Nonclinical Data (SEND) Implementation Guide for Animal Toxicology Studies* can be obtained from the CDISC Web site at: http://www.cdisc.org/models/send/v2.3/SENDV2.3ImplementationGuide.pdf.

707 708	 filtering conditions (such as intensity filter, spot flag filter, spot size filter, and detection call filter) 				
709 710 711	 normalization method selected for data analysis (there are several different normalization methods available, such as median, Lowess, and housekeeping gene normalizations) 				
712	• methods selected for statistical analyses.				
713					
714	In addition to the data files, an experimental summary table (called ExpSumTable, Appendix I)				
715	should be prepared to summarize the key experimental parameters investigated in the microarray				
716	study. The experimental parameters should be prepared in accordance to the MIAME (Minimum				
717	Information About a Microarray Experiment) guidelines.				
718	, g				
719	C. Clinical and Nonclinical Data				
720					
721	The Study Data Tabulation Model (SDTM) that encompasses both CDISC and SEND has been				
722	developed to guide the organization, structure, and format for both clinical and nonclinical data				
723	submissions. For genomic data submissions, clinical and nonclinical data should be prepared in				
724	accordance to the SDTM. CDISC/SEND organizes the study data under the concept of <i>domains</i> .				
725	Each domain summarizes a collection of observations with a topic-specific commonality. At this				
726	point, we ask that each domain be prepared as a separate file in a tab-delimited format. Appendix				
727	Il provides examples of data formatted for a nonclinical data submission.				
728					

- 729
- 730 731

APPENDIX I: EXPERIMENTAL SUMMARY TABLE (EXPSUMTABLE)

732 The ExpSumTable summarizes key experimental parameters investigated in a microarray study.

733 The first three columns are required. The first two columns provide the subject ID (e.g., animal

734 ID) and Array ID respectively. The microarray raw data file is specified in the third column. The

remaining columns provide the key experimental parameters that could be used to group array

736 data for analysis. Sponsors should consider including parameters in the ExpSumTable useful in

- 737 data analysis.
- 738

SubID	ArrayID	File	dose(ppk)	Tissue	Chemical	
1	Ctl_1	Ctl_1.cel	0	Liver	Corn Oil	
2	Ctl_2	Ctl_2.cel	0	Liver	Corn Oil	
3	Ctl_3	Ctl_3.cel	0	Liver	Corn Oil	
4	Ctl_4	Ctl_4.cel	0	Liver	Corn Oil	
5	Ctl_5	Ctl_5.cel	0	Liver	Corn Oil	
6	Ctl_6	Ctl_6.cel	0	Liver	Corn Oil	
7	Ctl_7	Ctl_7.cel	0	Liver	Corn Oil	
8	Ctl_8	Ctl_8.cel	0	Liver	Corn Oil	
9	Ctl_9	Ctl_9.cel	0	Liver	Corn Oil	
10	Ctl_10	Ctl_10.cel	0	Liver	Corn Oil	
11	Ctl_11	Ctl_11.cel	0	Liver	Corn Oil	
12	Treat_1	Treat_1.cel	10	Liver	Cmpd_1	
13	Treat_2	Treat_2.cel	50	Liver	Cmpd_1	
14	Treat_3	Treat_3.cel	100	Liver	Cmpd_1	
15	Treat_4	Treat_4.cel	10	Liver	Cmpd_2	
16	Treat_5	Treat_5.cel	50	Liver	Cmpd_2	
17	Treat_6	Treat_6.cel	100	Liver	Cmpd_2	
18	Treat_7	Treat_7.cel	10	Liver	Cmpd_3	
19	Treat_8	Treat_8.cel	50	Liver	Cmpd_3	
20	Treat_9	Treat_9.cel	100	Liver	Cmpd_3	
21	Treat_10	Treat_10.cel	10	Liver	Cmpd_4	
22	Treat_11	Treat_11.cel	50	Liver	Cmpd_4	
23	Treat_12	Treat_12.cel	100	Liver	Cmpd_4	

739

740 741	APPENDIX II: EXAMPLE—SUBMITTING NONCLINICAL STUDY DATA
741 742 743 744	The preparation of nonclinical study data included in a genomic data submission is illustrated through the hypothetical example below. You can find more details on data preparation in the SEND format at: http://www.cdisc.org/models/send/v2.3/SENDV2.3ImplementationGuide.pdf.
745 746	The objective of the example experiment is to identify gene expression patterns that might be
747 748	related to liver toxicity. Ten rats were used in the study, five for control and five dosed by oral gavage with Drug X in a 6-day repeated-dose experiment. Microarray gene expression and
749 750 751	clinical pathology data were reported for each rat in the study. For the genomic data submission, domains 1-6 are required. Refer to the SEND implementation guide noted above regarding which domains apply to the study. It is important to use a short name starting with the two-letter
752	domain code for the column names (variables).

- 755 Domain 1: Study Design Summary

SSPARMC			SSSE
D	SSPAR	SSVAL	Q
STTYP	Study Type	Repeat Dose Toxicity	1
LBNAM	Laboratory Name Laboratory	Company XYZ	2
LBLOC	Location	City, State	3
SPECIES	Species	Rat	4
STRAIN	Strain	Sprague-Dawley	5
DESIGN	Study Design Terminal Sacrifice	Parallel	6
TRMSAC	Period	1-6 days	7
GLPTYP	GLP Type	FDA	8
QARPT	QA Report Duration of	Yes	9
DURDOS	Dosing	6 days 6-Day Oral Toxicity Study in Male Sprague-Dawley Rats	10
STTITL	Study Title	treated with a drug	11
ALTSTDID	Alternate Study Id	Submission ID 123456	12
SENDVER	SEND Version	2.3	13
STDTC	In-Life Start Date	5/1/2001	14
ENDTC	In-Life End Date	7/1/2001	15

Domain 2: *Subject Characteristics*

USUBJI	ARMC	SCTESTC	SCORRE		SCSTRES	
D	D	D	S	SCTEST	С	SCSEQ
1	1	SEX	Male	Sex	Male	1
2	1	SEX	Male	Sex	Male	2
3	1	SEX	Male	Sex	Male	3
4	1	SEX	Male	Sex	Male	4
5	1	SEX	Male	Sex	Male	5
6	2	SEX	Male	Sex	Male	6
7	2	SEX	Male	Sex	Male	7
8	2	SEX	Male	Sex	Male	8
9	2	SEX	Male	Sex	Male	9
10	2	SEX	Male	Sex	Male	10

Domain 3: Group Characteristics

Domain 4: *Exposure*

ARMCD	GCTESTCD	GCORRES	GCTEST	GCSTRESC	GCSEQ
1	GRPNAM	Low-dose	Group Name	Low-dose	1
1	CTLGRPDFL	Ν	Control Group Flag	Ν	2
2	GRPNAM	Control	Group Name	Control	3
2	CTLGRPDFL	Y	Control Group Flag	Y	4

USUBJID	ARMCD	EXTRT	EXTRTV	EXDOSE	EXDOSU	EXDOSFRQ	EXDOSFRM	EXDOSTOT	EXROUTE	EXDUR	EXGRPID	EXSEQ	STDY*	ENDY*
1	1	Х	Labrafil	10	mg/kg	once daily	liquid	10	oral	P6D	1	1	1	6
2	1	х	Labrafil	10	mg/kg	once daily	liquid	10	oral	P6D	1	2	1	6
3	1	Х	Labrafil	10	mg/kg	once daily	liquid	10	oral	P6D	1	3	1	6
4	1	Х	Labrafil	10	mg/kg	once daily	liquid	10	oral	P6D	1	4	1	6
5	1	Х	Labrafil	10	mg/kg	once daily	liquid	10	oral	P6D	1	5	1	6
6	2	Vehicle	Labrafil	0	mg/kg	once daily	liquid	0	oral	P6D	2	6	1	6
7	2	Vehicle	Labrafil	0	mg/kg	once daily	liquid	0	oral	P6D	2	7	1	6
8	2	Vehicle	Labrafil	0	mg/kg	once daily	liquid	0	oral	P6D	2	8	1	6
9	2	Vehicle	Labrafil	0	mg/kg	once daily	liquid	0	oral	P6D	2	9	1	6
10	2	Vehicle	Labrafil	0	mg/kg	once daily	liquid	0	oral	P6D	2	10	1	6

767 *General SDTM timing fields, always permissible (see 2.2.5 of the SDTM document at

http://www.fda.gov/cder/regulatory/ersr/Studydata-v1.1.pdf)

769 Domain 5: Clinical Pathology

7	7	0
'	'	v

USUBJID	CPTESTCD	CPSTRESN	CPSTRESU	CPSPEC	CPCAT	CPSCAT	CPTEST	CPSTRESC	CPORRES	CPORRESU	CPGRPID	CPSEQ	DY*
						Chemical	Monocyte						
1	MONO	0.484	10E+9/L	Blood	HEM	Analysis	count	0.484	0.484	10E+9/L	1	1	6
						Chemical	Monocyte						
2	MONO	0.418	10E+9/L	Blood	HEM	Analysis	count	0.418	0.418	10E+9/L	1	2	6
2	MONO	0.420	105 07	D1 1		Chemical	Monocyte	0.420	0.420	105 07	1	2	
3	MONO	0.429	10E+9/L	Blood	HEM	Analysis	count	0.429	0.429	10E+9/L	1	3	6
4	MONO	0.447	105.07	D11	HEM	Chemical	Monocyte	0.447	0.447	10E+9/L	1	4	6
4	MONO	0.447	10E+9/L	Blood	HEM	Analysis Chemical	count Monocyte	0.447	0.447	10E+9/L	1	4	0
5	MONO	0.471	10E+9/L	Blood	HEM	Analysis	count	0.471	0.471	10E+9/L	1	5	6
5	MONO	0.471	TOLTINE	Diood	TILIVI	Chemical	Monocyte	0.471	0.471	IOL / //L	1	5	0
6	MONO	0.441	10E+9/L	Blood	HEM	Analysis	count	0.441	0.441	10E+9/L	2	6	6
Ŭ			102.772	21004	1121.1	Chemical	Monocyte			-02.7/D	_	Ŭ	,
7	MONO	0.407	10E+9/L	Blood	HEM	Analysis	count	0.407	0.407	10E+9/L	2	7	6
						Chemical	Monocyte						
8	MONO	0.448	10E+9/L	Blood	HEM	Analysis	count	0.448	0.448	10E+9/L	2	8	6
						Chemical	Monocyte						
9	MONO	0.408	10E+9/L	Blood	HEM	Analysis	count	0.408	0.408	10E+9/L	2	9	6
						Chemical	Monocyte						
10	MONO	0.418	10E+9/L	Blood	HEM	Analysis	count	0.418	0.418	10E+9/L	2	10	6
						Chemical							
1	ALT	57	U/L	Blood	CHEM	Analysis	ALT	57	57	U/L	1	11	6
						Chemical							
2	ALT	44	U/L	Blood	CHEM	Analysis	ALT	44	44	U/L	1	12	6
						Chemical							
3	ALT	42	U/L	Blood	CHEM	Analysis	ALT	42	42	U/L	1	13	6
		20		D1	CUTEN (Chemical		20	20				-
4	ALT	39	U/L	Blood	CHEM	Analysis	ALT	39	39	U/L	1	14	6
_	A.T. (T)	47	T T /T	D1 1	CUENC	Chemical	A.T. (T)	4.5	45	TT /T		1.7	_
5	ALT	45	U/L	Blood	CHEM	Analysis	ALT	45	45	U/L	1	15	6
6	ALT	20	TT/T	Dlood	CHEM	Chemical	AT T	20	39	TT/T	2	16	6
6	ALT	39	U/L	Blood	CHEM	Analysis	ALT	39	39	U/L	2	16	0
7	ATT	40	TT/T	D1	CHEM	Chemical	A T 77	40	40	T 1/T	2	17	6
7	ALT	40	U/L	Blood	CHEM	Analysis	ALT	40	40	U/L	2	17	6
8	ALT	40	U/L	Blood	CHEM	Chemical	ALT	40	40	U/L	2	18	6
0	ALI	40	U/L	D1000	CHEW	Analysis	ALI	40	40	U/L	2	10	0
0	ALT	20	TT/T	D11	CHEM	Chemical	AT T	20	20	TT/T		10	6
9	ALT	39	U/L	Blood	CHEM	Analysis	ALT	39	39	U/L	2	19	6
10	ALT	20	TT/T	D11	CHEM	Chemical	A I T	29	20	TT/T	2	20	6
10	ALT	38	U/L	Blood	CHEM	Analysis	ALT	38	38	U/L	2	20	6

771 772 10ALT38U/LBloodCHEMAnalysisALT3838U/L* General SDTM timing fields, always permissible (see 2.2.5 of the SDTM document at
http://www.fda.gov/cder/regulatory/ersr/Studydata-v1.1.pdf)3838U/L

773

775 Domain 6: Microscopic Findings

USUBJI	MITESTC			MISTA	MIREASN	MIGRPI	MISE	
D	D	MITEST	MIORRES	Т	D	D	Q	DY
1	LIVER	Liver	Normal			1	1	6
2	LIVER	Liver	Normal			1	2	6
3	LIVER	Liver	Normal			1	3	6
4	LIVER	Liver	Normal			1	4	6
5	LIVER	Liver	Normal			1	5	6
			Mild periportal					
6	LIVER	Liver	vacuolation			2	6	6
			Mild periportal					
7	LIVER	Liver	vacuolation			2	7	6
			Mild periportal					
8	LIVER	Liver	vacuolation			2	8	6
0		T ·	Mild periportal			2	0	<i>(</i>
9	LIVER	Liver	vacuolation			2	9	6
10		T :	Mild periportal			2	10	C
10	LIVER	Liver	vacuolation			2	10	6

777