#### Application to vacate stay

NOTICE: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the preliminary print of the United States Reports. Readers are requested to notify the Reporter of Decisions, Supreme Court of the United States, Washington, D. C. 20543, of any typographical or other formal errors, in order that corrections may be made before the preliminary print goes to press.

# SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

### No. A-396

## RANDOLPH MURDAUGH, SOLICITOR 14TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, ET AL. *v.* AUNDRAY LIVINGSTON

### ON APPLICATION TO VACATE STAY

[November 18, 1998]

### CHIEF JUSTICE REHNQUIST, Circuit Justice.

The district court in this case entered a temporary restraining order on October 20, 1998, against the State, enjoining it from proceeding further with the indictments against respondent. Pursuant to Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a temporary restraining order cannot remain in effect for more than ten days unless extended for good cause by the district court or consented to by the adverse party. I am advised that the Magistrate Judge to whom this case was assigned has recommended dismissal, and so far as I know, the matter is now pending before the district court. I therefore deny the State's application to vacate the stay, without prejudice to its renewal should the district court issue a preliminary injunction or further stay the criminal proceedings.