



Wednesday
July 22, 1998

Part V

**Department of
Education**

**Office of Elementary and Secondary
Education—Safe and Drug-Free Schools
and Communities National Programs—
Grants to Institutions of Higher
Education; Notice Inviting Applications
for New Awards for Fiscal Year 1998 and
Validation Competition; Notice**

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities National Programs—Grants to Institutions of Higher Education (Validation Competition)**

AGENCY: Department of Education.

ACTION: Notice of Final Priorities and Selection Criteria for Fiscal Year 1998.

SUMMARY: The Secretary announces final priorities and selection criteria for fiscal year (FY) 1998 under the Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities (SDFSC) National Programs Grants to Institutions of Higher Education (IHEs) Validation Competition. The Secretary takes this action to focus Federal financial assistance on an identified national need. The priorities are intended to increase knowledge by validating and disseminating effective model programs and strategies to promote the safety of students attending IHEs by preventing violent behavior and the illegal use of alcohol and other drugs by college students.

EFFECTIVE DATE: These priorities take effect August 21, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Safe and Drug-Free Schools Program, U.S. Department of Education, 600 Independence Ave., SW, Room 604 Portals, Washington, D.C. 20202-6123. Telephone: (202) 260-3954. E-Mail Karmon_Simms@ed.gov. Individuals who use a telecommunication device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

Note: This notice of final priorities does not solicit applications. A notice inviting applications under this competition is published in a separate notice in this issue of the **Federal Register**.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 9, 1998, the Secretary published the proposed priorities for this competition in a notice in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 31586). No comments were received, and the Secretary has made no modifications.

Priorities

Under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(3) and the Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Act of 1994, the Secretary gives an absolute preference to applications that meet one or all of the following priorities. The Secretary funds under this competition only applications that meet one or all of these absolute priorities:

Absolute Priority 1

Correcting misperceptions of student alcohol and other drug use among a

large or influential subpopulation of students attending institutions of higher education.

Applicants must:

- (1) Identify one large or influential student subpopulation (e.g. student athletes, members of fraternities and sororities) who will receive the intervention;
- (2) Justify the selection of the subpopulation, and design the intervention, based on an assessment of objective data (such as needs assessments, student use surveys, assessment of students' dispositions toward drug use);
- (3) Propose activities designed to correct misperceptions of this subpopulation about levels of student campus alcohol and drug use, student alcohol and drug use norms, and the consequences of student alcohol and drug use;
- (4) Use a campus and community coalition to plan and implement the project;
- (5) Develop measurable goals and objectives linked to the identified needs;
- (6) Use a qualified evaluator to implement a rigorous evaluation of the project using outcomes-based (summative) performance indicators in addition to process (formative) measures, that document strategies used and measure the effectiveness of the program or strategy in reducing student drug use and violent behavior, and utilize a reference group or comparison group at the grantee's own or similar campus;
- (7) Share information about their projects with Department of Education staff or their agents in order to assist grantees in the development of an evaluation strategy and to coordinate cross project site comparisons;
- (8) Demonstrate ability to start the project within 60 days after receiving Federal funding in order to maximize the time available to show impact or prepare an article for publication within the grant period; and
- (9) Provide statistics and information on crimes occurring on campus, especially liquor law violations, drug abuse violations, and weapons possession; and, at the request of the Secretary, coordinate with any report being prepared under section 204(a)(4)(B) of the Student Right-to-Know and Campus Security Act on policies, procedures and practices which have proven effective in the reduction of campus crime.

Absolute Priority 2

Assess the impact of an existing or new consortium (such as coalitions and other partnerships at the community, State, or regional levels) on limiting

illegal alcohol and other drug use, and preventing intoxication and violence.

Applicants must:

- (1) Establish a new, or expand an existing consortium at the community, State, or regional level by working together in partnership with key stakeholders to share information and to impact campus and public policy;
- (2) Demonstrate evidence of commitment of consortium members and explain how the IHE will create or sustain opportunities for members to meet and work together on a regular basis;
- (3) Describe proposed consortium activities and justify how such activities will bring about improvements in drug prevention programs and policies affecting AOD use decisions, and violence on campus;
- (4) Provide criteria for membership, and how any potential expansion of membership would be carried out if additional individuals or organizations seek to join the consortium;
- (5) Develop measurable goals and objectives for consortia linked to identified needs;
- (6) Use prevention approaches that research or evaluation has shown to be effective in preventing or reducing violent behavior or the illegal use of alcohol and other drugs;
- (7) Use a qualified evaluator to design and implement a rigorous evaluation of the project using outcomes-based (summative) performance indicators in addition to process (formative) measures that documents strategies used and measures the effectiveness of the consortium;
- (8) Share information about their projects with Department of Education staff or their agents in order to assist grantees in the development of an evaluation strategy and to coordinate cross project sites;
- (9) Design a program based on assessment of objective data (such as needs assessments, student use surveys, assessments of students' dispositions toward drug use, environmental assessments);
- (10) Demonstrate the ability to start the project within 60 days after receiving Federal funding in order to maximize the time available to show impact within the grant period; and
- (11) At the request of the Secretary, coordinate with any report being prepared under section 204(a)(4)(B) of the Student Right-to-Know and Campus Security Act on policies, procedures and practices which have proven

effective in the reduction of campus crime.

Absolute Priority 3

Disseminate knowledge of existing model programs, new prevention theories, or new application of theories, theoretical models, or conceptual approaches (theories) to alcohol and other drug or violence prevention or both.

Applicants must:

(1) If proposing to disseminate knowledge on an existing model program, (a) document how the program was proven effective by explaining the needs assessment, implementation, evaluation, and outcomes of the program; (b) document how the model program effectively changed the campus and/or community; (c) explain how the model program advanced prevention thinking and activities; (d) discuss the type of institution(s) and student demographics to which the model program would be most replicable or adaptable; and (e) provide a timeline for the submission of the draft and final papers with appropriate attachments.

(2) If proposing a new theory or approach, (a) provide evidence that the theory/approach is based on an assessment of objective data (such as needs assessments, student use surveys, assessment of student dispositions toward drug use, statistics and information on crimes occurring on campus(es)); (b) document how the theory/approach can be applied effectively to change the campus and/or community; (c) explain how the theory/approach will advance prevention thinking and activities; (d) discuss the type of institution(s) and student demographics to which the theory would be most replicable or adaptable; and (e) provide a timeline for the submission of the draft and final papers with appropriate attachments;

(3) Provide a letter of support from the applicant's direct supervisor and demonstrate the ability to start the project within 30 days after receiving Federal funding in order to maximize the time available to prepare an article for publication within the grant period; and

(4) At the request of the Secretary, coordinate with any report being prepared under section 204(a)(4)(B) of the Student Right-to-Know and Campus Security Act on policies, procedures and practices which have proven effective in the reduction of campus crime.

Selection Criteria for Absolute Priority 1 and Absolute Priority 2

(a)(1) The Secretary uses the following selection criteria to evaluate applications for new grants under this competition.

(2) The maximum score for all of these criteria is 100 points.

(3) The maximum score for each criterion or factor under that criterion is indicated in parentheses.

(b) *The criteria.*

(1) *Need for project.* (10 points)

(i) The Secretary considers the need for the proposed project.

(ii) In determining the need for the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(A) The magnitude or severity of the problem to be addressed by the proposed project (5 points)

(B) The extent to which specific gaps or weaknesses in services, infrastructure, or opportunities have been identified and will be addressed by the proposed project, including the nature and magnitude of those gaps or weaknesses. (5 points)

(2) *Significance.* (10 points)

(i) The Secretary considers the significance of the proposed project.

(ii) In determining the significance of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(A) The potential contribution of the proposed project to the development and advancement of theory, knowledge, and practices in the field of study. (5 points)

(B) The potential replicability of the proposed project or strategies, including, as appropriate, the potential for implementation in a variety of settings. (5 points)

(3) *Quality of the project design.* (20 points)

(i) The Secretary considers the quality of the design of the proposed project.

(ii) In determining the quality of the design of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(A) The extent to which the goals, objectives, and outcomes to be achieved by the proposed project are clearly specified and measurable. (5 points)

(B) The extent to which there is a conceptual framework underlying the proposed research or demonstration activities and the quality of that framework. (10 points)

(C) The extent to which the design of the proposed project reflects up-to-date knowledge from research and effective practice. (5 points)

(4) *Quality of the project personnel.* (10 points)

(i) The Secretary considers the quality of the personnel who will carry out the proposed project.

(ii) In determining the quality of project personnel, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(A) The extent to which the applicant encourages applications for employment from persons who are members of groups that have traditionally been under represented based on race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability. (2 points)

(B) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of key project personnel. (8 points)

(5) *Adequacy of resources.* (10 points)

(i) The Secretary considers the adequacy of resources for the proposed project.

(ii) In determining the adequacy of resources for the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(A) The relevance and demonstrated commitment of each partner in the proposed project to the implementation and success of the project. (5 points)

(B) The extent to which the costs are reasonable in relation to the number of persons to be served and to the anticipated results and benefits. (5 points)

(6) *Quality of the management plan.* (15 points)

(i) The Secretary considers the quality of the management plan for the proposed project.

(ii) In determining the quality of the management plan for the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(A) The adequacy of the management plan to achieve the objectives of the proposed project on time and within budget, including clearly defined responsibilities, timelines, and milestones for accomplishing project tasks. (5 points)

(B) The adequacy of mechanisms for ensuring high-quality products and services from the proposed project. (5 points)

(C) How the applicant will ensure that a diversity of perspectives are brought to bear in the operation of the proposed project, including those of students, faculty, parents, the business community, a variety of disciplinary and professional fields, recipients or beneficiaries of services, or others, as appropriate. (5 points)

(7) *Quality of the project evaluation.* (25 points)

(i) The Secretary considers the quality of the evaluation to be conducted of the proposed project.

(ii) In determining the quality of the evaluation, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(A) The extent to which the methods of evaluation are thorough, feasible, and

appropriate to the goals, objectives and outcomes of the proposed project. (10 points)

(B) The extent to which the methods of evaluation will provide performance feedback and permit periodic assessment of progress toward achieving intended outcomes. (5 points)

(C) The extent to which the methods of evaluation include the use of objective performance measures that are clearly related to the intended outcomes of the project and will produce quantitative and qualitative data to the extent possible. (10 points)

Selection Criteria for Absolute Priority 3

(1) *Need for project.* (10 points)

(i) The Secretary considers the need for the proposed project.

(ii) In determining the need for the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(A) The magnitude or severity of the problem to be addressed by the proposed project. (5 points)

(B) The extent to which specific gaps or weaknesses in services, infrastructure, or opportunities have been identified and will be addressed by the proposed project, including the nature and magnitude of those gaps or weaknesses. (5 points)

(2) *Significance.* (25 points)

(i) The Secretary considers the significance of the proposed project.

(ii) In determining the significance of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(A) The potential contribution of the proposed project to the development and advancement of theory, knowledge, and practices in the field of study. (5 points)

(B) The extent to which the proposed project involves the development or demonstration of promising new strategies that build on, or are alternatives to, existing strategies. (15 points) (C) The potential replicability of the proposed project or strategies, including, as appropriate, the potential for implementation in a variety of settings. (5 points)

(3) *Quality of the project design.* (20 Points)

(i) The Secretary considers the quality of the design of the proposed project.

(ii) In determining the quality of the design of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(A) The extent to which the goals, objectives, and outcomes to be achieved by the proposed project are clearly specified and measurable. (5 points)

(B) The extent to which there is a conceptual framework underlying the proposed research or demonstration activities and the quality of that framework. (10 points)

(C) The extent to which the design of the proposed project reflects up-to-date knowledge from research and effective practice. (5 points)

(4) *Quality of the project personnel.* (20 points)

(i) The Secretary considers the quality of the personnel who will carry out the proposed project.

(ii) In determining the quality of the project personnel, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(A) The extent to which the applicant encourages applications for employment from persons who are members of groups that have traditionally been under represented based on race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability. (2 points)

(B) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of key project personnel. (18 points)

(5) *Adequacy of resources.* (10 points)

(i) The Secretary considers the adequacy of resources for the proposed project.

(ii) In determining the adequacy of resources for the proposed project, the Secretary considers the extent to which the costs are reasonable in relation to the number of persons to be served and the anticipated results and benefits. (10 points)

(6) *Quality of the management plan.* (15 points)

(i) The Secretary considers the quality of the management plan for the proposed project.

(ii) In determining the quality of the management plan for the proposed project, the Secretary considers one or more of the following factors:

(A) The adequacy of the management plan to achieve the objectives of the proposed project on time and within budget, including clearly defined responsibilities, time lines, and milestones for accomplishing project tasks. (5 points)

(B) The adequacy of mechanisms for ensuring high-quality products and services from the proposed project. (5 points)

(C) How the applicant will ensure that a diversity of perspectives are brought to bear in the operation of the proposed project, including those of students, faculty, parents, the business community, a variety of disciplinary and professional fields, recipients or beneficiaries of services, or others, as appropriate. (5 points)

Intergovernmental Review

This program is subject to the requirements of Executive Order 12372 and the regulations in 34 CFR Part 79. The objective of the Executive Order is to foster an intergovernmental partnership and a strengthened federalism by relying on processes developed by State and local governments for coordination and review of proposed Federal financial assistance.

In accordance with the order, this document is intended to provide early notification of the Department's specific plans and actions for this program.

Electronic Access To This Document

Anyone may view this document, as well as all other Department of Education documents published in the **Federal Register**, in text or portable document format (pdf) on the World Wide Web at either of the following sites:

<http://ocfo.ed.gov/fedreg.htm>

<http://www.ed.gov/news.html>

To use the pdf you must have the Adobe Acrobat Reader Program with Search, which is available free at either of the preceding sites. If you have questions about using the pdf, call the U.S. Government Printing officer toll free at 1-888-293-6498.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document in an alternate format (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request to the contact person listed above. Government Printing Office toll free at 1-888-293-6498.

Anyone may also view these documents in text copy only on an electronic bulletin board of the Department. Telephone: (202) 219-1511 or, toll free, 1-800-222-4922. The documents are located under Option G—Files/Announcements, bulletins and Press Releases.

Note: The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**.

Program Authority: 20 U.S.C. 7132. (Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 84.184H Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Act National Programs—Grants to Institutions of Higher Education Program)

Dated: July 17, 1998.

Gerald N. Tirozzi,

Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education.

[FR Doc. 98-19549 Filed 7-21-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P