

**Table 1  
Child Care and Development Fund  
Average Monthly Adjusted Number of Families and Children Served (FFY 2004)**

<b>States/Territories</b>	<b>Average Number of Families</b>	<b>Average Number of Children</b>
Alabama	16,600	29,200
Alaska	2,700	4,400
American Samoa	500	700
Arizona	22,500	38,500
Arkansas	8,500	14,700
California	106,200	160,100
Colorado	11,200	20,600
Connecticut	6,000	10,300
Delaware	3,900	6,400
District of Columbia	3,200	4,500
Florida	63,500	105,200
Georgia	32,900	59,500
Guam	-	-
Hawaii	6,200	10,000
Idaho	5,800	10,300
Illinois	44,900	85,800
Indiana	17,900	34,100
Iowa	9,800	16,400
Kansas	9,600	17,700
Kentucky	19,200	34,300
Louisiana	30,400	51,800
Maine	2,700	4,000
Maryland	14,000	24,000
Massachusetts	24,000	35,300
Michigan	22,700	44,500
Minnesota	12,000	22,100
Mississippi	13,100	25,100
Missouri	22,600	38,700
Montana	3,000	5,100
Nebraska	7,600	13,400
Nevada	2,500	4,300
New Hampshire	4,500	6,600
New Jersey	25,700	38,300
New Mexico	13,200	22,900
New York	83,800	140,100
North Carolina	48,900	99,600
North Dakota	3,100	4,900
Northern Mariana Islands	200	400
Ohio	30,100	53,800
Oklahoma	13,400	21,800
Oregon	11,700	21,200
Pennsylvania	35,600	63,700
Puerto Rico	-	-
Rhode Island	3,700	5,900
South Carolina	11,900	20,200
South Dakota	2,900	4,600
Tennessee	25,000	47,600
Texas	63,800	119,000
Utah	4,700	9,000
Vermont	2,200	3,300
Virgin Islands	200	300
Virginia	16,600	27,200
Washington	33,100	54,900
West Virginia	5,900	10,000
Wisconsin	15,800	27,600
Wyoming	2,700	4,500
<b>National Total</b>	<b>1,004,400</b>	<b>1,738,400</b>

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 31-MAR-2006

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2004.

2. All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

3. All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY).

4. At the time of publication, Guam and Puerto Rico had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2004. Three other Territories submitted less than 12 months of ACF-801 data; American Samoa submitted five (5) months, the Northern Mariana Islands submitted 11 months, and the Virgin Islands submitted four (4) months.

5. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Wisconsin has been reporting some children that are authorized for care but do not receive care. Nebraska has been reporting child records for some children that do not receive a subsidy if other children in the same family are receiving a subsidy. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to resolve. Furthermore Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.

6. The reported results shown above have been rounded to the nearest 100. The national numbers are simply the sum of the State and Territory numbers.

**Table 2  
Child Care and Development Fund  
Percent of Children Served by Payment Method (FFY 2004)**

State	Grants / Contracts %	Certificates %	Cash %	Total
Alabama	0%	100%	0%	47,769
Alaska	0%	92%	8%	9,416
American Samoa	0%	100%	0%	1,583
Arizona	0%	100%	0%	71,341
Arkansas	0%	100%	0%	30,252
California	40%	60%	0%	290,098
Colorado	1%	97%	3%	40,392
Connecticut	42%	58%	0%	28,089
Delaware	0%	100%	0%	10,909
District of Columbia	42%	58%	0%	8,054
Florida	46%	54%	0%	180,737
Georgia	0%	100%	0%	111,606
Guam	0%	100%	0%	1,569
Hawaii	35%	0%	65%	27,520
Idaho	0%	100%	0%	19,039
Illinois	9%	91%	0%	152,636
Indiana	4%	96%	0%	57,964
Iowa	0%	100%	0%	34,284
Kansas	0%	91%	9%	33,899
Kentucky	0%	100%	0%	67,388
Louisiana	0%	100%	0%	114,680
Maine	32%	67%	1%	6,504
Maryland	0%	100%	0%	37,645
Massachusetts	44%	56%	0%	68,825
Michigan	0%	69%	31%	67,698
Minnesota	0%	100%	0%	45,061
Mississippi	13%	87%	0%	33,745
Missouri	0%	100%	0%	72,098
Montana	0%	98%	2%	10,264
Nebraska	0%	100%	0%	26,194
Nevada	21%	79%	0%	12,235
New Hampshire	0%	100%	0%	11,438
New Jersey	19%	81%	0%	72,608
New Mexico	0%	100%	0%	39,599
New York	21%	79%	0%	259,386
North Carolina	0%	100%	0%	119,127
North Dakota	0%	100%	0%	9,813
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	100%	0%	952
Ohio	0%	100%	0%	111,348
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-
Oregon	5%	95%	0%	57,196
Pennsylvania	0%	80%	20%	124,631
Puerto Rico	58%	42%	0%	22,895
Rhode Island	0%	100%	0%	8,849
South Carolina	1%	99%	0%	38,945
South Dakota	2%	98%	0%	9,146
Tennessee	0%	100%	0%	74,832
Texas	0%	100%	0%	255,555
Utah	0%	0%	100%	17,772
Vermont	9%	91%	0%	6,897
Virgin Islands	12%	88%	0%	605
Virginia	0%	100%	0%	56,949
Washington	0%	81%	19%	99,392
West Virginia	0%	100%	0%	18,071
Wisconsin	0%	100%	0%	44,903
Wyoming	0%	100%	0%	8,212
<b>National Total</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3,188,617</b>

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 31-MAR-2006

1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2004. The ACF-800 is based on an annual unduplicated count of families and children; i.e. a family or child that receives one hour of service on one day is counted the same as a family or child that receives full-time care throughout the fiscal year.

2. All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.

4. At the time of publication, Oklahoma had not yet reported FFY 2004 ACF-800 data.

**Table 3  
Child Care and Development Fund  
Percent of Children Served by Types of Care (FFY 2004)**

State	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Total
Alabama	0%	9%	4%	87%	47,769
Alaska	8%	38%	6%	48%	9,416
American Samoa	0%	1%	0%	99%	1,583
Arizona	3%	18%	7%	72%	71,341
Arkansas	0%	23%	0%	77%	30,252
California	6%	32%	9%	53%	290,098
Colorado	7%	32%	0%	62%	40,392
Connecticut	21%	18%	0%	61%	28,089
Delaware	4%	37%	3%	57%	10,909
District of Columbia	0%	4%	0%	96%	8,054
Florida	0%	12%	0%	88%	180,737
Georgia	2%	13%	2%	83%	111,606
Guam	9%	8%	0%	83%	1,569
Hawaii	8%	40%	0%	53%	27,520
Idaho	2%	36%	15%	47%	19,039
Illinois	21%	40%	1%	38%	152,636
Indiana	1%	43%	0%	56%	57,964
Iowa	1%	53%	8%	38%	34,284
Kansas	9%	19%	40%	33%	33,899
Kentucky	2%	22%	2%	75%	67,388
Louisiana	16%	11%	0%	73%	114,680
Maine	2%	44%	0%	53%	6,504
Maryland	13%	44%	0%	42%	37,645
Massachusetts	4%	7%	16%	73%	68,825
Michigan	31%	44%	9%	16%	67,698
Minnesota	13%	49%	0%	39%	45,061
Mississippi	2%	11%	1%	87%	33,745
Missouri	4%	44%	3%	49%	72,098
Montana	3%	24%	34%	40%	10,264
Nebraska	4%	37%	9%	51%	26,194
Nevada	3%	9%	0%	88%	12,235
New Hampshire	10%	22%	0%	68%	11,438
New Jersey	3%	24%	0%	73%	72,608
New Mexico	0%	46%	5%	49%	39,599
New York	16%	46%	4%	34%	259,386
North Carolina	0%	14%	0%	86%	119,127
North Dakota	0%	43%	30%	27%	9,813
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	59%	0%	41%	952
Ohio	0%	38%	1%	61%	111,348
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-	-
Oregon	0%	79%	2%	19%	57,196
Pennsylvania	11%	43%	4%	42%	124,631
Puerto Rico	1%	47%	0%	53%	22,895
Rhode Island	1%	31%	0%	67%	8,849
South Carolina	7%	17%	3%	73%	38,945
South Dakota	1%	48%	10%	41%	9,146
Tennessee	4%	15%	5%	76%	74,832
Texas	9%	11%	2%	78%	255,555
Utah	15%	41%	6%	38%	17,772
Vermont	6%	47%	0%	47%	6,897
Virgin Islands	1%	4%	8%	87%	605
Virginia	8%	30%	0%	62%	56,949
Washington	15%	35%	0%	51%	99,392
West Virginia	0%	40%	4%	56%	18,071
Wisconsin	0%	36%	0%	64%	44,903
Wyoming	37%	38%	8%	17%	8,212
<b>National Total</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>3,188,617</b>

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 31-MAR-2006

- The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2004. The ACF-800 is based on an annual unduplicated count of families and children; i.e. a family or child that receives one hour of service on one day is counted the same as a family or child that receives full-time care throughout the fiscal year.
- All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- At the time of publication, Oklahoma had not yet reported FFY 2004 ACF-800 data.

**Table 4**  
**Child Care and Development Fund**  
**Percent of Children Served in Regulated Settings vs. Settings Legally Operating Without Regulation (FFY 2004)**

State	Licensed/Regulated	Legally Operating Without Regulation	Total
Alabama	74%	26%	47,769
Alaska	75%	25%	9,416
American Samoa	100%	0%	1,583
Arizona	88%	12%	71,341
Arkansas	100%	0%	30,252
California	68%	32%	290,098
Colorado	78%	22%	40,392
Connecticut	67%	33%	28,089
Delaware	81%	19%	10,909
District of Columbia	58%	42%	8,054
Florida	92%	8%	180,737
Georgia	94%	6%	111,606
Guam	53%	47%	1,569
Hawaii	19%	81%	27,520
Idaho	62%	38%	19,039
Illinois	52%	48%	152,636
Indiana	65%	35%	57,964
Iowa	89%	11%	34,284
Kansas	80%	20%	33,899
Kentucky	83%	17%	67,388
Louisiana	73%	27%	114,680
Maine	88%	12%	6,504
Maryland	77%	23%	37,645
Massachusetts	94%	6%	68,825
Michigan	33%	67%	67,698
Minnesota	65%	35%	45,061
Mississippi	87%	13%	33,745
Missouri	61%	39%	72,098
Montana	87%	13%	10,264
Nebraska	78%	22%	26,194
Nevada	71%	29%	12,235
New Hampshire	73%	27%	11,438
New Jersey	85%	15%	72,608
New Mexico	56%	44%	39,599
New York	50%	50%	259,386
North Carolina	98%	2%	119,127
North Dakota	69%	31%	9,813
Northern Mariana Islands	100%	0%	952
Ohio	100%	0%	111,348
Oklahoma	-	-	-
Oregon	39%	61%	57,196
Pennsylvania	55%	45%	124,631
Puerto Rico	63%	37%	22,895
Rhode Island	95%	5%	8,849
South Carolina	81%	19%	38,945
South Dakota	88%	12%	9,146
Tennessee	87%	13%	74,832
Texas	83%	17%	255,555
Utah	57%	43%	17,772
Vermont	78%	22%	6,897
Virgin Islands	96%	4%	605
Virginia	87%	13%	56,949
Washington	81%	19%	99,392
West Virginia	96%	4%	18,071
Wisconsin	100%	0%	44,903
Wyoming	34%	66%	8,212
<b>National Total</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>3,188,617</b>

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 31-MAR-2006

1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2004. The ACF-800 is based on an annual unduplicated count of families and children; i.e. a family or child that receives one hour of service on one day is counted the same as a family or child that receives full-time care throughout the fiscal year.

2. All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.

4. At the time of publication, Oklahoma had not yet reported FFY 2004 ACF-800 data.

Table 5 Child Care and Development Fund Of Children in Settings Legally Operating Without Regulation, Percent Served by Relatives vs. Non-Relatives (FFY 2004)			
State	Relative	Non-Relative	Total
Alabama	17%	83%	12,194
Alaska	46%	54%	2,382
American Samoa	-	-	0
Arizona	100%	0%	8,868
Arkansas	-	-	0
California	59%	41%	92,794
Colorado	48%	52%	8,707
Connecticut	80%	20%	9,307
Delaware	50%	50%	2,024
District of Columbia	2%	98%	3,419
Florida	4%	96%	15,282
Georgia	56%	44%	6,711
Guam	34%	66%	739
Hawaii	46%	54%	22,385
Idaho	40%	60%	7,184
Illinois	56%	44%	73,788
Indiana	10%	90%	20,355
Iowa	25%	75%	3,615
Kansas	79%	21%	6,844
Kentucky	61%	39%	11,288
Louisiana	50%	50%	30,782
Maine	50%	50%	779
Maryland	83%	17%	8,824
Massachusetts	73%	27%	4,352
Michigan	75%	25%	45,196
Minnesota	33%	67%	15,750
Mississippi	56%	44%	4,345
Missouri	32%	68%	27,980
Montana	56%	44%	1,310
Nebraska	0%	100%	5,827
Nevada	12%	88%	3,541
New Hampshire	42%	58%	3,110
New Jersey	28%	72%	10,637
New Mexico	72%	28%	17,481
New York	44%	56%	128,727
North Carolina	76%	24%	1,803
North Dakota	36%	64%	3,005
Northern Mariana Islands	-	-	0
Ohio	-	-	0
Oklahoma	-	-	-
Oregon	24%	76%	34,804
Pennsylvania	35%	65%	56,685
Puerto Rico	70%	30%	8,415
Rhode Island	81%	19%	475
South Carolina	1%	99%	7,490
South Dakota	71%	29%	1,067
Tennessee	45%	55%	9,798
Texas	100%	0%	44,217
Utah	93%	7%	7,606
Vermont	7%	93%	1,517
Virgin Islands	81%	19%	25
Virginia	4%	96%	7,489
Washington	72%	28%	19,322
West Virginia	51%	49%	714
Wisconsin	-	-	0
Wyoming	71%	29%	5,455
<b>National</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>826,415</b>

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 31-MAR-2006

- The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2004. The ACF-800 is based on an annual unduplicated count of families and children; i.e. a family or child that receives one hour of service on one day is counted the same as a family or child that receives full-time care throughout the fiscal year.
- All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- In some States there were no children served in Unregulated settings and thus the percent is "-" since division by zero is unde
- At the time of publication, Oklahoma had not yet reported FFY 2004 ACF-800 data.

**Table 6**  
**Child Care and Development Fund**  
**Percent of Children Served in All Types of Care (FFY 2004)**

State	Total % of Children	Licensed or Regulated Providers				Providers Legally Operating without Regulation						
		Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Child's Home		Family Home		Group Home		Center
						Relative	Non-Relative	Relative	Non-Relative	Relative	Non-Relative	
Alabama	100%	0%	5%	4%	65%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	21%
Alaska	100%	0%	21%	6%	48%	3%	5%	9%	9%	0%	0%	0%
American Samoa	100%	0%	1%	0%	99%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Arizona	100%	0%	9%	7%	72%	3%	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Arkansas	100%	0%	23%	0%	77%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
California	100%	0%	11%	9%	48%	4%	2%	15%	7%	0%	0%	5%
Colorado	100%	0%	17%	0%	61%	1%	6%	9%	5%	0%	0%	0%
Connecticut	100%	0%	6%	0%	60%	16%	6%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Delaware	100%	0%	31%	3%	48%	3%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	9%
District of Columbia	100%	0%	3%	0%	55%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	42%
Florida	100%	0%	10%	0%	81%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	7%
Georgia	100%	0%	10%	2%	83%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Guam	100%	0%	0%	0%	53%	9%	0%	7%	1%	0%	0%	30%
Hawaii	100%	0%	4%	0%	15%	8%	0%	30%	6%	0%	0%	38%
Idaho	100%	0%	0%	15%	47%	1%	1%	14%	22%	0%	0%	0%
Illinois	100%	0%	16%	1%	35%	10%	11%	17%	7%	0%	0%	3%
Indiana	100%	0%	33%	0%	32%	0%	0%	3%	7%	0%	0%	24%
Iowa	100%	0%	44%	8%	38%	0%	1%	2%	7%	0%	0%	0%
Kansas	100%	0%	8%	40%	33%	4%	4%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Kentucky	100%	0%	7%	2%	75%	1%	1%	9%	6%	0%	0%	0%
Louisiana	100%	0%	0%	0%	73%	10%	6%	3%	8%	0%	0%	0%
Maine	100%	0%	35%	0%	53%	1%	1%	5%	5%	0%	0%	0%
Maryland	100%	0%	35%	0%	42%	10%	3%	9%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Massachusetts	100%	0%	4%	16%	73%	2%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Michigan	100%	0%	8%	9%	16%	14%	17%	36%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Minnesota	100%	0%	31%	0%	34%	5%	7%	6%	12%	0%	0%	5%
Mississippi	100%	0%	0%	1%	87%	1%	1%	6%	5%	0%	0%	0%
Missouri	100%	0%	15%	3%	44%	3%	1%	9%	20%	0%	0%	6%
Montana	100%	0%	14%	34%	40%	1%	1%	6%	4%	0%	0%	0%
Nebraska	100%	0%	19%	9%	51%	0%	4%	0%	18%	0%	0%	0%
Nevada	100%	0%	3%	0%	67%	2%	1%	2%	4%	0%	0%	20%
New Hampshire	100%	0%	5%	0%	68%	4%	6%	7%	10%	0%	0%	0%
New Jersey	100%	0%	12%	0%	73%	1%	2%	3%	9%	0%	0%	0%
New Mexico	100%	0%	2%	5%	49%	0%	0%	32%	12%	0%	0%	0%
New York	100%	0%	14%	4%	32%	8%	8%	14%	18%	0%	0%	2%
North Carolina	100%	0%	13%	0%	85%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
North Dakota	100%	0%	12%	30%	27%	0%	0%	11%	20%	0%	0%	0%
Northern Mariana Islands	100%	0%	59%	0%	41%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Ohio	100%	0%	38%	1%	61%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oregon	100%	0%	20%	1%	18%	0%	0%	15%	44%	0%	1%	1%
Pennsylvania	100%	0%	9%	4%	42%	5%	7%	12%	23%	0%	0%	0%
Puerto Rico	100%	0%	10%	0%	53%	0%	0%	25%	11%	0%	0%	0%
Rhode Island	100%	0%	27%	0%	67%	1%	1%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%
South Carolina	100%	0%	5%	3%	73%	0%	7%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%
South Dakota	100%	0%	37%	10%	41%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	0%	0%
Tennessee	100%	0%	6%	5%	76%	3%	1%	3%	6%	0%	0%	0%
Texas	100%	0%	3%	2%	78%	9%	0%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Utah	100%	6%	7%	6%	38%	8%	1%	32%	2%	0%	0%	0%
Vermont	100%	0%	31%	0%	47%	1%	6%	1%	15%	0%	0%	0%
Virgin Islands	100%	0%	1%	8%	87%	1%	0%	3%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Virginia	100%	0%	25%	0%	62%	0%	7%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%
Washington	100%	0%	30%	0%	50%	9%	5%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%
West Virginia	100%	0%	38%	4%	54%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%
Wisconsin	100%	0%	36%	0%	64%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Wyoming	100%	0%	9%	8%	17%	34%	3%	13%	16%	0%	0%	0%
<b>Percentage</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3%</b>

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 31-MAR-2006

- The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2004. The ACF-800 is based on an annual unduplicated count of families and children; i.e. a family or child that receives one hour of service on one day is counted the same as a family or child that receives full-time care throughout the fiscal year.
- All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- At the time of publication, Oklahoma had not yet reported FFY 2004 ACF-800 data.

Table 7 Child Care and Development Fund and Additional State Efforts Number of Child Care Providers Receiving CCDF Funds (FFY 2004)					
State	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Total
Alabama	25	1,572	312	1,292	3,201
Alaska	668	1,775	89	219	2,751
American Samoa	0	1	0	38	39
Arizona	874	4,253	333	1,224	6,684
Arkansas	0	635	0	892	1,527
California	11,495	62,221	10,646	15,371	99,733
Colorado	1,726	5,431	0	1,401	8,558
Connecticut	5,626	3,360	17	1,322	10,325
Delaware	1,691	41	299	494	2,525
District of Columbia	11	205	0	369	585
Florida	214	6,594	0	9,557	16,365
Georgia	1,192	5,243	289	5,063	11,787
Guam	53	42	2	54	151
Hawaii	1,236	6,667	6	437	8,346
Idaho	169	2,626	452	507	3,754
Illinois	37,974	49,556	276	3,334	91,140
Indiana	128	4,721	0	1,510	6,359
Iowa	226	7,490	547	727	8,990
Kansas	1,201	2,283	2,302	701	6,487
Kentucky	430	4,655	106	1,716	6,907
Louisiana	6,360	2,509	0	2,214	11,083
Maine	132	1,816	0	479	2,427
Maryland	2,826	6,182	0	1,592	10,600
Massachusetts	3,006	3,600	4,415	2,617	13,638
Michigan	32,986	42,367	2,794	2,460	80,607
Minnesota	3,601	15,778	0	2,074	21,453
Mississippi	502	2,789	38	1,237	4,566
Missouri	1,203	10,906	185	1,887	14,181
Montana	195	1,479	470	251	2,395
Nebraska	604	2,956	331	607	4,498
Nevada	145	472	10	548	1,175
New Hampshire	552	2,169	0	666	3,387
New Jersey	1,209	7,963	0	2,465	11,637
New Mexico	6	9,125	189	479	9,799
New York	23,284	55,567	2,326	4,915	86,092
North Carolina	121	4,772	0	4,324	9,217
North Dakota	0	2,106	723	128	2,957
Northern Mariana Islands	0	150	0	19	169
Ohio	28	17,342	106	3,641	21,117
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-	-
Oregon	32	24,952	363	2,529	27,876
Pennsylvania	4,848	21,756	549	3,610	30,763
Puerto Rico	84	4,978	0	1,113	6,175
Rhode Island	154	1,298	8	315	1,775
South Carolina	1,110	2,298	187	1,364	4,959
South Dakota	69	1,473	84	227	1,853
Tennessee	699	2,232	427	1,752	5,110
Texas	12,426	14,767	1,003	6,589	34,785
Utah	2,428	5,964	333	515	9,240
Vermont	437	2,161	0	365	2,963
Virgin Islands	0	24	23	61	108
Virginia	-	-	-	-	-
Washington	11,393	9,202	0	2,040	22,635
West Virginia	9	2,893	79	407	3,388
Wisconsin	88	6,631	0	2,093	8,812
Wyoming	335	1,381	172	146	2,034
<b>National Total</b>	<b>175,811</b>	<b>461,429</b>	<b>30,491</b>	<b>101,957</b>	<b>769,688</b>

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 31-MAR-2006

1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2004, an unduplicated annual count.
2. This data has not been adjusted by the pooling factor (unadjusted data) because ACF-800 Data Element 6a it is reported as a count of providers receiving CCDF funding.
3. Note that this table reports the number of providers (not the number of children). A provider that serves one child is counted the same as a provider serving 200 children per day.
4. At the time of publication, Oklahoma had not yet reported FFY 2004 ACF-800 data.
5. Virginia did not report the number of providers.

**Table 8  
Child Care and Development Fund  
Consumer Education Strategies Summary (FFY 2004)**

State	Grants / Contracts / Certificates Info	Resource and Referral	Provider List	Types/ Quality of Care Materials	Health and Safety	Child Care Regulatory Info	Child Care Complaint Policy	Mass Media	Other	Estimated Number of Families Receiving Consumer Education
Alabama	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	41,930
Alaska	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	8,385
American Samoa	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y		2,000
Arizona	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		158,670
Arkansas	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	15,507
California	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	2,025,285
Colorado	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	108,365
Connecticut	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	84,468
Delaware	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	18,417
District of Columbia	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	20,000
Florida	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	193,218
Georgia	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	60,624
Guam	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		887
Hawaii	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N		10,571
Idaho	NA	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	10,659
Illinois	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	265,300
Indiana	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	26,025
Iowa	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	13,932
Kansas	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	114,058
Kentucky	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	74,822
Louisiana	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	50,902
Maine	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		8,028
Maryland	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	248,237
Massachusetts	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	72,860
Michigan	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	1,176,225
Minnesota	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		1,859,277
Mississippi	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	19,482
Missouri	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		47,419
Montana	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	882,678
Nebraska	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	440,172
Nevada	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	7,214
New Hampshire	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	8,532
New Jersey	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	156,072
New Mexico	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	23,909
New York	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		767,731
North Carolina	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	247,577
North Dakota	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	9,549
Northern Mariana Islands	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	472
Ohio	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	129,223
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oregon	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	31,366
Pennsylvania	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	178,215
Puerto Rico	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	19,267
Rhode Island	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	9,000
South Carolina	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	22,913
South Dakota	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		215,492
Tennessee	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	38,621
Texas	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	120,544
Utah	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	8,199
Vermont	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	8,088
Virgin Islands	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		404
Virginia	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y		39,646
Washington	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		24,382
West Virginia	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	8,150
Wisconsin	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	48,934
Wyoming	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	29,137
<b>Total Yes</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10,211,040</b>

Notes applicable to this table:

Data as of: 31-MAR-2006

1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2004, an unduplicated annual count.
2. This data has not been adjusted by the pooling factor (unadjusted data) because it is impossible to tell which families receiving consumer information also received CCDF funding.
3. NA=Not applicable, does not offer grants or contracts for subsidized child care slots.
4. A blank cell indicates that the State did not provide a response.
5. At the time of publication, Oklahoma had not yet reported FFY 2004 ACF-800 data.



**Table 9**  
**Child Care and Development Fund**  
**Monthly Average Percent of Children In Care By Age Group (FFY2004)**

State	0 to < 1 yr	1 yr to < 2 yrs	2 yrs to < 3 yrs	3 yrs to < 4 yrs	4 yrs to < 5 yrs	5 yrs to < 6 yrs	6 yrs to < 13 yrs	13+ yrs	Invalid/Not Reported	Total
Alabama	5%	10%	14%	15%	14%	11%	32%	0%	0%	100%
Alaska	5%	10%	13%	14%	15%	10%	34%	0%	0%	100%
American Samoa	10%	20%	23%	22%	17%	9%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Arizona	5%	10%	12%	13%	13%	11%	35%	0%	0%	100%
Arkansas	9%	15%	16%	15%	12%	9%	25%	0%	0%	100%
California	2%	6%	9%	14%	17%	12%	40%	0%	0%	100%
Colorado	7%	11%	13%	14%	13%	11%	32%	0%	0%	100%
Connecticut	4%	8%	12%	13%	12%	10%	40%	0%	0%	100%
Delaware	7%	11%	13%	13%	13%	10%	32%	1%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	4%	11%	17%	18%	14%	7%	28%	0%	0%	100%
Florida	5%	10%	13%	14%	15%	12%	31%	0%	0%	100%
Georgia	7%	12%	15%	15%	13%	9%	29%	0%	0%	100%
Guam	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hawaii	5%	11%	13%	16%	19%	8%	28%	0%	0%	100%
Idaho	7%	12%	13%	13%	13%	11%	31%	0%	0%	100%
Illinois	6%	9%	10%	11%	11%	9%	44%	1%	0%	100%
Indiana	4%	10%	13%	14%	13%	12%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Iowa	9%	12%	14%	13%	11%	9%	32%	1%	0%	100%
Kansas	7%	12%	13%	14%	13%	11%	31%	0%	0%	100%
Kentucky	6%	11%	13%	14%	13%	10%	35%	0%	0%	100%
Louisiana	7%	13%	15%	15%	11%	8%	30%	0%	0%	100%
Maine	4%	8%	11%	15%	16%	12%	33%	1%	0%	100%
Maryland	3%	9%	12%	13%	13%	10%	39%	0%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	5%	9%	13%	13%	12%	11%	37%	0%	0%	100%
Michigan	6%	9%	10%	11%	10%	9%	45%	1%	0%	100%
Minnesota	6%	11%	12%	13%	13%	10%	34%	0%	1%	100%
Mississippi	3%	9%	12%	13%	13%	10%	39%	1%	0%	100%
Missouri	7%	11%	12%	13%	12%	9%	29%	0%	6%	100%
Montana	7%	11%	13%	14%	13%	11%	30%	0%	0%	100%
Nebraska	9%	13%	13%	13%	12%	9%	31%	1%	0%	100%
Nevada	6%	10%	11%	12%	13%	11%	37%	0%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	5%	9%	13%	15%	15%	13%	32%	0%	0%	100%
New Jersey	4%	9%	12%	13%	12%	10%	39%	1%	0%	100%
New Mexico	7%	12%	13%	13%	12%	10%	34%	0%	0%	100%
New York	4%	8%	10%	12%	12%	9%	44%	1%	0%	100%
North Carolina	5%	9%	12%	13%	13%	10%	39%	0%	0%	100%
North Dakota	9%	14%	13%	14%	12%	11%	28%	1%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	7%	13%	14%	14%	12%	10%	30%	0%	0%	100%
Ohio	6%	11%	13%	14%	13%	10%	33%	0%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	9%	14%	15%	15%	13%	10%	25%	0%	0%	100%
Oregon	7%	11%	11%	12%	12%	11%	37%	0%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	5%	8%	11%	12%	12%	11%	42%	0%	0%	100%
Puerto Rico	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island	4%	9%	11%	12%	12%	10%	40%	0%	0%	100%
South Carolina	4%	8%	12%	15%	14%	12%	36%	0%	0%	100%
South Dakota	9%	12%	14%	14%	13%	11%	26%	0%	0%	100%
Tennessee	6%	12%	13%	13%	12%	10%	34%	0%	0%	100%
Texas	7%	12%	13%	13%	11%	9%	32%	0%	1%	100%
Utah	5%	10%	11%	13%	13%	12%	36%	0%	0%	100%
Vermont	5%	10%	12%	14%	14%	12%	33%	1%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	2%	9%	17%	18%	20%	11%	23%	1%	0%	100%
Virginia	5%	10%	13%	14%	14%	10%	34%	0%	0%	100%
Washington	6%	9%	12%	13%	13%	12%	36%	0%	0%	100%
West Virginia	6%	10%	12%	13%	12%	10%	36%	0%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	7%	11%	13%	13%	12%	10%	34%	0%	0%	100%
Wyoming	8%	12%	13%	14%	13%	11%	28%	0%	0%	100%
<b>National</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 31-MAR-2006

- The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2004.
- All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY).
- A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- At the time of publication, Guam and Puerto Rico had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2004. Three other Territories submitted less than 12 months of ACF-801 data; American Samoa submitted five (5) months, the Northern Mariana Islands submitted 11 months, and the Virgin Islands submitted four (4) months.
- Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Wisconsin has been reporting some children that are authorized for care but do not receive care. Nebraska has been reporting child records for some children that do not receive a subsidy if other children in the same family are receiving a subsidy. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to resolve. Furthermore Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- The Invalid/Not Reported category only includes children with an invalid year/month of birth or report date.
- In some instances, the Total may appear to be slightly more or less than 100% because of rounding.
- All Florida's out of range date-of-birth children are special needs children.

**Table 10**  
**Child Care and Development Fund**  
**Reasons for Receiving Care, Average Monthly Percentage of Families (FFY2004)**

State	Employment	Training/ Education	Both Emp & Training/Education	Protective Services	Other	Invalid/ Not Reported	Total
Alabama	79%	8%	5%	8%	1%	0%	100%
Alaska	84%	5%	7%	0%	3%	0%	100%
American Samoa	74%	2%	22%	0%	1%	1%	100%
Arizona	70%	1%	9%	19%	1%	0%	100%
Arkansas	84%	8%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%
California	81%	8%	6%	2%	4%	0%	100%
Colorado	77%	16%	4%	0%	3%	0%	100%
Connecticut	89%	9%	2%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Delaware	87%	5%	1%	3%	4%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	55%	34%	2%	1%	7%	0%	100%
Florida	72%	5%	8%	14%	2%	0%	100%
Georgia	75%	16%	3%	4%	1%	1%	100%
Guam	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hawaii	79%	6%	12%	1%	3%	0%	100%
Idaho	70%	13%	17%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Illinois	88%	5%	2%	0%	5%	0%	100%
Indiana	69%	12%	9%	0%	10%	0%	100%
Iowa	79%	13%	1%	7%	0%	0%	100%
Kansas	89%	8%	2%	0%	1%	0%	100%
Kentucky	75%	12%	2%	11%	0%	0%	100%
Louisiana	79%	9%	10%	3%	0%	0%	100%
Maine	85%	5%	5%	2%	2%	0%	100%
Maryland	81%	12%	6%	0%	1%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	76%	10%	0%	10%	3%	2%	100%
Michigan	87%	9%	1%	1%	2%	0%	100%
Minnesota	78%	7%	11%	0%	4%	0%	100%
Mississippi	74%	14%	11%	1%	1%	0%	100%
Missouri	66%	21%	1%	10%	1%	2%	100%
Montana	68%	13%	16%	2%	0%	0%	100%
Nebraska	71%	15%	3%	11%	1%	0%	100%
Nevada	80%	10%	3%	0%	7%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	80%	11%	0%	8%	1%	0%	100%
New Jersey	80%	3%	2%	5%	10%	0%	100%
New Mexico	47%	10%	10%	0%	33%	0%	100%
New York	71%	15%	3%	1%	10%	0%	100%
North Carolina	89%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
North Dakota	69%	22%	9%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	63%	28%	9%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Ohio	68%	18%	4%	0%	10%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	65%	9%	24%	2%	0%	0%	100%
Oregon	74%	3%	22%	1%	0%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	66%	4%	1%	0%	4%	26%	100%
Puerto Rico	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island	89%	9%	2%	0%	0%	0%	100%
South Carolina	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
South Dakota	62%	10%	15%	14%	0%	0%	100%
Tennessee	45%	38%	16%	0%	2%	0%	100%
Texas	68%	27%	2%	0%	3%	0%	100%
Utah	87%	0%	4%	0%	10%	0%	100%
Vermont	77%	13%	0%	6%	4%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	65%	24%	0%	4%	6%	0%	100%
Virginia	82%	5%	10%	1%	2%	0%	100%
Washington	83%	8%	1%	8%	0%	0%	100%
West Virginia	76%	14%	9%	1%	0%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	89%	1%	8%	0%	2%	0%	100%
Wyoming	89%	11%	0%	0%	1%	0%	100%
<b>National</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 31-MAR-2006

- The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2004.
- All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY).
- A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- At the time of publication, Guam and Puerto Rico had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2004. Three other Territories submitted less than 12 months of ACF-801 data; American Samoa submitted five (5) months, the Northern Mariana Islands submitted 11 months, and the Virgin Islands submitted four (4) months.
- Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Wisconsin has been reporting some children that are authorized for care but do not receive care. Nebraska has been reporting child records for some children that do not receive a subsidy if other children in the same family are receiving a subsidy. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to resolve. Furthermore Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- The Invalid/Not Reported only includes family records with an invalid or missing number for ACF-801 element 6, Reason for Receiving Subsidized Child Care.
- Several States only capture the primary reason for receiving services and therefore do not report any families in the Both Employment and Training/Education category. States reporting no families in this combination category of Both Employment and Training Education" include Arkansas, New Hampshire, North Carolina, South Carolina, Vermont, and Wyoming (confirmed by ACF-801 notes).
- Inconsistencies in income reporting appear in several States between ACF-801 element 6 (reason for receiving a subsidy), element 9 (total income for determining eligibility), and elements 10 through 15 (the sources of income). For example, element 6 may indicate that the reason is employment, element 10 may indicate employment as an income source, and element 9 may show a monthly income of \$0. All combinations of inconsistencies between these three types of data elements have been observed.
- Connecticut reports that they inadvertently did not code families in protective services as such.
- In some instances, the Total may appear to be slightly more or less than 100% because of rounding.

Table 11									
Child Care and Development Fund									
Average Monthly Percentages of Children by Racial Group (FFY2004)									
State	Native American / Alaskan	Asian	Black / African American	Native Hawaiian / Pacific	White	Multi-Racial	Invalid / Not Reported	Total	
Alabama	0%	0%	75%	0%	25%	0%	0%	100%	
Alaska	8%	3%	10%	2%	50%	14%	14%	100%	
American Samoa	0%	0%	0%	99%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
Arizona	5%	0%	14%	1%	78%	3%	0%	100%	
Arkansas	0%	1%	65%	0%	34%	1%	0%	100%	
California	1%	6%	23%	1%	38%	1%	30%	100%	
Colorado	1%	1%	17%	0%	64%	2%	15%	100%	
Connecticut	0%	0%	38%	0%	24%	4%	34%	100%	
Delaware	0%	0%	65%	1%	33%	0%	0%	100%	
District of Columbia	0%	0%	95%	0%	3%	0%	1%	100%	
Florida	0%	0%	50%	1%	47%	1%	0%	100%	
Georgia	0%	0%	79%	0%	18%	1%	1%	100%	
Guam	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hawaii	0%	40%	2%	35%	13%	10%	0%	100%	
Idaho	1%	0%	1%	0%	90%	1%	5%	100%	
Illinois	0%	1%	66%	1%	17%	1%	14%	100%	
Indiana	1%	0%	50%	0%	42%	8%	0%	100%	
Iowa	0%	1%	22%	0%	77%	0%	0%	100%	
Kansas	1%	0%	29%	0%	65%	1%	4%	100%	
Kentucky	0%	0%	33%	0%	60%	0%	7%	100%	
Louisiana	0%	0%	81%	0%	18%	1%	0%	100%	
Maine	1%	1%	3%	0%	86%	5%	4%	100%	
Maryland	0%	1%	80%	0%	17%	1%	2%	100%	
Massachusetts	0%	1%	17%	0%	27%	1%	55%	100%	
Michigan	0%	0%	58%	0%	40%	1%	0%	100%	
Minnesota	3%	2%	31%	1%	61%	2%	0%	100%	
Mississippi	0%	0%	87%	0%	11%	2%	0%	100%	
Missouri	0%	0%	55%	0%	43%	0%	2%	100%	
Montana	11%	1%	1%	0%	84%	3%	0%	100%	
Nebraska	3%	0%	27%	0%	69%	1%	0%	100%	
Nevada	2%	1%	32%	1%	58%	6%	0%	100%	
New Hampshire	0%	0%	1%	0%	21%	1%	77%	100%	
New Jersey	0%	2%	57%	12%	20%	2%	7%	100%	
New Mexico	6%	0%	4%	0%	86%	4%	0%	100%	
New York	0%	1%	27%	0%	22%	1%	48%	100%	
North Carolina	3%	1%	60%	1%	36%	1%	0%	100%	
North Dakota	19%	0%	3%	0%	75%	3%	0%	100%	
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
Ohio	0%	0%	56%	0%	40%	1%	3%	100%	
Oklahoma	8%	1%	31%	0%	60%	0%	0%	100%	
Oregon	2%	2%	10%	0%	85%	1%	0%	100%	
Pennsylvania	0%	1%	25%	0%	32%	1%	42%	100%	
Puerto Rico	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Rhode Island	0%	1%	10%	0%	25%	0%	65%	100%	
South Carolina	0%	0%	77%	0%	23%	0%	0%	100%	
South Dakota	19%	0%	4%	0%	74%	3%	0%	100%	
Tennessee	0%	0%	71%	0%	28%	0%	0%	100%	
Texas	0%	0%	36%	0%	27%	0%	37%	100%	
Utah	3%	2%	4%	0%	91%	0%	0%	100%	
Vermont	0%	1%	1%	0%	98%	0%	0%	100%	
Virgin Islands	0%	0%	91%	0%	8%	0%	0%	100%	
Virginia	8%	1%	64%	0%	25%	2%	0%	100%	
Washington	2%	1%	8%	0%	42%	0%	48%	100%	
West Virginia	0%	0%	12%	0%	78%	8%	2%	100%	
Wisconsin	2%	2%	42%	0%	45%	3%	7%	100%	
Wyoming	3%	0%	4%	0%	82%	0%	12%	100%	
<b>National</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>100%</b>	

Notes applicable to this report: Data as of: 31-MAR-2006

- The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2004.
- All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY).
- A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- At the time of publication, Guam and Puerto Rico had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2004. Three other Territories submitted less than 12 months of ACF-801 data; American Samoa submitted five (5) months, the Northern Mariana Islands submitted 11 months, and the Virgin Islands submitted four (4) months.
- Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Wisconsin has been reporting some children that are authorized for care but do not receive care. Nebraska has been reporting child records for some children that do not receive a subsidy if other children in the same family are receiving a subsidy. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to resolve. Furthermore Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- The multi-racial category includes any child where more than one race was answered Yes (1). Several States do not capture and report more than one race per child and thus do not provide multi-racial data.
- The Invalid/Not Reported category includes children where one or more race fields had anything other than a No (0) or Yes (1), blank, null, or space.
- Several States including Washington are still reporting ethnicity (Latino/Hispanic) as a race rather than as an ethnicity in accordance with the Pre-FFY 2000 Technical Bulletin 3 standard. In many of these instances if a child is designated as Latino, no race is designated. In many states including Texas, Illinois, Louisiana, and Wisconsin self-reporting of race is optional and no race will be reported other than self reporting.

Table 12				
Child Care and Development Fund				
Average Monthly Percentages of Children by Latino Ethnicity (FFY2004)				
State	Latino	Not Latino	Invalid/Not Reported	Total
Alabama	1%	99%	0%	100%
Alaska	9%	91%	0%	100%
American Samoa	0%	100%	0%	100%
Arizona	45%	55%	0%	100%
Arkansas	1%	99%	0%	100%
California	50%	48%	2%	100%
Colorado	36%	64%	0%	100%
Connecticut	34%	66%	0%	100%
Delaware	8%	92%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	6%	94%	0%	100%
Florida	22%	78%	0%	100%
Georgia	2%	98%	0%	100%
Guam	-	-	-	-
Hawaii	3%	97%	0%	100%
Idaho	15%	85%	0%	100%
Illinois	11%	86%	4%	100%
Indiana	6%	94%	0%	100%
Iowa	7%	94%	0%	100%
Kansas	9%	91%	0%	100%
Kentucky	2%	93%	5%	100%
Louisiana	2%	98%	0%	100%
Maine	3%	97%	0%	100%
Maryland	2%	98%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	33%	67%	0%	100%
Michigan	4%	96%	0%	100%
Minnesota	3%	97%	0%	100%
Mississippi	1%	99%	0%	100%
Missouri	2%	97%	1%	100%
Montana	6%	94%	0%	100%
Nebraska	9%	91%	0%	100%
Nevada	24%	76%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	2%	0%	98%	100%
New Jersey	27%	74%	0%	100%
New Mexico	74%	26%	0%	100%
New York	12%	88%	0%	100%
North Carolina	5%	95%	0%	100%
North Dakota	3%	97%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	100%	0%	100%
Ohio	3%	97%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	6%	94%	0%	100%
Oregon	19%	81%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	5%	95%	0%	100%
Puerto Rico	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island	23%	77%	0%	100%
South Carolina	0%	100%	0%	100%
South Dakota	3%	97%	0%	100%
Tennessee	1%	99%	0%	100%
Texas	42%	58%	0%	100%
Utah	14%	86%	0%	100%
Vermont	0%	100%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	10%	90%	0%	100%
Virginia	10%	90%	0%	100%
Washington	16%	84%	0%	100%
West Virginia	2%	98%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	7%	93%	0%	100%
Wyoming	11%	89%	0%	100%
<b>National</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 31-MAR-2006

- The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2004.
- All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY).
- A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- At the time of publication, Guam and Puerto Rico had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2004. Three other Territories submitted less than 12 months of ACF-801 data; American Samoa submitted five (5) months, the Northern Mariana Islands submitted 11 months, and the Virgin Islands submitted four (4) months.
- Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Wisconsin has been reporting some children that are authorized for care but do not receive care. Nebraska has been reporting child records for some children that do not receive a subsidy if other children in the same family are receiving a subsidy. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to resolve. Furthermore Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- The Invalid/Not Reported category includes children where anything other than a No (0) or Yes (1) was in the Ethnicity field.

**Table 13**  
**Child Care and Development Fund**  
**Average Monthly Percentage of Children in Child Care by Age Category and Type of Care (FFY2004)**

Age Group	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Total
Infants (0 to <1 yr)	7%	35%	5%	53%	100%
Toddlers (1 yr to <3 yrs)	6%	30%	5%	59%	100%
Preschool (3 yrs to <6 yrs)	6%	23%	4%	67%	100%
School Age (6 yrs to <13 yrs)	12%	33%	4%	52%	100%
13 years and older	20%	45%	5%	29%	100%
<b>All Ages</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 31-MAR-2006

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2004.
2. All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
3. All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY).
4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
5. At the time of publication, Guam and Puerto Rico had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2004. Three other Territories submitted less than 12 months of ACF-801 data; American Samoa submitted five (5) months, the Northern Mariana Islands submitted 11 months, and the Virgin Islands submitted four (4) months.
6. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Wisconsin has been reporting some children that are authorized for care but do not receive care. Nebraska has been reporting child records for some children that do not receive a subsidy if other children in the same family are receiving a subsidy. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to resolve. Furthermore Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
7. Nationally 2.4% of the children were excluded from the above table because either their age was invalid/not reported or one more setting elements of the child's setting record(s) were invalid or not reported.
8. The National values were determined by multiplying each state's percentage by the adjusted number of children served for each State, summing across the States and then dividing by the adjusted number of children served for the Nation. "Adjusted" means adjusted to represent CCDF funding only.
9. Some children are reported to have multiple settings for the same month. If a child was in more than one of the above setting categories within the same month, the child was counted in each setting in proportion to the number of hours of service received in each setting. For example if the child spent 70-hours in a setting and 30-hours in a child's home, the child would be scored as 0.7 count in Center and 0.3 count in Child's Home (proportional counting).

**Table 14**  
**Child Care and Development Fund**  
**Average Monthly Hours for Children In Care By Age Group and Care Type (FFY2004)**

Age Group	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Weighted Averages
0 to < 1 yr	161	158	153	158	158
1 to < 2 yrs	163	163	162	167	165
2 to < 3 yrs	166	165	162	168	167
3 to < 4 yrs	164	164	165	166	165
4 to < 5 yrs	164	161	159	163	163
5 to < 6 yrs	153	148	145	143	145
6 to < 13 yrs	134	130	119	112	121
13+ yrs	134	126	114	101	120
<b>National</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>146</b>

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 31-MAR-2006

- The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2004.
- Average hours per month were based on sums of hours per month in categories divided by counts of children in categories as further defined below.
- All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY).
- At the time of publication, Guam and Puerto Rico had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2004. Three other Territories submitted less than 12 months of ACF-801 data; American Samoa submitted five (5) months, the Northern Mariana Islands submitted 11 months, and the Virgin Islands submitted four (4) months.
- Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Wisconsin has been reporting some children that are authorized for care but do not receive care. Nebraska has been reporting child records for some children that do not receive a subsidy if other children in the same family are receiving a subsidy. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to resolve. Furthermore Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- Nationally 2.4% of the children were excluded from the above table because either their age was invalid/not reported or one or more setting elements of a child's setting record was invalid or not reported. Wisconsin reports 0-hours (data element 26) with \$0 cost (data element 27) for some children authorized or previously authorized for care that do not receive any care which would be considered invalid.
- For children served by multiple providers, the child's count is proportioned based on the ratio of the monthly hours with each provider divided by the monthly total hours of service. The average hours and payments for each State-month combination are based on the sum of hours in each category divided by the sum of proportional counts in each category. The State's annual results are determined by calculating a weighted average of the monthly results where the weight was the "adjusted" number of children served in each month. The national results shown above represent a weighted average of the State's fiscal annual results where the weight for each State is the average monthly "adjusted" number of children served in each State for the fiscal year.
- Some States have been reporting the maximum number of hours authorized rather than the actual number of hours service provided.

Table 15 Child Care and Development Fund Average Monthly Expenditures for Children In Care By Age Group and Care Type (FFY2004)					
Age Group	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Weighted Averages
0 to < 1 yr	\$276	\$347	\$449	\$428	\$390
1 to < 2 yrs	\$286	\$364	\$475	\$436	\$405
2 to < 3 yrs	\$285	\$356	\$464	\$423	\$399
3 to < 4 yrs	\$278	\$337	\$443	\$408	\$387
4 to < 5 yrs	\$273	\$334	\$416	\$407	\$385
5 to < 6 yrs	\$272	\$308	\$402	\$364	\$346
6 to < 13 yrs	\$246	\$280	\$348	\$285	\$281
13+ yrs	\$253	\$265	\$425	\$283	\$276
<b>National</b>	<b>\$261</b>	<b>\$316</b>	<b>\$413</b>	<b>\$369</b>	<b>\$347</b>

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 31-MAR-2006

- The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2004.
- Average cost per month were based on sums of costs per month in categories divided by counts of children in categories as further defined below.
- All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY).
- At the time of publication, Guam and Puerto Rico had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2004. Three other Territories submitted less than 12 months of ACF-801 data; American Samoa submitted five (5) months, the Northern Mariana Islands submitted 11 months, and the Virgin Islands submitted four (4) months.
- Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Wisconsin has been reporting some children that are authorized for care but do not receive care. Nebraska has been reporting child records for some children that do not receive a subsidy if other children in the same family are receiving a subsidy. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to resolve. Furthermore Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- Nationally 2.4% of the children were excluded from the above table because either their age was invalid/not reported or one or more setting elements of a child's setting record was invalid or not reported. Wisconsin reports 0-hours (data element 26) with \$0 cost (data element 27) for some children authorized or previously authorized for care that do not receive any care which would be considered invalid.
- For children served by multiple providers, the child's count is proportioned based on the ratio of the monthly hours with each provider divided by the monthly total hours of service. The average hours and payments for each State-month combination are based on the sum of hours in each category divided by the sum of proportional counts in each category. The State's annual results are determined by calculating a weighted average of the monthly results where the weight was the "adjusted" number of children served in each month. The national results shown above represent a weighted average of the State's fiscal annual results where the weight for each State is the average monthly "adjusted" number of children served in each State for the fiscal year.
- The current Technical Bulletin 3 indicates that a payment over \$1000 per month is considered above the Out of Range Standard and therefore is considered invalid. However, the market survey data from the highest cost areas of some states shows that the 75-percentile full-time child care market rate cost is above \$1000 per month. In addition several States have indicated in their ACF-801 notes that they have valid costs over \$1000. States that fall in at least one of these categories include: Minnesota, Massachusetts, The District of Columbia, Wisconsin, California, Washington, Pennsylvania, North Carolina, New York and Oregon. Nationally approximately 1% of the reported cost data in FFY 2005 exceeded \$1000 and no state had more than 5% exceeding \$1,000 (likely somewhat less in FFY 2004). (Note that some of these data percentages with costs over \$1,000 were very large and thus clearly invalid.) The Child Care Bureau is currently planning on increasing this Out of Range Standard to \$2000 effective October 1, 2006.

Table 16		
Child Care and Development Fund		
Average Monthly Percent of Families Receiving TANF (FFY2004)		
State	TANF (Yes)	
Alabama		10%
Alaska		9%
American Samoa		0%
Arizona		24%
Arkansas		44%
California		15%
Colorado		27%
Connecticut		70%
Delaware		15%
District of Columbia		23%
Florida		12%
Georgia		20%
Guam		-
Hawaii		19%
Idaho		2%
Illinois		6%
Indiana		24%
Iowa		41%
Kansas		11%
Kentucky		2%
Louisiana		14%
Maine		4%
Maryland		16%
Massachusetts		20%
Michigan		32%
Minnesota		40%
Mississippi		0%
Missouri		30%
Montana		14%
Nebraska		32%
Nevada		30%
New Hampshire		26%
New Jersey		16%
New Mexico		12%
New York		38%
North Carolina		6%
North Dakota		20%
Northern Mariana Islands		0%
Ohio		18%
Oklahoma		16%
Oregon		32%
Pennsylvania		8%
Puerto Rico		-
Rhode Island		10%
South Carolina		26%
South Dakota		8%
Tennessee		63%
Texas		2%
Utah		0%
Vermont		16%
Virgin Islands		5%
Virginia		27%
Washington		18%
West Virginia		12%
Wisconsin		11%
Wyoming		0%
<b>National</b>		<b>19%</b>

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 31-MAR-2006

- The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2004
- All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-family was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY).
- A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- At the time of publication, Guam and Puerto Rico had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2004. Three other Territories submitted less than 12 months of ACF-801 data; American Samoa submitted five (5) months, the Northern Mariana Islands submitted 11 months, and the Virgin Islands submitted four (4) months.
- Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Wisconsin has been reporting some children that are authorized for care but do not receive care. Nebraska has been reporting child records for some children that do not receive a subsidy if other children in the same family are receiving a subsidy. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to resolve. Furthermore Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- The percentage shown as "Yes" is the number reported as "Yes" divided by the count of all families including those that answered "Yes", "No", and No valid response.
- Utah Reported no families receiving TANF. However, UT reported 4.8% and 7.3% of families receiving TANF in FFY 2002 and 2003 respectively. Alabama reported no families receiving TANF for the month of November 2003, although AL reported typical percentages of families receiving TANF in all other FFY 2004 months.



**Table 17**  
**Child Care and Development Fund**  
**Average Monthly Mean Family Co-payment as a Percent of Family Income (FFY2004)**

State/Territories	Percent of Families				Mean CoPay/Income	
	Families with \$0 Income; Headed by a Child; In Protective Services; Invalid CoPay or (Category A)	Families with \$0 CoPay (and not in Category A)	Families with CoPay > \$0 (and not in Category A)	Total of All Families	Including Families with \$0 CoPay	Excluding Families with \$0 CoPay
Alabama	20%	7%	73%	100%	6%	7%
Alaska	8%	13%	79%	100%	3%	4%
American Samoa	3%	97%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Arizona	22%	14%	64%	100%	4%	5%
Arkansas	8%	75%	17%	100%	2%	9%
California	6%	70%	24%	100%	1%	3%
Colorado	31%	6%	63%	100%	8%	9%
Connecticut	13%	3%	85%	100%	5%	5%
Delaware	18%	19%	63%	100%	6%	8%
District of Columbia	45%	18%	37%	100%	3%	5%
Florida	19%	1%	80%	100%	5%	6%
Georgia	16%	18%	65%	100%	5%	7%
Guam	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hawaii	5%	46%	49%	100%	2%	3%
Idaho	11%	0%	89%	100%	10%	10%
Illinois	4%	2%	95%	100%	6%	6%
Indiana	3%	78%	19%	100%	1%	5%
Iowa	12%	57%	31%	100%	2%	6%
Kansas	23%	18%	59%	100%	5%	6%
Kentucky	14%	25%	62%	100%	6%	8%
Louisiana	4%	9%	87%	100%	13%	14%
Maine	6%	3%	91%	100%	7%	8%
Maryland	3%	22%	75%	100%	6%	8%
Massachusetts	18%	29%	53%	100%	6%	9%
Michigan	4%	24%	73%	100%	2%	3%
Minnesota	12%	22%	66%	100%	4%	5%
Mississippi	10%	1%	89%	100%	4%	4%
Missouri	20%	32%	48%	100%	4%	6%
Montana	5%	0%	95%	100%	4%	4%
Nebraska	40%	46%	15%	100%	2%	9%
Nevada	4%	38%	59%	100%	4%	6%
New Hampshire	19%	25%	56%	100%	0%	0%
New Jersey	12%	17%	71%	100%	6%	7%
New Mexico	7%	27%	67%	100%	4%	5%
New York	15%	25%	60%	100%	3%	4%
North Carolina	15%	4%	81%	100%	8%	9%
North Dakota	18%	5%	77%	100%	14%	15%
Northern Mariana Islands	25%	0%	75%	100%	9%	9%
Ohio	8%	4%	89%	100%	8%	8%
Oklahoma	18%	50%	32%	100%	1%	3%
Oregon	25%	7%	68%	100%	8%	9%
Pennsylvania	29%	6%	65%	100%	6%	6%
Puerto Rico	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island	5%	30%	65%	100%	3%	5%
South Carolina	6%	1%	93%	100%	4%	4%
South Dakota	19%	46%	35%	100%	5%	11%
Tennessee	1%	71%	29%	100%	0%	2%
Texas	31%	6%	63%	100%	9%	10%
Utah	3%	6%	91%	100%	4%	4%
Vermont	17%	34%	50%	100%	3%	6%
Virgin Islands	10%	74%	16%	100%	0%	0%
Virginia	4%	28%	68%	100%	7%	10%
Washington	22%	64%	14%	100%	1%	6%
West Virginia	6%	13%	82%	100%	4%	4%
Wisconsin	17%	3%	80%	100%	6%	6%
Wyoming	22%	2%	76%	100%	5%	5%
<b>National</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>6%</b>

Notes applicable to this report:

Data as of: 31-MAR-2006

- The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2004.
- All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY).
- A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- At the time of publication, Guam and Puerto Rico had not yet reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2004. Three other Territories submitted less than 12 months of ACF-801 data; American Samoa submitted five (5) months, the Northern Mariana Islands submitted 11 months, and the Virgin Islands submitted four (4) months.
- Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Wisconsin has been reporting some children that are authorized for care but do not receive care. Nebraska has been reporting child records for some children that do not receive a subsidy if other children in the same family are receiving a subsidy. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to resolve. Furthermore Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- The "Mean CoPay/Income" columns exclude families with zero income because dividing by zero is undefined. Columns labeled as "Category A" include: families with zero income; families in protective services or families headed by a child; families with invalid income or copay.
- The "Families with \$0 CoPay ..." category is the percentage of families that had a \$0 co-payment and were not in Category A, divided by the count of all families. The sum of these three categories is 100% and equals the sum of families shown in Table 1.
- The results shown under "Mean CoPay/Income" feature two different statistics, "Including" and "Excluding" \$0 copay. The data analyzed for the "Including Families with \$0 CoPay" category includes all families except those families in the "Category A" data i.e., the total minus the Category A data. The data analyzed for "Excluding Families with \$0 CoPay" includes only those families in the category "Families with CoPay >\$0 (and not in Category A)". Alternatively, the data used for "Excluding Families with \$0 CoPay" is all the family data minus those families in Category A and minus those families with \$0 CoPay.
- The National weighted values were determined by multiplying each State's average co-payment/income percentage by the adjusted number of children in each State, summing across the States and then dividing by the adjusted number of children served for the Nation.