

Table 1 Child Care and Development Fund Average Monthly Adjusted Number of Families and Children Served (FFY 2003)		
States/Territories	Average Number of Families	Average Number of Children
Alabama	17,600	30,400
Alaska	3,000	5,300
American Samoa	400	700
Arizona	17,100	29,100
Arkansas	7,500	12,900
California	102,800	153,600
Colorado	12,500	22,800
Connecticut	6,800	11,700
Delaware	4,100	6,800
District of Columbia	6,200	8,500
Florida	72,400	121,200
Georgia	34,700	61,900
Guam	200	200
Hawaii	7,000	11,200
Idaho	4,400	7,800
Illinois	44,000	85,700
Indiana	18,500	34,300
Iowa	8,200	14,100
Kansas	8,600	16,000
Kentucky	22,900	39,900
Louisiana	32,000	54,600
Maine	3,100	4,500
Maryland	18,200	30,000
Massachusetts	23,200	32,200
Michigan	31,200	61,200
Minnesota	14,300	25,700
Mississippi	13,200	23,300
Missouri	22,000	37,900
Montana	3,100	5,200
Nebraska	6,800	12,000
Nevada	3,600	6,100
New Hampshire	5,000	7,300
New Jersey	26,900	37,500
New Mexico	12,500	22,100
New York	83,200	142,700
North Carolina	52,300	88,300
North Dakota	3,000	4,700
Northern Mariana Islands	200	400
Ohio	29,800	52,100
Oklahoma	12,900	21,300
Oregon	11,900	22,100
Pennsylvania	33,200	60,700
Puerto Rico	-	-
Rhode Island	3,700	5,800
South Carolina	14,100	23,000
South Dakota	2,700	4,300
Tennessee	27,000	52,100
Texas	62,900	117,300
Utah	4,700	8,900
Vermont	2,400	3,700
Virgin Islands	-	-
Virginia	15,500	25,800
Washington	31,700	52,900
West Virginia	5,400	9,200
Wisconsin	10,400	18,200
Wyoming	2,500	4,100
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,023,500</b>	<b>1,751,300</b>

**Notes applicable to this table:**

- The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2003.
- The "adjusted" numbers were obtained by multiplying the respective "unadjusted" numbers by the reported pooling factor percentage that was applied to the data.
- The reported results shown above have been rounded to the nearest 100. The national numbers are simply the sum of the State and Territory numbers.
- All states provide an actual count of families served. However, the number of children served is not a direct count based on the fact that some State sample data versus full population data. For States that submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families is determined and then multiplied by the number of families to obtain an estimate of the number of children served.
- Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands were unable to report ACF-801 case-level data before report preparation.
- On an ongoing basis, CA serves a significant number of families and children through State funds. Beginning in FY 2002, CA recognized and corrected that only 86% of families and children are being served through CCDF (as opposed to 100%). The other 14% are actually being served by non-CCDF. NY reported children based on a payments system which allowed for a child to be counted more than once. Beginning with FY 2002, NY revised its reporting to eliminate double-counting. This has had the effect of eliminating double-counting. These changes lowered the national child counts by nearly 100,000 for FY 2002 and FY 2003 and were reported for FY 2001.

**Table 2**  
**Child Care and Development Fund**  
**Percent of Children Served by Payment Method (FFY 2003)**

State	Grants / Contracts %	Certificates %	Cash %	Total
Alabama	0%	100%	0%	51,894
Alaska	0%	100%	0%	10,662
American Samoa	100%	0%	0%	1,450
Arizona	0%	100%	0%	51,470
Arkansas	0%	100%	0%	26,670
California	40%	60%	0%	292,359
Colorado	1%	96%	3%	47,244
Connecticut	31%	69%	0%	26,847
Delaware	0%	100%	0%	11,389
District of Columbia	46%	54%	0%	8,849
Florida	52%	48%	0%	208,578
Georgia	10%	90%	0%	124,153
Guam	0%	100%	0%	1,072
Hawaii	0%	90%	10%	20,099
Idaho	0%	100%	0%	15,465
Illinois	8%	92%	0%	151,220
Indiana	5%	95%	0%	71,592
Iowa	0%	100%	0%	28,994
Kansas	0%	92%	8%	32,872
Kentucky	0%	100%	0%	72,093
Louisiana	0%	100%	0%	119,447
Maine	22%	77%	1%	10,436
Maryland	0%	100%	0%	46,164
Massachusetts	48%	52%	0%	65,584
Michigan	0%	72%	28%	93,668
Minnesota	0%	100%	0%	59,216
Mississippi	19%	81%	0%	37,579
Missouri	0%	100%	0%	69,913
Montana	0%	99%	1%	10,350
Nebraska	0%	100%	0%	24,497
Nevada	17%	83%	0%	14,250
New Hampshire	0%	100%	0%	12,487
New Jersey	21%	79%	0%	76,692
New Mexico	0%	100%	0%	37,193
New York	27%	73%	0%	177,207
North Carolina	0%	100%	0%	123,926
North Dakota	0%	100%	0%	10,112
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	100%	0%	769
Ohio	0%	100%	0%	92,145
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-
Oregon	5%	95%	0%	59,868
Pennsylvania	0%	80%	20%	112,000
Puerto Rico	56%	44%	0%	25,917
Rhode Island	0%	100%	0%	8,722
South Carolina	10%	90%	0%	42,730
South Dakota	1%	99%	0%	8,803
Tennessee	0%	100%	0%	75,587
Texas	0%	100%	0%	243,750
Utah	0%	0%	100%	16,681
Vermont	7%	93%	0%	7,630
Virgin Islands	5%	95%	0%	1,442
Virginia	0%	100%	0%	53,463
Washington	0%	75%	25%	92,365
West Virginia	0%	100%	0%	16,424
Wisconsin	0%	100%	0%	30,415
Wyoming	0%	100%	0%	7,616
<b>National Total</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3,140,019</b>

**Notes applicable to this table:**

1. The source of this table is the ACF-800 data for FFY 2003.
2. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero.
3. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
4. New York reports monthly averages rather than the disaggregated annual total reported by all other states.

**Table 3**  
**Child Care and Development Fund**  
**Percent of Children Served by Types of Care (FFY 2003)**

State	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Total
Alabama	0%	11%	5%	84%	51,894
Alaska	12%	37%	5%	46%	10,662
American Samoa	0%	0%	0%	100%	1,450
Arizona	3%	19%	6%	72%	51,470
Arkansas	0%	25%	0%	75%	26,670
California	5%	33%	9%	53%	292,359
Colorado	7%	33%	0%	60%	47,244
Connecticut	19%	30%	0%	51%	26,847
Delaware	4%	38%	2%	56%	11,389
District of Columbia	0%	4%	0%	96%	8,849
Florida	0%	12%	0%	88%	208,578
Georgia	2%	13%	2%	83%	124,153
Guam	12%	10%	1%	77%	1,072
Hawaii	6%	68%	0%	26%	20,099
Idaho	2%	36%	16%	46%	15,465
Illinois	24%	39%	1%	36%	151,220
Indiana	1%	46%	0%	53%	71,592
Iowa	1%	50%	12%	37%	28,994
Kansas	8%	18%	41%	33%	32,872
Kentucky	2%	24%	2%	72%	72,093
Louisiana	15%	11%	0%	74%	119,447
Maine	6%	46%	0%	48%	10,436
Maryland	14%	44%	0%	42%	46,164
Massachusetts	4%	7%	16%	72%	65,584
Michigan	31%	44%	9%	16%	93,668
Minnesota	14%	51%	0%	35%	59,216
Mississippi	5%	11%	1%	83%	37,579
Missouri	4%	46%	2%	48%	69,913
Montana	2%	27%	34%	38%	10,350
Nebraska	1%	41%	12%	45%	24,497
Nevada	5%	10%	1%	85%	14,250
New Hampshire	-	-	-	-	12,487
New Jersey	3%	26%	0%	71%	76,692
New Mexico	0%	47%	5%	48%	37,193
New York	14%	41%	8%	37%	177,207
North Carolina	0%	15%	0%	85%	123,926
North Dakota	0%	45%	28%	27%	10,112
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	78%	0%	22%	769
Ohio	0%	38%	1%	61%	92,145
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-	-
Oregon	0%	78%	4%	18%	59,868
Pennsylvania	13%	46%	4%	37%	112,000
Puerto Rico	0%	32%	0%	68%	25,917
Rhode Island	2%	31%	0%	67%	8,722
South Carolina	5%	17%	4%	74%	42,730
South Dakota	1%	49%	9%	41%	8,803
Tennessee	4%	15%	5%	76%	75,587
Texas	8%	12%	3%	77%	243,750
Utah	14%	41%	6%	38%	16,681
Vermont	6%	49%	0%	46%	7,630
Virgin Islands	4%	3%	8%	86%	1,442
Virginia	1%	37%	0%	62%	53,463
Washington	19%	35%	0%	46%	92,365
West Virginia	0%	46%	3%	51%	16,424
Wisconsin	0%	36%	0%	64%	30,415
Wyoming	34%	26%	20%	19%	7,616
<b>National Total</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>3,140,019</b>

**Notes applicable to this table:**

1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2003.
2. New Hampshire did not submit data for setting type.
3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero.
4. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
5. New York reports monthly averages rather than the disaggregated annual total reported by all other states.
4. Oklahoma has not yet submitted an ACF-800 for FFY 2003.

**Table 4**  
**Child Care and Development Fund**  
**Percent of Children Served in Regulated Settings vs. Settings Legally Operating Without Regulation (FFY 2003)**

State	Licensed/Regulated	Legally Operating Without Regulation	Total
Alabama	80%	20%	51,894
Alaska	67%	33%	10,662
American Samoa	100%	0%	1,450
Arizona	87%	13%	51,470
Arkansas	100%	0%	26,670
California	69%	31%	292,359
Colorado	78%	22%	47,244
Connecticut	57%	43%	26,847
Delaware	79%	21%	11,389
District of Columbia	61%	39%	8,849
Florida	90%	10%	208,578
Georgia	94%	6%	124,153
Guam	59%	41%	1,072
Hawaii	26%	74%	20,099
Idaho	62%	38%	15,465
Illinois	49%	51%	151,220
Indiana	62%	38%	71,592
Iowa	89%	11%	28,994
Kansas	81%	19%	32,872
Kentucky	81%	19%	72,093
Louisiana	74%	26%	119,447
Maine	82%	18%	10,436
Maryland	76%	24%	46,164
Massachusetts	94%	6%	65,584
Michigan	33%	67%	93,668
Minnesota	60%	40%	59,216
Mississippi	84%	16%	37,579
Missouri	60%	40%	69,913
Montana	88%	12%	10,350
Nebraska	79%	21%	24,497
Nevada	71%	29%	14,250
New Hampshire	-	-	12,487
New Jersey	83%	17%	76,692
New Mexico	54%	46%	37,193
New York	59%	41%	177,207
North Carolina	98%	2%	123,926
North Dakota	90%	10%	10,112
Northern Mariana Islands	100%	0%	769
Ohio	100%	0%	92,145
Oklahoma	-	-	-
Oregon	40%	60%	59,868
Pennsylvania	51%	49%	112,000
Puerto Rico	68%	32%	25,917
Rhode Island	93%	7%	8,722
South Carolina	82%	18%	42,730
South Dakota	88%	12%	8,803
Tennessee	88%	12%	75,587
Texas	82%	18%	243,750
Utah	58%	42%	16,681
Vermont	78%	22%	7,630
Virgin Islands	94%	6%	1,442
Virginia	86%	14%	53,463
Washington	75%	25%	92,365
West Virginia	92%	8%	16,424
Wisconsin	100%	0%	30,415
Wyoming	54%	46%	7,616
<b>National Total</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>3,140,019</b>

**Notes applicable to this table:**

1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2003.
2. New Hampshire did not report the number of children by setting type.
3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero.
4. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
5. New York reports monthly averages rather than the disaggregated annual total reported by all other states.
6. At the time of publication, Oklahoma had not yet reported FFY 2003 ACF-800 data.

Table 5 Child Care and Development Fund Of Children in Settings Legally Operating Without Regulation, Percent Served by Relatives vs. Non-Relatives (FFY 2003)			
State	Relative	Non-Relative	Total
Alabama	25%	75%	10,417
Alaska	42%	58%	3,478
American Samoa	-	-	0
Arizona	100%	0%	6,497
Arkansas	-	-	0
California	59%	41%	90,966
Colorado	48%	52%	10,568
Connecticut	59%	41%	11,490
Delaware	47%	53%	2,408
District of Columbia	2%	98%	3,452
Florida	5%	95%	21,183
Georgia	56%	44%	7,762
Guam	52%	48%	443
Hawaii	78%	22%	14,860
Idaho	42%	58%	5,881
Illinois	56%	44%	76,626
Indiana	18%	82%	27,216
Iowa	29%	71%	3,259
Kansas	79%	21%	6,092
Kentucky	62%	38%	13,924
Louisiana	46%	54%	31,513
Maine	48%	52%	1,858
Maryland	82%	18%	10,918
Massachusetts	70%	30%	4,208
Michigan	76%	24%	62,845
Minnesota	37%	63%	23,674
Mississippi	62%	38%	6,000
Missouri	33%	67%	27,879
Montana	54%	46%	1,290
Nebraska	0%	100%	5,057
Nevada	19%	81%	4,202
New Hampshire	-	-	-
New Jersey	27%	73%	13,269
New Mexico	72%	28%	17,105
New York	45%	55%	72,770
North Carolina	78%	22%	2,352
North Dakota	100%	0%	1,000
Northern Mariana Islands	-	-	0
Ohio	-	-	0
Oklahoma	-	-	-
Oregon	24%	76%	35,646
Pennsylvania	17%	83%	54,769
Puerto Rico	72%	28%	8,396
Rhode Island	80%	20%	633
South Carolina	1%	99%	7,500
South Dakota	70%	30%	1,014
Tennessee	43%	57%	9,370
Texas	100%	0%	43,169
Utah	93%	7%	7,072
Vermont	5%	95%	1,710
Virgin Islands	57%	43%	92
Virginia	61%	39%	7,259
Washington	68%	32%	23,039
West Virginia	73%	27%	1,235
Wisconsin	-	-	0
Wyoming	93%	7%	3,524
<b>National Average</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>806,890</b>

Notes applicable to this table:

1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2003.
2. New Hampshire did not report the number of children by setting type.
3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero.
4. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
5. In some States there were no children served in Unregulated settings.
6. New York reports monthly averages rather than the disaggregated annual totals reported by all other states.

**Table 6**  
**Child Care and Development Fund**  
**Percent of Children Served in All Types of Care (FFY 2003)**

State	Total % of Children	Licensed or Regulated Providers				Providers Legally Operating without Regulation						
		Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Child's Home		Family Home		Group Home		Center
						Relative	Non-Relative	Relative	Non-Relative	Relative	Non-Relative	
Alabama	100%	0%	6%	5%	69%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	15%
Alaska	100%	0%	17%	5%	46%	5%	7%	9%	12%	0%	0%	0%
American Samoa	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Arizona	100%	1%	9%	6%	72%	3%	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Arkansas	100%	0%	25%	0%	75%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
California	100%	0%	11%	9%	48%	4%	1%	15%	7%	0%	0%	5%
Colorado	100%	0%	18%	0%	60%	1%	6%	9%	6%	0%	0%	0%
Connecticut	100%	0%	7%	0%	50%	14%	5%	11%	12%	0%	0%	0%
Delaware	100%	0%	31%	2%	45%	4%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	11%
District of Columbia	100%	0%	3%	0%	58%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	38%
Florida	100%	0%	10%	0%	80%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	8%
Georgia	100%	0%	9%	2%	83%	1%	1%	3%	2%	0%	0%	0%
Guam	100%	0%	0%	1%	58%	11%	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%	20%
Hawaii	100%	0%	6%	0%	20%	6%	0%	51%	11%	0%	0%	5%
Idaho	100%	0%	0%	16%	46%	1%	1%	15%	21%	0%	0%	0%
Illinois	100%	0%	16%	1%	33%	10%	14%	18%	5%	0%	0%	3%
Indiana	100%	0%	31%	0%	31%	0%	1%	6%	9%	0%	0%	22%
Iowa	100%	0%	40%	12%	37%	0%	1%	3%	7%	0%	0%	0%
Kansas	100%	0%	7%	41%	33%	4%	4%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Kentucky	100%	0%	7%	2%	72%	1%	1%	11%	6%	0%	0%	0%
Louisiana	100%	0%	0%	0%	74%	9%	6%	3%	8%	0%	0%	0%
Maine	100%	0%	35%	0%	48%	3%	4%	6%	6%	0%	0%	0%
Maryland	100%	0%	35%	0%	41%	10%	3%	9%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Massachusetts	100%	0%	5%	16%	72%	2%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Michigan	100%	0%	9%	9%	16%	15%	16%	36%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Minnesota	100%	0%	28%	0%	32%	7%	7%	8%	15%	0%	0%	3%
Mississippi	100%	0%	0%	1%	83%	4%	1%	6%	5%	0%	0%	0%
Missouri	100%	0%	16%	2%	42%	3%	1%	10%	20%	0%	0%	6%
Montana	100%	0%	16%	34%	38%	1%	1%	6%	5%	0%	0%	0%
Nebraska	100%	0%	22%	12%	45%	0%	1%	0%	19%	0%	0%	0%
Nevada	100%	0%	3%	1%	67%	4%	1%	2%	5%	0%	0%	18%
New Hampshire	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Jersey	100%	0%	12%	0%	71%	1%	2%	4%	10%	0%	0%	0%
New Mexico	100%	0%	1%	5%	48%	0%	0%	33%	13%	0%	0%	0%
New York	100%	0%	14%	8%	36%	7%	7%	12%	15%	0%	0%	1%
North Carolina	100%	0%	13%	0%	85%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
North Dakota	100%	0%	35%	28%	27%	0%	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Northern Mariana Islands	100%	0%	78%	0%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Ohio	100%	0%	38%	1%	61%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oregon	100%	0%	20%	3%	17%	0%	0%	14%	44%	0%	1%	1%
Pennsylvania	100%	0%	10%	4%	37%	3%	10%	6%	30%	0%	0%	0%
Puerto Rico	100%	0%	0%	0%	68%	0%	0%	23%	9%	0%	0%	0%
Rhode Island	100%	0%	26%	0%	67%	0%	1%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%
South Carolina	100%	0%	5%	4%	74%	0%	5%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%
South Dakota	100%	0%	39%	9%	41%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	0%	0%
Tennessee	100%	0%	6%	5%	76%	3%	1%	3%	6%	0%	0%	0%
Texas	100%	0%	3%	3%	77%	8%	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Utah	100%	6%	8%	6%	38%	8%	1%	32%	2%	0%	0%	0%
Vermont	100%	0%	32%	0%	46%	0%	6%	1%	16%	0%	0%	0%
Virgin Islands	100%	0%	0%	8%	86%	3%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%
Virginia	100%	0%	24%	0%	62%	1%	0%	8%	5%	0%	0%	0%
Washington	100%	0%	29%	0%	46%	11%	8%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%
West Virginia	100%	0%	40%	3%	50%	0%	0%	6%	1%	0%	0%	1%
Wisconsin	100%	0%	36%	0%	64%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Wyoming	100%	0%	14%	20%	19%	31%	3%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>National Percentage</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3%</b>

**Notes applicable to this table:**

1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2003.
2. New Hampshire did not report the number of children by setting type.
3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero.
4. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
5. New York reports monthly averages rather than the disaggregated annual totals reported by all other states.
6. Oklahoma has not yet submitted an ACF-800 for FFY 2003

**Table 7  
Child Care and Development Fund and Additional State Efforts  
Number of Child Care Providers Receiving CCDF Funds (FFY 2003)**

State	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Total
Alabama	54	2,238	359	1,552	4,203
Alaska	411	2,192	80	230	2,913
American Samoa	0	0	0	34	34
Arizona	906	4,386	314	1,217	6,823
Arkansas	0	808	0	924	1,732
California	10,498	62,028	10,236	15,712	98,474
Colorado	2,083	6,561	0	1,483	10,127
Connecticut	6,534	6,895	29	1,497	14,955
Delaware	462	1,669	40	377	2,548
District of Columbia	19	215	0	361	595
Florida	347	7,088	0	9,813	17,248
Georgia	1,208	5,696	293	5,131	12,328
Guam	47	37	2	57	143
Hawaii	767	8,696	5	258	9,726
Idaho	159	2,789	473	483	3,904
Illinois	41,635	46,362	286	2,980	91,263
Indiana	261	6,771	0	1,472	8,504
Iowa	180	6,999	902	677	8,758
Kansas	1,079	2,083	2,388	737	6,287
Kentucky	548	5,778	116	1,698	8,140
Louisiana	6,081	2,740	0	2,071	10,892
Maine	133	1,896	0	477	2,506
Maryland	3,573	7,349	0	1,648	12,570
Massachusetts	2,493	1,979	1,652	1,632	7,756
Michigan	33,759	43,398	2,578	2,447	82,182
Minnesota	5,057	14,541	0	1,563	21,161
Mississippi	1,102	2,238	69	1,383	4,792
Missouri	1,222	11,105	179	1,858	14,364
Montana	139	1,397	496	266	2,298
Nebraska	418	3,606	430	530	4,984
Nevada	329	606	14	560	1,509
New Hampshire	-	-	-	-	3,540
New Jersey	1,562	9,565	0	2,474	13,601
New Mexico	9	8,565	181	474	9,229
New York	9,741	29,880	2,480	4,374	46,475
North Carolina	172	5,371	0	4,308	9,851
North Dakota	0	2,131	875	98	3,104
Northern Mariana Islands	0	167	0	14	181
Ohio	28	17,337	106	3,644	21,115
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-	-
Oregon	37	15,514	130	958	16,639
Pennsylvania	5,128	19,168	528	3,276	28,100
Puerto Rico	67	7,400	0	8,202	15,669
Rhode Island	195	1,364	6	276	1,841
South Carolina	1,216	3,128	207	1,503	6,054
South Dakota	55	1,338	80	205	1,678
Tennessee	733	2,552	422	1,790	5,497
Texas	11,356	15,533	1,054	6,393	34,336
Utah	2,174	6,168	398	492	9,232
Vermont	408	2,161	0	370	2,939
Virgin Islands	30	30	53	79	192
Virginia	-	-	-	-	-
Washington	16,217	11,563	0	1,985	29,765
West Virginia	14	4,417	73	420	4,924
Wisconsin	83	6,773	0	2,092	8,948
Wyoming	186	863	156	124	1,329
<b>National Total</b>	<b>170,915</b>	<b>441,134</b>	<b>27,690</b>	<b>104,679</b>	<b>747,958</b>

**Notes applicable to this table:**

1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2003.
2. New Hampshire and Virginia did not report the number of providers by setting type.
3. Virginia did not report the number of providers.
4. New York reports monthly averages rather than the disaggregated annual totals reported by all other states.
5. Oklahoma has not yet submitted an ACF-800 for FFY 2003

**Table 8  
Child Care and Development Fund  
Consumer Education Strategies Summary (FFY 2003)**

State	Grants / Contracts / Certificates Info	Resource and Referral	Provider List	Types/ Quality of Care Materials	Health and Safety	Child Care Regulatory Info	Child Care Complaint Policy	Mass Media	Other	Estimated Number of Families Receiving Consumer Education
Alabama	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	46,700
Alaska	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	10,950
American Samoa	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	5,000
Arizona	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		176,212
Arkansas	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	15,958
California	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	1,931,787
Colorado	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	85,206
Connecticut	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	64,977
Delaware	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	30,659
District of Columbia	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	34,000
Florida	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	341,323
Georgia	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		69,954
Guam	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	547
Hawaii	NA	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N		11,773
Idaho	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N		10,911
Illinois	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	232,500
Indiana	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	38,973
Iowa	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	27,685
Kansas	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		119,345
Kentucky	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	75,250
Louisiana	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	46,477
Maine	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	8,765
Maryland	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	244,670
Massachusetts	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	64,075
Michigan	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	1,094,471
Minnesota	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	1,859,277
Mississippi	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	3,668
Missouri	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		41,224
Montana	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		879,798
Nebraska	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	449,172
Nevada	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		8,472
New Hampshire	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	8,431
New Jersey	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	172,102
New Mexico	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	21,092
New York	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	490,337
North Carolina	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	283,587
North Dakota	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	11,163
Northern Mariana Islands	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		345
Ohio	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	129,213
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oregon	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	45,945
Pennsylvania	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	164,551
Puerto Rico	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	25,048
Rhode Island	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	9,000
South Carolina	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	28,185
South Dakota	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	110,953
Tennessee	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	39,838
Texas	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	118,375
Utah	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	7,804
Vermont	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	7,868
Virgin Islands	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		721
Virginia	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y		40,000
Washington	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	26,703
West Virginia	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	7,627
Wisconsin	NA	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	48,221
Wyoming	NA	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	30,239
<b>Total Yes</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9,857,127</b>

**Notes applicable to this table:**

1. Source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2003.
2. NA=Not applicable, does not offer grants or contracts for subsidized child care slots.
3. A blank cell indicates that the State did not provide a response.
4. New York reports monthly averages rather than the disaggregated annual total reported by all other states.

**Table 9**  
**Child Care and Development Fund**  
**Average Monthly Percent of Children In Care By Age Group (FFY 2003)**

State	0 to < 1 yr	1 yr to < 2 yrs	2 yrs to < 3 yrs	3 yrs to < 4 yrs	4 yrs to < 5 yrs	5 yrs to < 6 yrs	6 yrs to < 13 yrs	13+ yrs	Total
Alabama	6%	13%	15%	15%	13%	9%	29%	0%	100%
Alaska	6%	11%	13%	15%	13%	10%	33%	0%	100%
American Samoa	9%	18%	24%	22%	17%	9%	1%	0%	100%
Arizona	6%	10%	13%	13%	13%	11%	34%	0%	100%
Arkansas	9%	15%	16%	15%	13%	9%	23%	0%	100%
California	3%	6%	9%	14%	18%	12%	38%	1%	100%
Colorado	7%	12%	13%	14%	13%	11%	32%	0%	100%
Connecticut	4%	9%	12%	12%	12%	10%	41%	1%	100%
Delaware	7%	12%	13%	13%	12%	10%	31%	1%	100%
District of Columbia	3%	10%	15%	18%	14%	8%	30%	0%	100%
Florida	5%	11%	13%	14%	15%	11%	32%	0%	100%
Georgia	7%	13%	15%	15%	12%	9%	29%	0%	100%
Guam	5%	11%	17%	20%	20%	10%	17%	1%	100%
Hawaii	5%	11%	13%	15%	18%	8%	29%	1%	100%
Idaho	7%	11%	13%	13%	13%	11%	31%	0%	100%
Illinois	6%	9%	10%	11%	10%	9%	44%	1%	100%
Indiana	5%	11%	12%	13%	13%	12%	34%	0%	100%
Iowa	7%	12%	13%	13%	12%	10%	33%	1%	100%
Kansas	7%	12%	13%	13%	13%	11%	31%	0%	100%
Kentucky	7%	12%	14%	14%	12%	10%	32%	0%	100%
Louisiana	7%	14%	16%	15%	11%	8%	30%	0%	100%
Maine	4%	8%	11%	15%	16%	12%	33%	0%	100%
Maryland	4%	10%	13%	14%	12%	10%	36%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	5%	10%	13%	13%	13%	10%	36%	0%	100%
Michigan	6%	9%	10%	10%	10%	9%	45%	1%	100%
Minnesota	7%	12%	13%	12%	12%	9%	36%	0%	100%
Mississippi	3%	9%	12%	14%	13%	11%	38%	0%	100%
Missouri	6%	11%	13%	13%	12%	9%	31%	0%	100%
Montana	6%	11%	14%	14%	14%	11%	30%	0%	100%
Nebraska	9%	13%	13%	13%	12%	10%	31%	0%	100%
Nevada	5%	10%	12%	13%	13%	11%	35%	1%	100%
New Hampshire	4%	9%	12%	14%	15%	13%	33%	0%	100%
New Jersey	4%	9%	12%	13%	12%	11%	39%	1%	100%
New Mexico	7%	11%	12%	13%	12%	10%	35%	0%	100%
New York	4%	8%	10%	12%	12%	10%	44%	0%	100%
North Carolina	6%	10%	13%	14%	13%	9%	33%	0%	100%
North Dakota	9%	13%	14%	13%	12%	11%	27%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	7%	13%	14%	13%	13%	11%	30%	0%	100%
Ohio	6%	11%	13%	13%	13%	10%	33%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	8%	13%	14%	14%	12%	10%	28%	0%	100%
Oregon	7%	11%	12%	12%	11%	10%	37%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	5%	9%	11%	13%	12%	10%	41%	0%	100%
Puerto Rico	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island	5%	9%	11%	12%	12%	10%	40%	0%	100%
South Carolina	4%	9%	14%	16%	15%	12%	30%	0%	100%
South Dakota	8%	12%	14%	14%	13%	11%	28%	0%	100%
Tennessee	6%	12%	13%	13%	12%	10%	34%	0%	100%
Texas	7%	12%	14%	13%	11%	9%	32%	0%	100%
Utah	5%	10%	12%	13%	13%	12%	36%	0%	100%
Vermont	5%	9%	11%	13%	14%	11%	35%	1%	100%
Virgin Islands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Virginia	5%	10%	14%	15%	13%	10%	33%	0%	100%
Washington	6%	11%	12%	13%	12%	11%	35%	0%	100%
West Virginia	6%	10%	12%	13%	12%	10%	37%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	7%	12%	13%	13%	12%	10%	33%	0%	100%
Wyoming	8%	13%	14%	13%	14%	11%	29%	0%	100%
<b>National</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Notes applicable to this report:**

1. The Source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2003.
2. National percentages are based on the "adjusted" national numbers unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the national percentages are equivalent to a weighted average of the State percentages, where the weights are the "adjusted" number of families or children served as appropriate.
3. Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands were unable to report ACF-801 case-level data before report preparation.
4. Alaska's population reported does not accurately reflect the population served due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to resolve.
5. In some instances, the Total may appear to be slightly more or less than 100% because of rounding.

**Table 10**  
**Child Care and Development Fund**  
**Reasons for Receiving Care, Average Monthly Percentage of Families (FFY 2003)**

State	Employment	Training/ Education	Both Emp & Training/Education	Protective Services	Other	Invalid/ Not Reported	Total
Alabama	80%	8%	6%	5%	1%	0%	100%
Alaska	86%	4%	7%	0%	3%	0%	100%
American Samoa	75%	2%	22%	0%	1%	1%	100%
Arizona	75%	1%	7%	15%	2%	0%	100%
Arkansas	81%	7%	0%	5%	7%	0%	100%
California	82%	7%	5%	2%	4%	0%	100%
Colorado	77%	15%	5%	0%	3%	0%	100%
Connecticut	92%	7%	1%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Delaware	86%	5%	1%	3%	5%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	63%	26%	2%	1%	7%	0%	100%
Florida	73%	4%	8%	13%	1%	0%	100%
Georgia	80%	15%	3%	1%	1%	1%	100%
Guam	82%	10%	8%	0%	0%	1%	100%
Hawaii	80%	9%	10%	1%	1%	0%	100%
Idaho	72%	12%	16%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Illinois	88%	5%	2%	0%	5%	0%	100%
Indiana	71%	12%	8%	0%	9%	0%	100%
Iowa	77%	14%	1%	8%	0%	0%	100%
Kansas	90%	8%	2%	0%	1%	0%	100%
Kentucky	73%	14%	3%	10%	0%	0%	100%
Louisiana	80%	8%	9%	2%	0%	0%	100%
Maine	85%	5%	5%	2%	2%	0%	100%
Maryland	82%	11%	7%	0%	1%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	72%	10%	0%	13%	4%	2%	100%
Michigan	89%	8%	1%	1%	2%	0%	100%
Minnesota	78%	8%	9%	0%	5%	0%	100%
Mississippi	83%	16%	0%	0%	1%	0%	100%
Missouri	66%	19%	0%	10%	2%	2%	100%
Montana	62%	23%	9%	6%	1%	0%	100%
Nebraska	71%	15%	2%	10%	1%	0%	100%
Nevada	81%	9%	3%	1%	6%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	79%	11%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%
New Jersey	82%	3%	2%	5%	8%	0%	100%
New Mexico	71%	15%	13%	0%	2%	0%	100%
New York	73%	15%	3%	1%	8%	0%	100%
North Carolina	88%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
North Dakota	68%	22%	10%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	58%	25%	17%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Ohio	71%	16%	3%	0%	10%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	70%	7%	21%	3%	0%	0%	100%
Oregon	75%	3%	21%	1%	0%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	89%	5%	1%	0%	5%	0%	100%
Puerto Rico	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island	88%	9%	3%	0%	0%	0%	100%
South Carolina	82%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
South Dakota	60%	10%	16%	14%	0%	0%	100%
Tennessee	45%	43%	11%	0%	1%	0%	100%
Texas	69%	27%	2%	0%	3%	0%	100%
Utah	85%	1%	4%	0%	10%	0%	100%
Vermont	78%	12%	0%	6%	4%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Virginia	83%	5%	9%	1%	3%	0%	100%
Washington	83%	8%	1%	8%	1%	0%	100%
West Virginia	78%	13%	8%	1%	0%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	89%	1%	8%	0%	2%	0%	100%
Wyoming	88%	11%	0%	1%	0%	0%	100%
<b>National</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Notes applicable to this report:**

1. The Source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2003.
2. National percentages are based on the "adjusted" national numbers unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the national percentages are equivalent to a weighted average of the State percentages, where the weights are the "adjusted" number of families or children served as appropriate.
3. The Invalid/Not Reported only includes family records with an invalid or missing number for ACF-801 element 6.
4. Several States only capture the primary reason for receiving services and therefore do not report any families in the Both Employment and Training/Education category.
5. Inconsistencies in income reporting appear in several States between ACF-801 element 6 (reason for receiving a subsidy, element 9 (total income for determining eligibility), and elements 10 through 15 (the sources of income). O.K. So how are we handling these inconsistencies, i.e., are they counted as Invalid? Records containing such inconsistencies are
6. Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands were unable to report ACF-801 case-level data before report preparation.
7. Alaska's population reported does not accurately reflect the population served due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to resolve.
8. In some instances, the Total may appear to be slightly more or less than 100% because of rounding.

**Table 11**  
**Child Care and Development Fund**  
**Average Monthly Percentages of Children by Racial Group (FFY 2003)**

State	Native American / Alaskan	Asian	Black / African American	Native Hawaiian / Pacific	White	Multi-Racial	Invalid / Not Reported	Total
Alabama	0%	0%	72%	0%	27%	0%	0%	100%
Alaska	6%	2%	11%	3%	47%	13%	17%	100%
American Samoa	0%	0%	0%	99%	0%	1%	1%	100%
Arizona	5%	0%	13%	1%	78%	3%	0%	100%
Arkansas	0%	1%	66%	0%	33%	1%	0%	100%
California	1%	6%	24%	1%	36%	2%	30%	100%
Colorado	1%	1%	18%	0%	77%	0%	2%	100%
Connecticut	0%	0%	40%	0%	24%	3%	33%	100%
Delaware	0%	0%	66%	0%	29%	0%	5%	100%
District of Columbia	0%	0%	94%	0%	4%	0%	2%	100%
Florida	0%	0%	50%	0%	48%	1%	0%	100%
Georgia	0%	0%	81%	0%	17%	1%	1%	100%
Guam	0%	9%	0%	87%	0%	3%	0%	100%
Hawaii	0%	46%	2%	35%	12%	6%	0%	100%
Idaho	2%	0%	1%	0%	84%	1%	12%	100%
Illinois	0%	0%	68%	2%	16%	1%	13%	100%
Indiana	0%	0%	48%	0%	44%	7%	0%	100%
Iowa	1%	0%	22%	0%	77%	0%	0%	100%
Kansas	1%	0%	29%	0%	68%	0%	1%	100%
Kentucky	0%	0%	31%	0%	63%	0%	7%	100%
Louisiana	0%	0%	82%	0%	17%	1%	0%	100%
Maine	2%	1%	2%	0%	88%	5%	3%	100%
Maryland	0%	1%	77%	0%	19%	1%	2%	100%
Massachusetts	1%	2%	16%	0%	28%	1%	53%	100%
Michigan	0%	0%	45%	0%	30%	25%	0%	100%
Minnesota	3%	4%	32%	1%	58%	3%	0%	100%
Mississippi	0%	0%	88%	0%	11%	2%	0%	100%
Missouri	0%	0%	54%	0%	43%	0%	2%	100%
Montana	10%	0%	1%	0%	86%	2%	0%	100%
Nebraska	4%	1%	26%	0%	69%	0%	0%	100%
Nevada	2%	1%	32%	1%	59%	5%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	0%	0%	1%	0%	22%	1%	77%	100%
New Jersey	0%	1%	57%	9%	19%	2%	12%	100%
New Mexico	6%	0%	4%	0%	86%	3%	0%	100%
New York	0%	1%	29%	0%	20%	2%	48%	100%
North Carolina	4%	0%	61%	1%	34%	1%	0%	100%
North Dakota	16%	0%	3%	0%	79%	2%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Ohio	0%	0%	56%	0%	41%	0%	3%	100%
Oklahoma	8%	1%	34%	0%	57%	0%	0%	100%
Oregon	3%	2%	9%	0%	86%	0%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	0%	1%	22%	0%	20%	1%	56%	100%
Puerto Rico	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Rhode Island	0%	1%	10%	0%	28%	0%	61%	100%
South Carolina	0%	0%	79%	0%	21%	0%	0%	100%
South Dakota	21%	0%	4%	0%	72%	2%	0%	100%
Tennessee	0%	0%	72%	0%	27%	0%	0%	100%
Texas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Utah	0%	5%	4%	0%	79%	0%	12%	100%
Vermont	0%	0%	1%	0%	98%	0%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Virginia	7%	2%	63%	0%	28%	1%	0%	100%
Washington	2%	1%	9%	0%	36%	0%	51%	100%
West Virginia	0%	0%	13%	0%	78%	7%	1%	100%
Wisconsin	2%	2%	40%	0%	43%	2%	12%	100%
Wyoming	3%	0%	4%	0%	82%	0%	11%	100%
<b>National</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Notes applicable to this report:**

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2003.
2. National percentages are based on the "adjusted" national numbers unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the national percentages are equivalent to a weighted average of the State percentages, where the weights are the "adjusted" number of families or children served as appropriate.
3. The multi-racial category includes any child where more than one race was answered Yes (1).
4. The Invalid/Not Reported category includes children where one or more race fields had anything other than a No (0) or Yes (1), blank, null, or space.
5. Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands were unable to report ACF-801 case-level data before report preparation.
6. Some States have not yet completed modifications of their state systems to capture and report the updated Census race and ethnicity requirements.
7. Alaska's population reported does not accurately reflect the population served due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to resolve.
8. Texas data was not considered sufficiently reliable in these measures to report, and was excluded from the calculation of the national average.
9. In some instances, the Total may appear to be slightly more or less than 100% because of rounding.

**Table 12**  
**Child Care and Development Fund**  
**Average Monthly Percentages of Children by Latino Ethnicity (FFY 2003)**

State	Latino	Not Latino	Invalid/Not Reported	Total
Alabama	1%	99%	0%	100%
Alaska	8%	92%	0%	100%
American Samoa	0%	100%	0%	100%
Arizona	44%	56%	0%	100%
Arkansas	1%	99%	0%	100%
California	47%	50%	3%	100%
Colorado	35%	65%	0%	100%
Connecticut	34%	66%	0%	100%
Delaware	7%	93%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	8%	92%	0%	100%
Florida	21%	79%	0%	100%
Georgia	2%	99%	0%	100%
Guam	0%	100%	0%	100%
Hawaii	2%	98%	0%	100%
Idaho	14%	86%	0%	100%
Illinois	9%	86%	4%	100%
Indiana	5%	95%	0%	100%
Iowa	6%	94%	0%	100%
Kansas	8%	92%	0%	100%
Kentucky	1%	94%	5%	100%
Louisiana	2%	99%	0%	100%
Maine	3%	97%	0%	100%
Maryland	3%	97%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	31%	69%	0%	100%
Michigan	4%	96%	0%	100%
Minnesota	4%	96%	0%	100%
Mississippi	1%	100%	0%	100%
Missouri	2%	96%	2%	100%
Montana	5%	95%	0%	100%
Nebraska	9%	92%	0%	100%
Nevada	22%	78%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	2%	0%	99%	100%
New Jersey	26%	74%	0%	100%
New Mexico	73%	27%	0%	100%
New York	13%	88%	0%	100%
North Carolina	4%	96%	0%	100%
North Dakota	3%	97%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	100%	0%	100%
Ohio	3%	97%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	5%	95%	0%	100%
Oregon	17%	83%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	5%	96%	0%	100%
Puerto Rico	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island	21%	79%	0%	100%
South Carolina	0%	100%	0%	100%
South Dakota	3%	97%	0%	100%
Tennessee	1%	99%	0%	100%
Texas	36%	61%	2%	100%
Utah	14%	86%	0%	100%
Vermont	0%	100%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	-	-	-	-
Virginia	11%	89%	0%	100%
Washington	17%	83%	0%	100%
West Virginia	1%	99%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	6%	94%	0%	100%
Wyoming	11%	89%	0%	100%
<b>National</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Notes applicable to this report:**

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2003.
2. National percentages are based on the "adjusted" national numbers unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the national percentages are equivalent to a weighted average State percentages, where the weights are the "adjusted" number of families or children served as appropriate.
3. The Invalid/Not Reported category includes children where anything other than a No (0) or Yes (1) was in the Ethnicity field.
4. Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands were unable to report ACF-801 case-level data before report preparation.
5. Some States have not yet completed modifications of their state systems to capture and report the updated Census race and ethnicity requirements.
6. Alaska's population reported does not accurately reflect the population served due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to resolve.
7. In some instances, the Total may appear to be slightly more or less than 100% because of rounding.

**Table 13**  
**Child Care and Development Fund**  
**Average Monthly Percentage of Children in Child Care by Age Category and Type of Care (FFY 2003)**

<b>Age Group</b>	<b>Child's Home</b>	<b>Family Home</b>	<b>Group Home</b>	<b>Center</b>	<b>Total</b>
Infants (0 to <1 yr)	8.10%	36.10%	4.40%	51.40%	100.00%
Toddlers (1 yr to <3 yrs)	6.50%	30.60%	5.00%	57.80%	100.00%
Preschool (3 yrs to <6 yrs)	6.00%	23.90%	4.10%	66.00%	100.00%
School Age (6 yrs to <13 yrs)	13.00%	33.10%	3.80%	50.10%	100.00%
13 years and older	16.50%	42.00%	5.10%	36.40%	100.00%
<b>All Ages</b>	<b>8.80%</b>	<b>29.40%</b>	<b>4.20%</b>	<b>57.60%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Notes applicable to this report:**

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2003.
2. The National values were determined by multiplying each states percentage by the adjusted number of children served for each State, summing across the States and then dividing by the adjusted number of children served for the Nation. "Adjusted" means adjusted to represent CCDF funding only.
3. Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands were unable to report ACF-801 case-level data before report preparation.
4. Some children are reported to have multiple settings for the same month.
5. If a child utilized more than one of the above categories of settings within the same month, the child was counted in more than one setting. The denominator for all the percentages shown was the number of child-setting-category combinations which results in the totals being exactly 100%.

**Table 14**  
**Child Care and Development Fund**  
**Average Monthly Hours for Children In Care By Age Group and Care Type (FFY 2003)**

<b>Age Group</b>	<b>Child's Home</b>	<b>Family Home</b>	<b>Group Home</b>	<b>Center</b>	<b>Weighted Averages</b>
<b>0 to &lt; 1 yr</b>	162	159	160	163	161
<b>1 to &lt; 2 yrs</b>	161	164	166	169	167
<b>2 to &lt; 3 yrs</b>	163	166	169	171	169
<b>3 to &lt; 4 yrs</b>	165	166	164	169	168
<b>4 to &lt; 5 yrs</b>	162	162	164	165	164
<b>5 to &lt; 6 yrs</b>	151	147	143	145	146
<b>6 to &lt; 13 yrs</b>	135	131	117	112	122
<b>13+ yrs</b>	124	127	127	100	118
<b>National</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>148</b>

**Notes applicable to this report:**

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2003.
2. Nationally 3.8% of the children were excluded from the above table because either their age was invalid/not reported or one or more setting elements of a child's setting record was invalid or not reported.
3. For children served by multiple providers, the child's count is proportioned based on the ratio of the monthly hours with each provider divided by the monthly total hours of service. The average hours and payments for each State-month combination are based on the sum of hours in each category divided by the sum of proportional counts in each category. The State's annual results are determined by calculating a weighted average of the monthly results where the weight was the "adjusted" number of children served in each month. The national results shown above represent a weighted average of the State's fiscal annual results where the weight for each State is the average monthly "adjusted" number of children served in each State for the fiscal year.
4. Some States have been reporting the maximum number of hours authorized rather than the actual number of hours of service provided.
5. Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands were unable to report ACF-801 case-level data before report preparation.

**Table 15**  
**Child Care and Development Fund**  
**Average Monthly Expenditures for Children In Care By Age Group and Care Type (FFY 2003)**

Age Group	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Weighted Averages
0 to < 1 yr	\$284	\$350	\$428	\$431	\$390
1 to < 2 yrs	\$303	\$365	\$455	\$435	\$404
2 to < 3 yrs	\$292	\$363	\$452	\$418	\$397
3 to < 4 yrs	\$283	\$347	\$430	\$403	\$384
4 to < 5 yrs	\$286	\$335	\$426	\$407	\$386
5 to < 6 yrs	\$270	\$310	\$387	\$363	\$344
6 to < 13 yrs	\$248	\$278	\$361	\$285	\$281
13+ yrs	\$231	\$279	\$496	\$272	\$280
<b>National</b>	<b>\$266</b>	<b>\$319</b>	<b>\$410</b>	<b>\$369</b>	<b>\$347</b>

**Notes applicable to this report:**

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2003.
2. Nationally 3.8% of the children were excluded from the above table because either their age was invalid/not reported or one or more setting elements of a child's setting were missing.
3. For children served by multiple providers, the child's count is proportioned based on the ratio of the monthly hours with each provider divided by the monthly total hours care received. The average hours and payments for each State-month combination are based on the sum of hours in each category divided by the sum of proportional counts in each category. State's annual results are determined by calculating a weighted average of the monthly results where the weight was the "adjusted" number of children served in each month. National results shown above represent a weighted average of the State's fiscal annual results where the weight for each State is the average monthly "adjusted" number of children served in each State for the fiscal year.
4. Some States have been reporting the maximum number of hours authorized rather than the actual number of hours service provided.
5. Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands were unable to report ACF-801 case-level data before report preparation.

**Table 16**  
**Child Care and Development Fund**  
**Average Monthly Percent of Families Receiving TANF (FFY 2003)**

<b>State</b>	<b>TANF (Yes)</b>
Alabama	8.10%
Alaska	10.30%
American Samoa	0.00%
Arizona	20.40%
Arkansas	41.50%
California	13.00%
Colorado	22.20%
Connecticut	54.60%
Delaware	14.50%
District of Columbia	23.10%
Florida	12.10%
Georgia	17.90%
Guam	31.90%
Hawaii	19.40%
Idaho	2.40%
Illinois	8.60%
Indiana	29.00%
Iowa	42.70%
Kansas	13.10%
Kentucky	2.00%
Louisiana	12.20%
Maine	4.10%
Maryland	11.80%
Massachusetts	18.00%
Michigan	18.30%
Minnesota	22.00%
Mississippi	0.20%
Missouri	25.70%
Montana	20.00%
Nebraska	31.50%
Nevada	34.20%
New Hampshire	27.40%
New Jersey	14.10%
New Mexico	18.10%
New York	34.90%
North Carolina	7.30%
North Dakota	15.60%
Northern Mariana Islands	0.00%
Ohio	16.80%
Oklahoma	18.40%
Oregon	33.00%
Pennsylvania	12.10%
Puerto Rico	-
Rhode Island	10.50%
South Carolina	23.50%
South Dakota	7.40%
Tennessee	63.30%
Texas	12.50%
Utah	4.80%
Vermont	15.30%
Virgin Islands	-
Virginia	25.10%
Washington	19.50%
West Virginia	11.00%
Wisconsin	10.50%
Wyoming	-
<b>National Average</b>	<b>18.30%</b>

**Notes applicable to this report:**

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2003.
2. National percentages are based on the "adjusted" national numbers unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the national average is based on a weighted average of the State percentages, where the weights are the "adjusted" number of families or children served as reported by the State.
3. The Invalid/Not Reported column includes families that did not indicate whether TANF was a source of income or not and that did not receive protective services.
4. Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands were unable to report ACF-801 case-level data before report preparation.
5. Alaska's population reported does not accurately reflect the population served due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to address.
6. Wyoming accidentally reversed coding of the TANF variable in their state system. A more accurate estimate is about 11% and was not included in the calculation of the national average.

**Table 17**  
**Child Care and Development Fund**  
**Average Monthly Mean Family Co-payment as a Percent of Family Income (FFY 2003)**

State/Territories	Percent of Families with \$0 CoPay (among those with Income>\$0)	Mean CoPay/Income in Percent (Excluding Those With \$0 CoPay)	Mean CoPay/Income in Percent (Including Those With \$0 CoPay)
Alabama	7%	8%	8%
Alaska	7%	4%	4%
American Samoa	-	-	-
Arizona	11%	5%	4%
Arkansas	77%	8%	1%
California	72%	3%	1%
Colorado	6%	9%	8%
Connecticut	4%	5%	5%
Delaware	19%	8%	6%
District of Columbia	18%	5%	4%
Florida	1%	6%	6%
Georgia	17%	4%	3%
Guam	-	-	-
Hawaii	53%	3%	1%
Idaho	0%	8%	8%
Illinois	2%	6%	6%
Indiana	80%	3%	1%
Iowa	60%	6%	2%
Kansas	27%	6%	4%
Kentucky	28%	8%	5%
Louisiana	32%	10%	7%
Maine	3%	7%	7%
Maryland	16%	5%	5%
Massachusetts	31%	9%	6%
Michigan	23%	4%	3%
Minnesota	21%	4%	3%
Mississippi	0%	3%	3%
Missouri	29%	7%	4%
Montana	0%	4%	4%
Nebraska	47%	10%	3%
Nevada	51%	10%	4%
New Hampshire	23%	0%	0%
New Jersey	16%	7%	6%
New Mexico	22%	5%	4%
New York	36%	5%	3%
North Carolina	7%	9%	8%
North Dakota	6%	12%	11%
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	9%	9%
Ohio	3%	5%	5%
Oklahoma	43%	8%	4%
Oregon	8%	10%	9%
Pennsylvania	8%	6%	6%
Puerto Rico	-	-	-
Rhode Island	29%	5%	3%
South Carolina	14%	3%	2%
South Dakota	50%	10%	4%
Tennessee	63%	1%	1%
Texas	7%	10%	9%
Utah	8%	5%	5%
Vermont	34%	6%	4%
Virgin Islands	-	-	-
Virginia	26%	10%	7%
Washington	14%	6%	5%
West Virginia	12%	5%	4%
Wisconsin	3%	6%	6%
Wyoming	2%	4%	4%
<b>National Mean (Weighted)</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>5%</b>

**Notes applicable to this report:**

1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2003.
2. The national weighted values were determined by multiplying each State's average co-payment/income percentage by the adjusted number of children in each State, summing across the States and then dividing by the adjusted number of children served for the Nation. "Adjusted" means adjusted to represent CCDF funding only.
3. The data from Guam and American Samoa were not considered sufficiently reliable in these measures to report.
4. Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands were unable to report ACF-801 case-level data before report preparation.
5. The average co-payment over income percentage calculations excludes families with zero income because dividing by zero is undefined.
6. The first and second columns are the percentage of families with \$0 co-payment divided by the count of families with an income greater than zero. Families with zero income or headed by a child or in protective services were not included in the data for this table.