# THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE

# CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH

convenes the

TOWN HALL MEETING

NORA

NATIONAL OCCUPATIONAL

RESEARCH AGENDA

The verbatim transcript of the

Town Hall Meeting of the National Occupational

Research Agenda held in Washington, D.C., on

March 13, 2006.

# CONTENTS

March 13, 2006

OPENING REMARKS JOHN HOWARD, DIRECTOR, NIOSH MAX LUM, NIOSH	5
INTRODUCTION TO RESEARCH AGENDA PROCESS SID SODERHOLM, NIOSH	18
REGIONAL AND LOCAL SESSION: STAKEHOLDER PRESENTATION MODERATOR: KRISTEN BORRE, SOUTHERN COASTAL AGROMEDICINE CENTER CLOSING: MAX LUM	ONS 33
REGIONAL AND LOCAL SESSION: STAKEHOLDER PRESENTATION MODERATOR: MARY ANN LATKO, AIHA	NS 139
PRELIMINARY SUMMARY OF NORA DOCKET CHRISTY FORRESTER	174
ADJOURN	203
COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	205

### TRANSCRIPT LEGEND

The following transcript contains quoted material. Such material is reproduced as read or spoken.

In the following transcript: a dash (--) indicates an unintentional or purposeful interruption of a sentence. An ellipsis (. . .) indicates halting speech or an unfinished sentence in dialogue or omission(s) of word(s) when reading written material.

- -- (sic) denotes an incorrect usage or pronunciation of a word which is transcribed in its original form as reported.
- -- (phonetically) indicates a phonetic spelling of the word if no confirmation of the correct spelling is available.
- -- "uh-huh" represents an affirmative response, and "uh-uh" represents a negative response.
- -- "\*" denotes a spelling based on phonetics, without reference available.
- -- (inaudible)/ (unintelligible) signifies speaker failure, usually failure to use a microphone.

## TOWN HALL ORGANIZERS

KRISTEN BORRE, PhD, MPH Southern Coastal Agromedicine Center

MAX LUM, EdD, MPA, NIOSH Office of Health Communications and Global Collaboration

SIDNEY SODERHOLM, PhD NIOSH Office of the Director

#### **PROCEEDINGS**

ODENTNO DEMADES

(9:05 a.m.)

# OPENING REMARKS

DR. HOWARD: Hi, my name's John Howard and I'm pleased to welcome you to our town hall meeting here in Washington -- yes, Washington is a town that deserves its own town hall meeting -- and I think many of you may have participated in the town hall meeting almost ten years ago.

And could I have a show of hands to see how many people actually -- ah, there's a few people. Marilyn, I think -- were you in charge of the whole -- no?

UNIDENTIFIED: Marilyn was in charge.

DR. HOWARD: Marilyn was in charge, right.
Well, it's pleasure for me to welcome each of you here today. And for those of you who haven't been at any of the town hall meetings, this is part of a process in which we're launching the second ten years of the National Occupational Research Agenda. As many of you know, in 1996 the first ten years were launched with great fanfare, and the agenda has been very successful over the last ten years. And now we're embarking on that second ten years, 2006 to 2016.

We're heading towards our 2006 NORA symposium, which will be here in Washington. So if all of you in Washington can come to that, we'd be very pleased. It is April 18th starting at 1:00 in the afternoon at the L'Enfant Plaza Hotel, and you can go onto our web site and register for that, so we'd be pleased to see all of you there.

Today we're going to hear from you, and I'm going to shut up and sit down here in a few seconds. But I just wanted to explain that we're all here to support this agenda in its second ten years, and you'll hear about some of the ways that we've changed things.

And I just wanted to comment on one aspect of that in that in the second ten years we're going to be looking at industrial sectors as the focus, with a research to practice approach. Industrial sectors like mining and agriculture and construction and services and trade and healthcare, et cetera, those are the way work is organized in the country. That's the way both employers as well as workers, labor unions and others see themselves, and often problems are quite different and unique

across those sectors.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In the first ten years we organized ourselves along a more traditional health outcome or academic approach. This second ten years is different, and we are very pleased to receive any input that you would like to offer us on how to do that. The materials that we have on our web site explain, at the beginning of this launch period, of how we're going to organize ourselves with research sector councils which we hope some of you will participate in. But the whole focus of these next ten years, as the Institute itself, is focused on three major core values. One is relevance. We want to make sure that our work is relevant to realworld problems in these industrial sectors. The second core value is quality. We want our work to be of the highest scientific quality. And third is impact. We want our work to make a difference in the end. And even basic research can make a difference in terms of moving the questions along as we further science into intervention, change in the workplace. So those three values of relevance, quality, impact are the ones that will be our

1 predominant core values for NORA II. 2 So again, I welcome each of you here today. 3 Thank you for coming, and look forward to 4 hearing your comments. 5 My last job is to introduce Max Lum, who is our associate director for communications, and Max 6 7 is going to tell you a little bit more about 8 our town hall meeting and what we're going to 9 do today. Thanks. 10 DR. LUM: Thanks, John. Welcome to this 11 Washington, D.C. town hall meeting. This is --12 let me get this straight, but I think this is number ten in a series of 12. We've been out 13 14 and around the country over the last three 15 months, talking to folks, having them talk to 16 us about their concerns about workplace safety 17 and health, problems, areas really that NIOSH 18 should be focusing on. And I think we're --19 with this meeting certainly we'll be over 1,000 20 folks who have joined us in these town hall 21 meetings. 22 And we'll have more about what we've heard and 23 what we found in some of those meetings this 24 afternoon. We'll kind of do a summary of some

of the areas by -- by sector, what we're

25

hearing -- and some of it's quite different than we heard ten years ago.

So in 1996 I think NIOSH -- and most of you are familiar with NIOSH. NIOSH is the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, and part of the Center for Disease Control. We looked around for a better mechanism to organize our research. And we focused on a NORA activity, and as we developed this, the National Occupational Research Agenda, as a guiding framework, it clearly I think became very early clear to us it wasn't just a framework for the Institute. It wasn't just for NIOSH. It really was a framework that we could use to guide the national agenda for occupational research, and in fact that is what NORA has become.

And it's very important I think for us to realize that it isn't just an Institute focus. It really is a national focus because it's allowed us over the last ten years to broker our relationship with our partners. And partnerships are extremely important for NORA. I think the original NORA, several hundred -- 500, I think -- finally joined in this effort.

1 Again, we've reached 1,000 folks just in this 2 town hall effort, and we know there are more 3 coming to the symposium. But the idea really 4 is its research with a partnership focus. 5 And today we're going to not talk to you -we'll talk to you a little bit about what the 6 7 new NORA will look like. Sid Soderholm, our 8 NORA coordinator, will kind of give you a brief 9 overview of what the new NORA's planned for, 10 but really we want to hear from you. 11 why we're here. And we want to make sure we 12 have enough time to do that. 13 And the town hall meetings are extremely 14 important for -- not only for NORA, but I think 15 for NIOSH. I remember almost to the date ten 16 years ago we had a town hall -- we had three 17 town hall meetings in 1996 -- Seattle, Chicago, 18 Washington, D.C. We had some mini-meetings 19 also at that point. 20 But in the Washington, D.C. meeting, which was 21 held upstairs right next to our celebrated cafeteria, we heard a very compelling testimony 22 23 from three nurses from Philadelphia who brought 24 a patient with them to talk to us about a very 25 important subject, latex allergy. Now latex

allergy was an issue that was on the NIOSH agenda, but it wasn't -- let's say an active agenda item at that point. And it was clear that this is an area -- this latex allergy, the wearing of latex gloves by healthcare workers, certainly debilitated some of the workers. worker they brought who was a patient certainly was a very compelling focal point I think for the importance of us looking at this area immediately. And I think within a year or so that we pretty much had sent an alert to every hospital in the country informing them of this condition and have continued to do research in this area. 

In the Salt Lake City meeting we had a couple of weeks ago, the person that introduced and helped us design that meeting, Kurt Heggeman, works at our Educational Resource Center out there, he spoke again about how important that 1996 meeting was into organizing his thoughts, and how that really his approach in that -- in that meeting was to put forward some ideas that were -- later became a focal point of NIOSH research in musculoskeletal disease.

So the meetings are very important, and the

town hall meetings hopefully carry over -- the partners that we meet during these sessions carry over into partnerships that we continue on as we go for the next decade really of NORA. So with that, I think at this point -- just an overview. Again, I can't thank you enough, here in Washington particularly, for giving up a few hours of your day. I know some of you plan to be with us all day and we do appreciate that. Thank you for coming at this point, and we're anxious to hear what you -- you have to say.

Before we hear from Sid, though, I'd like to introduce Bobby Jackson just to say a few words. Bobby Jackson is a focal point really with one of our partners, the National Safety Council. He's the senior vice president at the National Safety Council for national programs. And also I can't say enough really about the partnership with the National Safety Council, particularly the symposium that's coming up in April and their work and help, really over the last ten years. So Bobby, please.

MR. JACKSON: Thank you, Max, for that gracious introduction. As Max indicated, I'm the senior

vice president of the National Safety Council here in the Washington, D.C. office. We're honored and privileged to be here and certainly appreciate the invitation by NIOSH to present here today.

It's always a pleasure to share the dais with Dr. John Howard -- as all of you know, someone who is dedicated to safety and health to workers of this nation. Certainly we at the National Safety Council endorse those and participate in those lofty goals of Dr. Howard and all of you at NIOSH.

As I said, the National Safety Council appreciates the opportunity to appear at this meeting today and being offered the opportunity to convey our support of NIOSH and their management of the National Occupational Research Agenda. In fact, we've presented statements at two previous meetings early on in this process at College Park, Maryland and in Chicago, Illinois. Alan McMillan, the president and CEO of the National Safety Council, spoke at that Chicago meeting -- town hall meeting on December the 19th. As he noted, the Council has been longstanding

24

25

supporters of NIOSH and the NORA objectives.

We intend to continue this collaboration, as evidence by the fact -- as Dr. Howard mentioned and Max mentioned -- as cosponsors with NIOSH of the NORA symposium here in Washington, D.C. on April 18th through the 20th. And as Dr. Howard mentioned, it is a tenth anniversary celebration of NORA.

By way of background, the National Safety Council is a Congressionally-chartered national safety and health organization with a very simply stated mission, to educate and influence people to prevent injury and death. Council and its nearly 18,000 members at over 48,000 locations are committed to fulfill its mission. Moreover, we're always mindful of the benefits of working with agencies and other organizations to accomplish these goals. The Council views partnerships with federal and state agencies and other safety and health organizations and companies and others who can influence public policy in order to accomplish its mission. Sharing ideas, research, programs, initiatives and training is critical to the Council. NIOSH and the work through

NORA is one of the most important collaborative initiatives that we have.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now as you may know, the Council has many strategic partnerships, cooperative programs and working alliances with agencies and others. All of these serve as the basis for our work. We understand that we cannot responsibly or effectively perform our work alone. example, we have a formal alliance agreement with the Occupational Safety and Health Administration, as well as the Mine Safety and Health Administration. We have formal Memorandums of Understandings, MOUs, with the Consumer Products Safety Commission and CDC's National Center for Injury Prevention and Control. And we have an agreement in place with NIOSH to foster appropriate cooperative research projects. These joint efforts between the National Safety Council and these federal agencies enhance each organization's respective objectives.

Much of the work that the National Safety

Council performs is conducted within the public

policy arena, and the Council is deeply engaged

in public policy. We identify, we develop and

implement many initiatives which must be supported by research and data. One of the frustrations of working in the public policy arena is not necessarily the bad information that we have to combat, it's no information, or it's not necessarily the informa-- that the information does not exist. It's often merely that the information is not readily visible or available to us. Thus to influence public policy we must have substantive research and meaningful data readily available, and it must be persuasive to make a difference with lawmakers and the public.

Consequently, we at the National Safety Council will always encourage research be conducted, that it be improved and that it be updated, and that it be made readily available. The value of the work of NIO-- that NIOSH accomplishes and its use of NORA are crucial to our policy work.

National Safety Council will continue to do what is needed in its overall efforts to accomplish this. We encourage NIOSH and all of you to always be mindful of the value of your resources that you provide and to help support

1 these efforts through your vital research. 2 We intend at the National Safety Council to 3 continue to strive to make America's workplaces 4 and our highways and our communities safer and healthier for all the citizens of this nation. 5 Thank you. 6 7 DR. LUM: Don't go away. Again, this -- we 8 need something for your rearview mirror to hang 9 This is a small token of our -- of our 10 appreciation for all the help that the Safety 11 Council gives. Again, this says (reading) For 12 the leadership in organizing a town hall 13 meeting for the National Occupational Research 14 Agenda -- we should also add the symposium 15 coming up -- we appreciate your dedication in 16 advancing the safety and health of workers 17 throughout the nation. 18 Thank you very much. I think the key word in 19 there is leadership. We do appreciate it. 20 Thank you again. 21 MR. JACKSON: Thank you. I want to thank you. 22 Just a quick statement. I appreciate this and 23 I will share it with our staff -- our staff, 24 who are the people who really make this happen. 25 I don't know if it's anything significant or

not, Dr. Howard. I'm not sure whether this logo is quite -- you know, the red square.

Maybe it works with the NORA underneath it.

That's fine. Thank you very much for your time and -- this morning. And Max, thank you very much, Dr. Howard.

DR. LUM: We've heard about the Soviet look of our NORA, this is not the first time we've heard that, so we'll -- we'll look at it again, but -- again, we want to get on with the town hall meeting. Thank you, Bobby, again.

Sid Soderholm's going to talk to us a little bit about what the new NORA's going to look like, and some other comments. Sid.

### INTRODUCTION TO RESEARCH AGENDA PROCESS

DR. SODERHOLM: I was -- John -- as John just asked, where does this thing project, so if -- look to my left if you're interested in the slides.

So my name is Sid Soderholm. I'm the NORA coordinator and I'll start where I'll end. If you have any questions or issues or thoughts about NORA, please -- please contact me, and I'll give you some contact information here in a few minutes.

So let's talk a little bit about NORA. The NORA vision hasn't changed, and the NORA vision is one of a national partnership effort to conduct priority research. And that was true ten years ago and it's -- it's still the same. Some of the key components of that vision are that we seek stakeholder input, and that's certainly what we're doing here today and have been doing a lot in the last -- last three months. I've seen a lot more airports in the last three months than -- than I'd seen in the last ten years, I think.

A need to identify research priorities and work together to address those priorities. Those are key elements of the NORA vision. And leveraging funds. During the first ten years of NORA we were able to identify, through this process, some NIH Institute mission objectives that -- that corresponded with ours and were able to put out IFAs and ask for research that could be funded by both us and the National Institute of Health institutes.

We hope in the next ten years to be able to do
even more than that, to have a lot more
leveraging of resources where your organization

1 and our mission correspond and we can both --2 as Bobby was just telling us, the National 3 Safety Council, where by working together we 4 can both perform our mission better. 5 So what's different about the second decade of 6 NORA? As John mentioned, we're focusing 7 research to prac-- on research to practice in 8 workplaces through sector-based partnerships. 9 So we'd really like to improve our ability to 10 work with workers and employers who identify 11 themselves as being part of a sector, as -- as 12 everyone does. 13 So what is this approach? This approach will 14 address the most important problems in each 15 sector, and I'll talk a little bit later about 16 what those issues may be -- problems, issues. 17 It could be the risks that people face, 18 exposures; could be diseases, injuries; or 19 failures of the system. So any of these kinds 20 of things may be the kinds of issues that come 21 up and where research can most make the 22 difference. 23 We're talking about specifically having a 24 research strategy, at least one research 25 strategy in each sector group, and I'll talk

25

about the sector groups in a moment. So we're -- we're getting very specific about the kinds of research that needs to be done. And the cross-sector needs, the diseases, the injuries, the -- these problems, issues, most of them cross sectors. And so the focus on the crosssector aspects that occurred during the first ten years, that focus is not being lost. issues haven't gone away. They're still here and they still apply across sectors. So the cross-sector needs will certainly be identified and we hope, through the sector approach and keeping track of those issues -- the parts of the issues 'cause they can be best dealt with cross-sector-wise to most efficiently deal -conduct the research, identify and conduct the priority research.

So John also mentioned this, why a sector-based approach? Workplaces, workers, employers think of themselves as sectors. We know what sectors we're in. Many of the research needs do differ from one sector to another. Certainly when you come to apply even general research principles to making a successful intervention in a workplace, the approach, the communication

channels, the people who need to be involved differ sector by sector.

The sector approach will really help us focus on the goals and the objectives and -- and getting those results to the people who can make a difference. We think the sector approach will help us partner with many more organizations and individuals that we need to be partnering with. And we think this is going to be an efficient approach.

Now on this screen it's a little small. I mentioned the eight sectors. We're working with the North American Industrial
Classification System, which has about 20 sectors that they define. But we've grouped them into what, for us, will be a little bit more manageable sector groups and they're listed here with some abbreviations. And different town hall meetings have focused on --have had a -- typically the afternoon session that has focused on one or other of these sectors. Other town hall meetings, like this one, are focusing -- are interested in input on all sectors.

So some of the sectors -- healthcare and social

assistance, which -- and then all the other services are considered as a separate sector. Mining is a sector; ag., forestry and fishing are sectors, so these are the kinds of sectors that we're talking about.

Each of these sectors will have a NORA research council. This council will be co-led by one person inside NIOSH -- actually will be one of -- that person who's co-leading the council will also be a person who's leading -- one of the co-leaders of the internal NIOSH program in that sector. The -- and then we'll also have an external co-leader, and the membership of these councils will be both internal and external.

So the councils will focus on a research agenda for that sector, and then there will be a cross-sector research council, which is really the executive committee. The cross-sector research council's made up of the two leaders of each of the sector research councils. So those 16 people will come together to share success stories, to identify infrastructure needs and to help keep the whole process moving forward.

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the NIOSH role in NORA is one of stewardship -- I think Bobby called it management -- and providing the infrastructure. We don't own NORA. It wouldn't go forward without us. It is a national effort, a partnership effort.

So talk a little bit more about the research councils. We're looking at diverse input that will lead to robust research strategies. the first job, the -- the initial work of these NORA sector research councils will be to take this input, and front and center is the stakeholder input like we're receiving in the town hall meetings. If you haven't visited our web site, the NORA web site, which I'll give you later, also has an opportunity to submit comments in the form of text to the -- to the NORA docket. This -- so what's said here is being caught in a transcript. Ray Green is in the back of the room. He's talking into this little mouthpiece as a court reporter. He's catching every word, so then that transcript will be entered into the NORA docket. Christy Forrester of my office is going to be doing that and you'll meet her later. And then

1 there's going to be an analysis -- or at least an indexing of that docket, so I'll talk a 3 little bit more about that later. But this input from the NORA town hall meetings, through 5 the docket, is going to be given to the

research councils.

2

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In addition, of course, we have surveillance We have data on what the major issues are in occupational safety and health. always, as -- when you have expert people sitting around a table, they bring their own expertise. So from those inputs the research councils will go through a priority-setting con-- priority-setting, I hope it doesn't turn into a contest. A priority-setting process that will result in a draft research strategy. So this research strategy will be then posted on the internet and invite questions. If you're interested in serving on a research council, let me know -- or if you know the

leaders in that sector area at NIOSH, feel free to let them know -- and volunteer. Also if you're interested in keeping track of the process but don't feel you have the time to be on the research council, please let us know.

3

45

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We'll put you on the mailing list so when these draft strategies are available, you will be notified and you won't have to keep coming back to the web site, but you'll be notified and you can let us know what your comments are on that draft strategy.

So, talk a little bit more about your participation. I've talked about volunteering a little bit, and you're providing input today. So the input today, as I mentioned, will go into the -- into the NORA docket. Once it goes into the transcript, Christy will enter it into the docket through the web site. interesting part of that web site, the address is there if you can see it. I was going to say hopefully it's on some of the materials in your folder, too. An interesting aspect to that web site is there's a box to type in your comments, and there's one box for each of the eight sectors, and then a box for cross-sector issues and a box for comments on the process. But if you look to the left of that box, there's an unassuming little link called "view comments by others", and that's really becoming a very rich source of information. So if you click on that

link, you can see the information that others have put in. So the transcript will be available on the web site, the first few are up there now from the first -- the early town hall meetings, and the comments inserted in -- among those major categories will be soon available on the web site. So I urge you to take a look at that "view comments by others" link and see what others have been saying.

The comments will be provided to the NORA sector research council, and that's the main purpose. They'll be provided as individual comments. Everything that's said, everything that you submit will be given to them, but we will be picking out the -- we'll be highlighting a particular thought and then indexing that. So if a research council's interested in looking at hearing loss -- what do people have to say about hearing loss in construction, they'll be able to go through the index process, go -- and find that series of comments that talked about hearing loss in construction. And yet the whole comment, everything that was said, will be there in context, too.

25

So the -- that's the key. This input is going to the research councils. They'll be making the draft research agenda for the nation that you'll be able to comment on.

Your input will also be outlined at the NORA

symposium. There are the dates again, April 18 through 20 here in town, and the web site where you can register and learn more -- learn more about the symposium. Really quite excited about the -- actually all part of the symposium, but the last day in particular. We're going to have eight workshops -- two-hour workshops, eight concurrent workshops in the morning of the last day of the symposium, one for each of these sector groups. We will be presenting a brief summary of what's gone into the docket. We'll be asking the people at the workshop to -- to add issues. And then we'll be doing some multi-voting. So at the end of the workshop we'll have at least a point of information about what that group felt were the

Then that information will be reported out at a plenary session and in the afternoon we're going to have eight cross-sector workshops

major issues in that sector.

1 defi -- if you look on the web site, six of the 2 eight have already been defined based on the 3 subjects that keep coming up at the town hall 4 These cross-sector workshops will meetings. 5 have the input about what's important in the 6 sectors, and the cross-sector workshops will be 7 coming out with what they feel the next steps 8 should be in this area to have -- for the right 9 research to get done and for -- to have the 10 most impact in the workplace. 11 So I'm very excited about that set of 12 workshops. And we have 200 posters that have been submitted of NORA research. I think it's 13 14 going to be a very good symposium. 15 So talk a little bit -- focus a little bit more 16 here on what we're doing here today, what kinds 17 of res-- what kind of information do we think 18 we're interested in hearing? Very soon we'll 19 be sitting down, we'll be listening and you'll 20 be talking. 21 So the top issues might be formulated in terms 22 of a disease or an injury, exposure, a 23 population at risk or a failure of the 24 occupational safety and health system, or even 25 have your own way of -- you know, a different

way of formulating your description of what the top -- a top issue is. It could be within a sector, it could be cross-sector.

But if you have ideas, we're also interested in what are the key partnerships? Who needs to be working on these and these issues in the future to really make a difference? And what kind of research will -- will -- is needed to make the difference, in the short term and in the long term?

So we're asking for very brief presentations. We realize in five minutes that you really can't say everything that needs to be said about your subject. What we're asking for are the highlights. We are very interested, if you have either a written version of your comments or have additional material, to -- if you could, give us a copy. You can leave it at the front desk, you can hand to me -- I'll be down front here -- even -- even give it to Ray at the back desk. He takes them to make sure he's got things spelled right and so on in the transcript, and then he gives them to us. So we -- we're very interested in anything else that you have already written up.

Also you can go back to the web site and either submit text, comments, or if you want to include graphs and pictures and other things that aren't text-based, then there's an e-mail address on the web site where you can submit information to the docket. So we're asking for very brief presentations today, but we would really like the richness of the information that you have, also.

And the last point I'd make is that we're here to listen and I hope we'll have time -- it's very full schedule, so I hope we'll have time or we -- we will make time to ask if others who maybe didn't sign up to speak would like to say some-- would like to stand up and speak. We encourage you to do that, but whether you're -- whether you've signed up or haven't, we'd ask that you -- that you provide us your opinion rather than criticizing anyone else's opinion. We're here to hear everybody and to hear what everyone's input is.

I guess I've already switched that. A reminder that this is -- unlike the other town hall meetings, we're going to use the last hour of it a little bit differently. Christy

Forrester, whom I mentioned, is going to give a preliminary summary of her impressions and the data she's collected about what's in the docket already, and so it'll be a nice way to -- to get a flavor of where all this input may be -- may be pressing NORA in the future.

We also, I hope, will have time at that point, if individuals have input on the process, on -- on where -- you know, having heard the summary, where -- where this is all going. We hope to have just a few minutes at the end to really talk about that.

So there are many ways in which you can keep -keep participating. If you haven't already
signed up for -- for the NIOSH e-news, please
do that. There's a web site listed there. All
you have to do is type in your e-mail address
and that's it. What happens then is once a
month you get an e-mail from NIOSH. And if
you're too busy, you can delete it like all
those other e-mails, but we hope you'll take
time and read it because we -- the e-news boils
down into just 100, 200-word summaries a lot of
the -- a lot of what's going on in -- in NIOSH,
and you'll find something about NORA in there

every month. So if -- if you don't have the opportunity to keep involved in other ways, at least take the e-news and read those 100, 200-word summaries every month and follow what's happening in NORA.

There again is the web site where you can provide the input. It's basically the NIOSH web site. If you -- over on the left you'll see National Occupational Research Agenda. If you click there you'll be at the NORA web site. And as I started, I will say if you have any questions, please contact me. Any-- anything you'd like to talk over, either my direct address or noracoordinator@cdc.gov will work. One thing I forgot to do, I usually put some business cards on the front table. I'll do that in case that -- the low-tech paper way is still a good way for you to keep track of who I am and how to reach me. I'll have my business cards on the front table.

## REGIONAL AND LOCAL SESSION: STAKEHOLDER PRESENTATIONS

So we'll finally get to the meat of the issue today. I would like to introduce Kristen Borre from the Southern Coastal Agrimedicine Center. Kristen has kindly agreed to -- to be one of the heavies to-- no, to -- to introduce people

1 and to keep us moving along today. And we have 2 a timekeeper and I'll let Kristen take over 3 from here, as well. Thank you. 4 DR. BORRE: Thank you very much, Sid, and I 5 appreciate that introduction. I'm glad to be here and I'm looking forward to hearing 6 7 everything that everybody has to say. 8 As a part of my job as moderator I'd like to 9 outline how we will do the process. 10 morning we will ask the first four speakers to 11 come up and have seats on the stage. And as 12 they complete their comments and return to 13 their seats, we will have the next four come. 14 That way we can have a smooth transition 15 process for the comments. 16 We ask that you keep your comments to five 17 minutes. Should you wish to speak longer than 18 five minutes, please end at the five-minute 19 period and you can talk to one of the NIOSH 20 folks out at the registration desk about 21 getting another five minutes to continue your 22 comments, should you wish to do that. 23 We're very fortunate this morning to have Ann 24 Berry -- Ann, would you please stand up? 25 is our official timekeeper, so she's the heavy.

1	And she will give you a signal of one minute
2	remaining and (unintelligible) time, time to
3	wrap up and get off the stage. If you keep to
4	that we will have a fair process by which
5	everyone gets to speak their five minutes.
6	So without oh, and if you do have comments
7	written, remember to give them to either Max or
8	Sid down here in front, or leave them at the
9	desk. And also remember that your comments are
10	most welcome on the web site.
11	So without going any further, I'd like to ask
12	Bobby Jackson, Gary Fore, Donald Elisburg and
13	Mike Thompson to come to the stage. And
14	UNIDENTIFIED: (Off microphone)
15	(Unintelligible)
16	DR. BORRE: Okay. And also David Covarrubias -
17	- and if I pronounce your name wrong, I
18	apologize, but is David here this morning?
19	David Covarrubias?
20	(No responses)
21	Okay, Jackie Nowell? Jennifer Sherman?
22	Schumann, Jennifer Schumann.
23	Okay. At this time I would like to ask Gary
24	Fore and Donald Elisburg to come to the podium.
25	And when you come to the podium, if you could

please state your name and the group that you're representing, for the record.

MR. FORE: Good morning. My name is Gary Fore. I am vice president for environment, health and safety at the National Asphalt Pavement Association. Accompanying me and also representing NAPA is Don Elisburg, well known for his ability to facilitate government, industry, labor and academia partnership. NAPA is the exclusive national trade association representing the hot mix asphalt industry, with about 1,100 members and representing the majority of highway construction and street paving in the U.S. As such, we and our membership have invested heavily in health and safety of 300,000 or more workers. Don and I are here today to talk about the Asphalt Partnership, which is now in its eleventh year. Why have we come to this town hall meeting? participants in the Asphalt Partnership, we and our partners in the Laborers International Union of North America, the International Union of Operating Engineers, the Asphalt Institute, the Federal Highway Administration, and yes, NIOSH, were the recipients of the very first

24

25

1

National Occupational Research Agenda Award for Partnering in the area of worker health and safety. This partnership has been successful, successful in bring research into practice in the workplace, and we believe it could serve as a problem-solving template for other worker health and safety opportunities. We want to share both our enthusiasm for the concept of partnerships and hopefully some insights relating to the partnership process, and in the end to bring some reality to this thing we call partnerships.

A brief history of the Asphalt Partnership.

The foundation for the Asphalt Partnership was laid in 1995 with the initiative to develop and implement engineering controls for paving machines. Participating were NAPA, the Asphalt Institute, the Laborers Health and Safety Fund, the International Union of Operating Engineers, the Federal Highway Administration and, last but not least, NIOSH. The result of this effort was the publishing of engineering controls guidelines for hot mix asphalt pavers in January of '97, followed immediately with the signing of a voluntary agreement with OSHA

to install engineering controls on all paving machines manufactured after July 1 of that year. The result? A significant reduction in fume concentrations surrounding paving operations. What otherwise would have required years to accomplish through regulatory channels was accomplished in 18 months.

Why did it work? Well, first off, all participants in the partnership shared a genuine concern about health of workers. All participants share concerns about the paving industry. All participants share a belief in the value of trust and cooperation. And in this case there was a need for cooperation. Specifically, the uncertainty at the time of asphalt fume and occupational safety and health surrounding paving operations.

What has happened since 1995, the beginning of the Asphalt Partnership? We have built on the Asphalt Partnership foundation through a continuation of the collaborative process and inclusion of other important occupational health and safety opportunities. In addition, we have added additional stakeholders who bring the core set of values as partners, including

1)

academia. While we will not be exhaustive this morning in delineating the substantial numbers of partnership activities over the past 11 years, we offer just a few examples of recent and current efforts -- again, to bring some reality.

Last year we completed a major test program working with NIOSH and the Center to Protect Worker Rights to evaluate and validate the effectiveness of engineering controls for reducing exposures to asphalt fumes surrounding paving operations. We assisted Harvard with efforts to secure a National Cancer Institute grant to conduct mechanistic research relating to asphalt fume and human exposures. We provided funding support to the Harvard School of Public Health and worked with them to investigate potential dermal exposures.

We have worked together for the past four years

in an effort to reduce injuries and fatalities in highway work zones by developing and delivering safety training materials for the asphalt paving industry. Having securing funding via a Harwood Grant, we extended the Asphalt Partnership to form an OSHA Alliance

for work zone safety including NIOSH, the FHWA, and the American Road and Transportation
Builders Association in this important endeavor.

Currently we are working together to complete targeted scientific research to fill perceived gaps relating to the evaluation of asphalt paving fume as we prepare for an eventual IARC Monograph review of that subject.

I am happy to report this morning that we are currently engaged in a partnership with NIOSH, the Laborers Union, the Operating Engineers Union, the Associated Equipment Manufacturers and others to evaluate silica exposures surrounding asphalt milling machine operations and modeled after the highly successful paver engineering controls effort.

Where to from here? We have just formed a partnership effort with the FHWA, the American Association of State and Transportation Highway (sic) Officials, the State Departments of Transportation, the State Asphalt Pavement Associations, the National Center for Asphalt Technology and the unions and others to research and implement warm mix technology in

1 the U.S. 2 Why? Because we believe that asphalt fume and 3 its composition is driven by temperature. 4 vision: No fume equals no worker exposure. 5 This is perhaps the largest single challenge this group has undertaken. 6 7 What insights do we offer for taking research 8 to practice? It is possible. It is possible 9 to bring research into practice through 10 effective partnerships between government, 11 labor, industry and academia. The power of the 12 concept involves an unwavering commitment to a 13 set of core principles and values. 14 Facilitation knowledge and skills are 15 For that I would like to introduce important. 16 Don Elisburg, a long-time friend and I would 17 say the key to the success of these efforts. 18 Thank you very much as you approach your agenda 19 for the 21st century. 20 Thanks, Gary. I just wanted to MR. ELISBURG: 21 add a couple of notes to the process, but the -22 - I must say that one of the things that --23 having been engaged in this activity now for 24 about -- since the 1970 Act through the spring 25 of '70, I always think about how NIOSH came to

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be. And as I listened to Sid Soderholm's description and the charts and the detail of how you're going to the NORA process, I got to tell you that NIOSH was created in far less time than Sid took to explain the process.

Believe me, and I was there when we wrote it. It was one afternoon at a very interesting lunch.

But having said that, the other point that I want to make from my -- actually from listening and my perception of the last NORA, and perhaps having Dr. Howard as a captive audience and perhaps take these as my remarks, not necessarily NAPA's, but I think you have to look at what you're trying to do here with this NORA and not have the process become so ponderous that you can't get it done. firm believer in the KISS theory of making some of these things operate, which is, you know, Keep It Simple, Stupid. And I think that there is a value in this NORA program, but I think that also in the effort to include everybody in everything in every possible thing, you can sometimes get lost in the process. And I think it's important to keep your eye on what it is

to make it happen.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that was really what we did in connection with this Asphalt Partnership that Gary's been talking about, which is that we -- we had some views and there was some interest in getting some specific results. Some specific things to happen originally was to do with the engineering controls, and the focus was on what do we need to do to get these engineering controls in place now. Not in the process of a regulatory scheme in 15 years, what do we do And each of these items that we've been talking about -- and I think our colleague Travis from the Laborers will be talking about them, too -- when you begin to get to highway work zones, what could we do now. What is it that gives you a result that is not so far down the road that it becomes an abstract proposition. And I think that was the important part of what we learned in trying to put together the process. On the positive side for NIOSH, what we also found was that the NIOSH folks have been involved in this partnership with our people

and various groups have been extraordinarily --

25

extraordinarily good in being willing to listen to what the -- what the industry, what the academic people, what the union folks, everybody had to say, because many of them came into this process with their little piece of the research that was assigned to their little unit, and they couldn't -- you know, and they had those blinders on and I think taking the blinders off has been very, very helpful both to us and to the partnership process. think it was the ability to have everyone together was -- was what made this thing an important success. As a matter of fact, Dr. Howard's predecessor, Dr. Linda Rosenstock, commented in the course of accepting one of the -- we were finalists I guess in the Innovations in Government awards one year for -- is it Ford Foundation, et cetera -- made the point that this was one of these cases where you had to make sure that you were -- you were dealing -in the effort to get to perfect that you didn't keep the good from happening. And she thought this was one example of how you were able to get an important result in the process of understanding where you had to go with

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ultimately having something happen.

So with that, I will -- those were the only comments I wanted to make was that this was -this was an example. We want to keep pointing out these partnerships and why this has been successful. As you saw, the whole range, from going from fumes to going from warm mix is an important part of what we see as the success of this research to practice notion of NORA.

Thank you.

DR. BORRE: Please state your name and who you represent.

MR. THOMPSON: Good morning. My name is Michael Thompson. I'm a safety -- certified safety professional in comprehensive practice and I work for BP America as the health, safety, security, environment training advisor located in Houston, Texas. I am, however, here today in my capacity as the senior vice president for the American Society of Safety Engineers and a member of the Board of Directors representing ASSE's 30,000-member safety, health and environmental professionals. I'd like to say to Dr. Howard and Max Lum and Sid Soderholm, thank you and commend you and

NIOSH for your leadership and allowing this type of town hall to take place over the last three months, and I very much appreciate that on behalf of the 30,000 members of the American Society of Safety Engineers. Those involved in NORA for this proactive and unprecedented approach in advancing the safety and health research our members rely on every day to do their work is very much appreciated. We know that without an aggressive safety and health research agenda, designed for the future, our responsibility for managing workplace safety and health risks will become increasingly difficult.

Today is the third time that ASSE has testified at these town meetings, and we are hearing reports that members of ASSE have been sharing their ideas in each of the town meetings across the country, talking about how more research is needed to provide a better understanding of behavior-based safety, to the need for better anthropometric data for use in designing tools, equipment and workplaces, to the need for better stability calculations for small boats. The time and effort our members have given to

25

this process comes as no surprise to me, given the commitment to safety and health and the expertise and experience in virtually every industry that I've long-ago learned was the hallmark of the SH&E profession. Today I'd like to talk to you just briefly about several issues uniquely important to our members. First, professionalism in safety, health and environment profession and practice. One area of occupational safety and health research that ASSE believes has been wholly overlooked is the role the SH&E profession plays in advancing safety and health. However much NIOSH-led research may help in addressing specific risks, if employers do not have properly-trained and assigned SH&E professionals in the workplace, perhaps the most important component of achieving safe, healthier workplaces will have been missed. The time has come to advance research that will give the safety and health community and employers a better understanding of the professional preparation and accreditation needed for an SH&E professional to function appropriately as managers of workplace risks.

1 A key to this inquiry may be to help define 2 SH&E practice at various levels. This could 3 begin with job analysis research to help define 4 functions, tasks, knowledge and skills of the 5 SH&E professional by level of expertise and 6 responsibility. Quality SH&E professional 7 certification organizations like BCSP, ABIH and 8 IHMN already undertake this kind of analysis in 9 order to meet stringent accreditation 10 requirements. ASSE urges NIOSH to work with 11 these organizations to develop a comprehensive 12 understanding of tasks and capabilities 13 throughout the industries. 14 Such research then can provide a basis to help 15 examine other professional issues such as 16 appropriate levels of SH&E education and 17 training, the extent to which SH&E professional 18 segments have converged across traditional job 19 roles, and the role of technology on SH&E 20 practice. 21 Also, like many industries, SH&E is facing 22 growing concern over the graying of 23 practitioners and declining numbers in some 24 segments of the profession. A better 25 understanding of the availability and

distribution of SH&E professionals will help industries better plan for future needs.

Most important is a need for better understanding of the impact that SH&E professionalism has on health and safety performance. Employers especially deserve better information to understand fully the impact of their decisions on who has responsibility for SH&E management in a workplace.

A related issue is the need to help future academic leadership in safety. Only one Ph.D. program in safety exists today. If the safety profession is to continue to advance and meet the challenges of the future, finding ways to encourage more individuals to achieve the highest level of safety education will be necessary. Research to help determine how to achieve that is needed.

involving the standards community. Following - ASSE, following the lead of its ASSE
Foundation's Research Committee, we urge NIOSH
and NORA's agenda to better involve the
standards development community in research

The second issue I'd like to speak about is

25

efforts. Cooperation and involvement in the national consensus standards process will help ensure that NORA applied research findings become operational in the field. ASSE's more detailed comments which will be submitted for the record give specific -- a variety of ideas on how to achieve such an effort, including appointing standards committee officers to serve as co-chairs of the sector councils, and securing representation on the affected standards committees as active participants in liaison non-voting capacities. Voluntary consensus standards play an increasingly determinant role in company safety decisions, which safety and health research cannot overlook.

Finally my third comment, safety and health management, ASSE and the ASSE Foundation Research Committee are concerned that not enough research is being conducted to examine the importance of broad safety and health management in the corporate and -- structures of organizations. Our members in many companies believe that effective safety and health management programs reduce injuries and

illnesses and fatalities. Only NIOSH's leadership can bring forth definitive datadriven studies.

In conclusion let me say that ASSE commends NIOSH and those who have made the NORA series of town hall meetings today. ASSE's Research Foundation Committees and others look forward to working with NORA and NIOSH as they advance research to practice, and I very much appreciate the time and opportunities. Thank you.

DR. BORRE: Jennifer Schumann -- you can see the timekeeper down here? Okay.

MS. SCHUMANN: I see her. My name is Jenny Schumann and I represent the Coalition for Safe Community Needle Disposal. We're a non-profit organization dedicated to change the way people dispose of their used needles at home, so this is out of the traditional healthcare setting. On the behalf of the Coalition, we are requesting that NORA consider conducting a study to determine the rate of needle sticks in the environmental services industry, which includes waste workers, professional housekeepers, janitors and sewage treatment

25

cent -- workers. This study could also include other non-health industries, as well. that partnership is a big part of NORA and NIOSH, and the Coalition is already a partnership. We were formed a few years ago -two or three years ago by the CDC. It was the brain child of the CDC and we have worked with OSHA, EPA, we're currently working with CMS -those are some of the government agencies. also have representation from the healthcare associations like American Medical Association, American Diabetes Association -- I could go on and on with all of those -- and we're represented by the -- by the trash -- or the -trash, the waste industry, and we're represented by the other government agencies like the U.S. Conference of Mayors and National Association of City and County Health Officials.

Anyway, current estimates show that between eight and million (sic) Americans are injecting in their home, generating between two and three billion needles annually. Two-thirds of these people are injecting for medicinal purposes, ranging from arthritis to HIV to hepatitis to

24

25

diabetes. The remaining one-third we believe to be illicit drug users, so that's two-thirds of the population that we can actually get our hands around. The other third we're working now on those with syringe exchange programs. Unfortunately, the most common method of disposal for the household needle is the trash, a place that is becoming increasingly dangerous to environmental services workers, as well as the general public. Due to the nature of collection, waste collection waste workers are at risk for abrasions, cuts, small puncture wounds, wounds and industries on the -- or injuries on the job. Because of the speed and physical activity of their job, many waste workers don't even know if they've been stuck by a needle. Therefore, the number of needle sticks in the waste injury (sic) reports on the OSHA 300 log is potentially under-reported and an appropriate estimation would be difficult to make. And that's what we're often forced to is try to make an estimation of the number of needle sticks in the industry, and it's virtually impossible.

The hospitality industry, which includes

professional housekeepers or janitors -- those that clean hotels and motels, businesses, casinos, arenas, airports, restaurants -- often run across loose needles thrown directly into the garbage. We are especially concerned about these fresher needles for this -- for this group, and the potential for infectious disease carried on the needles and the high risk of transmission for housekeepers if accidentally stuck. Some hotel chains are starting to offer discreetly sharps containers for their -- for their guests, but those are often not used, as well, so the whole idea is to get them out of the waste stream and allow them not to be thrown directly in the garbage.

And finally, the sewage treatment facilities are still seeing a fair amount of needles being flushed down the toilet. These needles, like the waste industry, have to be hand-picked out of the whole process.

The problem of needle sticks injuries in household trash will continue to increase as our healthcare system continues to push medical treatment out of the hospital and back into the home. Four self-injecting drugs were

introduced in the past two years for relatively
common illnesses such as osteoporosis,
arthritis, psoriasis and HIV, so people are
injecting for HIV -- and again, hepatitis B and
C -- at home and throwing those needles in the

garbage.

We're sending a very unsettling message to environmental services workers and others by not requiring safer disposal laws for home injectors. The nation attempts to protect our environment from dangerous chemicals, oils, paints, et cetera, that -- with the household hazards waste program, but does allow -- continue to allow needles directly in the garbage.

Government agencies are beginning to treat the

-- see the threat of these in the trash. The

EPA wrote its recom-- rewrote its

recommendations on safe needle disposal in the
home in December 2004, so it does no longer

suggest throwing needles in the garbage. A

bill is currently -- currently in the House to
provide needle disposal coverage under Part D

of Medicare. And again, like I said, the CDC

was very instrumental in forming the Coalition.

24

25

So -- and we're also starting to see states move in that direction, so we are seeing the move and shift to getting it out of the garbage, but what is -- what is missing in all the piece is a needle stick study. And so the threat of needle sticks to our environment work -- service workers is real, and to get our hands around the issue we desperately need a study to determine at what rate these workers are being stuck. With the information that is collected from the study, we believe we are able to protect our workers and encourage changes in needle stick study. We believe a needle stick study is long overdue for waste industry and the environmental services industry.

DR. BORRE: Thank you very much, and we'll now have our next four speakers. Could I ask

Jackie Nowell, David Covarrubias, Seth Turner and Julia Storm -- again, if you could come up and sit in the front of the -- in the back of the podium there. When you come to the microphone please give your name and who you represent. David Covarrubias?

(No responses)

Okay, how about Travis Parsons. And if you have written comments, please remember to leave them.

MS. NOWELL: My name is Jackie Nowell. I work for the United Food and Commercial Workers
Union. The UFCW represents retail grocery store workers, meat packing and poultry workers, and many other workers in both manufacturing and service.

I wanted to talk specifically today about meat packing and poultry, and three big issues in those industries.

But may I just put in a plug for the retail grocery store folks, musculoskeletal disorders remain the primary injury that is suffered by these workers, especially in grocery.

The three issues are safety, line speed and immigrant workers. Meat packing and poultry remain some of the most hazardous industries in the U.S. The injuries include amputations, strains and sprains, lacerations, hearing loss; slips, trips and falls; chemical exposures, and MSDs -- musculoskeletal disorders -- again remain the number one injury suffered in those industries.

A brief history, this industry was targeted by OSHA back in the mid-'80s right up into the early '90s. A tremendous amount has been done in the industry on this issue of MSDs -- new equipment, new design of the lines -- really revolutionary, some of the design -- replacing workers with equipment that's drastically helped this. However, again, they still remain number one and so the issue of line speed, which I'll talk about in a minute, we believe comes into that.

The injuries are caused by dangerous equipment. They're dealing with live animals, very sharp knives and machinery, slippery floors from fat, grease, water, and a numbing pace of work and line speed.

When I go out and talk to stewards, the folks in the workplace that are responsible for all sorts of things including maintenance of the contract, but also safety, they will tell me that the number one hazard in these plants today is line speed. There have been two report— in 2005 there were two reports, one by the GAO and one by Human Rights Watch. Both of those, independently, came up with line speed

as a huge issue that needed research, and they
actually recommended that NIOSH do that
research.

Another part of this industry are the cleaning

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Another part of this industry are the cleaning crews that go in at night. These fall right through the cracks in terms of statistics because they're not working for a meat company; they're working for a cleaning company, and that's a really bad SIC industry code because it includes cleaning, you know, an office building. So try to compare cleaning with 180 degree water at a very fast pace because you've got to have that plant clean by morning to have the animals be coming in to be slaughtered. Many of these workers are immigrant workers. Most of them, as a matter of fact, we're finding out. They're not covered by unions. They're almost -- virtually impossible to organize.

The GAO report in addition found that there's a standard sitting at OSHA around payment for personal protective equipment that impacts these workers -- low-wage workers and immigrant workers -- disproportionately, that in more sophisticated injuries personal protective

equipment is paid for, but you don't find that in these kind of -- well, not -- not as -- that meat packing is underground, but sort of the -- what is that called, the -- the sector that's sort of -- oh, shoot, what is that -- what is the word that I'm looking for?

UNIDENTIFIED: (Off microphone)

(Unintelligible)

MS. NOWELL: Yeah, something like that -informal, there it is -- informal sector. The
third point being -- and they also found underreporting of injuries.

My third point, immigrant workers, they are the majority in most of these plants, many of these plants, especially the large ones. Both of the reports found exploitation of these workers because of their lack of English, because of their lack of knowledge of U.S. laws, and because of their perhaps lack of legal documents. They found discrimination of these workers. So my -- our recommendations are that NIOSH do research on line speed. I know that they're looking into that now, and -- and the contribution that it's having to injuries, and that there be a special emphasis on

1 immigrant workers.

In terms of partnership, you have to have a willing industry to partner with, so I give you my blessing for finding that. Thanks.

MR. TURNER: Where do I look for the heavy?
Good morning, I'm Seth Turner. I'm the senior
director of public policy for the Association
for Career and Technical Education. ACTE is
the voice for roughly 30,000 CTE teachers,
school administrators, guidance counselors and
school principals across the country. ACTE and
NIOSH have -- have a shared concern about young
workers, and have participated in many ways for
many years to improve occupational safety and
health for young student workers. We look
forward to our continued partnership for years
to come.

The occupational industry's problem for young student workers in the United States is a very serious problem. The 2003 NIOSH alert publication indicated that 70 to 80 percent of teens have worked during their high school years, and the Bureau of Labor Statistics reported in 2000 that 2.9 million students between the ages of 15 and 17 worked during the

1 school year, and 4 million students in the same 2 age bracket worked during the summer. U.S. 3 students work at service jobs such as cashiers, 4 gas station attendants, cosmetology assistants 5 and entertainment and recreation industry, health services, in restaurants, in retail 6 stores, grocery stores, manufacturing, 7 8 agriculture and in construction. The problems 9 we face with young workers are lack of 10 awareness, experience, training and risk-taking 11 behavior which often results in industries --12 I'm sorry, in injuries. NIOSH estimates that each year in the U.S. 13 14 240,000 adolescent workers suffer work-related 15 injuries; 77 require treatment in hospital 16 emergency rooms, and unfortunately 70 student 17 workers each year because of their work-related 18 injuries. That's one occupational death every 19 five days. In addition, an additional 100 20 teenagers die while working on farms every year. The direct and indirect costs of these 21 injuries amounts to approximately \$5 billion 22 23 annually. 24 To address these issues and reduce occupational 25 injuries, NIOSH has been involved in

25

1

occupational safety and health for years and has disseminated safety and health information to reduce the injuries of young workers. I'd just like to summarize some ways that NIOSH and ACTE have collaborated over the years to address this problem. For years NIOSH and ACTE have worked to raise the awareness of occupational safety and health in schools, to promote a safe and healthy workplace, and to reduce injuries. NIOSH and ACTE cosponsored seven times in the last nine years the National Safety Competition and award for educators in career and technical schools. For safety -the safety competition has been advertised in ACTE's technique magazine and on its web site, and NIOSH has promoted the competition on its web site over the last few years. Additionally, NIOSH has been presenting the safety award to the winning teacher at ACTE's national policy seminar's power breakfast in Washington, D.C. This year for the first time NIOSH also sponsored an exhibit booth at the national policy seminar. For the last ten years NIOSH has been invited to bring a NIOSH safety update during a one-hour session during

25

1

ACTE's annual convention, and for several years NIOSH has participated at ACTE's annual convention with an exhibit booth -- with an exhibit booth that disseminated publications. ACTE has also helped during that event by selling NIOSH publications at its bookstore. Some things that we can do to continue the partnership. ACTE has a trusted educational network of community-based training programs conducted and recognized educational institutions which place their students in predominantly local, small to medium-sized business enterprises. This trusted source of training and education is an ideal environment for better characterizing the need for safety training, and could serve as an important link in outreach to the small business community. Young workers are at risk if not properly trained. ACTE could provide an important partner in developing realistic curricula and case studies and assisting and evaluating the effectiveness of outreach in training activities. It could serve as an important community-based resource.

Lastly ACTE hopes to work with NIOSH to

rejuvenate and expand the teacher safety awards as a model for other educational organizations and institutions.

> I'd like to take this final opportunity to thank NIOSH for inviting me to make these brief remarks today. Further, I'd like to commend it for its longstanding commitment to the health and safety of young student workers. appreciates your dedication and welcomes our continued partnerships for years to come. Thank you.

DR. BORRE: Julia Storm.

MS. STORM: Good morning. I'm Julia Storm. I'm a cooperative extension specialist at North Carolina State University, and I'm responsible for agricultural health and safety, education and outreach.

I'd like to make some recommendations for the agricultural sector. First, I think we need to better characterize what health and safety practices are being practiced currently in What are the barriers to those agriculture. that are not being practiced, and what could be some economic or other incentives for adopting and sustaining good health and safety practices

13

14

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

in agriculture.

Secondly -- and just for an example, we have some information coming out of the agricultural health study about this. We know that in North Carolina among farmer pesticide applicators the use of chemically-resistant gloves doubled in the ten years between the mid-1980s and the mid-1990s. So it would be good if we had those kinds of measures for all kinds of -- the whole -- the whole gamut of health and safety practices.

Secondly, I think that NIOSH should continue to capitalize and further capitalize on the opportunity to collaborate with the agricultural health study. This is a large ongoing comprehensive long-term health study of farmers and farm families in North Carolina and Iowa. I know there's currently some collaborative research going on there, but I think that's a great opportunity that should be followed up on, particularly with research that bridges toxicology and epidemiology to further characterize the chronic health issues that are associated with pesticide exposure. This would help in identifying susceptible populations and

those gene/environment interactions that may be going on with chronic health issues associated with pesticide exposure.

Thirdly, I think that we need to better characterize and learn more about the actual pesticide exposure of farm workers in a variety of field situations. I know there's been some great work in the northwest in agriculture in identifying what is going on with pesticide exposure in field work, and also, along with that, identifying practical interventions that will reduce exposure where needed and protect workers.

Fourth, I think that there's some recent initiatives that have taken place to -- as consensus and stakeholder processes in the agricultural sector that should inform the NORA, and I've brought two of them here.

They're published in 2003. One is the National Land Grant Research and Extension Agenda for Agricultural Safety and Health. That was prepared by a committee on agricultural safety and health research and extension. And also a very thorough consensus process also documented in 2003 by -- edited by Petrie using history

1 and accomplishments to plan for the future, a 2 summary of 15 years in agricultural safety and 3 health and action steps for future directions. 4 This is -- a tremendous amount of input went 5 into this particular document and I think NORA could do well by -- by utilizing that 6 7 information. 8 Finally, I think it would be really helpful for 9 NORA to be in a format similar to the healthy -10 - or at least an aspect of NORA be in the 11 format of the Healthy People 2010 goals and 12 objectives for each industry sector. We need 13 to establish targets that we like to meet, to 14 measure our progress, and then ongoingly (sic) identify the research, intervention and 15 16 outreach and education gaps. 17 Since I have one more minute I'm going to throw 18 in a sixth recommendation. The other would be 19 to do some more study in factors affecting the 20 access to and benefits of preventive 21 occupational health and safety services for 22 agriculture, as well as emergency services for 23 farmers and farm workers. 24 Thank you very much for the opportunity to 25 comment.

DR. BORRE: Travis Parsons?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PARSONS: Good morning, and how is everybody out there this morning? My name is Travis Parsons. I represent the Laborers Health and Safety Fund. I am the senior safety and health specialist for the Fund. represent Laborers International Union, over 800,000 workers all over North America. We do health and safety services for them. Everything -- we're predominantly construction workers, which is your heavy highway workers, your building construction, about 600,000 of our membership is construction work. We also represent public employees, which represents another 200,000 or so, and that is everything under the sun, so you know, again, through other construction workers to janitors to maintenance workers to everything. So that's what we represent. At our annual conference we had about -- I guess it was about three weeks ago, we had a very similar thing to this -- this workshop right here. We actually had a round table discussion with NIOSH's assistance at the

conference, and what I'm going to do today is

1 just summarize the things that came out of that 2 meeting, with a couple of other things, so --3 try to be brief. I could go on forever, but... 4 One of the big -- two-est (sic) big things that 5 came out that we think there needs to be research in is more research in demolition 6 7 industry when it comes down from 8 (unintelligible) -- there's not a whole lot of 9 stuff out there when it comes to demolition and 10 it's a very dangerous work, very dangerous 11 work. There's going to be more of it in the 12 metropolitan areas coming up. 13 Also night work on the highways 14 (unintelligible). Night work is increasing, 15 especially in the metropolitan areas, and we 16 need more research in that. Is it more 17 dangerous? Of course, we think it inherently 18 is because it's at night and the drivers at 19 night are sometimes more dangerous but really 20 is it and why are we doing night work? 21 research needs to be done? 22 And that alludes to work zones in general. 23 workers are always concerned about working on the work zones and a lot of our workers work on 24 25 the work zones and that alludes to also, which

was discussed earlier -- earlier by Don and Gary about the partnerships with NIOSH's assistance and OSHA's assistance. Partnerships are very, very important and we definitely need to continue those and build on the successes that we've had. They spoke very eloquently earlier about the highway work zone lines so I'm not going to talk about that. We don't need to reiterate what they said, but we just need to continue those efforts. Other areas of research that we see a need for is Hispanic and other non-English-speaking workers. It's increasing in our country, as we know, especially in the Hispanic population, especially in major cities. What differences do they have? Do they understand the rights? Do they have health and safety rights? Do they know that? What differences do they have in the workforce? Do -- are -- is that a concern to them? How do we get through to them? That's a big, big problem within our organization so it's -- Hispanic is the main one, and other non-English-speaking. Let's talk a little bit about training --

1 a whole lot of health and safety training out 2 there now as -- that exists. But what really 3 works? How do we impact our workers and how do 4 we impact our workforce? Does the existing 5 training really work? So I think there needs to be some research on the evaluation of 6 7 current training methods, especially for adult 8 learning. You know, adult -- the attention 9 span for an adult is about an hour, I think, so 10 after -- you know, what training are we doing 11 and does it currently work and what can we do 12 as far as new training. And then there's some oldies but goodies. 13 14 Noise is always a concern, silica, musculoskeletal disorders, falls -- the number 15 16 one killer out there on our buildings and in 17 all this trenching excavation. I think every 18 time I pick up the paper somebody's died in a 19 trench accidents, so that's also another 20 important area of research. 21 And then to -- I'm going to be quick so -- to finalize things, in the end, does safety pay? 22 23 And in this room -- everybody in this room, we 24 all believe safety pays. How can we prove to 25 our contractors, how can we prove to our

1 owners, how can we prove to our workers that 2 safety pays? So research to prove how safety 3 pays, how does it affect the bottom line? 4 does it decrease your worker comp fees? 5 there incentives to having a safe workforce? And I have one minute left, so I actually 6 finished early, so thank you for your time and 7 8 I will answer any questions afterwards. 9 DR. BORRE: Because you do have one minute 10 left, does anyone have a question -- a quick 11 question? 12 (No responses) 13 Okay. If the group would like to have a little 14 break right now, you could take five minutes. 15 We have two speakers who did not speak from 16 this first section and they will be given the 17 section next time. There are restrooms right 18 outside the door, and you're welcome to take a 19 break out in the hall around these doors. 20 back in (inaudible). 21 (Whereupon, a recess was taken from 10:30 a.m. 22 to 10:40 a.m.) 23 DR. BORRE: I'd like to call David Covarrubias. 24 Is David here?

(No responses)

25

1	Michael Rybolt? Anna Gilmore Hall and Shelly
2	Heath-Watson.
3	UNIDENTIFIED: (Off microphone)
4	(Unintelligible) timekeeper (unintelligible).
5	DR. BORRE: Okay. I'm going to wait a second
6	for our timekeeper to return.
7	UNIDENTIFIED: (Off microphone) We can start
8	(unintelligible).
9	DR. BORRE: Okay, can we ring it?
10	UNIDENTIFIED: (Off microphone)
11	(Unintelligible)
12	DR. BORRE: Okay. Shelly Heath-Watson? You
13	want to you're okay. Michael Rybolt and
14	Shelly Heath-Watson, Anna Gilmore Hall is
15	Anna here?
16	UNIDENTIFIED: (Off microphone)
17	(Unintelligible)
18	DR. BORRE: Okay. Daniel Drobnich? Sylvia
19	Johnson? Brad Boler (ph.) if I've
20	mispronounced your name, please correct me.
21	MR. BOEHLER: (Off microphone) Beeler (ph.).
22	DR. BORRE: Boehler, Brad Boehler. Michael.
23	MR. RYBOLT: Good morning. My name is Michael
24	Rybolt. I'm the scientific and regulatory
25	affairs manager for the National Turkey

Federation. I'm here today representing the poultry industry Worker Safety and Health Committee, which is a joint committee between the National Chicken Council and the National Turkey Federation. The committee -- National Turkey Federation represents 99 percent of the turkey industry, and I believe the National Chicken Council represents about 96 percent of the broiler industry. Our joint Worker Safety and Health Committee includes representatives from each one of the companies. They're responsible for worker safety and health. Some are HR people, as well.

During our recent annual convention we had our joint meeting down in Orlando, and the joint committee decided to provide some research priorities to NORA. The poultry industry Worker Safety and Health Committee requests that NIOSH adopt the following three resear-- or the following priorities for the national research agenda. I was asked to present only on one issue, which you see on your agenda is chloramines. This same presentation was given at the town hall meeting in Ohio recently. The committee asked me to discuss chloramines with

you today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

During the annual convention back in February of this year approximately 50 percent of the companies attending reported that they had experienced a chloramine issue. Given the high percentage, it is likely that others in attendance have also experienced employee complaints about chloramine exposure, but have failed to segregate it -- the specifics of the exposure from the traditional chlorine usage. Chloramines naturally result when chlorine -chlorinated water, which is commonly used in the meat industry -- poultry industry, too -to sanitize our products and equipments. chlorine in the water becomes impregnated with The source of ammonia can either be ammonia. from the biological debris that comes in on the products, or it can -- unfortunately, sometimes we have ammonia leaks that may drip into our chlorinated water supply, and then you have the chloramine formed. Ammonia has a great affinity for water and will therefore typically stay in solution. However, when it does combine, it -- when it is introduced into chlorinated water, they will combine and it

1 will gas off.

The research priorities that were identified were that we do not currently have the physical means to measure chlo-- chloramine levels in the air. When we suspect exposures of chlor-expect exposures when employees report significant irritation, yet when we go out in the plant and monitor for our chlorine and our ammonia levels, our indicators are -- there's no issue or there's no significant levels. Permissible exposure levels, threshold limit values, et cetera, have not been defined so we don't know what, if any, level is injurious to the employees. And also that the degree of the problem within the industry is not understood. And that's what the Committee -- our chairman asked me to come and present to you today. would like to note that the -- the Joint Committee had recently, in January of '05, signed into a OSHA alliance, similar to some of the other industries out there. I did want to highlight that and to also mention the chlorine issue within the industry. Told you I wouldn't take five minutes. Thank

you.

2

3

4

5

DR. BORRE: Thank you very much. We have Shelly Heath-Watson.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HEATH-WATSON: Good morning. My name is Shelly Heath-Watson and I work with ORC (unintelligible) International and I am representing the National Eye Institute this morning, and I have the pleasure of speaking with you about Healthy Vision Month in the partnership that we have with NIOSH for Healthy Vision Month. Healthy Vision Month occurs each May. This will be our fourth observance for the National Eye Institute and the national eye health education program. And what the -- what Healthy Vision Month tries to do is each -each year it focuses on a different one of the ten vision objectives in Healthy People 2010, and tries to take what we know the research is telling us about the various eye conditions and eye disease and translate those into community outreach efforts, public health campaign messages and programs.

And this coming May, May 2006, our focus is on reducing occupational eye injury, and that's a completely new area for the National Eye Institute. NEI had not done any work in that

4 5

3

6

7

8

10

1112

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

area previously for its education programs, and so it reached out to NIOSH to partner and they jumped on board willingly and wholeheartedly.

And because of NIOSH's participation and collaboration with us, we're very excited about the kinds of things that we've come up with for Healthy Vision Month 2006.

NIOSH came on as a cosponsor of the month. so doing, it helped to form the direction of our campaign for this year, including the tag line in the slogan and the materials that were created and the content of those materials. by virtue of this relationship, NIOSH has added credibility as far as being the expert in this area, and has extended the reach of Healthy Vision Month because not only do we have NEI's dissemination networks, we also have access to NIOSH's. And as far as making our voice louder, because we're saying the same thing and we're sharing the same messages, our tag line or our theme for this year -- as I said, for May -- is "Eye Safety at Work is Everyone's Business. Prevent Injury. Use Protective Eyewear."

About 2,000 workers are injured each year --

3

45

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

excuse me, each day. We see eye injuries that require some kind of medical treatment, and so we're trying to get this word out and we're trying to let employees and employers know what they can do to have a safer healthy work site and environment.

The kinds of materials and resources that we developed -- and I say we, NEI, NIOSH, the National Safety Council also came on as a cosponsor, the American Association of Occupational Health Nurses came on as collaborators, and so have all had a hand in the content and the direction for the Month. The kinds of things we've come up with are promotional work site materials, posters, event posters. We've created a PowerPoint presentation for use of -- by employers or can be a self-guided work module for employees, just to give them ideas of what can -- they can do to make their work site safer, what they can do to protect their vision and that of their employees or their coworkers. We have magnets, we've got stickers, we will be sending out a monthly e-bulletin and in the e-bulletin it has links to more resources, either on the NEI

25

site, the NIOSH site, the National Safety Council site. And so really looking to extend the reach of our collective voices, we're definitely making more of an impact working together than we could have done individually. What else can I share with you -- the kinds of materials that we've produced and why they are for May, we've made them evergreen so that they can be used in the work sites year 'round. They're available to the public for no cost. If you come to the NEI web site you can order those materials. And so we're just encouraging people to try and help get the word out through their various -- their various sources. And so special thinks to the NIOSH team that worked with us. It was Max Lum's office. worked very closely with Fred Blosser and Christy Bowles. Dr. Larry Jackson was incredible; and from the National Safety Council with Elizabeth Wilson; and Bruce Lloyd from the American Association of Occupational Health Nurses. There's much, much more I can share with you about Healthy Vision Month. The site went live -- I want to say last week. have sample materials with me. I can put them

out in the front if you're interested in seeing them.

> But I'd like to extend a thank you again for the invitation just to share with you briefly about Healthy Vision Month and all that NIOSH is doing and will continue to do to make eye health and safety a national priority. Thank you.

DR. BORRE: Sylvia Johnson.

MS. JOHNSON: Good morning. Before I get started, I'd like to apologize for a technical error here on the sheet. I will actually not be talking about OSHA this morning, but I will be talking about the occupational research health agenda for manufacturing for the next decade.

Again, my name is Sylvia Johnson and I'm working -- I represent the United Auto Workers, and we represent several entities within our organization. We do -- we represent workers who work in the manufacturing sector. represent nurses, state employees, public employees, and so we don't just represent auto workers, but specifically today I will be talking about manufacturing and the

1

2

3

4

10

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

occupational research needs for manufacturing. Let me first say that on behalf of the UAW we thank you for the opportunity to voice our concerns and make recommendations on the future of occupational health and safety research over the next decade. The UAW has always supported NIOSH in its efforts to protect workers against hazards.

Having spent five of the last seven years of my career working as an occupational epidemiologist for the UAW, I've seen first-hand the devastation some occupational hazards have caused workers. On the other hand, I've also seen first-hand how concerted efforts between government, unions, academia and corporations benefit worker safety and health programs.

I want to speak about the priorities for occupational safety and health research in the manufacturing sector based on the experiences of the UAW at the national and local levels. Without a doubt, the UAW put our -- we've put our money where our mouth is in support of research. We negotiated jointly-administered research funds from General Motors, Ford and

1 Chrysler starting in 1984. Millions of dollars 2 have been spent and around 100 publications 3 have come out of this research. We also launched smaller efforts at International 4 5 Truck, NUMMI and other locations. In our view, the most important goal of 6 7 research is identifying gaps in protections, 8 meaning situations where workers are getting 9 sick or injured under current conditions. 10 can be because an exposure permitted by 11 standards is making people sick. 12 epidemiologist who frequently made visits to 13 the plant floor, I can't tell you how often 14 workers complained of their eyes burning, 15 headaches, skin irritations, and then the 16 industrial hygienist would come and do an 17 assessment and conclude that the exposures were 18 within the OSHA standard. This clearly 19 suggests that many of these standards need to 20 be lowered. 21 Health effects research, including injuries, is 22 the most important thing that NIOSH can do, and 23 is something that only NIOSH will do. Industry 24 only pays for health effects research after 25 some other investigator has found a problem and industry is convinced it will make a cost go away.

Sometimes there is a gap in protections because the method of controlling exposures is not known, or a more efficient method of controlling exposure is needed. But this is much less a priority than showing an exposure is causing people to get sick or injured. So here are the issues that we need NIOSH and other agencies, academics and management to address.

First, we know that workers who work in machining plants, foundries and even in vehicle assembly plants are still dying early from cancer and respiratory diseases. We need to know more about whether there are risks from these chemicals at current exposure levels.

Second, ergonomics still cause half of all injuries in our workplaces. We need to know how much exposure is too much exposure.

Third, we've learned that severe and fatal injuries are concentrated among skilled workers doing maintenance and repair work. We need to understand better how to measure the exposure and job characteristics that cause these

1 fatalities.

Fourth, we need to know more about the respiratory health effects of fine and ultrafine particles.

And finally, we need to measure work-related stress, including the stress of working in pain from ergonomic injuries, which we believe causes high blood pressure and mental illness.

Again, thank you for the opportunity today.

The UAW looks forward to continuing our working relationship with NIOSH in improving the lives of America's workforce. Thank you.

DR. BORRE: Brad --

MR. BOEHLER: Boehler.

DR. BORRE: -- Boehler, Brad Boehler.

MR. BOEHLER: Thank you. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. I'm very pleased -- my name is Brad Boehler and I'm very pleased to be here -- invited this morning to speak to you a little bit about the need for further corroborative research between the aerial work platform industry and NIOSH. I'm the director for product safety for Skyjack, a producer of aerial work lifts, and probably the largest manufacturer of scissor lifts in the world

today. As such I'm a member of various standards organizations such as the ANSI Committee A-92 for Aerial Platforms, the CSA B-354 Elevating Work Platform Technical Committee, and internationally the ISO Technical Committee 214 for Elevating Work Platforms. I'm also a contributing member of various industry organizations such as the International Powered Access Federation and the Aerial Work Platform Training Organization. And as a manufacturer, I guess I'm feeling a little lonely here so far today, but I thought I would come.

Studies of accident data, some of which were done by Michael McCann from the Center to Protect Workers Rights, indicate that aerial lifts are associated with nearly four percent of construction-related deaths in that time period, and many more injuries. Aerial work platforms are designed and produced as tools to put workers and their materials at elevation in order to perform tasks. Now placing people at elevation, regardless of the method, is an inherently dangerous task and ultimately a great responsibility. I believe today that any

manufacturers of aerial work platforms are aware of this responsibility to safeguard the user, and these producers are actually pursuing methods in order to ensure that the machinery they create is practical and safe for use.

However, although this is extremely important, the design and manufacture of the lifts is just the first step in protecting the worker using this equipment. For a worker about to be placed at elevation, many other factors are involved in the safe completion of their assigned tasks.

Their lifting equipment must be the proper type for job site conditions, and it must be able to travel and elevate on that particular job site terrain, and it also must be of sufficient elevating height and load-carrying capacity for the task. The equipment must be properly maintained and ready for safe use, as well. Unfortunately, regular maintenance is not always a priority on many job sites, and in fact in some cases safety devices are deliberately overridden as they are deemed to hinder productivity. A proper pre-use inspection could eliminate many poorly-

maintained lifts from immediate service. And finally, the operator must be properly trained. I can't emphasize enough the training requirement. A properly trained operator is able to ensure that the equipment that they are about to use is truly safe for use and in a safe state of repair, that it is the appropriate tool for the task that they have been assigned, and that the surrounding environment is indeed acceptable for safe use of that lift. With complete and competent training, I believe an operator will understand that staying within those accepted limits will help to ensure that they go home uninjured that evening.

Skyjack and I have entered into a collaborative effort with NIOSH previously. Dr. Christopher Penn and his team in Morgantown, West Virginia are working on a project entitled "Fall Prevention for Aerial Lifts in the Construction Industry" and have thus far completed physical testing of a scissor lift and found that for the most part -- or actually for all parts, that it does exceed the requirements as set out in the ANSI standards for stability. They've

also done human factors subject testing to determine the forces that may be imparted by a human being on that platform, and as well that testing's preliminary data seems to indicate that that is close to the 100 pounds as set out in the ANSI standard as well.

This collaboration has been a great benefit to both these -- manufacturer, myself, the scientific community and the industry as a whole, and I will endorse and support the continuation of this initiative in any way I can.

How can NIOSH continue to help the aerial work platform industry create the safest at-height work environment for workers? Well, the current project needs to continue, and will be used to ensure that the virtual lift -- or I'm sorry -- they're going to create a computer simulation to ensure that the virtual lift matches their physical data that they have found. They will then test that virtual lift in many different scenarios to determine what the limits of use may be.

As well, just to talk a little bit about what Travis said with regards to operator training,

I would like to see that NIOSH could possibly evaluate and -- the requirements and effectiveness of operator training in the future. As well there is fall protection questions based on some issues in the OSHA regulations that are not quite clear to all professionals in the industry, and there are varying -- varying opinions on what type of fall protection is required. So certainly that would be another research topic that could be undertaken.

In conclusion, my personal goal is to ensure the safe work of aerial work platforms. There are a variety of different approaches to pursue and achieve this, and I feel that one of the best is having the brightest research investigators various methods of mitigating these hazards associated with elevating personnel. Skyjack and the aerial work platform industry will cooperate and collaborate with NIOSH whenever possible to pursue this goal. Ultimately I believe education and elevation will create a safer workplace for performing tasks at height using aerial work platforms. Thank you for your kind

1 attention. 2 DR. BORRE: Thank you very much. 3 I'm going to call the next group of people, but 4 I am going to ask one more time for David 5 Covarrubias. 6 (No responses) 7 Okay. Martin Cherniak, Anna Gilmore Hall, 8 Darryl Drobnich and Mary Lamielle. Mary 9 Lamielle? Anna Gilmore Hall? James Repace? 10 Steven Trippel? Mary Ann Latko. 11 We'll begin with Martin Cherniak. 12 MR. CHERNIAK: My name's Martin Cherniak. 13 a professor of medicine at the University of 14 Connecticut Health Center and I'll be talking 15 principally from the point of view of an 16 academic investigator, which is mostly what I 17 do. 18 You know, I started out at NIOSH 25 years ago. 19 Marilyn remembers 'cause we were in the same 20 BIS class in 1981. And it was simpler in those 21 days. We had -- for a variety of reasons, the 22 labor markets were stable, they were --23 sponsorship was much more clear-cut, we had 24 stable product categories and industries, and 25 we had a couple of vehicles which were really

gold standards. One of them was the Cohort
Mortality Study, primarily geared towards
cancer investigation, and the second was the
Single Agent Classical Lab Toxicology Study,
and nobody's talking about those today.

Now that gives me one lesson, that you have to
be very wary when you're presenting an agenda
and presenting a list. You know, political
culture, research organizations, budgets,
professional training priorities, they have a
curious habit of upsetting lists and
(unintelligible) disrespectful of tradition, so
I'm not going to advise NIOSH on the ten things
it should do because they won't have any
meaning in five years.

But I do want to say -- talk about a couple of things which I think are important. One of them is that one of the strengths and the weaknesses of this field, and particularly one that NIOSH has encountered, is that in many ways we deal with -- in a multi-disciplinary field. It's evident in the study sections and our advisory panels. People come from a variety of different sectors with -- with cross-lapping concerns.

24

25

On the other hand, much of the research community is moving in a direction that's cross-disciplinary, which is to say that there's a great deal of detail and sophistication within subsets of fields which then integrate. This is a conceptual problem, and it's one that NIOSH is going to have to work through. And the reason that it's going to have to work through is that I really do believe that in this climate of very restrictive budgets and limiting resources, there nevertheless are many, many opportunities and it really has in a lot of ways to do with the -- what is a large breadth of investigative talent in this country and an inadequacy of investigative funds. And that's a combination which, with the right expression and the right conceptual platform, can actually work well to the effect of the -- positive effect of the institution. Now I want to give a couple of examples,

Now I want to give a couple of examples,
particularly in terms of what I know are
priorities here, which are research to practice
and intervention. I basically direct something
called the Ergonomics Technologies Center,

25

which is largely a sound and vibration laboratory with biomechanics, and we deal primarily with physical hazards in this aspect of our work. There are other aspects of our work. If we look at the field of vibration, for example, hand/arm vibration -- which was an area NIOSH was involved in some years ago -- I can legitimately say that the physiologic and physical science understanding are sufficient that this is a historical problem we can well eliminate. We can eliminate it with engineering and we can eliminate principally with issues around design. But it's not happening here, and I think we have some lessons in terms of where it is happening. And although it's not always popular, if we turn to our European colleagues we can see the way that they've dealt with this problem through the European community which is on a multi-national, multi-centric consortium basis with very clear goals, very clear directions, and a lot of attention to the organization of the process and its time scales. We've done it a bit here and NIOSH has with the musculoskeletal disease consortium, but that's

only one start. And I think it requires, again, a different kind of platform than what we have.

This is also motivated by the issue of concrete problems that are large-scale problems that require cross-disciplinary work and -- and a concentration of resources which just can't be dispelled indifferently.

A second area I would raise is on physical acoustics. A number of people have talked in terms of sectors, particularly construction and mining, about problems of hearing loss. Many of you know there's been significant development in the field of physical acoustics and sound cancellation and moving away from bulky headsets to earpieces, and levels of integrating both the environment and personal protection, which are quite different from the way we've approached this in the past, and they can be effective while maintaining communications. Again, strong basis in physical sciences.

But occupational health is not the field that's making the contributions to these areas. We see it in other research areas, but it doesn't

particularly cross over very well. We also see other institutions that are funding that research, and I have to say not always so effectively, largely in the military. But again, the platforms are there. They just -- doesn't necessarily spread in its current --

current milieu.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

With that, I would say -- I know NIOSH has not used extensively and NORA has not used extensively the SBIR and STTR mechanism, but I'm not sure they are the best mechanism for much of this kind of work. This is a much longer discussion -- or maybe it's a shorter discussion than detailed about what might be the right mechanism, but I think if we look at the areas where they have not worked, what we can find is in fact a very different area. And finally -- I see the fist, so I want to talk about one area which I must do -- I'm sorry, but so many people have canceled you have to give me two more minutes and -- and that really is in an area that we don't do particularly well in this field and that is on healthcare and medical utilization. there's been a number of discussions of the

area but I want to mention just a few points that are important.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If we look at what's occurring within states in the insurance industry, we realize there's a merger going on, at least conceptually, of what would be called the workers compensation and the group health products. If you remove the term "products" what you can see is that there's a very different way of defining the field and a recognition that there is in fact a continuum, particularly with many degenerative diseases and the effects of disease on -- on performance, function and so forth. Now one of the areas where I think we have failed badly is on the area of performance, and I would say medical performance within work sector. There's enough data to suggest that -that treatments and the approaches that are taken towards the working population vary by sector and vary by region in ways that have nothing to do with disease, or if they do it's rather coincidental. Some of you are probably familiar with the work coming out of Dartmouth and Winbird's work on small -- local analysis

and regional analysis. But if we're looking

for huge effects in this society and huge risks which are addressed in rather erratic ways, healthcare utilization is one. And I'm not talking necessarily about coronary artery disease and processes, but I am talking about joints, musculoskeletal disease and many other areas where we see massive differences. And simply talking about practice guidelines or simply talking about a very high-risk sector is not adequate because in fact we see these massive differentials in the limited studies that have been done, and we don't have the information base. I think it's an important area which ARC and other agencies would be well interested in.

And finally as I sit down I just want to comment about one area that's been particularly bugging us, we do work in high frequency vibration. We do a lot of work on dental tools, medical instruments and so forth.

There's several groups around the world (unintelligible) some very important and potentially consequential and poten— and certainly controllable effects from high frequency vibration. We've gone to the various

24

25

institutes, like the Dental Institute, and the response we always get is that's what NIOSH does.

Now the medical institutes will deal with -with their workforces. You know, they deal with the aging of the healthcare population. They'll deal with the replacement of healthcare population. They'll deal with the inability to attract people into the -- into programs and into jobs. But they don't deal with many of the health problems which are intrinsic in those occupations -- except perhaps for backs. And I want to say simply that I think there's openness to it, but they really -- it's just something they just haven't conceptualized. that would be my other recommendation by sector, that there are other institutes that have their own germane workforces that NIOSH could approach. And thank you.

Dr. BORRE: Thank you, Dr. Cherniak. Darryl Drobnich.

MR. DROBNICH: Good morning. My name is Darryl Drobnich. I'm senior director of government affairs and programs for the National Sleep Foundation. Yes, there is such an entity in

Washington, D.C. that's called -- called as the National Sleep Foundation. We're a non-profit organization. We're dedicated to raising awareness about sleep, sleep disorders and the consequences of fatigue. Most of the -- two of the most important of that being drowsy driving and workplace accidents. Eighty percent of what we do is public education. We also fund some post-doctoral research fellows and do advocacy around the issues of drowsy driving, school start times for adolescents and workplace education.

What I'd like to talk to you today about is I guess a cross-cutting issue. First of all I'd like to thank NORA for allowing us this opportunity to add some input. But we think sleep and fatigue is a cross-cutting issue and that a third of us -- we spend -- or all of us spend a third of our lives sleeping. And sleep and the loss of sleep has a tremendous impact on how we live, think and function during the other two-thirds of our day. Sleepiness affects vigilance, reaction times, learning abilities, alertness, mood, hand-eye coordination and accuracy of short-term memory,

all skills that we need on the job, obviously, as well as in other parts of our lives.

According to the National Commission on Sleep Disorders research, approximately 50 million Americans suffer from more than 80 different types of sleep disorders, and another 20 to 30 million suffer intermittent problems that are related to pain, stress, anxiety, depression and other ailments each year. Sleep-related disorders affect members of every race, socioeconomic class, and of all ages and genders, obviously.

Sleep is also related to other medical conditions. For example, problems like stroke and asthma attacks occur more frequently during the night and early morning. Lack of sleep appears to trigger seizures in people with some types of epilepsy. Sleep disorders occur in 75 to 98 percent of patients with Parkinson's disease. Sleep problems such as insomnia have also been closely linked to depression and other psychiatric disorders. And a recent study found that 69 percent of primary care patients in physician waiting rooms complained of occasional or chronic insomnia.

Overwhelmingly the majority of these people are not properly diagnosed or being treated because of a lack of awareness and education, not only amongst primary care doctors, but other health professionals as well as oc. med. doctors and the patients themselves. They simply don't recognize the signs and symptoms of the major sleep disorders, that being insomnia, sleep apnea and restless leg syndrome.

Beyond that, America is chronically sleep-deprived because of lifestyle. Yeah, this 300 years of Puritan work ethic hitting now the 24/7 society and wreaking all kinds of havoc, not only in the workplace but also on the roads, or the medical wards of your local hospital. More than 63 million Americans suffer from minor to severe levels of sleepiness.

According to National Sleep Foundation's "Sleep in America" surveys -- and these are nationally representative surveys we've been doing since 1998 -- the majority of Americans, almost 60 percent -- get less than seven hours of sleep per day. Research says that we need anywhere from seven to nine hours of sleep to actually

25

maintain proper alertness throughout the day. The survey also showed that 32 percent of Americans sleep as little as six hours or less per night during the work week. In total, 64 percent of Americans get less than eight hours of sleep that experts say that is needed to maintain proper alertness and health. Sleepiness as a result of untreated sleep disorders or sleep deprivation has been identified as a growing number -- as a cause of a growing number of on-the-job incidents. least 15 million Americans have non-traditional work schedules that conflict with their biological clocks. According to the National Sleep Foundation's 2000 national poll, 43 percent of adults believe that sleepiness negatively affects their performance at work. While shift work has plateaued (sic) over the last decade, there is a rise in the number of people that work other alternative shifts outside of the usual 9:00 to 5:00, so you'll see a lot of those people working in the service sector jobs, working 12:00 to 8:00 shifts, and different shifts that might interfere with their sleep. NIOSH has done

research on the effects of shift work and long hours, and we encourage them to continue these programs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other issue of concern that -- to us is the issue of drowsy driving. Drowsy driving is a very insidious public health problem. National Traffic -- National Highway Traffic Administration estimates about 100,000 policereported crashes are the result of driver fatigue each year. In NSF polls that we've been doing over the last eight years, 50 percent of Americans say that they've driven drowsy at least once, and one in five, or almost 20 percent, say that they've actually fallen asleep at the wheel. In the new poll that we will be issuing in two weeks focus in on adolescents. Twenty percent of 16 and 17year-olds say that they've actually fallen asleep at the wheel in the past year, and a large percentage of -- a good percentage of them, about 11 percent, say they actually do so a few times a week.

Really what we need at this point is better data and surveillance systems to fully assess how sleep deprivation and disordered sleep are

22

23

24

25

linked to morbidity and mortality and other public health concerns. At this time sleep is under-recognized in most federally-supported surveillance systems, thereby limiting the inclusion of sleep-related factors from documents such as Health People 2010 and NORA and other managed healthcare systems. needs to be addressed in a more substantial way to reflect the importance in human functioning in order to produce a comprehensive safety -health and safety agenda for the new millennium. Baseline data is needed to identify clear objectives and goals for subsequent educational programs and intervention models related to promulgating the good sleep habits, the treatment of sleep disorders and conveying the consequences of sleep deprivation. With that, I thank you very much.

DR. BORRE: Thank you. We'd like to hear from Stephen Trippel.

DR. TRIPPEL: Thank you. My name is Stephen
Trippel. I am the past president of the
Orthopedic Research Society and it's on behalf
of that organization that I speak here today.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I like to view my role, though, as not just speaking on behalf of the members of that organization, but on behalf of all of the workers in the United States who sustain or suffer from musculoskeletal disabilities or problems.

I'm also on the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons Council on Research, and I'm also on the faculty of the Indiana School of Medi--Indiana University School of Medicine. You've heard a lot today about occupational disorders, and many of the speakers have alluded to MSD in their presentations. I'm sure that's not unique to this session. You've probably heard it before and I'm sure you'll continue to hear about it in the future. The musculoskeletal system has traditionally been viewed in terms of its construction by bones, joints, muscles, tendons and ligaments. However, that traditional view of the musculoskeletal system is now outmoded. It now needs to be viewed as a system of cells, cellregulatory molecules and structural molecules that make up the bones, the joints, the ligaments and the tendons. And if we're to

understand musculoskeletal disease, we need to understand it now at that new level.

Unfortunately, our lack of understanding is leading to difficulty in managing many of the disorders that we encounter in the musculoskeletal system.

When an activity gets our musculoskeletal system in trouble, we experience pain, loss of function and disability. Depending on the occupation, this loss of function may involve any number of a wide variety of ailments. I could use the shoulder or the wrist or the neck or the knee, but for the sake of discussion, let's use an example that's fairly common, and that's the back.

Why does one person get back pain from an activity while his or her coworker, doing the same activity, does not? Where specifically in the back is the pain coming from? How are the mechanical forces produced by an activity -- presumably the cause of the problem -- converted into the cellular or tissue damage that limits the function? And isn't that the problem? What cell-signaling molecules or their target cells are involved in creating the

damage? What cell-signaling molecules and target cells can be recruited to promote repair? How can we gain control of these molecules and cells and tissues that are responsible for the problems so we can better treat them -- or better still, prevent them altogether?

Answers to these questions are needed to enable workers to maintain -- and when lost, regain -- the high levels of musculoskeletal function that they require to perform their jobs.

Research into the cellular biology, molecular biology and mechanobiology of occupational problems is needed to find the answers to these questions. Such research is required -- and hopefully sooner rather than later -- to adequately address the current needs of American workers. Thank you.

DR. BORRE: Mary Ann Latko.

MS. LATKO: Good morning. I'm Mary Ann Latko and I'm the director of scientific and technical initiatives with the American Industrial Hygiene Association. On behalf of AIHA I'm pleased to appear here today in support of NIOSH and the National Occupational

3

4

5 6

7

9

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Research Agenda. I want to thank NIOSH for this opportunity, and to offer the views of AIHA on the important issue of occupational health and safety research.

As a leading association of occupational and environmental health and safety professionals, AIHA represents professionals who serve on the front line of worker health and safety. AIHA members and other professions -- professionals in the occupational health and safety rely on NIOSH to conduct research and make recommendations for the prevention of workrelated illnesses and injuries. In 1996 AIHA was one of the earliest supporters of the development of NORA, and we remain a strong supporter to this day. AIHA has provided numerous liaisons to the different NORA sectors over the past ten years, and believes the research conducted by these sectors has worked to prevent serious disabling and sometimes fatal workplace illnesses and injuries. Now as NIOSH looks to renew the NORA project by announcing new research goals for workplace health and safety, AIHA again offers our support and assistance.

25

Many things have changed over the past ten None more so than the renewed look at workplace health and safety and its relationship to homeland security issues and emergency planning. As NIOSH looks at the many possible research issues to undertake this next decade of NORA, we believe the following are the top issues and research needs. Cost benefit analysis of occupational hygiene programs, preventive measures, control strategies and other interventions, including the effectiveness of workplace interventions to prevent or correct ergonomic concerns. Clandestine drug laboratory cleanup and the development of sampling and analytical methods and exposure assessment strategies related to the exposure of first responders and cleanup workers. Toxicology of nanomaterials, sampling and analytical methods, and a means to monitor and protect workers from excessive or potentially

harmful dermal and respiratory exposures.

Effective use and application of control

banding as a control strategy and methodology

that will aid in communicating the hazards of

1 materials to workers in a uniform manner 2 globally. 3 Harmonization of international stands for 4 respirators and other personal protective 5 clothing and equipment. 6 Response to and worker protection from pandemic 7 flu and other illnesses. 8 Developing exposure limits that consider 9 synergistic effects and incorporate factors 10 related to the reality of today's workplace, 11 where workers may be changing not only jobs but 12 careers and industries. 13 Working in non-traditional work environments, 14 and schedules that include compressed work 15 weeks and tele-commuting, and staying in the 16 workforce longer. 17 Exposure assessment strategies related to the 18 dermal route of exposure. 19 Applied industrial hygiene research that is 20 rapid turnaround for research to practice, or 21 R2P, and development of interventions that 22 focus on improving work conditions and reducing 23 or eliminating worker health and safety 24 concerns. 25 Long and short-term health effects that may be

experienced by emergency preparedness and response personnel, and determining the proper procedures and interventions to eliminate or reduce those adverse health effects.

And finally, noise control solutions, hearing protective -- hearing protector effectiveness, impact noise effects and the effectiveness of hearing conservation programs and how they can be made more effective.

With the ongoing structure of NORA being focused on sector councils, each sector council should carefully consider if these topics are a concern for their sector. And if so, include the topic in their research agenda.

Again, AIHA appreciates the opportunity to provide our public support for NIOSH and the National Occupational Research Agenda. We offer our assistance in any way possible, and hope to continue to work closely with NIOSH and the many diverse individuals and organizations contributing to this important project. Thank you.

DR. BORRE: Thank you, panel. As we move through the morning, we've had several -- we've heard from many of you. There are some folks

1 who were not here earlier and I'm going to call 2 their name again to see if they can come up and 3 speak. Also I'd like to remind everyone that 4 we do have a timekeeper and she will give you 5 the sign of one minute and zero minutes. David Covarrubias -- David Covarrubias? He has 6 7 a great name; I wish I could say it better. 8 Anna Gilmore Hall? Mary Lamielle? 9 Repace? 10 (No responses) 11 Manuel Anton, Michael Greenberg, Mark -- Mike 12 Demchak and Jim Mitchell. 13 (Pause) 14 We'll start with Manuel Anton. 15 Good morning. My name is Manual MR. ANTON: 16 Anton. I am a consultant at the PanAmerican 17 Health Organization, which is the regional 18 office for the Americas of the World Health 19 Organization. On behalf of Dr. 20 (unintelligible) who is the regional advisor on 21 worker health at the PanAmerican Health 22 Organization, I would like to speak briefly 23 about one issue that PAHO has been addressing 24 intensively in the last -- in the last six 25 years.

25

Given the fact of the fastest-growing participation of Hispanics in the U.S. workforce, almost 11 percent, the occupational safety and health of this population has become one of the priorities of our workers health program. As a response to this challenge, in 2000 PAHO decided to join other organizations in order to forge a strategic alliance, the Hispanic Forum. This initiative is focused on serving the needs of environmental and occupational health that the Hispanic community in the U.S. is facing. It is sponsored by several organizations, some from the U.S. government such as EPA and OSHA; private and non-government organizations such as 3M, the National Safety Council and the National Alliance for Hispanic Health; and also by multilateral organizations like PanAmerican Health Organization and the Organization of American States. Among its general objectives we can point out the following ones: To prevent, reduce and eliminate the environmental and occupational risks that threaten the Hispanic community in

the U.S.; to improve -- number two, to improve

availability and quality of information related to the occupational and environmental health of Hispanics; to reduce inequality in the access to healthcare services in order to improve the occupational and environmental health status of Hispanic workers and their families.

During this six years the Hispanic Forum has carried out four international events that have brought together different relevant actors from community-based organizations serving Hispanic population in the U.S. to ministers of health and labor from Latin American countries. The main objectives of the first two forums were to identify common challenges, forge new associations, develop strategies and plans of action, and finally strengthen the capacity of these community-based organizations so that they could develop and use better tools to serve in a timely and effective way the needs of this population.

The last two events were focused on high-level decision-makers. A hemispheric meeting on occupational safety and health leadership was held in 2004 in order to outline the main issues that were presented in the 17th World

24

25

Congress on Safety and Health at Work in Orlando in 2005 under the team agenda of the Americas. The topics included, among others, occupational safety and health of vulnerable populations, implications of foreign trade agreements on workers health, and corporate health responsibility and occupational health. Within immigrant workers, Hispanic workers have specific characteristics and needs. Language barriers, psychosocial factors linked to the legal status, poor reporting on working conditions and inequalities on health care access are among the issues that make this Within the PAHO group vulnerable or at risk. -- PAHO's activities, workers health problem will get the commitment of working on this issue within the Hispanic Forum. Finally, as one of our collaborating centers, we would like to thank NIOSH for inviting us to this meeting and allow us being part of this remarkable effort. Thank you.

DR. BORRE: Michael Greenberg.

DR. GREENBERG: Good morning. My name is
Michael Greenberg. I'm professor of emergency
medicine and professor of public health at

Drexel University College of Medicine in Philadelphia, and I'm here representing the American College of Medical Toxicology. I'm a practicing medical toxicologist and a member of that College.

The American College of Medical Toxicology is a professional, non-profit association of physicians with recognized expertise in medical toxicology. For those who don't know, medical toxicology is a formal medical subspecialty focusing on the diagnosis, management and prevention of poisoning and other adverse effects due to medications, occupational and environmental toxicants, and biological agents. Medical toxicology is officially recognized as a medical subspecialty by the American Board of Medical Subspecialties.

There are currently only slightly more than 300 physician members of the American College of Medical Toxicology, all of whom are Board-certified in medical toxicology. There are approximately 40 medical toxicology fellowship physician trainees currently enrolled in approximately 20 post-graduate training programs nationwide. Physicians enter the two-

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

year fellowship training after completion of a primary residency in emergency medicine, pediatrics, internal medicine or preventive medicine. Board certification requires successful completion of an accredited fellowship and a comprehensive written examination.

Some examples of problems addressed by medical toxicologists include hazardous exposure to chemicals such as pesticides, solvents, heavy metals, toxic gases, alcohols and other industrial materials; unintentional and intentional drug overdoses; drug abuse management, including inpatient care for acute withdrawal from addictive drugs, as well as outpatient medical review officer services for industry and organizations; envenomations; ingestion of foodborne toxins such as botulism and marine toxins; independent medical evaluations assessing injury for possible disability resulting from potentially dangerous exposures; chemical, biological and nuclear and radiological weapons that may be used by terrorists; and protection of workers from chemical hazards at work. Medical

1 toxicologists provide these kinds of 2 professional services in a variety of clinical, 3 industrial, educational and public health settings including emergency departments, 5 intensive care units, outpatient clinics, 6 poison control centers, medical schools, 7 universities, clinical training sites, industry 8 and corporations, government agencies and 9 clinical and forensic laboratories. 10 Since 1999 the College has had a cooperative 11 agreement with ATSDR supporting expanded 12 educational activities for medical 13 toxicologists in environmental health and 14 toxicology, and that cooperative agreement has 15 supported various educational symposia, 16 internet-based teaching resources, multiple 17 teaching monographs, and a national network of 18 public health consultation for incidents 19 involving mass chemical exposures. 20 There's a current memo of understanding on 21 collaboration between NIOSH and the College. 22 The purpose of that memo is to facilitate 23 collaborative activities between NIOSH and of 24 the ACMT, including communication and exchange 25 of technical information, consultation,

1 professional education, document generation and 2 review, and research in a joint effort to 3 promote health and safety in the workplace and 4 to enhance the capacity of healthcare providers 5 and public health professionals to address health risks posed by occupational exposure to 6 7 toxic -- to potentially dangerous substances. 8 I'm here today to tell you quite simply that 9 Board-certified medical toxicologists and the 10 American College of Medical Toxicology 11 represent a group that is ready, willing and 12 able to help NIOSH with respect to toxicologic hazards that may exist in the workplace. 13 14 Specifically, medical toxicologists can be 15 helpful in planning and conducting research in 16 concert with NORA. Medical toxicologists can 17 also be helpful in identification and 18 generation of important research agendas and 19 the evaluation of research proposals by 20 participating in research councils as the new 21 NORA focus and priority-setting shifts to an 22 industry sector approach. 23 Finally, I would like to thank NIOSH for 24 allowing us to speak and I'd be happy to answer

any questions about medical toxicology at the

25

next break. Thank you very much.

DR. BORRE: Jim Mitchell.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MITCHELL: Good morning. My name is Jim Mitchell. I'm director of the Center on Aging at East Carolina University in Greenville, North Carolina and associate director of the UNC Institute on Aging in Chapel Hill. And I'm really not representing anyone in particular except myself, I guess, but I'd like to make some observations about potential for partnering with NIOSH and other federal organizations such as the National Institute on Aging concerned specifically with issues such as older workers and aging workers; and secondly, those in occupations who serve older people that require assistance; and thirdly, the impact of occupational transition and job loss on family care-givers and the capacity of families to provide care for older people. I want to offer an example of how NIOSH might partner with other federal organizations in the way of -- to enable them to gather better data concerning the problems of older people, particularly in rural areas. And I want to mention an example that points out interplay

between the role environment and job loss and our knowledge of those processes and the effects of job loss on the quality of life of older people.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We really know very little in the research community about the effects of rural economic and demographic transition and change on the quality of life of older people living in those areas, particularly people who are left behind. To better address this, we formed a consortium between investigators at ECU where I work, UNC Chapel Hill, University of Kentucky, West Virginia University, and Virginia Tech. And we looked specifically at the feasibility of a project looking at rural transition and quality of life of older people. And I began this process by looking at 55 rural counties that are non-adjacent to any kind of urban area, particularly -- some are adjacent to micropolitan areas, but none adjacent to metropolitan areas.

What I found when I looked at census data over a 40-year period was that there is considerable variability among these rural counties, and variables including economic and demographic

transition on one dimension, and job loss in the other dimension. But the significance of this -- for me, anyway -- is that it represents the idea that there's considerable variability among rural areas or areas that we define as rural. And more importantly, that that variability has significant implication for the quality of life of older people through variables such as job loss.

Now what can we do about this? Well, I think it's important that NIOSH and other organizations and agencies reach consensus on definitions of, for example, what is rural. To me, rural has to extend beyond non-metropolitan and it has to extend beyond non-urban in order for the concept of rural to make sense.

I would also urge NIOSH, as it considers its job sector categories that were recently announced this morning, to consider compatibility with other job sector categories to enhance research capacity in the future, especially as we get into a longitudinal and long-term data-gathering. I think it's also important for me to, again, emphasize the

critical nature of encouraging people engaged

1 in research dealing with older adults and job 2 transition and job categories to continue to 3 work together. Thank you very much. DR. BORRE: Mike Demchak. Mike Demchak. 4 5 MR. DEMCHAK: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Mike Demchak. 6 I'm with 7 R. M. Wilson Company. My presentation this 8 morning will be centered around ergonomically-9 correct seating on mobile equipment in 10 underground mines. Seats in mobile equipment 11 in underground mines is extremely 12 The road surfaces of most uncomfortable. 13 roadways in underground mines, especially near 14 the face, are extremely rough and uneven. 15 shock and vibration that one's body receives or 16 the operator receives are very intense. 17 abuse, along with constant attenuation of one's body, causes one to become weary, tired and 18 19 fatigued. I experienced this first-hand while 20 I was working in a mine. 21 At R. M. Wilson Company, upon my request, we 22 decided to do something about this situation. 23 We began by piecing together some different 24 types of elastomeric foams which we acquired 25 and piecing them together and -- and then we --

we were looking for somebody to manufacture these things, which was not an easy task. Then when we were into production, we started marketing them.

The mining division of NIOSH asked me if they could go underground to test our seat pads, as we were the only ones who were producing seat pads made out of elastomeric foams. We worked up an agreement with NIOSH whereby we would share ideas, they would introduce me to some new foams that they were using, and I would take them underground to test our seat pads.

One pad that we made was -- proved to be 98 -- 95 percent effective in absorbing shock and vibration.

NIOSH is now working on several projects with which R. M. Wilson is involved. One is bulldozer seats on -- in surface mines. And they're checking on the breakdown of elastomeric foams in seating and they're going to be -- be on -- they'll be working with new types of foams that'll be coming out, but this is in its infancy.

Upon request, R. M. Wilson Company produced and engineered -- engineered and produced what we

24

25

call a throw seat. It is composed -- a throw pad, excuse me. It is composed of two seat pads approximately 15 by 15 which are sewn together. A person going underground, especially a mechanic, can take this seat pad with him. They can -- they can use it as a seat pad in any situation, even on a piece of mobile equipment. They can throw it on the They can lay on it if they're working on something above, or they can kneel on it and they will feel -- feel comfortable when the work is done. Yes, it is ergonomically correct. And when they are finished, they just take the pad, pick it up by the handle that is con-- and carry it away -- carry it away like a -- like a suitcase.

These are some of the products which we're working with to -- to help to make the environment for miners healthier and -- and we're -- and I -- I wish to thank NORA for this invitation to come here to share these ideas with you, and I thank you for your attention.

DR. BORRE: Thank you. As we move to our next set of speakers, I would like to ask those of

you who would like to speak in this morning's

1	session who are not on the agenda for the
2	morning to please come and see me as the other
3	speakers that I'm calling are taking their
4	place at the podium. If there is someone else
5	who would like to speak this morning, we have
6	some room.
7	Again I'll call David Covarrubias?
8	(No responses)
9	Anna Gilmore Hall?
10	(No responses)
11	Mary Lamielle?
12	(No responses)
13	And James Repace?
14	(No responses)
15	Andrew Langer? Is there someone who would like
16	to come and to the podium and speak?
17	UNIDENTIFIED: (Off microphone)
18	(Unintelligible)
19	DR. BORRE: Your name?
20	MS. CONDON: Marian Condon.
21	DR. BORRE: Mary Ann Condon?
22	MS. CONDON: Yeah.
23	DR. BORRE: Okay. Is there anyone else who
24	would like to speak at this time?
25	(No responses)

1 Come on. You're welcome; there's space. 2 There's a chair; it's waiting for you. 3 Okay. Andrew. 4 MR. LANGER: Sure. Well, I want to thank you 5 all for allowing me to speak today. My name is Andrew Langer. I'm manager of regulatory 6 7 policy for the National Federation of 8 Independent Business, and actually I have a 9 soft spot in my heart for occupational safety 10 and health issues. My father's an occupational 11 safety and health scientist, and as I was 12 growing up as a kid my dad spoke a great deal 13 about the research he was doing with NIOSH. He's a mineralogist, my mother is an 14 15 epidemiologist, so if you can imagine growing 16 up in a household like that, it was kind of 17 hard for me to avoid going into regulatory 18 studies. I tried desperately to do it; didn't 19 happen. 20 Anyway, NFIB is the national small business 21 trade association. We have 600,000 members. 22 Our average member size is five employees. And 23 that's really what I wanted to talk briefly 24 with you about today because our members, our

small businesses represent, you know, 90

25

percent of the firms that are out there.

Ninety percent of the first that are out there have fewer than 20 employees. And our members deal with the regulations that come out of the research that's done by NIOSH and interpreted later on by OSHA.

Our members deal with those regulations differently, and they have a much different impact, and I'll talk very briefly about why that is. We know what the cost is for our members. For firms with fewer than 20 employees, the cost of regulation is roughly \$7,600 per employee per year. So for our average member of five employees, that's roughly -- almost a \$40,000 regulatory cost for them.

For firms with larger than 20 employees, that cost drops, and this is where the big difference is and why that is. Well, for the economists in the audience, if there are any -- and I apologize if I start to butcher economics -- the fact is that the economies of the scale change for larger firms. They're better able to handle the regulatory costs. They're able to pass those on. And the fact is that once

1 you get above 20 employees, firms start hiring 2 the professionals needed to interpret and 3 design the regulatory meaning for the 4 regulations that are out there. 5 So our members -- invariably it's the small business owner or someone that they've 6 7 designated, in addition to their normal duties, 8 who have to figure out what NIOSH is saying, 9 what OSHA is saying in the Code of Federal 10 Regulations. And I spend a great deal of my 11 time dealing with that as an issue for my 12 members, trying to find ways to make it easier 13 for them to figure out what they need to do to 14 be in compliance, and to protect the health and 15 safety and well-being of their -- of their 16 employees, because invariably they want to do 17 that. These small business owners, they live 18 and work and play in their communities. 19 become almost like family with their employees, 20 and they want to make sure that they're 21 healthy. It's just a matter of figuring out 22 how to go about doing it. 23 So in essence what I would ask, as NIOSH moves 24 forward with their research agenda, that they 25 start to examine ways of making those

24

25

regulations simple and easy to understand. know, you start to talk about MSDs, my members start to glaze over. They can understand sort of repetitive injuries and they can understand trying to find ways to mitigate those. But you know, for our members it has to be simple. I'll give you a real quick example. Last year -- or a couple of years ago, OSHA put out a new hazardous communications guidance system, and the book that they put out was literally bigger than my little portfolio -- it was about this big. And we went into OSHA and we said, you know, my members aren't going to use this. They're going to take a look at this, they're going to glaze over, go a little pale, and it's more likely they're going to use it as a backstop for a door than anything else. the fact is -- the bottom line is, a document that -- that isn't used is a useless document. And we're all after the same thing here. I just testified up on the Hill last week about this. We want small businesses because they represent that large sector of the economy. Wе want them to be in compliance with the law. Wе want them to understand what their

responsibilities are. So what I'm asking is that we all move forward to find ways to make it easy, especially in light of all the regulations that are on the books.

I know most of the folks in the crowd are interested in engaging in new research to sort of expand the horizons of what we're out there protecting, because as we move forward in science we understand that there are more things maybe that we need to protect. But I really think we need to make a conscientious and concerted effort to figure out how to make it easier for businesses to understand how to comply with what's already on the books, what's already out there. Because as you begin to pile on more regulation, more requirements for them, it's going to make it harder for them to figure out what they need to do to comply with what's already out there.

So I leave you all with that. Thank you very much, and thank you for the -- allowing me the opportunity to speak today.

DR. BORRE: Marian.

MS. CONDON: Thank you. Good morning. My name is Marian Condon and I work at the American

24

25

Nurses Association as a staff specialist in -in the occupational environmental health center
there. The ANA is a professional association
that represents the country's 2.9 million
nurses, and we have -- we have had members
attend the town hall meetings across the
country to present the occupational health
agenda of nurses.

With the aging health needs -- with the health needs of an aging population and coupled with the aging nursing population and the continuing -- the continuing nursing shortage, all increase the urgency in addressing the occupational health needs of nurses. There are six topic areas that our priorities can be broken into, the first being the musculoskeletal disorders. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics in 2004 nurses had 8,810 reported work-related MSDs which resulted in an average of seven days away from work. This of course is grossly under-reported. Research to prevent back and other MSDs needs to promote nursing education and training in the use of assistive equipment and patienthandling devices. Research needs to be done on

reshaping federal and state ergonomic laws to highlight the ways that technology-oriented safe patient-handling techniques benefit patients and the nursing workforce.

The next topic area is that of chemical exposures. RNs are routinely exposed to a variety of hazardous chemicals, including drugs, chemicals used in hospital labs, and chemicals used for hospital cleaning and sterilization purposes. And these have been associated with both chronic and acute health effects. Research needs include examination of health effects, employee surveillance and other efforts to protect nurses.

The next area of concern is worker fatigue. Available research shows that overtime and extended work shifts for nurses is associated with increased risk of smoking, alcohol use, risk for back, neck and shoulder disorders, vehicular accidents and increased exposure to biological hazards. It also affects safe patient-handling with slow -- by creating slowed reaction time, lapses of attention to detail, errors of omission, compromised problem-solving, reduced motivation and

decreased energy for successful completion of required tasks.

Further research is needed to evaluate overtime and extended work shifts, and the relationship to productivity, quality of safety provided in hospitals, and the incidence of workplace accidents, injuries and stress-related illnesses among nurses. Research needs to be done on reshaping federal and state policy that will limit the ability of employers to mandate overtime.

Bloodborne pathogen exposure, a lot of progress has been made, but there's still room for improvement. Research is needed on the human factors and work practices of nurses related to safe patient-handling of sharp devices and compliance with other measures to protect them from these exposures. Further research is needed on facility-wide policies to promote worker compliance with safety practices, further research and development of safety-engineered devices is also needed.

Respiratory protection, research needs to be done on ensuring that federal and state pandemic planning policies include the use of

N95 filtering disposable respirators to be annually fit-tested rather than the use of surgical masks, which are not protective of the nurse or the healthcare worker.

The last is -- topic is workplace violence.

Among all American workers, healthcare and social service workers have the highest rates of non-fatal assault injuries in the workplace. Further research is needed on the development of preventive interventions of violence towards healthcare workers and intervention effectiveness. Thank you very much.

DR. LUM: Thank you, panel. We have a tradition now we're going to have to uphold. At every other town hall meeting that we've held around the country, when we've asked that there's somebody in the audience that really wants to speak, that's been thinking about taking a few minutes and coming forward to speak, it's now your time to come to the podium. So we must uphold this tradition. Someone in here would like to give us the final talk before we break for lunch. Is that correct? Who is that person? Please come down front now. We're going to -- oh -- no, that's

1 a NIOSH person, that doesn't count. That was a 2 nice try, though. I give him credit -- all 3 right, let's see if there's -- Mary Lamielle; I 4 don't believe she's here. She was signed up to 5 speak. James Repace, has he come in? And then 6 David Covarrubias, who I feel I know personally 7 after this, as you must. He's not here. And I 8 think Ilise was the last one. I don't see her 9 here. 10 MS. FEITSHANS: (Off microphone) 11 (Unintelligible) in the afternoon. 12 DR. LUM: Oh, you're going to -- in the 13 afternoon. Very good. 14 MS. FEITSHANS: (Off microphone) 15 (Unintelligible) to eat (unintelligible). 16 DR. LUM: Okay. Very good, very good. 17 Anna Gilmore Hall? 18 Well, they will be here this afternoon, with a 19 little luck, so we're going to dismiss for 20 lunch and we'll come back here at about 1:15. 21 If you are a risk-taker, there's the cafeteria upstairs and -- I have to be careful because 22 23 you might run into a Secretary who's actually 24 cutting the ribbon, I think -- hopefully he's 25 cut it already so that you can get in -- this

morning on the new design in our cafeteria.

That's upstairs. We'll probably have to help you get through security to get to the elevators. We'll do that. But also going out and going down the street near the metro stop there's a lot of little shops in there and there's the Ford Cafeteria across the other street that has a very nice cafeteria.

Could we come back at 1:15, reconvene here.

And again, thank you very much for coming this morning. Thank you for sharing your ideas, very important, and we'll see you this afternoon.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken from 12:00 p.m. to 1:25 p.m.)

## REGIONAL AND LOCAL SESSION: STAKEHOLDER PRESENTATIONS

DR. LUM: I think we can begin this afternoon's session. Let me introduce Mary Ann Latko from the Industrial Hygiene Association, another tremendous partner with NIOSH, and who volunteered late in the game to help us out today -- like maybe a few hours ago, so -- but she's going to guide us this afternoon. And just a reminder that we would like you to stay with your five minutes before we pull the trap door which we've installed now and -- we didn't

1 have any problems this morning, more or less, 2 so -- I think we got through it. And again, 3 the timekeeper is right here, Ann Berry. 4 that fist goes up, that means you have no more 5 time left. So with that, I'll introduce Mary Ann. Mary 6 7 Ann, thank you. 8 MS. LATKO: Thanks, Max. We're going to be 9 bringing people up in groups of four, for those 10 of you who weren't here this morning. And Ann 11 will politely but firmly keep you on track with 12 your time, should we have to do that. So our first group of panel members will be 13 14 Ilise Feitshans, Harold Weiss, Tom Walsh, 15 Michael Hodgson. Harold Weiss, Tom Walsh or 16 Michael Hodgson? Okay. Marilyn Fingerhut, 17 Scott Madar, Bruce Scholnick. Bruce Scholnick? 18 Shelley Davis. Bradley Rein. Ingrid Denis. 19 Thank you. 20 Our first speaker will be Ilise Feitshans with 21 GWU. 22 MS. FEITSHANS: Let's see, I'm not going to 23 talk like this the whole time. 24 My name is Ilise Feitshans and I'm a lawyer and 25 a public health professional, and I've been

25

writing about occupational health and lecturing on the subject for about 30 years. I write the treatise -- in case you've memorized it, I'm sure -- for WestLaw called "Designing an Effective OSHA Compliance Program", and in case you haven't memorized that, you might have memorized "Bringing Health to Work", I'm sure. But today I'm also a script writer for Digital 2000, who's going to do a 35-year retrospective on OSH Act and NIOSH, and I have been asked to submit a paper, which I did, for the Human Ecology Action League that's entitled "Nurses and Teachers, Worker Health, Worker Concerns". I want to discuss very briefly something from the past that impacts workplaces today and in the future -- genetics. The (unintelligible) genetic propensities, even the very nature of the interaction between these genetic players and the work environment ultimately plays a role, if not controls, our individual ability to perform work today and tomorrow. My request is very narrow and specific. I perceive the role of genetic testing in the workplace as inevitable. And equally inevitable, a discourse that's fraught with painful questions

-- painful social questions such as eugenics, social engineering, stigma, discrimination, liability and healthcare costs. And I request that NORA/NIOSH take the lead and research the role of genetics and genetic technologies at work.

Only NIOSH has the statutory permission to have a really open discussion about the hard choices that we will find in new genetic technologies. Genetics poses hard questions. Genetics is hard to understand, but it's important. And perhaps the greatest challenge for NORA/NIOSH will be defining not the genetic materials of concern to workers and their employers, and not the criteria for the predictability and reliability of genetic testing and screening itself. The greatest challenge, and where I hope that my expertise might be of value to NORA/NIOSH, is the area of the definition of terms.

No one wants to make employers pay for problems that are inherited. And social policies such as the state-based funds for workers compensation when injury or occupational disease comes from a previous employer serves

1 as a precedent that shows us this very point. 2 But at the same time, we, society in general, 3 and NORA/NIOSH especially, must reconcile this 4 -- this fundamental notion that it might be 5 unfair to make someone pay as a repository for 6 third parties past with three very important 7 factors that that must be weighed against. 8 First of all, employers remain responsible for 9 providing employment and places of employment 10 that are free from recognized hazards under 11 Section 501 of OSH Act. And certainly genetic 12 technologies will reveal the connections 13 between workplace exposure and genetic 14 transformations, and that would be studied by 15 the scientific community. And this will 16 inevitably broaden the scope of what we 17 understand to be recognized hazards. 18 NORA/NIOSH research must explore this new 19 reality very keenly. 20 Second, ADA, the Americans with Disabilities 21 Act, does apply to genetic conditions, so 22 knowledge in the scientific community that can 23 prevent harm from recognized hazards does not 24 escape the requirement to provide reasonable 25 accommodations at work to people who can

1 perform the essential functions of their work 2 despite these concerns about genetic factors in 3 the workplace which were heretofore unknown or 4 misunderstood. 5 Lastly, the convergence of new genetic 6 technologies as applied through path-breaking 7 research may redefine our collective societal 8 notions of things like safety, health and 9 disability. We must correct policies that 10 incorporate the best genetic research without 11 creating an underclass of people who lose their 12 employability due to stigma, discrimination, 13 insurance costs or potential liability. 14 This task is of millennial importance to every 15 workplace and every worker in our society. 16 That explains why genetics is hard, not easy. 17 NORA/NIOSH must rise to meet this challenge to 18 explore the best future path for applying 19 genetic technologies and to make the best 20 practices for work in the 21st century. 21 Thank you for your attention and time. 22 Thank you. Our next panelist is MS. LATKO: 23 Marilyn Fingerhut with NIOSH. 24 MS. FINGERHUT: Actually I used to be with 25 NIOSH. I retired October 1st, but somebody

kindly put that in. My heart is still with NIOSH.

I want to bring your attention to a priority of NIOSH that you might not think of as being connected with NORA, and that is global collaborations, that the N in NORA is not intended to be a limiting term, only national. It was intended to mean bigger than NIOSH; i.e., National. So it's a national agenda. It's everybody's agenda.

Global collaborations is one of the cross-cutting programs, like ergonomics, that we --NIOSH, we at NORA, all of us who are NORA --will bring to the sectors. And I want to give an example of new opportunities that will exist if we're very clever about how to make use of them.

There is a international initiative that has been underway for about ten years, reasonably successful, called the Global Road Safety
Initiative -- began ten years ago after the World Health Organization did some injury calculations globally. Just in December 2005 the U.N. General Assembly passed a resolution on Global Road Safety to acknowledge its

1 reasonable success and to give impetus to 2 countries to do better. 3 The World Bank, the National Academy of 4 Sciences, the CDC, the Department of 5 Transportation and USAID in February held a meeting on the international Global Road Safety 6 7 Initiative which I went to for NIOSH, and it 8 turns out that the -- as excellent as it is, it 9 has missed the point of workers on roads, and 10 also missed the opportunity of using workplaces 11 as a way to try to deal with the problems. 12 Right now the -- and for the ten years the --13 the priorities have been helmets, seat belts, 14 general population activities. So I think that it's time to advance the 15 16 recognition and attention to both the problems 17 of workers and roads and also use workplaces 18 for action globally. Multinationals want their 19 people to be safe in developing countries. 20 Multinational manufacturers use trucks on 21 roads, so they are -- have an opportunity to 22 contribute to safety and also to have their 23 workers be safe. 24 Another function of global collaborations with 25 the NORA sectors will be to share good

24

25

practices that work elsewhere. Our little scan of the European agency site on occupational health information pulls -- for road safety pulls up 180 documents, many of which are practices which are working in their countries and which could benefit workers in the U.S., as well. So by -- by tackling sector-based problems, both -- both for the U.S. and elsewhere at the same time, and also sharing things that work from one country to another, we can probably do more help for workers than we might have been able to otherwise. Additionally, with some of these initiatives there is money available so that partnerships could be undertaken of multinationals, international unions, with the people in the countries because the World Bank and the other international development organizations are in fact funding activities of this type, and workers could then benefit from funds that are provided. Another aspect for workers of these global

initiatives is that sometimes globally -- and also we heard this morning about needle sticks in national initiatives -- the workers who

1 carry out the initiative are often forgotten. 2 The healthcare workers have been forgotten in 3 the polio vaccination and the AIDS activities, 4 the training of healthcare workers didn't seem 5 to be recognized in the initiatives. The road safety initiative has a comparable 6 7 problem. The -- those -- one of the approaches 8 to better roads in developing nations is to 9 build good roads, so you put the trucks on the 10 good roads and the people can walk on the 11 little roads. In India millions of miles of 12 roads are being constructed and there is now an additional huge silicosis problem because there 13 14 are many mom and pop operations crushing stones and the whole communities have this exposure. 15 16 So the development activities also need to take 17 into account the workers carrying out the 18 initiatives. And those of us who are working 19 in the different sectors and therefore can 20 become -- are or could become part of 21 international initiatives, we could ensure that 22 the working people get their due attention. 23 Thank you. 24

25

MS. LATKO: Our next panelist is Scott Madar with ORC Worldwide.

24

25

MR. MADAR: Good afternoon. My name is Scott Madar and I'm a consultant with ORC Worldwide. ORC Worldwide welcomes this opportunity to provide input and suggestions for the next decade of NORA. ORC is an international management and human resources consulting firm whose Washington, D.C. office specializes in providing occupational safety and health consulting services to businesses. Currently over 130 of the world's leading companies in diverse industries are members of ORC's occupational safety and health groups. focus of these groups is to promote effective occupational safety and health programs and practices in businesses.

ORC member companies represent a range -- a broad range of industries and services, including aerospace, electric power generation, automotive manufacturing, telecommunications, food and beverage, household and personal products, petroleum, chemicals, metals, paper and pharmaceuticals. To a lesser extent, ORC also has members who perform or are involved in construction or maritime activities. These comments are solely those of ORC and may differ

from the views and comments of individual member companies.

For more than 30 years, almost as long as NIOSH and OSHA have been in existence, ORC has worked in the occupational safety and health arena.

ORC was intimately involved in the establishment of NORA a decade ago, and has been a strong participant in and supporter of the NORA process. We welcome the opportunity to continue to work with NIOSH in the coming decade.

In addition, ORC agrees that a renewed NORA should focus on areas of research whose results can have direct, practical and lasting impacts on safety and health in the workplace. To that end, ORC respectfully suggests that NIOSH consider the following items when crafting the research agenda for the next decade.

Data issues. NIOSH should examine the various occupational safety and health injury, illness and fatality databases in existence among federal agencies. NIOSH should categorize the data being collected, identify any gaps in the data, and ultimately seek ways to fill those gaps. In particular we encourage NIOSH to

focus on improving the data collection and analysis related to occupational illnesses, as this is a major weakness of existing data systems. It simply will not be possible to have a significant impact on the reduction of long-term latent occupational illnesses without a better set of data. Lastly with regard to data, businesses are relying on contractors to perform various critical job functions more often. Despite increased reliance on these workers, little work has been done to evaluate the data regarding fatalities and serious injuries among this group, and the impact of these relationships on worker safety. should develop a means to collect and analyze this untapped dataset.

Safety and health as a value to business. In order to justify non-regulatory reasons for increasing investments in occupational safety and health, NIOSH should examine management systems, metrics and risk reduction strategies in order to identify best practices among the various industrial sectors. This information, along with the analysis of safety culture, what makes a company successful, should also be the

focus of future research.

Intervention effectiveness should also continue to be emphasized in NIOSH research.

Specifically we encourage the development of additional tools that could help with the evaluation of interventions. Whether they are programs, policies or new control methods, these tools would be especially useful to the business community.

Emerging issues -- emerging technology, excuse me. The impact of nanotechnology will soon be felt in nearly all industrial sectors. This cross-cutting topic must be a primary focus of NIOSH's in the coming decade. NIOSH must continue to take the lead in addressing occupational safety and health when working with nanoparticles. We suggest that NIOSH should also collaborate closely with the EPA and other government agencies, as well as with stakeholders. ORC is currently developing a matrix of business practices that address safety and health and nanotechnology, and would welcome NIOSH's involvement.

Continuing past research. ORC encourages NIOSH to continue the research started during the

first decade of NORA. Specifically, additional work is warranted in the areas of musculoskeletal disorders, organization of work, and hearing loss.

In closing, ORC appreciates the opportunity to share our thoughts regarding NIOSH's research agenda for the coming decade, and would be willing to work with NIOSH in whatever capacity necessary to see that these and other important research items are addressed. Thank you.

MS. LATKO: And our fourth panelist is Ingrid
Denis with the Association of Occupational and
Environmental Clinics.

MS. DENIS: Good afternoon. Hi, my name is Ingrid Denis and I'm with the Association of Occupational and Environmental Clinics. One of our main concerns is building a cadre of occupational health and safety professionals of tomorrow. Many people have expressed concern about attracting students to occupational safety and health. Certainly this is a challenge that we all recognize and which NIOSH has committed resources towards through its training opportunities in the ERCs, medical rotations and internship opportunities at other

non-profit organizations.

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

However, there's always room for improvement. In light of our changing workplace and societal landscape it's important that we shift our own occupational safety and health compass, as well. In addition to the training opportunities currently offered through NIOSH, there's a need to develop a more comprehensive approach to recruiting people to the field. There's a need to go beyond the four core disciplines of medicine, nursing, industrial hygiene and safety, and to include such areas as health education, health economics, health policy, toxicology -- it goes on. There's also a need to develop an approach to reach out to undergrads. This will have two effects. One is to extreme -- expand the stream of people applying to graduate programs in occupational safety and health, and also

will have the effect of attracting more students from diverse backgrounds. There's also a need to have special outreach to minorities, immigrants and people from underserved communities. And finally, there's a need to be willing to devote resources to

1 mentorship.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

An approach that we've found successful at AOEC is the Occupational Health Internship Program. While it's still a very small program, it contains some useful lessons that could be used to expand the program, or establish similar programs, throughout the country, perhaps through the ERC or the TPG structures. OHIP has two primary goals that are different from those of your standard internship programs. First, we want students to have a learning experience that is based on understanding the world of work from the point of view of the This often involves a participatory worker. research approach. And second, we want the students to give something back to the workers. We want them to share what they've learned so that the workers can use this information to improve our own work environments. These two goals are complementary. In the process of learning about the work environment from workers, students begin to formulate a product that will be useful to those workers. Students are motivated to work to solve real problems. And in the long run, we think, it

occupational health professional. OHIP is also unique in who it recruits. We have purposely broadened our recruitment beyond the core disciplines to include undergraduates as a way to recruit more students from immigrant and minority communities. This also helps to better serve those worker communities during the summer projects by having students with unique cultural and language skills. For example, we had a Mandarin-speaking intern who played a pivotal role in a project with Chinese workers -- Chinese restaurant workers. We've also had Spanish-speaking interns work with hotel room cleaners, day laborers and retail service workers. OHIP students are already making a difference -- entering graduate programs in occupational health nursing, being hired by university labor education programs and healthcare unions. is important. Many OHIP interns still in school are volunteering as translators and health workers in community-based clinics. NIOSH needs to continue its current program

disciplines, but it also needs to fund other programs such as OHIP and other training programs that seek to broaden the pool of students who are eligible and interested in occupational health and safety. In closing I'd like to leave you with a quote

from one of our interns from the west coast. didn't come to public health school thinking this would be my focus. A lot more people would be interested in occupational health and safety if they knew more about what it is. need to do more PR. Occupational health and safety is not on people's radars. They think of work site wellness programs and don't think about how work affects people's health.

Thank you, panel. Our next four panelists, Harold Weiss, Tom Walsh, Michael Hodgson, Bruce Scholnick, Bradley Rein, Bill Kojola, Claire Barnett. Thank you. Our first panelist will be Harold Weiss with

MR. WEISS: Hello and good afternoon. credit, in the previous NORA research areas NIOSH highlighted the needs and goals to define

and implement a broad national occupational reproductive research agenda. To achieve this NIOSH has been involved in identifying critical research needs in the areas of surveillance, field studies and toxicology. But left out of almost all of these efforts has been a focus on exposure during pregnancy to one of the most dangerous and ubiquitous environmental exposures to which almost all pregnant women are exposed to, namely the risks from automobile crashes and trauma.

Recent research has shown that about one in every 25 pregnancies is involved in a police-

every 25 pregnancies is involved in a policereported crash. What appears to be driving
this disconcerting statistic is an almost
perfect storm of women are working more,
working later into their pregnancy, and driving
more and more distances. The result is that
women commuters and women employed in the
transportation activities are increasingly at
risk of adverse reproductive effects from
crashes and other occupational trauma.
The population impact of this increased
exposure to motor vehicle crashes during

pregnancy can be seen in the table that I've

24

25

left with the panel that compares the annual frequency of fetal versus infant crashes, injuries and deaths. You will note that because the fetus takes on the risks of the mother that it -- that they're more likely to be exposed and actually suffer five times as many deaths than infants do from crashes, even though fetuses are exposed over a much shorter period of time -- obviously, nine months. But fetal death is not the only endpoint of concern. Over the last two decades the medical literature has increasingly documented in larger and larger studies the range of motor vehicle crash threats to the mother, fetus and the newborns. The more important among the documented adverse birth outcomes for the offspring include substantially increased fetal mortality, neonatal deaths, placental abruption, premature (unintelligible) low birth weight.

Direct and indirect damage to the fetus from maternal crashes also leads to an as yet unquantified number of children that have suffered injury or damage to the brain and other organs. This can lead to acquired birth

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

defects and many types of developmental problems. These types of disabilities are well-documented in case reports throughout the literature, but not through large scale population-based studies. In any event, these events leave the families to cope with the grief of the fetal loss, or the burden for carrying these young survivors who may be permanently impaired.

The potential factors, mechanisms and impact on the developing fetus resulting from maternal crash involvement are usually multi-faceted but as yet rather incompletely understood. From a clinical perspective, many things can happen to the fetus during and after a crash to upset the mother, the fetus or the delicate balance between them. There may be direct harm to maternal, fetal or shared organs. There may be indirect harm to the fetus from maternal physiologic adaptations to trauma, fluid loss and shock. There may be effects from maternal stress, common in serious traumatic events, known by itself to impact on the fetus. may be effects from diagnostic regimens, medical surgical procedures or the wide variety

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of prescription medicines and self-medications taken by the mother. How all of these interact under different scenarios for different levels of severity at different gestational ages is simply not well understood. This is mainly due to a lack of study.

Therefore it's highly recommended that NORA include within its new round of occupational research priorities and within a continued focus on occupational reproductive research a priority on trauma and pregnancy that will, in general, identify research needs, assist in the development of reproductive health research, expand existing surveillance systems to include accurate information on maternal crash and occupational factors to identify research needs, to create new partnerships that expand resources, to encourage research that would encourage the understanding of biological and biomechanical processes under (unintelligible) abnormal reproductive outcomes after trauma, and to encourage the dissemination of results to the public to increase awareness and to encourage safety assurance.

Some more specific examples of suggested

1 elements related to this priority are attached 2 at the end of my statement. Thank you very 3 much for your kind consideration to this 4 neglected but very important area of 5 occupational reproductive health. 6 MS. LATKO: Our next panelist is Bradley Rein 7 with USDA. 8 MR. REIN: Thank you. My name is Bradley Rein 9 and I'm with the USDA/Cooperative State 10 Research, Education and Extension Service. 11 I've been working with NIOSH since I think 1991 12 in helping organize the first Surgeon General's 13 Conference on Agriculture. I would -- am the 14 USDA representative on NORA I and I applaud 15 NIOSH for all of the things that they have done 16 to help support research in the area of 17 agriculture since the early '90s. Because of 18 NIOSH we now have a lot better sense of what 19 the issues and the injuries and illnesses and 20 the occupational safety factors are in 21 agriculture. 22 I applaud NIOSH for having the insight to 23 include an agricultural sector in NORA II, and 24 I think that's a move in the right direction 25 and I look forward to working with NIOSH on

that.

1

25

I would like to talk about -- a little bit

about some of the ways we can work together.

We've been doing a lot over the years. One of

the things we do -- we're a very old agency.

We have a very formalized structure in working

with our land grand and university partners,

and one of the things they have done is they

have recently developed a national agenda for

action on agricultural safety and health

through the experiment station directors and

the extension service directors. This agenda,

I think, is a move in the right direction and  ${\tt I}$ 

would like to have NORA con-- NIOSH consider

that as they develop a structure for

identifying their agricultural safety and

health research and outreach efforts.

I applaud Dr. Howard for his initiative in

research to practice. I think that's something

that we can work together very effectively

21 with. And with that, I think I'll leave it.

22 | Some of the issues, again, we would like to see

a little bit more engineering-related types of

24 | solutions to agriculture. Agriculture's

changing tremendously in this country due to

23

24

25

the influence of biotechnology, the international competitiveness. There's a lot of technology that I think can be transferred that helps agriculture compete, both internationally as well as produce a safer workplace. Thank you.

MS. LATKO: The next panelist is Bill Kojola with the AFL-CIO.

MR. KOJOLA: Thank you very much. I'm with the safety and health department of the AFL-CIO, and first of all we'd like congratulate NIOSH on ten years of success with NORA I. We think that the initiation of NORA was important to focus what amounts to a limited amount of resources to address issues of safety and health and to address the most important research questions affecting workers. Of course it's -- you know, it's important and critical to identify hazards and causes of injuries and illnesses among workers in this country. However, from our point of view, I think the most critical element ultimately is the bottom line for protecting workers is intervention. This is where you begin to

intervene to reduce and eliminate exposure to

25

those hazards and risks. It's where the impact of what we learn from research on hazards, causes and risks can be implemented to realize real benefits that benefit the employers and employees. Research -- NIOSH's research won't make a difference if we don't translate this into action in our workplaces, so from our perspective we think that intervention research is where the substantial emphasis in NORA II. We have several other suggestions I think that are deserving attention and consideration as NIOSH moves forward into its second decade in NORA II. Unlike NORA I where the -- where the -- the universe was -- was carved up by focusing on issues in safety and health, NORA II looks to address issue -- addressing sectors and -- and industries. And you know, logically, either one of those makes -- makes some sense and in round two the focus will be a -- a sectoral approach. However, we do have some concern about losing some of the cross-cutting issues that cut across industries when you use a sectoral

basis, and I know there's going to be a group

that looks at these cross-cutting issues, but

we really want to make sure and emphasize that we see this as really the central committee that's linking all of these sector-based researches together because we see that there's a number of issues that cut across that we don't want to see fall through the cracks when you organize in this fashion.

Issues of, for example, work organization and stress cut across a lot of industries.

Minority and vulnerable populations, uses of PPE, ergonomics, nanotechnology -- these are all the kinds of issues that don't just lend themself (sic) to using a sectoral approach, so we -- we -- I think we need to have some serious open dialogue and linkage among these industry groups to make sure that these crosscutting issues don't -- don't fall through the cracks.

Two is we like to apply the lessons that we learned from NORA I and apply those to NORA II. What did we learn, what -- what worked and why, what didn't work and how to correct it, so that we don't reorganize ourselves and fall prey to making some mistakes that might have occurred as -- as NORA -- as NORA I was unfolded. So I

1 think -- I think it would be important for 2 NIOSH to summarize the overall experience of 3 NORA I so that NORA II can -- can -- can 4 benefit from that and move -- and move forward. 5 Data and data accuracy, we don't know what the scope of the -- of the -- of -- of workplace 6 7 injuries and illnesses is in this country. 8 know that there's serious under-reporting, and 9 I think that's a major failing of the research 10 community in -- in the United States, and --11 and I'd like to say that that's not a huge 12 problem, but I think we need to engage in 13 research to get a more comprehensive and fuller 14 picture of -- of what we're facing with -- in 15 terms of injury and illness in this country. 16 We think that establishing effective lines of 17 communication with organized labor and other 18 stakeholders is critically important, and it 19 needs to occur over the duration of -- of -- of 20 NORA II, and we're talking about a number of 21 years here. 22 Then lastly, funds for research -- they're 23 dwindling. Let's -- let's be honest. 24 NIOSH budget has been flat or -- or less than 25 flat and as the CDC tap and -- and -- and the

1 quest for pro-- you know, providing wages and 2 benefits for workers, the amount of money 3 that's available to NIOSH to actually conduct 4 research, both intramural and extramural, is 5 dwindling. So we need, as a community of stakeholders -- if we're interested in this, we 6 7 need to find ways to get real increases in --8 in NIOSH research dollars so that we can move 9 the issue forward. Thank you very much. 10 MS. LATKO: And our fourth panelist is Claire 11 Barnett with the Healthy Schools Network. 12 MS. BARNETT: Thank you. Thank you very much 13 for the opportunity to provide comments today 14 towards setting the agenda for the next decade. 15 My name is Claire Barnett and I'm executive 16 director of Healthy Schools Network, Inc. We're a national environmental health not-for-17 18 profit organization that seeks to ensure that 19 schools are environmentally responsible to 20 children, to personnel and to their 21 communities. 22 Since our founding in the mid-1990s we have 23 secured new policies, regulations and funding 24 for schools in New York state and in New York 25 City -- the nation's largest school district --

and federally, and advised and insisted (sic) scores of local, state and national groups on establishing reform agendas on school buildings.

We have a clearinghouse, a Healthy
Schools/Healthy Kids clearinghouse, both online and telephone assistance, which we
developed ten years ago in concern with adult
occupational health and safety experts, with
parents and others. In that time we've worked
with individuals in every single state. The
volume of visitors now on an annual basis is
approximately that of the federally-funded
national clearinghouse on educational
facilities.

Regarding the need for research aiming at improving adult health and productivity through better guidelines and standards for occupational safety and health in our nation's 120,000 public and private schools, it is a very timely research opportunity that NIOSH must seize. EPA estimates that approximately half of the nation's 120,000 schools have polluted indoor air. Asthma's not only a leading occupational disease among teachers and

custodians, but the single largest cause of student absenteeism due to chronic illness. There are 54 million children in 120,000 buildings.

Indoor air can be five to 100 times more polluted than outdoor air. Americans spend 80 to 90 percent of their time indoors. The American Society of Civil Engineers believes that schools are in worse shape than prisons. Children, who breathe more air per pound of body weight than adults and who are especially vulnerable to environmental health hazards in their developing years, may encounter in school or in day care exactly the same or very similar exposures as the adults, and they vastly outnumber adults in schools.

Our research recommendation is that NIOSH, in partnership with CDC's National Center for Environmental Health and ATSDR, which carry the agency's priorities on protecting children's environmental health, establish a partnership with the EPA, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, on a national research project to evaluate school environmental health. Such a pilot can rely on existing EPA guidance and

24

25

regulations on healthy school environments. fact there is a dedicated web portal now shared between the EPA, CDC and Department of Education on that. It should be advised by pediatric environmental health experts and by experienced parents and personnel, and occupational safety and health people as well. Outcomes could impact studies on indoor air and help determine if assessing children's environmental and occupational health is a valuable way to determine overall adult employee health hazards in day care centers and schools where the children outnumber the adults by a fair ratio. My organization and many others would be pleased to partner with you on such a project. Schools really are an ideal workplace to study indoor air and low level chemical exposures.

At this time I want to add to this and place on the record several documents. One is a peer-reviewed document or report called "Schools of Ground Zero, Early Lessons Learned in Children's Environmental Health". There were seven public schools in the impact zone around ground zero. One of them opened very early

before the fires were still out. The report documents the evacuations and cleanups of 9/11 impact zone schools. An informal backpack survey done in cooperation with the local parent associations indicated continuing health effects on elementary-age children as late as spring of 2002. No agency has reported such data. It was done through an informal community survey.

We're also putting on the record a new report called "Who's in Charge of Protecting Children's Environmental Health at School", and a data report on New York State school facilities and student health, student achievement and student attendance. I -- based in New York State, and New York is data-happy. We have a lot of good record-keeping on health effects. We have a good -- lot of good data reporting on standardized testing, as well as a lot of data on school facilities that a lot of states don't have. We recently -- our office, working with some outside consultants, recently completed a report showing that poor facility conditions are associated with lower test scores, higher absenteeism and higher

1	suspension rates. We did not have the research
2	capacity to look at employee health or
3	productivity.
4	I encourage you to consider schools as part of
5	your research agenda. Thank you.
6	MS. LATKO: Thank you, panel. We'll have our
7	next four speakers come up from the audience
8	now. Is Tom Walsh here?
9	(No response)
10	No Walsh. Michael Hodgson?
11	(No response)
12	Bruce Scholnick?
13	(No response)
14	Mark Ellis?
15	UNIDENTIFIED: (Off microphone)
16	(Unintelligible)
17	MS. LATKO: Gary Ewart?
18	(No response)
19	That's (unintelligible)
20	DR. LUM: Are you looking at me? I was still
21	looking for that guy this morning. I don't
22	know
23	MS. LATKO: We can ask and see if David's here.
24	DR. LUM: Yeah, is David here finally? God, I
25	hope he is. He's with the U.S. Postal Service,

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ 

I noticed that. Isn't their slogan "We deliver"? I don't know, so we'll get them -- get on them for that.

So we come to again the chance to ask the audience if there's anybody in the audience that would like to come up and give us a few words about what's on your mind that didn't speak this morning or really is moved to speak at this point. This is the time to do it.

(No responses)

Hearing no volunteers, let's move on to our program. Do you want to introduce Christy?

## PRELIMINARY SUMMARY OF NORA DOCKET

DR. SODERHOLM: I'll introduce Christy

Forrester. Christy is an epidemiologist in the
Cincinnati education and information division

at NIOSH and she agreed to disrupt her life in
Cincinnati for three months to come help in the
NORA office here in D.C. -- six months, sorry 
- and so we had thought early on that this

might be our wrap-up meeting for the NORA town
hall meetings, but we still have a couple more
to go. And so rather than doing a formal wrapup of everything, I've asked Christy to do a
preliminary summary of what we've heard in the

21

22

23

24

25

town hall meetings, the information that's come in the docket, and so this is a -- a firstglimpse -- if you come to the symposium to get a more -- a better glimpse, and if you volunteer to be on a research council you'll have every word of it available to you. me help by getting the slides started here. MS. FORRESTER: That was quick. Well, hello there, everybody. My name's Christy Forrester and I think Sid described what I'm doing here pretty well. I'm here for six months and basically this past few months have been about com-- attending all the town hall meetings, reading the comments and basically listening to you all because that is -- that is what we're here to do. NORA is dependent on all of you and all of your comments. That is what will make it a success.

I'm trying to figure out how to work this thing.

## (Pause)

And this is just a reminder. I think Sid had this slide earlier today, but for those of you who weren't here this morning this shows how the stakeholder input -- which would be all of

your input, both through the web, through the information we collect at the town hall meetings, through e-mail, mailed-in comments -- all of that will be provided to the research councils. And that, in combination with the surveillance data and with the expertise of the folks on the council, will allow them to set priorities and then finally come up with a draft research strategy.

So we're talking about the town hall meetings. We've had several -- well, we've had ten, including today, and as you can see they've been very successful. One in particular that was interesting was the Iowa City meeting because 29 of those folks actually participated electronically. So for those that couldn't attend, we had them patched in so that they could participate as well. And then today I think that basically this will probably knock us right over 1,000 after today, so as of March 7th -- and I should probably explain why I use that date. I took the total meeting attendees as of the 7th and then as far as the submissions go, I had to put a, you know, cutoff point so what you see for the rest of this

is based on everything up to March 7th. includes everything that was entered on the web and then two of the town hall meetings, the very first two. And the reason why there are only those two is because we wait for the complete transcript to come back and then it's broken down into the individual sector pieces or whatever it applies to, and it is entered at that point. And the same thing will happen for the others, but we are just receiving -they're still coming in, so basically it was just the first two. So if the numbers look a little low in some cases, like the submissions, then that definitely reflects the lack of the other -- I guess it would be seven at this point.

And another thing I want to mention about the submissions, we have 379 that I considered for this, is that that amount -- one submission includes ten, 15 ideas a lot of the time. So 379 submissions is quite a bit more than that. So what I did for this was I picked the top issues. Now this wasn't quantitative in any way, shape or form, or qualitative. It was very subjective because this is an early stage,

23

24

25

and I went through and I looked at what was most discussed for each of the sectors. So there is a good chance that some of the things may be missed over in this that in the end will appear to -- will appear in the final. For example, a lot of the things that came up in the town hall meetings that are not yet entered into the docket.

Okay. So for transportation, warehousing and utilities, this included folks from port workers, train -- railroad folks. It included trucking -- just a big variety of occupations. And they were concerned with environmental exposures. Diesel exhaust was important for the -- the trucking folks. Carbon monoxide seemed to be most discussed in warehousing. And also the aerosolized engine oil was an issue with flight attendants. Musculoskeletal disorders, that's no surprise; that seems to be talked, you know, through every one of these so I'll kind of just go right by that one. work and irregular hours are -- are an issue, and work life. When I talk about work life issues I'm talking about maintaining the safe culture at home as well, taking care of

25

yourself at home so that -- which would then be reflected in your work. And then training issues, everyone talks about training and they talk about it in different ways. And this one in particular was just talking about the safety training and the need for it and the need for simple solutions, practical solutions, easy to package ideas that could be distributed to the different companies. And then there's small business, which had a variety of different -different -- what do you -- risk factors that they were talking about and different research ideas, talking -- I think we talked about that today, a lot of the same things, the lack of available funds in order -- or resources in order to get the same benefits that a larger company would have. For example, having an inhouse industrial hygienist to translate the standards and the recommendations. And in construction the number one, not surprisingly, was falls. I didn't specify any area of falls because there were so many that were talked about. It was everything from the surveillance of falls, the -- what happened when the person fell, were they trying -- were

24

25

they over-reaching, what were the circumstances around it. And then the others were did they fall from a height, did they fall -- was there a slippery surface, their -- the surveillance was discussed quite a bit. And then musculoskeletal disorders and noise, noise coming from the very loud machinery that they have everywhere so it made me think I need to cover that. The training of workers and employers, that was -- this group specifically talked about workers and employers. I think that the idea they were trying to get across is that when you have both the workers and the employers involved, then you have a certain level of buy-in, number one, and that you have a -- everyone understands everyone's on the same page and so there's an appreciation for safety in that way as well. Surveillance was discussed, some (unintelligible) to falls, but other injuries and illnesses. And then the immigrant workers, as far as the language and cultural barriers to communicating with them. In agriculture, forestry and fishing -- well, agriculture in particular -- pesticides remains an issue. Training was -- as the others, came

25

up. Tractor safety, and that is for -- they talked about it in the cases of the young, the older -- well, just as in the next one with the migrant aging and youth, there were individual considerations, but tractor safety was one of those as well. And then the effectiveness of interventions. They talked about a lot of interventions that are out there, things that can be done, but that have not been evaluated for the effectiveness. So you can put all of these tools out there on the market, but if you don't know how well they work, then what is the point. And then finally surveillance. In healthcare and social assistance, safe patient-handling was the first. And they discussed that in terms of both the person who's doing the handling, the worker, as well as the patient -- which I thought was kind of interesting. Then non-traditional work settings, as in home healthcare, the lack of regulation for those particular areas, not knowing what to expect when you walk into a home healthcare setting. Infectious disease and personal protective equipment, that came up in relation to concerns for avian influenza,

25

for SARS and tuberculosis. Shift work, irregular hours and fatigue -- I think we talked about that earlier today. Someone had mentioned that it can cause reduced vigilance, it -- lack of coordination, difficulty to concentrate and that would affect both the worker and the patient. And then chemical exposures, waste anesthetic gases was an issue that came up, and disinfectants. And one of the issues that I thought was interesting was when they talked about safer solutions -- safer substitutions, I mean. And they talked about you can propose one, but if you don't know what the health effects are for the substitution, what good is it to propose that substitution. So they talked a lot about how it would be -like, for example, with glutaraldehyde, how it would be useful to know what peracetic acid or whatever they're using, what the long-term health effects -- or short -- short-term health effects would be for those particular chemicals. And then violence, which I believe was discussed earlier today, is -- is a huge issue with the healthcare sector.

In wholesale and retail trade musculoskeletal

25

disorders came up, as the others. It's usually with young workers, wanting to provide young workers with information so that they can learn safety at a young age so that it would continue on through adulthood, and I thought that was kind of interesting. Someone had mentioned, too, that -- you know, that you -- the younger folks will watch the older folks for how they're working and they will model their behavior based on the older folks. So if you have a youth, you know, at a very young age learning the right way to work, then when they're older and they have younger folks coming in, then they'll be working safely and the folks behind them will be learning safely, so I thought that was kind of interesting. economics, cost-effective safety solutions, that was all about how do you sell it to the employer, how do you sell safety, how can you show them that safety is not an expensive, immediate sort of item, that it's a long-term investment in the future and future productivity, that in the long run they will actually make more money. And they would like -- a lot of the people said that they would

25

like to have some evidence -- some hard evidence so that they could take it with them and say hey, look, listen, this is what happened with this company or that company. Inadequate health coverage was mostly discussed in terms of part-time employees, the folks that would work almost the full-time level, but because they had that one hour less or were only allowed to work up to that point, they were not eligible for full health coverage, so that was an important issue. Violence in the same sense -- safety culture, talking about a supportive safety culture, talking about if the employer -- if the employer is -- values safety, then it will be easier for the employees to report problems that they have with safety or to communicate back and forth and everyone will be on the same page. In the public and private services, chemical exposures were a top issue. One of the interesting ones that I -- well, I thought it was one of the interesting ones when they talked about the clandestine drug labs and they talked about the crystal meth and the firefighters, the police, the EMS, all of their

25

1

exposures as they're going into these situations and not being prepared for them. And musculoskeletal disorders, of course. Indoor air quality, when they talked about that it was with regard to schools mostly, talking about asbestos and mold. And when workers would go in to do -- tear out all of that, a lot of times they weren't -- the kids were still in the schools, so it was both dangerous to the workers who weren't protected for it, as well as dangerous for the children that were in school there. And if it wasn't taken care of properly, even after it was done -- like with mold -- it could still be an issue. With work organization and stress, that was -- that was a very broad issue. Small business was discussed, as well as violence. And one thing about the violence actually that -- there was a man who spoke at one of the meetings who was discussing the different types of violence. And one of the interesting things I found, he was talking about the folks that had a selfpreservation -- I can't remember the terminology, but he talked about the folks that actually valued their own life or if they were

so detached that they no longer were in touch with that, and that that sort of violence is so different that training folks to recognize that and training folks to deal with that would be a challenge, but it would be important that people could recognize the different signs so that perhaps they could at least reduce the effects of the event or escape from the event completely.

And with mining, we had originally intended to have a mining meeting, a town hall meeting. But with all that has been going on with -dealing with the disaster efforts and -- they are just -- they're so tied up that there was no way for us to schedule a meeting with them, at least for the time being. So what I have here are the comments from the web -- that were entered from the web or sent in, as well as comments from a round table from the Salt Lake City town hall meeting that we had. let's see, the -- safety programs was -- was a very talked-about issue, as well as communications. Communications -- one man had proposed like wireless communications being in the mines and being able to talk with the folks

25

upstairs, keeping in contact both in disaster situations as well as just to know -- the daily operations and where they are. Exposure assessment, they would like to be able -- they would like to know in advance -- or real-time monitoring, that's more what they said. like to be able to walk in and know that the dust or the chemical exposure, what it is when they're there right there and then. like quick and simple ways to just -- to find out because the -- the exposures that happen in those situations are -- happen so quickly sometimes that these quick solutions are very important to them. Hearing loss, silicosis, that's pretty self-explanatory, and whole body vibration and then shift work, the same issues of vigilance and lack of coordination. And then in manufacturing, the number one was, like we discussed before, the business case for safety. How do you sell it to the employer. And then exposure measurement and evaluation -what was that one; I'm drawing a blank, sorry. Then musculoskeletal disorders, hearing loss, and in manufacturing a lot of the time they have very old machinery that they're dealing

with, old equipment, and it -- it takes a while for them to update the equipment usually, that a lot of people are saying that they do it and so they can no longer use it, and a lot of this old equipment lacks equipment guards, so there is a great potential for being harmed with that. And then small businesses. These are the cross-cutting issues. the ones that I saw that fall across a number of these, and I think that a lot of us -- we've talked about some of this today, you know, with musculoskeletal disorders crossing -organization of work, a lot of these are -- are -- well, they're all cross-cutting issues. And then with the new issues, these are things that we came across that are I guess new to NORA, new to -- or at least they're the now, sort of the things that are coming up and being They're contemporary, I guess. Global issues were talked about a lot, everything from harmonization, collaboration, any "ations", so a whole bunch. And then work life was -- was discussed a lot. It -- to have -- a healthy worker is a more productive worker, and a lot of employers would like to

25

see the healthy work life at -- the healthy life at home, as well as at work, and the employees as well. And then nanotechnology, you know, how do you measure it. There are so many aspects of nanotechnology because it's so new and it's -- it moves so quickly that that's a very top issue. And then immigrants, they're -- the percentage of immigrants and the issues that go along with that, the language barriers, culture barriers, it's such a -- an important topic right now because they -- folks that do not speak English, it's not their first language, are quite a large percentage of the workforce, so communicating with them will -well, it will keep from them getting hurt as far as safety goes, but it'll also help with other people because it's not just the worker that is learning about safety. It's also the workers that that worker's working with, and I thought that was an interesting comment that someone had made regarding that. Then there's knowledge management, and I think that is probably one of the most important ones on this list. They -- folks talk about the management of knowledge, getting the word out to the

25

people that you need to get it to so that it's useful. You know, research for research's sake is not useful. It's -- well, as Dr. Howard would say, you know, that's -- we want an impact. We want to show impact. We don't want to do research for research's sake. So we need to get it out there. And there was somebody that talked about chloramines today, and he had mentioned that he -- this was a repeat presentation of someone who had done it before, and at the last one it actually -- you know, I was putting together this presentation, that last description had kind of stuck with me because the man described the issues with poultry and the chloramines, and he talked about how it took, you know, a period of time before they could figure out exactly what was going on. Chloramines didn't come to mind. That wasn't what they thought of first. And I think that that -- that right there, knowing that that is an issue and knowing that that's a problem, whether or not you know how it happens, you know that this is an issue when these symptoms happen and when these chemicals are present. So obviously the information was

24

25

not getting to the people who needed to get the information. So I thought that that was a pretty good example of a place where that could have been improved, and it sounds like it actually has been improved, from their -- their discussions. Let's see -- oh, and most importantly in the knowledge management is all about research to practice, which -- there's the (unintelligible) -- which is a -- is a very important aspect of what we're doing here at It's to take the research -- to transfer NORA. the research and translate it -- translate the findings and then to put them out there, to put them in the workplace, to get them active and to get them to the people who need them the most.

And thank you all for staying. I appreciate your staying till the end of this -- you know, for my presentation. I wasn't sure if there'd be anybody left, but since it's a little earlier in the afternoon, I think that that may be -- I lucked out there. I think you guys have all heard about the e-news. It's really important, let's you know what's going on at NIOSH. If you want to provide additional

1	input, there's an e-mail the address is up
2	there, as well as the e-mail for the NORA
3	coordinator's office. And I appreciate your
4	attention and I'd be glad to answer any easy
5	questions.
6	DR. LUM: What a great line.
7	MS. FORRESTER: Wonder where I got that from?
8	Anybody
9	DR. LUM: Any questions? Did anyone come in
10	that would like to testify during this would
11	like to say something yes. Would you
12	upstairs or do you want to stand where you are?
13	UNIDENTIFIED: This is Shelley Davis, she'd
14	like to
15	DR. LUM: Would you care to go up to the podium
16	or
17	MS. DAVIS: Yes.
18	DR. LUM: would you rather stand here and
19	(unintelligible) be more comfortable?
20	MS. DAVIS: (Off microphone) (Unintelligible)
21	wireless mike?
22	DR. LUM: Wireless? It's up to you.
23	MS. DAVIS: Sure, whatever, that'll be fine.
24	Thank you very much.
25	Good afternoon, my name is Shelley Davis. I'm

24

25

the deputy director of the Farmworker Justice Fund. In 2003 -- 2004 the Associated Press surprised the nation by saying that Mexican immigrant workers in the United States had the highest fatality rate of any occupational group. As was just mentioned, immigrant workers have particular occupational health and safety needs. They often work in the most hazardous jobs and due to language, culture and other barriers, receive inadequate training. I want to focus my remarks on a particular segment of the immigrant workforce, which is the nation's 2.5 million migrant and seasonal farm workers. Agriculture consistently ranks as one of the three most hazardous occupations in the nation. (Unintelligible) for example the combined category of agriculture, forestry and fishing had a fatality rate of 31.2 per -cases per 100,000 workers. And with regard to non-fatal on-the-job injuries, they had a rate of more than six per 100 workers, including 3.7 per 100 workers of lost-time injuries. (Unintelligible) fatal injuries with (unintelligible) leading cause was agricultural vehicles, both tractors and, for hired farm

24

25

workers, the vehicles used to transport them to and from the fields. Here's the non-fatal injuries. Because of the kinds of work they do -- harvesting, pruning, sorting, packing -they suffer a host of sprain and strain, musculoskeletal injuries, eye injuries from debris, cuts and lacerations from machetes, contusions, amputations from farm equipment, chemical-related illnesses from pesticides. This workforce is primarily an immigrant workforce. The National Agricultural Worker Survey estimates that 78 percent of farm workers come from Mexico and Latin America. And 81 percent speak Spanish as their native language, and then only 25 percent understand English well enough to obtain information in that language.

There are also particular structural reasons in agriculture which make it particularly hazardous. The industry has gone to a great dependence on labor intermediaries or crew leaders that recruit, hire and transport the workers. And these crew leaders oftentimes are former farm workers themselves, with only a battered school bus or van as their assets to

24

25

transport the workers, and they frequently don't receive enough compensation from the growers to provide adequate workplace safety. The second reason is that the National Agricultural Worker Survey (unintelligible) 52 percent of the farm workforce isn't documented. And these, you know, (unintelligible) workers are loathe to complain about unsafe workplace conditions, even to their employers, let alone to government investigators. Also language, culture, mobility, short tenure at any given workplace all combine to make the conditions hazardous so that workers are not adequately trained, are often unfamiliar with workplace conditions, and don't have trusted sources that they can turn to for assistance. In addition, agricultural workers lack union representation. Only two percent are union members. result, few gain protections from collective bargaining, and most federal and state labor laws, partial or whole, exclude agricultural workers. In OSHA, for example, there are only seven OSHA standards that apply in agriculture, even though many other standards cover conditions that are equally prevalent in

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

agriculture. For example, fall protection, (unintelligible), electrocution, et cetera. So in these context researchers could play a very important role in identifying the causes of injuries and developing low-cost interventions that could really improve occupational health and safety in agricultural workplace.

We at Farmworker Justice have been participating over the last 18 months in a NIOSH-funded community participatory research project working with indigenous workers in Oregon, and we've really seen first-hand the value of that, the key role played by the workers themselves in voicing their concerns and identifying the kinds of interventions they're looking for and what they would use. We've also been working across the nation over the last year with researchers and advocates and funders to try to develop an agricultural research agenda. And we've found that oftentime researchers and advocates live in two very different camps and don't communicate. And so the research that's done is not really addressing the key issues and is not being

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

utilized.

So from these experiences we'd like to just cull out a few recommendations for the NIOSH NORA. First, we think it's extremely important that NIOSH fund community participatory research projects, that researchers involve the targeted workers and their representatives from the outset in designing the project, in developing interventions and testing them, in making sure that this is the kind of issue the workers think of as important, and that the solutions are low-cost and easy to implement and things that are likely to continue after the research project is done. That they involve community-based organizations that know where these workers are and are trusted by these workers 'cause otherwise you won't get community participation and buy-in, and that is really critical to the usefulness of the project.

There are also particular areas that are worth focusing on. First, because of the primacy of motor vehicle accidents in workplace fatalities, that should be an issue that's -- that's given attention, as is musculoskeletal

disorders, eye injuries, traumatic injuries, heat-related illness and the other major causes of occupational injury and illness in the agricultural workplace.

There's also a real paucity of data and the National Agricultural Worker Survey is one good example, but it's very limited in the area of occupational health and safety, in part because funding for safety issues has only been sporadic. So joining forces to put some money into the NAWS as a continuing datastream would be very helpful, as would be long-term epidemiological research that focuses on agricultural workers, even when they return home to Mexico, because many workers, once they become ill or disabled, do return home. And so the adverse health effects they suffer is lost to researchers who only focus on active workers or workers in the United States.

Finally, I'd just like to say that we really encourage you to continue supporting the environmental justice grants and other similar funding streams that allow you to tap into researchers that are working with community-based organizations that have close connections

to the targeted workforce that can really involve the workers themselves in the projects. Thank you very much.

DR. LUM: Thanks very much. Thank you. I noticed some folks that walked in late. Again I'll ask, is there anyone that we haven't heard from today who would like to come forward and - please.

MR. ELLIS: Good afternoon. My name's Mark
Ellis and I'm president of the Industrial
Minerals Association of North America, which is
a trade organization that represents producers
and processors of industrial minerals. We also
represent the manufacturers of mining
equipment, railroads and trucking companies
that serve the industry, law firms, consulting
firms, media companies, and all of it is geared
towards producing minerals that are essential
for our everyday life.

These are such basic things as glass, ceramics, paints and coatings. They're the ingredients that are used in fertilizers, so it's basic building-block material and we're that silent part of the mining industry that you don't hear about all the time. Clearly you're familiar

with coal or your crushed stone, sand and gravel. But we're more the commodity that are used in manufacturing and agriculture.

The Association has strong commitment to occupational safety and health. We have a board established, a safety and health committee that reports to the board, and they're involved in a number of occupational safety and health issues. Typical kind of things are broken down into task forces. Some of the kinds of issues we deal with are dust control and ergonomics.

We participate in a number of partnerships with research agencies and enforcement agencies. We have an alliance with the Mine Safety and Health Administration and we're exploring a similar arrangement with the Occupational Safety and Health Administration. And we are engaged in numerous partnerships with NIOSH, including diesel particulate matter, noise, emergency mine communications and the like. I think that what I'd like to draw your attention to is our biggest safety and health challenges, and this is obviously where we need to make sure that these subjects are covered in

The task --

1 the occupational research agenda. 2 the town meetings that have been held around 3 the country -- we were unsuccessful as of yet 4 in getting one held for the mining industry, 5 and I understand that NIOSH is working hard to 6 try to make that happen, so we will be a 7 participant in that and we will encourage 8 others to be involved as well. 9 But the challenges that we face are not 10 unfamiliar. They're well-recognized 11 occupational safety and health challenges. 12 They've been around for centuries -- over-13 exposure to dust, over-exposure to crystalline 14 silica, noise-induced hearing loss. Probably 15 the biggest one that we're facing now and it is 16 partly a testament to how well we've done in 17 keeping the workplace safe and healthy is an 18 aging workforce, but that presents new issues 19 for us. 20 I think that NIOSH has done a lot of 21 progressive things under Dr. Howard, and I 22 think that one of the things that we feel is 23 important for the National Occupational 24 Research Agenda is something that focuses on 25 the research to practice initiative. It's very

important to take research and to translate that into something that's useable out in industry, and I know that that's something that Dr. Howard has moved very aggressively on in his tenure at NIOSH, and we applaud him for that and we encourage the agenda, as it's developed, to stay in that same line.

I think that the biggest problems we have right now are intervention strategies. We know what our problems are, but how do we break them.

And so I think that any research that can be done to determine what is an effective intervention strategy would be of great assistance to us.

Also control technologies. People have been knocking metal picks against hard rocks for centuries, and it makes a lot of noise, it makes dust, and we have yet to figure out a way to take the metal and coat it and make it so that when you bang it against the rock it doesn't make a noise or doesn't produce dust. But that's where the research is needed, trying to get things that either control the exposure or isolate the person who's conducting the work, better PPE -- you know, these are the

2 industry. 3 So I think I'll just close with that, and thank 4 you for listening to us and we hope that you'll 5 support our agenda. 6 DR. LUM: Thank you very much. Anyone else? 7 (No responses) 8 And finally, is there -- the remainder of the 9 folks that are in the audience, is there any 10 "aha" moments that you want to share with us 11 that you may have had or anything you want to 12 share before we close the meeting? 13 (No responses) 14 Not necessarily a testimony, something you 15 heard or you want to share with the audience, 16 anyone out there to do that? 17 (No responses) 18 ADJOURN 19 Well, if that's the end, I'd just like to say one more thing here. If Mary Ann could please 20 21 come up and, you know, we have to give you 22 something to take on the Metro, something --23 now this thing -- don't drop it on your foot, I

kind of things that would be of benefit to our

must say. But again, I think -- the short is

that we couldn't do our work without partners

1

24

25

1 like you guys helping us for ten years, helping 2 us get NORA together for the town hall and also 3 for the symposium. Thank you very much. Thank 4 you for your leadership, really. Thank you. 5 And now, if -- as Christy said, you know, it's 6 not just to thank you for coming, it's to thank 7 you for staying. Just look around, I don't 8 think motivation is the issue for us. People 9 are concerned about this. We appreciate you 10 staying. These are your -- the folks that 11 really make it happen for us. Please join us this coming decade in the NORA 12 13 symp-- at the NORA symposium in April and the 14 NORA agenda that's coming up for the next 15 decade. Thank you all very much. 16 (Whereupon, the meeting adjourned at 2:52 p.m.) 17 18 19

## CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

## STATE OF GEORGIA COUNTY OF FULTON

I, Steven Ray Green, Certified Merit Court Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported the above and foregoing on the day of March 13, 2006; and it is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony captioned herein.

I further certify that I am neither kin nor counsel to any of the parties herein, nor have any interest in the cause named herein.

WITNESS my hand and official seal this the  $31st\ day\ of\ March,\ 2006.$ 

\_\_\_\_\_

STEVEN RAY GREEN, CCR
CERTIFIED MERIT COURT REPORTER
CERTIFICATE NUMBER: A-2102