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## Academic Outcomes

# International Comparison of 4th- and 8th-Grade Performance in Mathematics 

U.S. 4th-graders showed no measurable change in mathematics from 1995 to 2003, while 8th-graders showed improvement over this period.

Hong Kong is a Special Administrative Region (SAR) of the People's Republic of China.
${ }^{2}$ Met international guidelines for participation rates only after replacement schools were included.
${ }^{3}$ Country did not meet international sampling or other guidelines.
${ }^{4}$ National desired population does not cover all of the international desired population.
${ }^{5}$ The international average reported here differs from that reported in Mullis et al. (2004) because England was deleted from the international average for not satisfying guidelines for sample participation rates.
NOTE:Countries were required to sample students in the upper of the two grades that contained the larger number of 9 - and 13 -year-olds. In the United States and most countries, this corresponds to grades 4 and 8 . See supplemental note 5 for more information on this study. For information on differences between TIMSS and the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) used in indicators 9 and 10 and the Program for International Student Assessment (PISA) used in indicator 13, see http://nces.ed.gov/timss/pdf/ naep_timss_pisa_comp.pdf.
SOURCE:U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. (2004). Highlights From the Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) 2003 (NCES 2005-005), table 3.Data from the International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA), TIMSS 1995, 1999, and 2003 assessments.


FOR MORE INFORMATION:
Supplemental Note 5
Supplemental Tables 11-1, 11-2
NCES 2005-112
Mullis et al. 2004

The Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) conducted in 2003 assessed students' mathematics performance at grade 4 in 25 countries and at grade 8 in 45 countries. The assessment is curriculum based and measures what students have actually learned against what is expected to be typically taught in the participating countries by the end of grades 4 and 8 .
U.S. students at grades 4 and 8 scored above the international average in 2003 (see supplemental table 11-1). U.S. 4th-graders scored higher, on average, than students in 13 countries, while students in 11 countries outperformed U.S. students. At grade 8, the average U.S. mathematics score was higher than those of students in 25 countries, but below the average scores of students in 9 countries.

While the international average scores of males and females were similar at grades 4 and 8 in 2003, there were measurable differences in a few countries. At grade 4, males outperformed females in the United States and two other countries, while females outperformed males only in Armenia. At grade 8 , no measurable difference was detected between the U.S. average scores of males and females;
males outperformed females in five countries and females outperformed males in four countries.
TIMSS previously assessed students in mathematics at grade 4 in 1995 and at grade 8 in 1995 and 1999. Comparing 2003 scores with these scores provides additional perspective on U.S. students' performance. For example, although there was no measurable difference between U.S. 4th-graders' average scores in 1995 and 2003, the United States' standing declined relative to the 14 other countries participating in both assessments. In 1995, students in four of these countries outperformed U.S. students on average, compared with students in seven countries outperforming U.S. students in 2003 (see supplemental table 11-2).
At grade 8, average U.S. mathematics scores increased from 1995 to 2003. No difference was detected in average scores between 1999 and 2003, indicating that the increase occurred primarily between 1995 and 1999. The standing of U.S. 8th-graders between 1995 and 2003 increased relative to the 22 other countries participating in both assessments: in 1995, students in 12 countries outperformed U.S. students, while students in 7 countries outperformed U.S. students in 2003.

| Average score relative to the United States | Country and score |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Singapore | 605 | Chinese Taipei | 585 | Netherlands ${ }^{2}$ | 536 |
| Significantly higher | Korea, Republic of | 589 | Japan | 570 | Estonia | 531 |
|  | Hong Kong SAR ${ }^{1,2}$ | 586 | Belgium-Flemish | 537 | Hungary | 529 |
| Not significantly different | Malaysia | 508 | Australia | 505 | Scotland ${ }^{2}$ | 498 |
|  | Latvia | 508 | United States ${ }^{3}$ | 504 | Israel ${ }^{3}$ | 496 |
|  | Russian Federation | 508 | Lithuania ${ }^{4}$ | 502 | New Zealand | 494 |
|  | Slovak Republic | 508 | Sweden | 499 |  |  |
| Significantly lower | Slovenia | 493 | Cyprus | 459 | Palestinian National |  |
|  | Italy | 484 | Macedonia, Republic of ${ }^{3}$ | 435 | Authority | 390 |
|  | Armenia | 478 | Lebanon | 433 | Chile | 387 |
|  | Serbia | 477 | Jordan | 424 | Morocco ${ }^{3}$ | 387 |
|  | Bulgaria | 476 | Iran, Islamic Republic of | 411 | Philippines | 378 |
|  | Romania | 475 | Indonesia ${ }^{4}$ | 411 | Botswana | 366 |
|  | International average ${ }^{5}$ | 466 | Tunisia | 410 | Saudi Arabia | 332 |
|  | Norway | 461 | Egypt | 406 | Ghana | 276 |
|  | Moldova, Republic of | 460 | Bahrain | 401 | South Africa | 264 |

## International Comparison of 4th- and 8th-Grade Performance in Mathematics

Table 11-1. Average mathematics scores of 4th- and 8th-grade students, by sex and country: 2003

| Country | Grade 4 |  |  |  | Grade 8 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sex |  |  |  | Sex |  |  |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Male-female difference ${ }^{1}$ | Total | Male | Female | Male-female difference ${ }^{1}$ |
| International average ${ }^{2}$ | 495* | 496 | 495 | 1 | 466* | 466 | 467 | -1 |
| Armenia | 456* | 450 | 462 | -12 | 478* | 473 | 483 | -10 |
| Australia ${ }^{3}$ | 499* | 500 | 497 | 3 | 505 | 511 | 499 | 13 |
| Bahrain | - | - | - | † | 401* | 385 | 417 | -33 |
| Belgium-Flemish | 551* | 552 | 549 | 2 | 537* | 542 | 532 | 11 |
| Botswana | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | 366* | 365 | 368 | -3 |
| Bulgaria | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | 476* | 477 | 476 | 1 |
| Chile | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | 387* | 394 | 379 | 15 |
| Chinese Taipei | 564* | 564 | 564 | -1 | 585* | 582 | 589 | -7 |
| Cyprus | 510* | 514 | 505 | 9 | 459* | 452 | 467 | -16 |
| Egypt | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | 406* | 406 | 407 | -1 |
| England ${ }^{3}$ | 531* | 532 | 530 | 2 | - | - | - | $\dagger$ |
| Estonia | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | 531* | 530 | 532 | -2 |
| Ghana | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | 276* | 283 | 266 | 17 |
| Hong Kong SAR ${ }^{3,4}$ | 575* | 575 | 575 | \# | 586* | 585 | 587 | -2 |
| Hungary | 529* | 530 | 527 | 3 | 529* | 533 | 526 | 7 |
| Indonesia ${ }^{5}$ | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | 411* | 410 | 411 | -1 |
| Iran, Islamic Republic of | 389* | 386 | 394 | -8 | 411* | 408 | 417 | -9 |
| Israel $^{6}$ | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | 496 | 500 | 492 | 8 |
| Italy | 503* | 507 | 498 | 9 | 484* | 486 | 481 | 6 |
| Japan | 565* | 566 | 563 | 4 | 570* | 571 | 569 | 3 |
| Jordan | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | 424* | 411 | 438 | -27 |
| Korea, Republic of | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | 589* | 592 | 586 | 5 |
| Latvia | 536* | 536 | 536 | -1 | 508 | 506 | 511 | -6 |
| Lebanon | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | 433* | 439 | 429 | 10 |
| Lithuania ${ }^{5}$ | 534* | 536 | 535 | 1 | 502 | 499 | 503 | -5 |
| Macedonia, Republic of ${ }^{6}$ | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | 435* | 431 | 439 | -9 |
| Malaysia | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | 508 | 505 | 512 | -8 |
| Moldova, Republic of | 504* | 499 | 510 | -11 | 460* | 455 | 465 | -10 |
| Morocco ${ }^{6}$ | 347* | 350 | 344 | 6 | 387* | 393 | 381 | 12 |
| Netherlands ${ }^{3}$ | 540* | 543 | 537 | 6 | 536* | 540 | 533 | 7 |
| New Zealand | 493* | 494 | 493 | \# | 494 | 493 | 495 | -3 |
| Norway | 451* | 454 | 449 | 5 | 461* | 460 | 463 | -3 |
| Palestinian National Authority | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | 390* | 386 | 394 | -8 |
| Philippines | 358* | 352 | 364 | -12 | 378* | 370 | 383 | -13 |
| Romania | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | 475* | 473 | 477 | -4 |
| Russian Federation | 532* | 534 | 530 | 4 | 508 | 507 | 510 | -3 |
| Saudi Arabia | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | 332* | 336 | 326 | 10 |
| Scotland ${ }^{3}$ | 490* | 496 | 485 | 11 | 498 | 495 | 500 | -5 |
| Serbia | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | 477* | 473 | 480 | -7 |
| Singapore | 594* | 590 | 599 | -8 | 605* | 601 | 611 | -10 |

See notes at end of table.

## International Comparison of 4th- and 8th-Grade Performance in Mathematics

Table 11-1. Average mathematics scores of 4th- and 8th-grade students, by sex and country: 2003—Continued

| Country | Grade 4 |  |  |  | Grade 8 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Sex |  |  | Total | Sex |  |  |
|  |  | Male | Female | Male-female difference ${ }^{1}$ |  | Male | Female | Male-female difference ${ }^{1}$ |
| Slovak Republic | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | 508 | 508 | 508 | \# |
| Slovenia | 479* | 481 | 477 | 5 | 493* | 491 | 495 | -3 |
| South Africa | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | 264* | 264 | 262 | 3 |
| Sweden | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | 499 | 499 | 499 | 1 |
| Tunisia | 339* | 337 | 342 | -5 | 410* | 423 | 399 | 24 |
| United States ${ }^{3,6}$ | 518 | 522 | 514 | 8 | 504 | 507 | 502 | 6 |

- Not available.
$\dagger$ Not applicable.
\# Rounds to zero.
* Significantly different from the United States ( $\mathrm{p}<.05$ ).
${ }^{1}$ Difference is calculated by subtracting the average for females from the average for males using unrounded numbers.
${ }^{2}$ At the 8th-grade level, the international average reported here differs from that reported in Mullis et al. (2004) because England was deleted from the international average for not satisfying guidelines for sample participation rates.
${ }^{3}$ Met international guidelines for participation in 2003 only after replacement schools were included. England at grade 8 did not meet international guidelines for participation rates even after replacement schools were included.
${ }^{4}$ Hong Kong is a Special Administrative Region (SAR) of the People's Republic of China.
${ }^{5}$ National desired population does not cover all of the international desired population.
${ }^{6}$ Country did not meet international sampling or other guidelines in 2003.
NOTE: Countries were required to sample students in the upper of the two grades that contained the larger number of 9 - and 13 -year-olds. In the United States and most countries, this corresponds to grades 4 and 8 . Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. See supplemental note 5 for more information on this study.
SOURCE:U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. (2004). Highlights From the Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TMMS) 2003 (NCES 2005-005), tables 2,3, C1, C2, C7, and C10 and unpublished tabulation (November 2004). Data from the International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA),TIMSS 1995, 1999, and 2003 assessments.


## International Comparison of 4th- and 8th-Grade Performance in Mathematics

Table 11-2. Average mathematics scores of 4th-grade students in 1995 and 2003 and of 8th-grade students in 1995, 1999, and 2003 and change in score since 1995 in grade 4 and since 1995 and 1999 in grade 8, by country

| Country | Grade 4 |  |  | Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1995 | 2003 | 1995-2003 <br> difference ${ }^{1}$ | 1995 | 1999 | 2003 | 1995-2003 difference ${ }^{1}$ | 1999-2003 <br> difference ${ }^{1}$ |
| Australia ${ }^{2,3,4}$ | 495* | 499* | 4 | 509* | - | 505 | -4 | $\dagger$ |
| Belgium-Flemish | - | - | $\dagger$ | 550* | 558* | 537* | $-13^{* *}$ | $-21^{* *}$ |
| Bulgaria ${ }^{2}$ | - | - | $\dagger$ | 527* | 511 | 476* | -51** | $-34^{* *}$ |
| Chile | - | - | $\dagger$ | - | 392* | 387* | $\dagger$ | -6 |
| Chinese Taipei | - | - | $\dagger$ | - | 585* | 585* | $\dagger$ | \# |
| Cyprus | 475* | 510* | $35^{* *}$ | 468* | 476* | 459* | $-8^{* *}$ | $-17^{* *}$ |
| England ${ }^{3}$ | 484* | 531* | 47** | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| Hong Kong SAR ${ }^{3,5}$ | 557* | 575* | 18** | 569* | 582* | 586* | $17^{* *}$ | 4 |
| Hungary ${ }^{2}$ | 521 | 529* | 7 | 527* | 532* | 529* | 3 | -2 |
| Indonesia ${ }^{6}$ | - | - | $\dagger$ | - | 403* | 411* | $\dagger$ | 8 |
| Iran, Islamic Republic of | 387* | 389* | 2 | 418* | 422* | 411* | -7 | $-11^{* *}$ |
| \|srael ${ }^{7}$ | - | - | $\dagger$ | - | 466* | 496 | $\dagger$ | 29** |
| Italy ${ }^{7}$ | - | - | $\dagger$ | - | 479* | 484* | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| Japan | 567* | 565* | -3 | 581* | 579* | 570* | $-11^{* *}$ | $-9^{* *}$ |
| Jordan | - | - | $\dagger$ | - | 428* | 424* | $\dagger$ | -3 |
| Korea, Republic of | - | - | $\dagger$ | 581* | 587* | 589* | 8** | 2 |
| Latvia-LSS ${ }^{2,8}$ | 499* | 533* | $34^{* *}$ | 488 | 505 | 505 | $17^{* *}$ | \# |
| Lithuania ${ }^{6}$ | - | - | $\dagger$ | 472* | 482* | 502 | $30^{* *}$ | 20** |
| Macedonia, Republic of ${ }^{2}$ | - | - | $\dagger$ | - | 447* | 435* | $\dagger$ | $-12^{* *}$ |
| Malaysia | - | - | $\dagger$ | - | 519* | 508 | $\dagger$ | -11 |
| Moldova, Republic of | - | - | $\dagger$ | - | 469* | 460* | $\dagger$ | -9 |
| Netherlands ${ }^{2,3}$ | 549* | 540* | -9** | 529* | 540* | 536* | 7 | -4 |
| New Zealand ${ }^{9}$ | 469* | 496* | 26** | 501 | 491 | 494 | -7 | 3 |
| Norway | 476* | 451* | $-25 * *$ | 498 | - | 461* | $-37 * *$ | $\dagger$ |
| Philippines | - | - | $\dagger$ | - | 345* | 378* | $\dagger$ | $33^{* *}$ |
| Romania ${ }^{2}$ | - | - | $\dagger$ | 474* | 472* | 475* | 2 | 3 |
| Russian Federation | - | - | $\dagger$ | 524* | 526* | 508 | $-16^{* *}$ | $-18^{* *}$ |

See notes at end of table.

## International Comparison of 4th- and 8th-Grade Performance in Mathematics

Table 11-2. Average mathematics scores of 4th-grade students in 1995 and 2003 and of 8th-grade students in 1995, 1999, and 2003 and change in score since 1995 in grade 4 and since 1995 and 1999 in grade 8, by country-Continued


- Not available.
$\dagger$ Not applicable.
\# Rounds to zero.
* Significantly different from the United States ( $\mathrm{p}<.05$ ).
** Average in 2003 is significantly different from the average in 1995 or 1999, respectively (p<.05).
${ }^{1}$ Difference is calculated by subtracting 1995 or 1999 estimate from 2003 estimate using unrounded numbers.
${ }^{2}$ Country did not meet international sampling or other guidelines in 1995, 1999, or 2003.
${ }^{3}$ Met international guidelines for participation rates in 2003 only after replacement schools were included. England at grade 8 did not meet international guidelines for participation rates even after replacement schools were included.
${ }^{4}$ Because of national-level changes in the starting age/date for school, 1999 data for Australia and Slovenia cannot be compared with 2003 data.
${ }^{5}$ Hong Kong is a Special Administrative Region (SAR) of the People's Republic of China.
${ }^{6}$ National desired population does not cover all of the international desired population.
${ }^{7}$ Because of changes in the population tested, 1995 data for Israel and Italy are not shown.
${ }^{8}$ Designated LSS (Latvian-speaking schools) because only Latvian-speaking schools were included in 1995. For this analysis, only Latvian-speaking schools are included in the 2003 average.
${ }^{9}$ In 1995, Maori-speaking students did not participate. Estimates in this table are computed for students taught in English only, which represents between 98 and 99 percent of the student population in both years.
${ }^{10}$ Because within classroom sampling was not accounted for, 1995 data are not shown for South Africa.
NOTE: Countries were required to sample students in the upper of the two grades that contained the larger number of 9 - and 13 -year-olds. In the United States and most countries, this corresponds to grades 4 and 8 . Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. See supplemental note 5 for more information on this study.
SOURCE:U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. (2004). Highlights From the Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TMMS) 2003 (NCES 2005-005), tables 4,5, C3, and C4. Data from the International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA),TIMSS 1995, 1999, and 2003 assessments.


## International Comparison of 4th- and 8th-Grade Performance in Mathematics

Table S11. Standard errors for the average mathematics scores of 8th-grade students, by country: 2003

| Country | Grade 8 |
| :---: | :---: |
| International average | 0.5 |
| Armenia | 3.0 |
| Australia | 4.6 |
| Bahrain | 1.7 |
| Belgium-Flemish | 2.8 |
| Botswana | 2.6 |
| Bulgaria | 4.3 |
| Chile | 3.3 |
| Chinese Taipei | 4.6 |
| Cyprus | 1.7 |
| Egypt | 3.5 |
| Estonia | 3.0 |
| Ghana | 4.7 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 3.3 |
| Hungary | 3.2 |
| Indonesia | 4.8 |
| Iran, Islamic Republic of | 2.4 |
| Israel | 3.4 |
| Italy | 3.2 |
| Japan | 2.1 |
| Jordan | 4.1 |
| Korea, Republic of | 2.2 |
| Latvia | 3.2 |
| Lebanon | 3.1 |
| Lithuania | 2.5 |
| Macedonia, Republic of | 3.5 |
| Malaysia | 4.1 |
| Moldova, Republic of | 4.1 |
| Morocco | 2.5 |
| Netherlands | 3.8 |
| New Zealand | 5.3 |
| Norway | 2.5 |
| Palestinian National Authority | 3.1 |
| Philippines | 5.2 |
| Romania | 4.8 |
| Russian Federation | 3.7 |
| Saudi Arabia | 4.6 |
| Scotland | 3.7 |
| Serbia | 2.6 |
| Singapore | 3.6 |
| Slovak Republic | 3.3 |
| Slovenia | 2.2 |
| South Africa | 5.5 |
| Sweden | 2.6 |
| Tunisia | 2.2 |
| United States | 3.3 |
| SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Natio International Association for the Evaluation | Study (TMSS) 2003 (NCES 2005-005), table C2. Data from the |

## International Comparison of 4th- and 8th-Grade Performance in Mathematics

Table S11-1. Standard errors for the average mathematics scores of 4th- and 8th-grade students, by sex and country:2003—Continued

| Country | Grade 4 |  |  |  | Grade 8 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Sex |  |  | Total | Sex |  |  |
|  |  | Male | Female | Male-female difference |  | Male | Female | Male-female difference |
| Slovak Republic | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | 3.3 | 4.0 | 3.4 | $\dagger$ |
| Slovenia | 2.6 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| South Africa | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | 5.5 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 5.8 |
| Sweden | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | 2.6 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 2.2 |
| Tunisia | 4.7 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 1.9 |
| United States | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 1.9 |

— Not available.
$\dagger$ Not applicable.
SOURCE:U.S.Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. (2004). Highlights From the Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) 2003 (NCES 2005-005), tables C1, C2, C7, and C10 and unpublished tabulation (November 2004). Data from the International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA), TIMSS 1995, 1999, and 2003 assessments.

## International Comparison of 4th- and 8th-Grade Performance in Mathematics

Table S11-2. Standard errors for the average mathematics scores of 4th-grade students in 1995 and 2003 and of 8th-grade students in 1995, 1999, and 2003 and change in score since 1995 in grade 4 and since 1995 and 1999 in grade 8, by country

| Country | Grade 4 |  |  | Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1995 | 2003 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 1995-2003 } \\ \text { difference } \end{array}$ | 1995 | 1999 | 2003 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 1995-2003 } \\ \text { difference } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 1999-2003 } \\ \text { difference } \end{array}$ |
| Australia | 3.4 | 3.9 | 5.2 | 3.7 | - | 4.6 | 6.0 | $\dagger$ |
| Belgium-Flemish | - | - | + | 5.9 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 6.5 | 4.1 |
| Bulgaria | - | - | + | 5.8 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 7.2 | 7.3 |
| Chile | - | - | † | - | 4.4 | 3.3 | $\dagger$ | 5.2 |
| Chinese Taipei | - | - | + | - | 4.0 | 4.6 | † | $\dagger$ |
| Cyprus | 3.2 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 2.4 |
| England | 3.3 | 3.7 | 5.0 | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | † |
| Hong Kong SAR | 4.0 | 3.2 | 5.0 | 6.1 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 7.0 | 5.4 |
| Hungary | 3.6 | 3.1 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 4.5 | 4.9 |
| Indonesia | - | - | † | - | 4.9 | 4.8 | † | 6.8 |
| Iran, Islamic Republic of | 5.0 | 4.2 | 6.5 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 4.5 | 4.2 |
| Israel | - | - | + | - | 3.9 | 3.4 | + | 5.2 |
| Italy | - | - | $\dagger$ | - | 3.8 | 3.2 | $\dagger$ | 4.9 |
| Japan | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Jordan | - | - | + | - | 3.6 | 4.1 | $\dagger$ | 5.5 |
| Korea, Republic of | - | - | $\dagger$ | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| Latvia | 4.6 | 3.1 | 5.5 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 5.2 | $\dagger$ |
| Lithuania | - | - | † | 4.1 | 4.3 | 2.5 | 4.8 | 5.0 |
| Macedonia, Republic of | - | - | + | - | 4.2 | 3.5 | $\dagger$ | 5.5 |
| Malaysia | - | - | + | - | 4.4 | 4.1 | † | 6.0 |
| Moldova, Republic of | - | - | $\dagger$ | - | 3.9 | 4.0 | $\dagger$ | 5.5 |
| Netherlands | 3.0 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 3.8 | 7.3 | 8.1 |
| New Zealand | 4.4 | 2.1 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 7.1 | 7.5 |
| Norway | 3.0 | 2.3 | 3.7 | 2.2 | - | 2.5 | 3.3 | $\dagger$ |
| Philippines | - | - | $\dagger$ | - | 6.0 | 5.2 | $\dagger$ | 7.8 |
| Romania | - | - | $\dagger$ | 4.6 | 5.8 | 4.8 | 6.6 | 7.4 |
| Russian Federation | - | - | $\dagger$ | 5.3 | 5.9 | 3.7 | 6.5 | 7.1 |
| Scotland | 4.2 | 3.3 | 5.3 | 5.7 | - | 3.7 | 6.7 | $\dagger$ |
| Singapore | 4.5 | 5.6 | 7.2 | 4.0 | 6.3 | 3.6 | 5.4 | 7.2 |
| Slovak Republic | - | - | $\dagger$ | 3.1 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 5.1 |
| Slovenia | 3.1 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 2.9 | - | 2.2 | 3.7 | $\dagger$ |
| South Africa | - | - | $\dagger$ | - | 6.8 | 5.5 | $\dagger$ | 8.4 |
| Sweden | - | - | $\dagger$ | 4.3 | - | 2.6 | 5.0 | $\dagger$ |
| Tunisia | - | - | † | - | 2.4 | 2.2 | $\dagger$ | 3.4 |
| United States | 2.9 | 2.4 | + | 4.7 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 5.8 | 5.2 |

[^0]
[^0]:    - Not available.
    $\dagger$ Not applicable.
    SOURCE:U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. (2004). Highlights From the Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TMMS) 2003 (NCES 2005-005), tables C3 and C4. Data from the International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA),TIMSS 1995, 1999, and 2003 assessments.

