## PREVENTING CHRONIC DISEASE

## PUBLIC HEALTH RESEARCH, PRACTICE, AND POLICY

## • REVIEWER CHECKLIST FOR LETTERS TO THE EDITOR •

1. □ Yes	□ No	1. Does the letter comment on an article previously published?
2. <b>□</b> Yes	□ No	2. Does it raise a valid point?
3. <b>□</b> Yes	□ No	3. Is the point worth publishing?
4. □ Yes	□ No	4. Does the letter report on original research? (If so, please consult the Reviewer Checklist for Original Research.)
5. □ Yes	□ No	5. Does the letter provide insight into public health interventions?
6. <b>U</b> Yes	□ No	6. Does it raise issues of interest to researchers?
7. □ Yes	□ No	7. Does it raise issues of interest to practitioners?
8. <b>□</b> Yes	□ No	8. Will it be of interest to our other readers (policy makers, community advocacy groups, and the general public)?
9. 🗆 Yes	□ No	9. Does it address health issues of a particular population?
10. □ Yes	□ No	10. Is it clear, concise and free of jargon?
11. <b>□</b> Yes	□ No	11. Is it well organized?
12. 🗖 Yes	□ No	12. Does the author present a good argument?
13. □ Yes	□ No	13. Does the letter cite published references to support the writer's argument?
14. 🗖 Yes	□ No	14. Are citations up-to-date and relevant?
15. 🗆 Yes	□ No	15. Does the letter warrant a reply from the author of the corresponding article?
16. □ Yes	□ No	16. Does the letter include a limited number of figures, tables, slides or other multime dia support?
17. □ Yes	□ No	17. If so, are the supporting materials used appropriately?

For PCD Office Use Only Manuscript Number: