"Kai M. A. Chan" <kaichan@stanford.edu> 12/11/2003 03:55:47 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Mabel E. Echols OMB_Peer_Review/OMB/EOP@EOP

cc:

Subject: proposed Bulletin for peer review

Joshua B. Bolten, Director, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, N.W., NEOB Room 10201, Washington, DC 20503

Dear Dr. Bolten,

I write to you as a conservation biologist at Stanford University regarding the proposed Bulletin "Peer Review and Information Quality".

As a scientist who has published several papers in peer-reviewed journals, I know how important the peer-review process can be. It can significantly improve the polish and quality of resulting publications. However, as a reviewer, I also know that the peer-review process is a costly and time consuming one. This is especially true when the item in review is not a finished piece of work--an article or report--but merely data, findings, or analyses.

Because of these major disadvantages of the process, the Bulletin will not only incur great costs, it will significantly slow the regulatory process. The research coming out of federal agencies is for the most part very high quality work. While their reports and publications should certainly be reviewed by independent peers, this is an inappropriate standard for data and analyses.

In addition to these concerns, there is one provision in particular that troubles me: the provision that excludes agency scientists from peer reviewing other work, but which allows industry scientists from regulated parties to serve as reviewers. Such a provision avoids one possible conflict of interest while clearly missing a much more significant conflict of interest.

Sincerely, Kai

Kai M. A. Chan 750 N. Shoreline Blvd., #152 Mountain View, CA 94043 USA Center for Conservation Biology Department of Biological Sciences Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305-5020

650.969.3515 fax 650.723.5920 kc@kchan.org www.kchan.org

kaichan@stanford.edu

"There are no passengers on spaceship Earth. We are all crew." - Marshall McLuhan $\,$

650.725.1783