NATIONAL CENTER FOR EDUCATION STATISTICS

Working Paper Series

The Working Paper Series was initiated to promote the sharing of the valuable work experience and knowledge reflected in these preliminary reports. These reports are viewed as works in progress, and have not undergone a rigorous review for consistency with NCES Statistical Standards prior to inclusion in the Working Paper Series.

NATIONAL CENTER FOR EDUCATION STATISTICS

Working Paper Series

Secondary Statistical Modeling With the National Assessment of Adult Literacy: Implications for the Design of the Background Questionnaire

Working Paper No. 2000-05

March 2000

Contact: Sheida White

Assessment Division

E-mail: sheida_white@ed.gov

U.S. Department of Education

Richard W. Riley Secretary

Office of Educational Research and Improvement

C. Kent McGuire Assistant Secretary

National Center for Education Statistics

Gary W. Phillips Acting Commissioner

The National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) is the primary federal entity for collecting, analyzing, and reporting data related to education in the United States and other nations. It fulfills a congressional mandate to collect, collate, analyze, and report full and complete statistics on the condition of education in the United States; conduct and publish reports and specialized analyses of the meaning and significance of such statistics; assist state and local education agencies in improving their statistical systems; and review and report on education activities in foreign countries.

NCES activities are designed to address high priority education data needs; provide consistent, reliable, complete, and accurate indicators of education status and trends; and report timely, useful, and high quality data to the U.S. Department of Education, the Congress, the states, other education policymakers, practitioners, data users, and the general public.

We strive to make our products available in a variety of formats and in language that is appropriate to a variety of audiences. You, as our customer, are the best judge of our success in communicating information effectively. If you have any comments or suggestions about this or any other NCES product or report, we would like to hear from you. Please direct your comments to:

National Center for Education Statistics Office of Educational Research and Improvement U.S. Department of Education 555 New Jersey Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20208

The NCES World Wide Web Home Page is http://nces.ed.gov

Suggested Citation

U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics. *Secondary Statistical Modeling With the National Assessment of Adult Literacy: Implications for the Design of the Background Questionnaire*, Working Paper No. 2000-05, by David Kaplan. Project Officer, Sheida White. Washington, DC: 2000.

March 2000

Foreword

In addition to official NCES publications, NCES staff and individuals commissioned by NCES produce preliminary research reports that include analyses of survey results, and presentations of technical, methodological, and statistical evaluation issues.

The *Working Paper Series* was initiated to promote the sharing of the valuable work experience and knowledge reflected in these preliminary reports. These reports are viewed as works in progress, and have not undergone a rigorous review for consistency with NCES Statistical Standards prior to inclusion in the Working Paper Series.

Copies of Working Papers can be downloaded as pdf files from the NCES Electronic Catalog (http://nces.ed.gov/pubsearch/), or contact Sheilah Jupiter at (202) 502-7444, e-mail: sheilah_jupiter@ed.gov, or mail: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Educational Research and Improvement, National Center for Education Statistics, 1990 K Street, NW, Room 9048, Washington, DC 20006.

Marilyn M. McMillen Chief Mathematical Statistician Statistical Standards Program Ralph Lee Mathematical Statistician Statistical Standards Program This page intentionally left blank.

Secondary Statistical Modeling With the National Assessment of Adult Literacy: Implications for the Design of the Background Questionnaire

Prepared by:

David Kaplan University of Delaware

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
National Center for Education Statistics

March 2000

This project was an activity of the Education Statistics Services Institute.

This page intentionally left blank.

Table of Contents

Forev	word	iii
Abstr	ract	viii
Introd	duction	1
1.	Issues of Respondent Sampling	
1.1	Issues in Clustered Sampling and Multilevel Modeling.	
1.2	Recommendations to NCES	3
2.	Issues of Selection Bias	4
2.1	Methods for Modeling Selection	5
2.2	Recommendations to NCES	7
3.	Issues of Measurement	7
3.1	Recommendations to NCES	9
4.	Issues Pertaining to Policy Modeling	10
4.1	Obtaining "Exogenous" Policy-Relevant Variables	11
4.2	Natural Metrics of Observed Variables	11
4.3	Recommendations to NCES	
5.	Issues Relating to Gauging Cohort Effects	12
5.1	Obtaining Retrospective Background Questions	12
5.2	Linking NAAL With Existing Longitudinal Surveys	
5.3	Recommendations to NCES	14
Refer	rences	15

Abstract

With the advent of simple-to-use advanced statistical software packages, it is becoming increasingly easy to specify and estimate complex statistical models for addressing substantive questions in adult literacy and other areas of education. Such problems as the role of literacy in voting behavior or the job market experiences of individuals with GEDs, can be addressed with relative ease. However, a thoughtful application of statistical models to educational data leads to the recognition that certain assumptions must be met for the model estimates to be useful for theoretical explanation and/or policy analysis. The purpose of this paper is to offer recommendations to the National Center for Education Statistics on the development of the background questionnaire for the National Assessment of Adult Literacy. The recommendations presented in this paper are from the viewpoint of a researcher interested in applying sophisticated statistical models to address important issues in adult literacy. This paper will focus on five issues: (1) sampling, (2) selection bias, (3) measurement, (4) policy analysis, and (5) cohort effects.

Introduction

With the advent of simple-to-use advanced statistical software packages, it is becoming increasingly easy to specify and estimate complex statistical models for addressing substantive questions in adult literacy as well as other areas of education. However, a thoughtful application of statistical models to educational data leads to the recognition that certain assumptions must be met for the model estimates to be useful for theoretical explanation and/or policy analysis. These assumptions concern the sampling of respondents, measurement of constructs, and selection bias, to name a few.

Pursuant to the Call for Papers on the National Assessment of Adult Literacy (NAAL), this paper provides technical recommendations to the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) as it plans for and executes the NAAL. These recommendations specifically take into account the application of advanced statistical modeling of secondary public use data.

The technical suggestions provided in this paper are guided by many of the recommendations summarized in NCES Working Paper No. 98–17 entitled "Developing the National Assessment of Adult Literacy: Recommendations from Stakeholders" (hereafter referred to as NCES 98–17). Specifically, this paper emphasizes the utility of the background questionnaire for basic research and policy studies. This report addresses the following five issues: (1) sampling, (2) selection bias, (3) measurement, (4) policy modeling, and (5) cohort effects.

1. Issues of Respondent Sampling

The issue of sampling discussed in this section concerns the ability to draw accurate inferences about the population of adults. Fortunately, solutions to this problem are relatively straightforward. Specifically, many national educational surveys employ multistage clustered sampling with schools sampled first, followed by the sampling of students and teachers within those schools. Drawing proper inferences from data generated from such sampling designs not only requires proper statistical modeling, such as multilevel modeling, but also requires the incorporation of sampling weights.

The issue of sampling weights also applies to data drawn from other multistage cluster designs, such as the household survey sample that will be utilized for NAAL. As noted in NCES 98–17, there is a desire to assess underrepresented groups based on specific policy needs. These groups include rural adults, adults in welfare-to-work programs, Native Americans, blacks, and Hispanics, to name a few. The issue of oversampling is subtle, but clearly important if one wishes to make accurate inferences about underrepresented populations.

In the context of advanced statistical modeling, it is essential that oversampled groups not exert undue influence on statistical estimates or conclusions of model adequacy. To mitigate this problem it will be essential to provide the sampling weights for all respondents in the survey. Many statistical software packages allow for the incorporation of sampling weights in regression-based methodologies.

1.1 Issues in Clustered Sampling and Multilevel Modeling

With the use of multilevel modeling for the analysis of hierarchical data (Bryk and Raudenbush 1992), great flexibility now exists to study educational issues in the context of the organizational structure of education. Surveys sponsored by the NCES, such as the NELS:88, sample respondents in ways that reflect the natural structure of educational organizations, viz., students nested in schools. Indeed, relative to the early discussion, such datasets also contain appropriate weights at each level and utilize software programs such as hierarchical linear modeling (HLM) (Bryk 1996).

With regard to the structure of the NAAL background questionnaire, the question is whether the proposed sampling design yields natural organizational structures that might lend themselves to the application of multilevel modeling. For example, the National Adult Literacy Survey (NALS) defined a four-stage stratified sampling design in which primary sampling units (PSUs) consisted of geographic clusters of one or more adjacent counties (first stage). This was followed by Census blocks within counties (second stage), households within Census blocks (third stage), and finally, adults within households (fourth stage). To the extent that these PSUs represent groups of substantive importance to issues of literacy, it may be useful to examine variation in respondent literacy as a function of demographic characteristics, such as poverty levels, captured by the PSUs.

An application of multilevel modeling to the NALS data was recently reported by Sheehan-Holt and Smith (1999). In their analysis, they used census blocks (segments) as the contextual, or level-two, unit of analysis. They argued that census blocks, as defined in the NALS sampling design, could serve as a proxy for neighborhood, but noted that census block level variables were not collected. In their case, they created a "neighborhood average income" based on the income reported by respondents within the segments.

1.2 Recommendations to NCES

Recommendations for NCES in the construction of the NAAL survey are twofold. First, it is crucial that the public-use NAAL data contain all appropriate sampling weights in order for accurate inferences based on advanced secondary statistical analyses to be made. The literature is clear regarding the problem associated with inaccurate inferences when sampling weights are ignored. Every effort should be made to encourage the use of sampling weights in secondary analyses.

Second, there is no doubt as to the importance of examining contextual effects on the distribution of literacy ability in the population. In terms of the design of the next background questionnaire, every attempt should be made to link the NAAL survey to census-based surveys so that more detailed neighborhood variables can be collected. Indeed, recent research by Archbald, Kaplan, and Nakib (conducted under OERI grant # R308F60010, 1996-1998) showed how it was possible to link the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) to census data and ultimately to the district data codebook. This allowed for the incorporation of data at the level of the school district to be merged with data from the NAEP background questionnaire for the purposes of multilevel modeling. We strongly recommend that the NAAL provide similar links to allow for the development of contextual models of adult literacy.

If interest centers on the variation of respondent literacy as a function of group-level characteristics, such as GED programs, then it is required that a different form of sampling be employed. For example, some form of clustered sampling of programs followed by respondents within programs would allow application of multilevel modeling methods. If such a sub-study is of relevance to the larger goals of NAAL, then the questionnaire should capture as many features of the group-level variables as possible. With regard to sampling weights, these should be made available at both the group and respondent levels.

One practical recommendation that comes from a consideration of sampling weights is that very clear guidelines regarding their use should be provided. That is, NCES should make available in their NAAL documentation clear instructions on how to incorporate sampling weights in secondary analyses of the data.

2. Issues of Selection Bias

The issue of selection bias refers to problems of inference that arise from the nonrandom participation of respondents and their assignment to groups. A recommendation that appeared in NCES 98–17 was that background data should provide information regarding participation in adult education programs as well as participation in citizenship activities. However, in both cases, individuals are not randomly assigned to groups that either participate or do not participate in these activities. As an example, consider the problem of literacy and voting (Kaplan and Venezky 1994; Venezky and Kaplan 1998). Before being able to study the impact of literacy on voting behavior, it is first necessary to recognize that the lack of random assignment into voting and non-voting groups hinder attempts to make claims about the role of literacy on voting behavior.

The difficulty with asserting claims in this case arises from the fact that those who state that they have voted are not a random sample of the general population who are eligible to vote. Thus, we need a model for estimating the probability of observing an affirmative response to the voting question. After accounting for age and citizenship requirements, it is clear that one cannot vote unless one is registered to vote. However, the probability of registering to vote is dependent on many factors that are not necessarily related to voting. Thus, without a voter registration question (and relevant predictors of registration) in the background questionnaire, it would be virtually impossible to account for nonrandom selection mechanisms within a statistical model of voting behavior. Although the Young Adult Literacy Survey (Kirsch and Jungeblut 1986) included a question on voter registration, the previous NALS background questionnaire did not include such an item.

The example of literacy and voting behavior is only one of a number of possible examples where selection bias can occur. As another example, consider a comparison of those who obtain General Educational Development (GED) credentials with high school graduates. In this case, while it may be reasonable to assume that high school graduates are a relatively representative group, it is clear that those who enroll in the GED program and obtain the

GED are not. That is, of those who do not obtain their high school diplomas, a nonrandom sample of those respondents will enter a course leading to the GED and still another nonrandom sub-sample of that group will earn GED credentials. Thus, a model that predicts the probability of obtaining a GED is needed to account for nonrandom assignment to these groups.

2.1 Methods for Modeling Selection

For completeness, it is useful to consider the variety of statistical approaches available to secondary users who wish to account for selection bias. Perhaps the most popular approach to the problem of nonrandom selection into treatment groups is the analysis-of-covariance (ANCOVA). With ANCOVA, the investigator is required to choose one or more concomitant variables (covariates) to be used in the analysis. In the GED example given above, one possible covariate might be a measure of the family socioeconomic status of the respondent—the argument being that only certain individuals with somewhat higher levels of family SES can afford to return to school to study for the GED. Scores on, say, document literacy, are adjusted for their relationship to the covariate(s) and the analysis-of-variance is conducted on the adjusted scores (Kirk 1995).

Although ANCOVA represents a classic approach to the problem of selection bias, it does have certain limitations. The most important limitation concerns the ANCOVA assumption of homogeneity of regression. Homogeneity of regression refers to the requirement that the relationship between the outcome and the covariate be the same for all groups under study. This is difficult from a practical standpoint since, as the number of covariates increases, it becomes increasingly unlikely that this assumption will be satisfied.

Another approach to selection modeling that has its roots in econometrics is the two-step approach of James Heckman (1976). The conceptual idea behind two-step modeling is that a substantive equation of interest is misspecified if it is missing a variable that accounts for the probability of observing the data. In the voting case, one may be interested only in the relationship between document literacy and educational level for those who voted. A regression model to study this question would be misspecified because the process of selection creates a disturbance term with a mean that is no longer zero and which is correlated with the predictor.

To correct for the specification error, two regression equations are specified: a selection model equation and a substantive model equation. In the selection model equation, a probit regression is conducted, regressing a qualitative group membership variable (voted/did not vote) on a set of variables assumed to predict group membership. A new variable is then formed for each person. Referred to as the hazard rate (Heckman 1976)¹, this variable represents the likelihood that an individual will be excluded from the sample. Next, the hazard rate is added to the substantive equation of interest and a standard ordinary least squares regression analysis is conducted.

Although Heckman's approach is a classic methodology for dealing with selection bias, it is known to suffer from statistical problems arising from the incorporation of the nonlinear based hazard rate into a linear equation. An approach that derives the conceptual benefit of the Heckman approach without the computational problems, and that is similar in many respects to ANCOVA, is the propensity score approach (Rosenbaum 1995; Rosenbaum and Rubin 1983).

The propensity score was proposed by Rosenbaum and Rubin as a means for balancing treatment and control groups with respect to covariates in nonrandomized experimental studies. The propensity score is based on the conditional probability of assignment to a treatment group given a set of observed covariates.

In a typical application of this approach, each observation is associated with a propensity to be assigned to the treatment group. The distribution of propensity scores is then usually divided into strata at the quintile points of the distribution and analyses of treatment group differences are conducted within strata². Comparisons of treatment group differences within and across strata provide evidence for whether or not the bias due to nonrandom selection into treatment groups has been accounted for by the propensity score adjustment. If, for example, groups are found to differ by a constant amount across quintiles, this can be taken as evidence that the groups differ beyond what can be explained by the process that assigned individuals to those groups. If, on the other hand, groups do not differ across quintiles, this can be taken as evidence that the selection mechanism is accounting for the group differences. Finally, if the size of the differences between groups varies across strata, this can then be taken as evidence that selection

_

¹ The hazard rate is derived from the expression of the conditional expectation function under truncation (Goldberger 1981). This expectation can be written as $E(y|\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}'\beta - \omega\lambda(z)$. In this expression $z = (c - \mathbf{x}'\beta)/\omega$, where c is a truncation point, \mathbf{x} is a vector of predictors, β is a vector of regression coefficients, and ω is the standard deviation of the disturbances. The hazard rate $\lambda(z)$ is the ratio of the probability density of z to the cumulative distribution of z (Berk and Ray 1982).

² For continuous distributions, strata sub-classification at the quintile points has been found to remove at least 90 percent of the bias due to nonrandom selection effects.

characteristics are interacting with group differences yielding differential effects on the outcome of interest. This is a classic example of the selection by treatment interaction.

A review of the substantive literature suggests that the propensity score approach has been used in such diverse fields as sociology, medicine, psychiatry, and economics. Recently, Hoffer (1994) used the propensity score approach in an analysis of educational tracking and Kaplan (in press) recently extended the propensity score approach to latent variable models.

2.2 Recommendations to NCES

Considering the ubiquity of selection bias in social and behavioral science research, it is essential that care be taken to consider relevant auxiliary variables that would constitute the selection equation. The examples of participation in adult education or citizenship activities are prototypical, but it would be relatively straightforward to generate other more subtle examples. The ANCOVA, Heckman two-step, and propensity score approaches for addressing selection bias have one thing in common—they require the measurement of concomitant variables. Thus, as consideration is given to the development of background items for NAAL, it is recommended that, for each question that categorizes respondents into groups, thought be given as to how respondents were allocated to those groups. Furthermore, auxiliary measures that predict that allocation should be included.

3. Issues of Measurement

It is often the case that behaviors and attitudes of respondents are desired. For example, in the NALS, questions were posed regarding individuals' self-perceptions of literacy ability. It may be of interest to determine the number of factors underlying self-perception of literacy ability. Such underlying factors, if they exist, will be fewer than the original set of variables used to measure those factors, and could be used in more parsimonious models linking self-perception to actual literacy skills as measured by the literacy assessments. The development and assessment of underlying constructs representing behaviors and attitudes constitutes an important part of secondary statistical analysis.

If the goal is to validate a set of underlying behaviors and attitudes, then it is essential that multiple measures, or observed variables, of those attitudes or opinions be obtained. Generally, the methodology used to validate the existence of underlying dimensions is *factor analysis*. From the point of view of utilizing factor analysis, a number of statistical issues need to be raised to help inform thinking about the development of the NAAL background questionnaire.

First, it is necessary to consider the issue of the identification of an underlying factor. The concern here is the extent to which a hypothesized factor could, in principle, be rejected on the basis of the observed data. Factor analysis requires that, for any given factor, at least three measured variables load on that factor. With respect to degrees-of-freedom, such a "three-variables-one-factor" model is just-identified—meaning that the factor loadings can be estimated, but the hypothesis of a single underlying factor cannot be formally tested. For the purpose of rejecting the hypothesis of a single underlying factor, at least four measured variables are required. In multiple-factor models, the situation is a bit less constrained, and it is possible to reject, say, a two-factor model as long as there are at least two measured variables per factor and the factors are allowed to correlate. In general, the principle is that in the development of a set of items that are hypothesized to measure an underlying set of factors, the more observed, or measured variables per factor that can be developed, the better.

The second issue concerns the metric of the measures. Typical metrics include five-point or seven-point *Likert scales*. The decision regarding the number of scale steps should be made on the basis of substantive considerations and a knowledge of the sample of respondents³. From a statistical point of view, a general principle is that the more scale steps comprising a measure, the better. However, it is no longer entirely necessary that items be measured on five-point or seven-point scales. Indeed, developments in factor analysis over the past 15 years allow for factor structures to be tested on measures that are dichotomous, ordered categorical (e.g., Likert scales), continuous, as well all combinations of these types (Muthén 1978, 1984).

The statistical requirement pertaining to the scale steps is that there be a hypothesized underlying response propensity for each measure. For example, consider the case where one wishes to assess reading habits. The NAAL questionnaire could ask respondents whether they read newspapers at least once a week, with the response choice being "yes" or "no". To incorporate this and other similar items into factor analysis, it is assumed that underlying the

dichotomous response is a propensity to respond "yes." The actual observation of a "yes" response occurs after a threshold is exceeded.

When considering the factor analysis of a correlation matrix based on, say, dichotomous response variables, it is not correct to simply analyze the Pearson product-moment correlation matrix of the data. Indeed, such an analysis could result in the extraction of so-called "difficulty factors". Instead, it is necessary to calculate different types of correlations that account for the scale type under the assumption of the underlying response propensity. For example, the correlation between two dichotomous variables with an underlying normally distributed response propensity is referred to as a tetrachoric correlation. Similarly, the correlation between two Likert-scale variables assuming a continuous response propensity underlying each variable is referred as a polychoric correlation. Under the assumption of the underlying response propensity, the analysis of these (and other similar) types of correlations provide accurate assessment of the underlying factor structure of the data.

In the context of confirmatory factor analysis or structural equation modeling, more complicated estimation procedures are necessary for the analysis of these types of correlation matrices. A discussion of these estimation procedures is beyond the scope of this paper. It is sufficient to say that, without these specific estimation methods, which require very large sample sizes, the results may be quite inaccurate.

3.1 Recommendations to NCES

From the standpoint of a literacy researcher using NAAL secondary data, the issue of scale type is less important than the number of items needed to measure the factor. This is particularly true given the large sample size proposed for NAAL. Therefore, when building scales to measure underlying attitudes or behaviors, consideration

³ For example, in developing opinion or attitude items for young children, dichotomously scored items might be chosen because they would be easier for the child to understand.

⁴ The problem of "difficulty factors" is a classic psychometric problem arising from the factor analysis of dichotomous variables. The Pearson correlation between two such items is referred as the phi-coefficient. The problem is that if the dichotomous variables exhibit unequal response frequencies, a factor analysis of such data will yield a factor that is an artifact of the unequal responses.

should be given to the hypothesized number of factors and that at least four variables measuring each factor be included in the questionnaire.

4. Issues Pertaining to Policy Modeling

In this section, consideration in given to the use of NAAL data for policy studies. It is clear from a perusal of NCES 98–17 that a major purpose of NAAL is to inform federal and state policy on issues of adult literacy. Generally, policy-relevant information is conveyed through the use of accurate descriptive statistics and crosstabulations as well as linkages to other relevant data for the purpose of measuring trends in literacy. A discussion of linking the NAAL to other existing databases was discussed earlier in the context of respondent sampling.

Another approach to providing policy-relevant information is the use of advanced statistical models to simulate changes in policy-relevant variables and observe the effects of those changes on literacy outcomes of interest. I refer to such an exercise as *policy simulation modeling*, which can be defined as a method by which a statistical model is used for prediction purposes that have policy relevance. The use of advanced statistical models for policy studies has had a long tradition in economic modeling, but has been lacking in educational research. Generally speaking, any statistical model can be used for the purpose of policy simulation modeling. However, the conventional approach to statistical modeling in education has been to develop a model, estimate its parameters, test the statistical significance of components of the model, and then interpret it. In the case of structural equation modeling applied to educational data, it is often found that a process of model modification occurs in an effort to bring models that do not fit in line with the data. Rarely, if ever, is the final form of these models used to simulate alternative policy or clinical scenarios. Likewise, rarely are models judged on the basis of whether the results gleaned from alternative policy scenarios make any substantive sense.

Recently, Kaplan and Elliott (1997) argued for such an approach and demonstrated policy simulation modeling in the context of validating education indicators. In that analysis, Kaplan and Elliott determined a number of important issues that are relevant to the development of the NAAL questionnaire. These issues concern obtaining predictor, or exogenous, policy-relevant variables and the metric of policy-relevant variables, both of which will be discussed, in turn, below.

4.1 Obtaining "Exogenous" Policy-Relevant Variables

In consideration of the use of statistical models for policy simulation modeling, it is helpful to distinguish between the entire set of all possible predictor, or exogenous, variables and a subset of those predictor variables that may be viewed as policy-relevant. To a certain extent, this discussion is tempered by the fact that NAAL will be a cross sectional, as opposed to a longitudinal, study. In any case, it is clear that within the set of exogenous variables, certain variables (e.g., age) cannot, in principle, be manipulated by the investigator, while others (e.g., number of hours spent in literacy related activities), in principle, can.

A subtle feature of the problem is the extent to which variables that are considered policy-relevant are truly predictive. To a certain extent, the question of the *exogeneity* of a variable is an empirical one (Richard 1982). Not all variables that are termed exogenous truly are, and there are advanced exogeneity tests that allow this assumption to be assessed. However, in policy simulation modeling it is important that thought be given to the selection of variables that have policy relevance. This selection should only be guided by theory and the interaction of literacy policy specialists with survey research specialists and psychometricians.

4.2 Natural Metrics of Observed Variables

Another concern that emerges from a consideration of policy simulation modeling is the metric of the policy-relevant variables. If secondary statistical modeling is to be used for developing policy-relevant prediction models, then it is essential that observed variables be measured in their natural metrics. To be specific, many national surveys code sensitive variables into categories that render them almost useless for policy simulation modeling. For example, an important variable in an analysis of literacy outcomes is income. Understandably, income is a sensitive question. However, in collapsing income into large and arbitrary categories we render this variable useless for policy modeling.

It is understood that such advice may conflict with confidentiality issues. Nevertheless, it is important that this issue be discussed in designing the background questionnaire and that the statistical ramifications be understood.

Two possible approaches for addressing this issue would be to 1) develop more refined codes and/or 2) create restricted use datasets.

4.3 Recommendations to NCES

The issue discussed in this section concerns using secondary public-use data for basic policy research. If such an endeavor is deemed valuable, then it is essential that policy analysts and researchers supplement descriptive statistics with theoretically guided models that have policy relevance. The advanced statistical models are ripe for this use but require serious consideration of the types of variables and their metrics to be included in the background questionnaire. Thus, it is advised that, in the development of the background questionnaire, policy analysts in the field of adult literacy should meet with survey and measurement specialists to consider the development of policy-relevant variables for use in policy simulation modeling.

5. Issues Relating to Gauging Cohort Effects

Finally, it is important to develop measures that assess the extent of cohort effects. As justification for this, consider that a simple analysis of NALS data shows that there is a precipitous decline in literacy skills after the age of forty-five. This could very well be due to a differential growth rate in literacy for different age cohorts. Yet, with cross-sectional data, this differential growth rate hypothesis is hard to test. The section to follow will consider two approaches to aid in assessing cohort effects: obtaining retrospective background questions and linking NAAL with existing longitudinal surveys.

5.1 Obtaining Retrospective Background Questions

Considering that NAAL will be based on a cross-sectional design, the first approach which may be the most feasible would be to include retrospective questions in the background questionnaire. Such questions should be directed at ascertaining literacy related activities as well as job histories at key points in the lifecycle of respondents.

For example, it may be of interest to probe the job histories of respondents and to ascertain the literacy demands required for each job.

Retrospective questions have a number of serious problems that must be kept in mind (Bijleveld and van der Kamp 1998). First, it should be noted that the very nature of the response to a retrospective question is nonrandom. That is, what is being observed, or measured, are the responses of individuals who can reflect back on their histories. Even if the design had been longitudinal, attrition for any number of reasons would render the resulting sample of respondents a nonrandom sample.

Additional problems with retrospective designs relate to the unreliability of the responses. Such unreliability stem from the following sources: (1) *memory loss*, in which respondents simply forget specific events in their life histories. To mitigate against this problem, some have advocated the use of checklists to aid in recalling possible events; (1) *telescoping*, wherein respondents tend to report events as having happened more recently than they actually happened; and (3) *modification to fit a coherent scheme*, wherein respondents tend to interpret events to fit current perceptions of themselves (Bijleveld and van der Kamp 1998).

These problems render retrospective reports of questionable validity. However, the problems are mitigated by asking respondents to describe factual events to the extent possible. That is, assessments of job histories and literacy activities are more reliable than retrospective assessments of feelings and attitudes. So, instead of asking someone how he felt about reading when he was 10 years old, ask instead, "What was your first job?" "Did the job require reading?" "What types of material were you required to read on your first job?", etc. Although such detailed probing questions will not eliminate these biases, the strategy is known to mitigate them.

5.2 Linking NAAL With Existing Longitudinal Surveys

In the absence of conducting a longitudinal survey, a second approach to gauging cohort effects would be to consider linking a sub-sample of NAAL respondents to an ongoing longitudinal survey, such as the NCES National Educational Longitudinal Survey (NELS), the NCES High School and Beyond (HSB), or the U. S. Department of Labor's National Longitudinal Survey (NLS). To be certain, the feasibility of such a proposal is unclear. In addition, the literacy assessment contained in NAAL is not available in other surveys. Yet, with the development of

longitudinal statistical methodologies such as growth curve modeling (Muthen and Curran 1997) it is possible to examine growth in one domain (e.g., reading achievement) as it pertains to the prediction of a distant outcome such as document literacy. Moreover, linkages to other surveys would not require excessively large sample sizes. The benefits of seriously considering such linkages is that surveys such as NELS or NLS contain information regarding reading practices and other schooling measures. In addition, surveys such as NELS contain background parent questionnaire data that might be useful in examining predictors of adult literacy.

5.3 Recommendations to NCES

To gauge the extent of cohort effects, it is suggested that the NAAL background questionnaire obtain retrospective data on general and job-specific literacy related activities. It is advisable to construct retrospective questions that will prompt factual information to the fullest extent possible. Moreover, it is advisable to include possible checklists to aid in the recall of historical events. In addition, NCES should explore the possibility of linking a sub-sample of the NAAL respondents to existing surveys sponsored by NCES or the U.S. Department of Labor (e.g., NELS, HSB, NLS). Finally, NCES should explore the possibility of obtaining a sub-sample of each age cohort of the NALS survey and include these in the NAAL survey.

References

- Berk, R. A., and Ray, S. C. (1982). Selection Biases in Sociological Data. Social Science Research, 11: 352-398.
- Bijleveld, C. C. J. H., and van der Kamp, L. J. T. (1998). *Longitudinal Data Analysis: Designs, Models, and Methods*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.
- Bryk, A. S., and Raudenbush, S. W. (1992). *Hierarchical Linear models: Applications and Data Analysis Methods*.

 Newbury Park, CA.: Sage Publications.
- Goldberger, A. S. (1981). Linear Regression After Selection. *Journal of Econometrics*, 15: 357–366.
- Heckman, J. J. (1976). The Common Structure of Statistical Models of Truncation, Sample Selection, and Limited

 Dependent Variables and a Simple Estimator for Such Models. *The Annals of Economic and Social*Measurement, 5: 475–492.
- Hoffer, T. B. (1994). *Cumulative Effects of Secondary School Tracking on Student Achievement*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Sociological Association, Los Angeles, CA.
- Kaplan, D. (in press). On the Extension of the Propensity Score Adjustment Method for the Analysis of Group Differences in MIMIC Models. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*.
- Kaplan, D., and Elliott, P. R. (1997). A Model-based Approach to Validating Education Indicators Using Multilevel Structural Equation Modeling. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, 22: 323–348.
- Kaplan, D., and Ferguson, A. J. (1999). On the Utilization of Sample Weights in Latent Variable Models. Structural Equation Modeling, 6: 305–321.
- Kaplan, D., and Venezky, R. L. (1994). Literacy and Voting Behavior: A Bivariate Probit Model with Sample Selection. *Social Science Research*, 23: 350–367.
- Kirk, R. E. (1995). Experimental Design: Procedures for the Behavioral Sciences. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole Publishing.
- Kirsch, I. S, and Jungeblut, A. (1986). *Literacy: Profiles of America's Young Adults* (NAEP Report No. 16–PL–02). Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.
- Muthen, B. (1978). Contributions to Factor Analysis of Dichotomous Variables. *Psychometrika*, 43: 551–560.

- Muthen, B. (1984). A General Structural Equation Model with Dichotomous, Ordinal, Categorical, and Continuous Latent Variable Indicators. *Psychometrika*, 49: 115–132.
- Muthen, B. O., and Curran, P. J. (1997). General Longitudinal Modeling of Individual Differences in Experimental Designs: A Latent Variable Framework for Analysis and Power Estimation. *Psychological Methods*, 2: 71–402.
- Sherman, R., Condelli, L., and Koloski, J. (1998). *Developing the National Assessment of Adult Literacy:**Recommendations from Stakeholders (NCES 98–17). U.S. Department of Education. Washington, DC:

 NCES Working Paper.
- Richard, J. F. (1982). Exogeneity, Causality, and Structural Invariance in Econometric Modeling. In G. C. Chow

 P. Corsi (Eds.), *Evaluating the Reliability of Macro-economic Models*. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons.
- Rosenbaum, P. R., and Rubin, D. B. (1983). The Central Role of the Propensity Score in Observational Studies for Causal Effects. *Biometrika* 70: 41–55.

Rosenbaum, P. R. (1995). Observational Studies. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag.

- Sheehan-Holt, J. K., and Smith, M. C. (1999, April). *Using Multilevel Modeling to Investigate Predictors of Literacy in the National Adult Literacy Survey*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association. Montreal, Canada.
- Venezky, R. L., and Kaplan, D. (1998). Literacy Habits and Political Participation. In M. C. Smith (Ed.), *Literacy* for the 21st Century. Westport, CN: Greenwood Publishing Group.

Listing of NCES Working Papers to Date

Working papers can be downloaded as pdf files from the NCES Electronic Catalog (http://nces.ed.gov/pubsearch/). You can also contact Sheilah Jupiter at (202) 502-7444 (sheilah_jupiter@ed.gov) if you are interested in any of the following papers.

Listing of NCES Working Papers by Program Area

	Listing of NCES Working Papers by Frogram Area	
No.	Title	NCES contact
Raccalaur	reate and Beyond (B&B)	
98-15	Development of a Prototype System for Accessing Linked NCES Data	Steven Kaufman
Beginning	Postsecondary Students (BPS) Longitudinal Study	
98-11	Beginning Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study First Follow-up (BPS:96-98) Field Test Report	Aurora D'Amico
98-15	Development of a Prototype System for Accessing Linked NCES Data	Steven Kaufman
1999-15	Projected Postsecondary Outcomes of 1992 High School Graduates	Aurora D'Amico
Common	Core of Data (CCD)	
95-12	Rural Education Data User's Guide	Samuel Peng
96-19	Assessment and Analysis of School-Level Expenditures	William J. Fowler, Jr.
97-15	Customer Service Survey: Common Core of Data Coordinators	Lee Hoffman
97-43	Measuring Inflation in Public School Costs	William J. Fowler, Jr.
98-15	Development of a Prototype System for Accessing Linked NCES Data	Steven Kaufman
1999-03	Evaluation of the 1996-97 Nonfiscal Common Core of Data Surveys Data Collection, Processing, and Editing Cycle	Beth Young
Decennial	Census School District Project	
95-12	Rural Education Data User's Guide	Samuel Peng
96-04	Census Mapping Project/School District Data Book	Tai Phan
98-07	Decennial Census School District Project Planning Report	Tai Phan
Early Chi	ldhood Longitudinal Study (ECLS)	
96-08	How Accurate are Teacher Judgments of Students' Academic Performance?	Jerry West
96-18	Assessment of Social Competence, Adaptive Behaviors, and Approaches to Learning with Young Children	Jerry West
97-24	Formulating a Design for the ECLS: A Review of Longitudinal Studies	Jerry West
97-36	Measuring the Quality of Program Environments in Head Start and Other Early Childhood Programs: A Review and Recommendations for Future Research	Jerry West
1999-01	A Birth Cohort Study: Conceptual and Design Considerations and Rationale	Jerry West
2000-04	Selected Papers on Education Surveys: Papers Presented at the 1998 and 1999 ASA and 1999 AAPOR Meetings	Dan Kasprzyk
Education	Finance Statistics Center (EDFIN)	
94-05	Cost-of-Education Differentials Across the States	William J. Fowler, Jr.
96-19	Assessment and Analysis of School-Level Expenditures	William J. Fowler, Jr.
97-43	Measuring Inflation in Public School Costs	William J. Fowler, Jr.
98-04	Geographic Variations in Public Schools' Costs	William J. Fowler, Jr.
1999-16	Measuring Resources in Education: From Accounting to the Resource Cost Model Approach	William J. Fowler, Jr.
High Scho	ool and Beyond (HS&B)	
95-12	Rural Education Data User's Guide	Samuel Peng
1999-05	Procedures Guide for Transcript Studies	Dawn Nelson
1999-06	1998 Revision of the Secondary School Taxonomy	Dawn Nelson
HS Trans	cript Studies	
1999-05	Procedures Guide for Transcript Studies	Dawn Nelson
1999-06	1998 Revision of the Secondary School Taxonomy	Dawn Nelson

No.	Title	NCES contact
.	7170	
	nal Adult Literacy Survey (IALS)	M:1 D:1-1
97-33	Adult Literacy: An International Perspective	Marilyn Binkley
Integrated	Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)	
97-27	Pilot Test of IPEDS Finance Survey	Peter Stowe
98-15	Development of a Prototype System for Accessing Linked NCES Data	Steven Kaufman
	I	
National A	Assessment of Adult Literacy (NAAL)	
98-17	Developing the National Assessment of Adult Literacy: Recommendations from	Sheida White
	Stakeholders	
1999-09a	1992 National Adult Literacy Survey: An Overview	Alex Sedlacek
1999-09b	1992 National Adult Literacy Survey: Sample Design	Alex Sedlacek
1999-09c	1992 National Adult Literacy Survey: Weighting and Population Estimates	Alex Sedlacek
1999-09d	1992 National Adult Literacy Survey: Development of the Survey Instruments	Alex Sedlacek
1999-09e	1992 National Adult Literacy Survey: Scaling and Proficiency Estimates	Alex Sedlacek
1999-09f	1992 National Adult Literacy Survey: Interpreting the Adult Literacy Scales and Literacy	Alex Sedlacek
	Levels	
1999-09g	1992 National Adult Literacy Survey: Literacy Levels and the Response Probability	Alex Sedlacek
2000.05	Convention	CI II TTTIII
2000-05	Secondary Statistical Modeling With the National Assessment of Adult Literacy:	Sheida White
	Implications for the Design of the Background Questionnaire	
National A	Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)	
95-12	Rural Education Data User's Guide	Samuel Peng
97-29	Can State Assessment Data be Used to Reduce State NAEP Sample Sizes?	Steven Gorman
97-30	ACT's NAEP Redesign Project: Assessment Design is the Key to Useful and Stable	Steven Gorman
77 30	Assessment Results	Steven Gorman
97-31	NAEP Reconfigured: An Integrated Redesign of the National Assessment of Educational	Steven Gorman
	Progress	
97-32	Innovative Solutions to Intractable Large Scale Assessment (Problem 2: Background	Steven Gorman
	Questionnaires)	
97-37	Optimal Rating Procedures and Methodology for NAEP Open-ended Items	Steven Gorman
97-44	Development of a SASS 1993-94 School-Level Student Achievement Subfile: Using	Michael Ross
	State Assessments and State NAEP, Feasibility Study	
98-15	Development of a Prototype System for Accessing Linked NCES Data	Steven Kaufman
1999-05	Procedures Guide for Transcript Studies	Dawn Nelson
1999-06	1998 Revision of the Secondary School Taxonomy	Dawn Nelson
N	ALL ALL ALL ALLONG (NTEL CLOO)	
	Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 (NELS:88)	I (())
95-04	National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988: Second Follow-up Questionnaire Content	Jeffrey Owings
95-05	Areas and Research Issues National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988: Conducting Trend Analyses of NLS-72,	Jeffrey Owings
93-03	HS&B, and NELS:88 Seniors	Jenney Owings
95-06	National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988: Conducting Cross-Cohort Comparisons	Jeffrey Owings
75-00	Using HS&B, NAEP, and NELS:88 Academic Transcript Data	Jenney Ownigs
95-07	National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988: Conducting Trend Analyses HS&B and	Jeffrey Owings
, o .	NELS:88 Sophomore Cohort Dropouts	ouriey ownigs
95-12	Rural Education Data User's Guide	Samuel Peng
95-14	Empirical Evaluation of Social, Psychological, & Educational Construct Variables Used	Samuel Peng
	in NCES Surveys	Č
96-03	National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 (NELS:88) Research Framework and	Jeffrey Owings
	Issues	
98-06	National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 (NELS:88) Base Year through Second	Ralph Lee
	Follow-Up: Final Methodology Report	
98-09	High School Curriculum Structure: Effects on Coursetaking and Achievement in	Jeffrey Owings
	Mathematics for High School Graduates—An Examination of Data from the National	
0.7	Education Longitudinal Study of 1988	
98-15	Development of a Prototype System for Accessing Linked NCES Data	Steven Kaufman
1999-05	Procedures Guide for Transcript Studies	Dawn Nelson

1999-06 1998 Revision of the Secondary School Taxonomy 1999-15 Projected Postsecondary Outcomes of 1992 High School Graduates National Household Education Survey (NHES) 95-12 Rural Education Data User's Guide 96-13 Estimation of Response Bias in the NHES:95 Adult Education Survey 17he 1995 National Household Education Survey; Reinterview Results for the Adult Education Component 1991 National Household Education Survey (NHES:91) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Education, and Adult Education 196-20 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Program Participation, and Adult Education 196-21 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Program Participation, and Adult Education 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 196-29 197-03 1985 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 197-04 1996 Telephone Coverage Bias and Recorded Interviews in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 197-05 1991 and 1995 National Household Education Survey Questionnaires: NHES:91 Screene NHES:91 Adult Education, NHES:95 Basic Screener, and NHES:95 Adult Education 197-04 1993 National Household Education Survey Questionnaires: NHES:91 Screene NHES:91 Adult Education, NHES:95 Basic Screener, and NHES:95 Adult Education 197-05 197-06 1991 and 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 197-07 1991 and 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 197-08 1991 and Collection, Monitoring, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing i 1996 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 197-08 197-09 1981 Adult Education Survey (NHES:95) 1996 Design, Data Collection, Interview Timing, and Data Editing in the 1995 National 1995 Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 1996 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 1997-19 1996 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 1997-20 1996 National Household Education Survey (NHES:96) 1997-31 1997 National Household Education Survey (NHES:96) 1998 N	NCES contact
National Household Education Survey (NHES) 95-12 Rural Education Data User's Guide 96-13 Estimation of Response Bias in the NHES:95 Adult Education Survey 96-14 The 1995 National Household Education Survey: Reinterview Results for the Adult Education Component 1991 National Household Education Survey (NHES:91) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Education, and Adult Education 96-21 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Program Participation, and Adult Education 96-22 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Program Participation, and Adult Education 96-29 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 96-30 Comparison of Estimates from the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 97-02 Telephone Coverage Bias and Recorded Interviews in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-03 1991 and 1995 National Household Education Survey Questionnaires: NHES:91 Screene NHES:91 Adult Education, NHES:95 Basic Screener, and NHES:95 Adult Educating in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-05 Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-06 Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Design, Data Collection, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Posign, Data Collection, Interview Timing, and Data Editing in the 1995 National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Code Merge Files User's Guide 97-25 1996 National Household Education Survey (NHES:96) Questionnaires: Screener/Household and Library, Parent and Family Involvement in Education and Civic Involvement, Youth Civic Involvement, and Adult Civic Involvement Comparison of Estimates from the 1993 National Household Education and Civic Involvement, Youth Civic Involvement, and Adult Civic Involve	Dawn Nelson
95-12 Rural Education Data User's Guide 96-13 Estimation of Response Bias in the NHES:95 Adult Education Survey 96-14 The 1995 National Household Education Survey: Reinterview Results for the Adult Education Component 96-20 1991 National Household Education Survey (NHES:91) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Education, and Adult Education 96-21 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Program Participation, and Adult Education 96-22 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Program Participation, and Adult Education 96-29 Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Adults and 0- to 2-Year-Olds in th 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 96-30 Comparison of Estimates from the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 97-02 Telephone Coverage Bias and Recorded Interviews in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-03 1991 and 1995 National Household Education Survey Questionnaires: NHES:91 Screene NHES:91 Adult Education, NHES:95 Basic Screener, and NHES:95 Adult Education 97-04 Design, Data Collection, Monitoring, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-05 Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 97-08 Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 97-09 Design, Data Collection, Interview Timing, and Data Editing in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 97-20 National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Coding Manual 97-20 National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Coding Manual 97-21 Post Screener/Household Education Survey (NHES:96) Questionnaires: Screener/Household Education Survey (NHES:96) Questionnaires: Screener/Household Education Survey (NHES:96) 97-38 Post Schill Educati	Aurora D'Amic
95-12 Rural Education Data User's Guide 96-13 Estimation of Response Bias in the NHES:95 Adult Education Survey 96-14 The 1995 National Household Education Survey: Reinterview Results for the Adult Education Component 96-20 1991 National Household Education Survey (NHES:91) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Education, and Adult Education 96-21 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Program Participation, and Adult Education 96-22 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Program Participation, and Adult Education 96-29 Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Adults and 0- to 2-Year-Olds in th 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 96-30 Comparison of Estimates from the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 97-02 Telephone Coverage Bias and Recorded Interviews in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-03 1991 and 1995 National Household Education Survey Questionnaires: NHES:91 Screene NHES:91 Adult Education, NHES:95 Basic Screener, and NHES:95 Adult Education 97-04 Design, Data Collection, Monitoring, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-05 Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 97-08 Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 97-09 Design, Data Collection, Interview Timing, and Data Editing in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 97-20 National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Coding Manual 97-20 National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Coding Manual 97-21 Post Screener/Household Education Survey (NHES:96) Questionnaires: Screener/Household Education Survey (NHES:96) Questionnaires: Screener/Household Education Survey (NHES:96) 97-38 Post Schill Educati	
 96-13 Estimation of Response Bias in the NHES:95 Adult Education Survey 96-14 The 1995 National Household Education Survey: Reinterview Results for the Adult Education Component 96-20 1991 National Household Education Survey (NHES:91) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Education, and Adult Education 96-21 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) Questionnaires: Screener, Schoc Readiness, and School Safety and Discipline 96-22 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Program Participation, and Adult Education 96-29 Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Adults and 0- to 2-Year-Olds in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 97-00 Comparison of Estimates from the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 97-01 Telephone Coverage Bias and Recorded Interviews in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:91) Adult Education, Survey (NHES:93) 97-03 1991 and 1995 National Household Education Survey Questionnaires: NHES:91 Screene NHES:91 Adult Education, NHES:95 Basic Screener, and NHES:95 Adult Education Design, Data Collection, Monitoring, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-05 Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-06 Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 98-09 Design, Data Collection, Interview Timing, and Data Editing in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:96) Questionnaires: Screener/Household Education Survey	Samuel Peng
96-14 The 1995 National Household Education Survey: Reinterview Results for the Adult Education Component 96-20 1991 National Household Education Survey (NHES:91) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Education, and Adult Education 96-21 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) Questionnaires: Screener, Schoc Readiness, and School Safety and Discipline 96-22 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Program Participation, and Adult Education 96-29 Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Adults and 0- to 2-Year-Olds in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 96-30 Comparison of Estimates from the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 97-02 Telephone Coverage Bias and Recorded Interviews in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-03 1991 and 1995 National Household Education Survey Questionnaires: NHES:91 Screene NHES:91 Adult Education, NHES:95 Basic Screener, and NHES:95 Adult Education NHES:91 Adult Education, NHES:95 Basic Screener, and NHES:95 Adult Education the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-05 Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-06 Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 97-08 Design, Data Collection, Interview Timing, and Data Editing in the 1995 National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Coding Manual National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Code Merge Files User's Guide 97-25 1996 National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Survey 97-36 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20	Steven Kaufma
96-20 1991 National Household Education Survey (NHES:91) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Education, and Adult Education 96-21 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) Questionnaires: Screener, School Readiness, and School Safety and Discipline 96-22 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Program Participation, and Adult Education 96-29 Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Adults and 0- to 2-Year-Olds in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 96-30 Comparison of Estimates from the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 97-02 Telephone Coverage Bias and Recorded Interviews in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 1991 and 1995 National Household Education Survey Questionnaires: NHES:91 Screene NHES:91 Adult Education, NHES:95 Basic Screener, and NHES:95 Adult Education Past the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-04 Design, Data Collection, Monitoring, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-05 Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-06 Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 97-08 Design, Data Collection, Interview Timing, and Data Editing in the 1995 National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Coding Manual National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Coding Manual National Household Education Survey (NHES:96) Questionnaires: Screener/Household and Library, Parent and Family Involvement in Education and Civic Involvement, Youth Civic Involvement, and Adult Civic Involvement Comparison of Estimates in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-35 Possible Education Survey 97-38 Reinterview Results for the Parent and Youth Components of the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-	Steven Kaufma
 96-21 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) Questionnaires: Screener, School Readiness, and School Safety and Discipline 96-22 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Program Participation, and Adult Education 96-29 Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Adults and 0- to 2-Year-Olds in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 96-30 Comparison of Estimates from the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 97-02 Telephone Coverage Bias and Recorded Interviews in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-03 1991 and 1995 National Household Education Survey Questionnaires: NHES:91 Screene NHES:91 Adult Education, NHES:95 Basic Screener, and NHES:95 Adult Education Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-04 Design, Data Collection, Monitoring, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-05 Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-06 Design, Data Collection, Interview Timing, and Data Editing in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 97-08 Design, Data Collection, Interview Timing, and Data Editing in the 1995 National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Coding Manual National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Code Merge Files User's Guide 97-25 1996 National Household Education Survey (NHES:96) Questionnaires: Screener/Household and Library, Parent and Family Involvement in Education and Civic Involvement, Youth Civic Involvement, and Adult Civic Involvement 97-38 Postional Household Education Survey 97-39 Comparison of Estimates from the 1993 National Household Education Survey 97-39 Postional Household Education Survey<!--</td--><td>Kathryn Chand</td>	Kathryn Chand
96-22 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Program Participation, and Adult Education 96-29 Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Adults and 0- to 2-Year-Olds in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 96-30 Comparison of Estimates from the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 97-02 Telephone Coverage Bias and Recorded Interviews in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-03 1991 and 1995 National Household Education Survey Questionnaires: NHES:91 Screene NHES:91 Adult Education, NHES:95 Basic Screener, and NHES:95 Adult Education Posign, Data Collection, Monitoring, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-05 Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-06 Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 97-08 Design, Data Collection, Interview Timing, and Data Editing in the 1995 National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Coding Manual National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Coding Manual National Household Education Survey (NHES:96) Questionnaires: Screener/Household and Library, Parent and Family Involvement in Education and Civic Involvement, Youth Civic Involvement, and Adult Civic Involvement 97-28 Comparison of Estimates in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-34 Comparison of Estimates in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-35 Posign, Data Collection, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-38 Reinterview Results for the Parent and Youth Components of the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-39 Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Households and Adults in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-40 Unit and Ite	ol Kathryn Chand
 Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Adults and 0- to 2-Year-Olds in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Comparison of Estimates from the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Telephone Coverage Bias and Recorded Interviews in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 1991 and 1995 National Household Education Survey Questionnaires: NHES:91 Screene NHES:91 Adult Education, NHES:95 Basic Screener, and NHES:95 Adult Education in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Design, Data Collection, Interview Timing, and Data Editing in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Design, Data Collection, Interview Timing, and Data Editing in the 1995 National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Coding Manual National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Code Merge Files User's Guide 1996 National Household Education Survey (NHES:96) Questionnaires: Screener/Household and Library, Parent and Family Involvement in Education and Civic Involvement, Youth Civic Involvement, and Adult Civic Involvement (Comparison of Estimates in the 1996 National Household Education Survey Posign, Data Collection, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1996 National Household Education Survey Reinterview Results for the Parent and Youth Components of the 1996 National Household Education Survey Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Households and Adults in the 1996 National Household Education Survey Unit and Item Response Rates, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1996 Na	Kathryn Chand
Comparison of Estimates from the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) P7-02 Telephone Coverage Bias and Recorded Interviews in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) P7-03 1991 and 1995 National Household Education Survey Questionnaires: NHES:91 Screene NHES:91 Adult Education, NHES:95 Basic Screener, and NHES:95 Adult Education Design, Data Collection, Monitoring, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Design, Data Collection, Interview Timing, and Data Editing in the 1995 National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Coding Manual National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Code Merge Files User's Guide P7-25 P96 National Household Education Survey (NHES:96) Questionnaires: Screener/Household and Library, Parent and Family Involvement in Education and Civic Involvement, Youth Civic Involvement, and Adult Civic Involvement Comparison of Estimates in the 1996 National Household Education Survey Design, Data Collection, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1996 National Household Education Survey P7-38 Reinterview Results for the Parent and Youth Components of the 1996 National Household Education Survey Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Households and Adults in the 1996 National Household Education Survey P7-39 Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Households and Adults in the 1996 National Household Education Survey Unit and Item Response Rates, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1996 National Household Education Survey Adult Education in the 1990s: A Report on the 1991 National Household Education Survey	ne Kathryn Chand
 Telephone Coverage Bias and Recorded Interviews in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 1991 and 1995 National Household Education Survey Questionnaires: NHES:91 Screene NHES:91 Adult Education, NHES:95 Basic Screener, and NHES:95 Adult Education posign, Data Collection, Monitoring, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Design, Data Collection, Interview Timing, and Data Editing in the 1995 National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Coding Manual National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Code Merge Files User's Guide 1996 National Household Education Survey (NHES:96) Questionnaires: Screener/Household and Library, Parent and Family Involvement in Education and Civic Involvement, Youth Civic Involvement, and Adult Civic Involvement Comparison of Estimates in the 1996 National Household Education Survey Design, Data Collection, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1996 National Household Education Survey Reinterview Results for the Parent and Youth Components of the 1996 National Household Education Survey Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Households and Adults in the 1996 National Household Education Survey Unit and Item Response Rates, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1996 National Household Education Survey Adult Education in the 1990s: A Report on the 1991 National Household Education 	Kathryn Chand
NHES:91 Adult Education, NHES:95 Basic Screener, and NHES:95 Adult Education 97-04 Design, Data Collection, Monitoring, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-05 Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-06 Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 97-08 Design, Data Collection, Interview Timing, and Data Editing in the 1995 National Household Education Survey 97-19 National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Coding Manual 97-20 National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Code Merge Files User's Guide 97-25 1996 National Household Education Survey (NHES:96) Questionnaires: Screener/Household and Library, Parent and Family Involvement in Education and Civic Involvement, Youth Civic Involvement, and Adult Civic Involvement 97-28 Comparison of Estimates in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-34 Comparison of Estimates from the 1993 National Household Education Survey 97-35 Design, Data Collection, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-38 Reinterview Results for the Parent and Youth Components of the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-39 Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Households and Adults in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-40 Unit and Item Response Rates, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 98-03 Adult Education in the 1990s: A Report on the 1991 National Household Education Survey	Kathryn Chand
the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-05 Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-06 Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 97-08 Design, Data Collection, Interview Timing, and Data Editing in the 1995 National Household Education Survey 97-19 National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Coding Manual National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Code Merge Files User's Guide 97-25 1996 National Household Education Survey (NHES:96) Questionnaires: Screener/Household and Library, Parent and Family Involvement in Education and Civic Involvement, Youth Civic Involvement, and Adult Civic Involvement 97-28 Comparison of Estimates in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-35 Design, Data Collection, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-38 Reinterview Results for the Parent and Youth Components of the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-39 Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Households and Adults in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-40 Unit and Item Response Rates, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 98-03 Adult Education in the 1990s: A Report on the 1991 National Household Education Survey	
Household Education Survey (NHES:93) 97-06 Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 97-08 Design, Data Collection, Interview Timing, and Data Editing in the 1995 National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Coding Manual National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Code Merge Files User's Guide 97-25 1996 National Household Education Survey (NHES:96) Questionnaires:	n Kathryn Chand
Household Education Survey (NHES:95) 97-08 Design, Data Collection, Interview Timing, and Data Editing in the 1995 National Household Education Survey 97-19 National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Coding Manual National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Code Merge Files User's Guide 97-25 1996 National Household Education Survey (NHES:96) Questionnaires: Screener/Household and Library, Parent and Family Involvement in Education and Civic Involvement, Youth Civic Involvement, and Adult Civic Involvement 97-28 Comparison of Estimates in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-34 Comparison of Estimates from the 1993 National Household Education Survey 97-35 Design, Data Collection, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-38 Reinterview Results for the Parent and Youth Components of the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-39 Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Households and Adults in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-40 Unit and Item Response Rates, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 98-03 Adult Education in the 1990s: A Report on the 1991 National Household Education Survey	Kathryn Chand
Household Education Survey 97-19 National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Coding Manual 97-20 National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Code Merge Files User's Guide 97-25 1996 National Household Education Survey (NHES:96) Questionnaires: Screener/Household and Library, Parent and Family Involvement in Education and Civic Involvement, Youth Civic Involvement, and Adult Civic Involvement 97-28 Comparison of Estimates in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-34 Comparison of Estimates from the 1993 National Household Education Survey 97-35 Design, Data Collection, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-38 Reinterview Results for the Parent and Youth Components of the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-39 Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Households and Adults in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-40 Unit and Item Response Rates, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 98-03 Adult Education in the 1990s: A Report on the 1991 National Household Education Survey	Kathryn Chand
 National Household Education Survey of 1995: Adult Education Course Code Merge Files User's Guide 1996 National Household Education Survey (NHES:96) Questionnaires: Screener/Household and Library, Parent and Family Involvement in Education and Civic Involvement, Youth Civic Involvement, and Adult Civic Involvement Comparison of Estimates in the 1996 National Household Education Survey Comparison of Estimates from the 1993 National Household Education Survey Design, Data Collection, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1996 National Household Education Survey Reinterview Results for the Parent and Youth Components of the 1996 National Household Education Survey Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Households and Adults in the 1996 National Household Education Survey Unit and Item Response Rates, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1996 National Household Education Survey Adult Education in the 1990s: A Report on the 1991 National Household Education Survey 	Kathryn Chand
Files User's Guide 97-25 1996 National Household Education Survey (NHES:96) Questionnaires:	Peter Stowe
Screener/Household and Library, Parent and Family Involvement in Education and Civic Involvement, Youth Civic Involvement, and Adult Civic Involvement 97-28 Comparison of Estimates in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-34 Comparison of Estimates from the 1993 National Household Education Survey 97-35 Design, Data Collection, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-38 Reinterview Results for the Parent and Youth Components of the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-39 Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Households and Adults in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-40 Unit and Item Response Rates, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 98-03 Adult Education in the 1990s: A Report on the 1991 National Household Education Survey	Peter Stowe
 97-28 Comparison of Estimates in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-34 Comparison of Estimates from the 1993 National Household Education Survey 97-35 Design, Data Collection, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-38 Reinterview Results for the Parent and Youth Components of the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-39 Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Households and Adults in the 1996	Kathryn Chand
 97-34 Comparison of Estimates from the 1993 National Household Education Survey 97-35 Design, Data Collection, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-38 Reinterview Results for the Parent and Youth Components of the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-39 Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Households and Adults in the 1996	Kathryn Chand
 Design, Data Collection, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1996 National Household Education Survey Reinterview Results for the Parent and Youth Components of the 1996 National Household Education Survey Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Households and Adults in the 1996 National Household Education Survey Unit and Item Response Rates, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1996 National Household Education Survey Adult Education in the 1990s: A Report on the 1991 National Household Education Survey 	Kathryn Chand
97-38 Reinterview Results for the Parent and Youth Components of the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-39 Undercoverage Bias in Estimates of Characteristics of Households and Adults in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 97-40 Unit and Item Response Rates, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 98-03 Adult Education in the 1990s: A Report on the 1991 National Household Education Survey	Kathryn Chand
National Household Education Survey 97-40 Unit and Item Response Rates, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 98-03 Adult Education in the 1990s: A Report on the 1991 National Household Education Survey	Kathryn Chand
National Household Education Survey 98-03 Adult Education in the 1990s: A Report on the 1991 National Household Education Survey	·
Survey	Kathryn Chand
98-10 Adult Education Participation Decisions and Barriers: Review of Conceptual Framework	Peter Stowe
and Empirical Studies	s Peter Stowe
National Longitudinal Study of the High School Class of 1972 (NLS-72)	
95-12 Rural Education Data User's Guide	Samuel Peng
National Postsecondary Student Aid Study (NPSAS) 96-17 National Postsecondary Student Aid Study: 1996 Field Test Methodology Report	Andrew G. Ma

No.	Title	NCES contact
Notional C	Mandar of Docasson down Econillar (NEODE)	
97-26	Strategies for Improving Accuracy of Postsecondary Faculty Lists	Linda Zimbler
98-15	Development of a Prototype System for Accessing Linked NCES Data	Steven Kaufman
2000-01	1999 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty (NSOPF:99) Field Test Report	Linda Zimbler
Private Sc	hool Universe Survey (PSS)	
95-16	Intersurvey Consistency in NCES Private School Surveys	Steven Kaufman
95-17	Estimates of Expenditures for Private K-12 Schools	Stephen Broughman
96-16	Strategies for Collecting Finance Data from Private Schools	Stephen Broughman
96-26	Improving the Coverage of Private Elementary-Secondary Schools	Steven Kaufman
96-27 97-07	Intersurvey Consistency in NCES Private School Surveys for 1993-94 The Determinants of Per-Pupil Expenditures in Private Elementary and Secondary	Steven Kaufman Stephen Broughman
97-07	Schools: An Exploratory Analysis	Stephen Broughman
97-22	Collection of Private School Finance Data: Development of a Questionnaire	Stephen Broughman
98-15	Development of a Prototype System for Accessing Linked NCES Data	Steven Kaufman
2000-04	Selected Papers on Education Surveys: Papers Presented at the 1998 and 1999 ASA and	Dan Kasprzyk
	1999 AAPOR Meetings	1 2
	llege Graduates (RCG)	C. IZ C
98-15	Development of a Prototype System for Accessing Linked NCES Data	Steven Kaufman
Schools an	nd Staffing Survey (SASS)	
94-01	Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS) Papers Presented at Meetings of the American	Dan Kasprzyk
	Statistical Association	1 7
94-02	Generalized Variance Estimate for Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS)	Dan Kasprzyk
94-03	1991 Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS) Reinterview Response Variance Report	Dan Kasprzyk
94-04	The Accuracy of Teachers' Self-reports on their Postsecondary Education: Teacher	Dan Kasprzyk
94-06	Transcript Study, Schools and Staffing Survey Six Papers on Teachers from the 1990-91 Schools and Staffing Survey and Other Related	Don Voonwards
94-00	Surveys	Dan Kasprzyk
95-01	Schools and Staffing Survey: 1994 Papers Presented at the 1994 Meeting of the American	Dan Kasprzyk
	Statistical Association	
95-02	QED Estimates of the 1990-91 Schools and Staffing Survey: Deriving and Comparing	Dan Kasprzyk
05.02	QED School Estimates with CCD Estimates	D 1/2 1
95-03 95-08	Schools and Staffing Survey: 1990-91 SASS Cross-Questionnaire Analysis	Dan Kasprzyk
95-08 95-09	CCD Adjustment to the 1990-91 SASS: A Comparison of Estimates The Results of the 1993 Teacher List Validation Study (TLVS)	Dan Kasprzyk Dan Kasprzyk
95-10	The Results of the 1991-92 Teacher Follow-up Survey (TFS) Reinterview and Extensive	Dan Kasprzyk
<i>)</i> 5 10	Reconciliation	Dun Ruspizyk
95-11	Measuring Instruction, Curriculum Content, and Instructional Resources: The Status of	Sharon Bobbitt &
	Recent Work	John Ralph
95-12	Rural Education Data User's Guide	Samuel Peng
95-14	Empirical Evaluation of Social, Psychological, & Educational Construct Variables Used	Samuel Peng
05 15	in NCES Surveys Classroom Instructional Processes: A Paviaty of Existing Massurement Approaches and	Sharon Bobbitt
95-15	Classroom Instructional Processes: A Review of Existing Measurement Approaches and Their Applicability for the Teacher Follow-up Survey	Sharon boodin
95-16	Intersurvey Consistency in NCES Private School Surveys	Steven Kaufman
95-18	An Agenda for Research on Teachers and Schools: Revisiting NCES' Schools and	Dan Kasprzyk
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Staffing Survey	
96-01	Methodological Issues in the Study of Teachers' Careers: Critical Features of a Truly	Dan Kasprzyk
	Longitudinal Study	
96-02	Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS): 1995 Selected papers presented at the 1995 Meeting	Dan Kasprzyk
06.05	of the American Statistical Association	D V
96-05 96-06	Cognitive Research on the Teacher Listing Form for the Schools and Staffing Survey The Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS) for 1008, 00; Design Recommendations to	Dan Kasprzyk Dan Kasprzyk
70-00	The Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS) for 1998-99: Design Recommendations to Inform Broad Education Policy	Dan Kaspilyk
96-07	Should SASS Measure Instructional Processes and Teacher Effectiveness?	Dan Kasprzyk
96-09	Making Data Relevant for Policy Discussions: Redesigning the School Administrator	Dan Kasprzyk
	Questionnaire for the 1998-99 SASS	
96-10	1998-99 Schools and Staffing Survey: Issues Related to Survey Depth	Dan Kasprzyk

No.	Title	NCES contact
96-11	Towards an Organizational Database on America's Schools: A Proposal for the Future of SASS, with comments on School Reform, Governance, and Finance	Dan Kasprzyk
96-12	Predictors of Retention, Transfer, and Attrition of Special and General Education Teachers: Data from the 1989 Teacher Followup Survey	Dan Kasprzyk
96-15	Nested Structures: District-Level Data in the Schools and Staffing Survey	Dan Kasprzyk
96-23	Linking Student Data to SASS: Why, When, How	Dan Kasprzyk
96-24	National Assessments of Teacher Quality	Dan Kasprzyk
96-25	Measures of Inservice Professional Development: Suggested Items for the 1998-1999	Dan Kasprzyk
	Schools and Staffing Survey	
96-28	Student Learning, Teaching Quality, and Professional Development: Theoretical Linkages, Current Measurement, and Recommendations for Future Data Collection	Mary Rollefson
97-01	Selected Papers on Education Surveys: Papers Presented at the 1996 Meeting of the American Statistical Association	Dan Kasprzyk
97-07	The Determinants of Per-Pupil Expenditures in Private Elementary and Secondary	Stephen Broughman
97-09	Schools: An Exploratory Analysis	Lee Hoffman
	Status of Data on Crime and Violence in Schools: Final Report	
97-10	Report of Cognitive Research on the Public and Private School Teacher Questionnaires for the Schools and Staffing Survey 1993-94 School Year	Dan Kasprzyk
97-11	International Comparisons of Inservice Professional Development	Dan Kasprzyk
97-12	Measuring School Reform: Recommendations for Future SASS Data Collection	Mary Rollefson
97-14	Optimal Choice of Periodicities for the Schools and Staffing Survey: Modeling and Analysis	Steven Kaufman
97-18	Improving the Mail Return Rates of SASS Surveys: A Review of the Literature	Steven Kaufman
97-22	Collection of Private School Finance Data: Development of a Questionnaire	Stephen Broughman
97-23	Further Cognitive Research on the Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS) Teacher Listing Form	Dan Kasprzyk
97-41	Selected Papers on the Schools and Staffing Survey: Papers Presented at the 1997 Meeting	Steve Kaufman
97-42	of the American Statistical Association Improving the Measurement of Staffing Resources at the School Level: The Development	Mary Rollefson
.=	of Recommendations for NCES for the Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS)	
97-44	Development of a SASS 1993-94 School-Level Student Achievement Subfile: Using State Assessments and State NAEP, Feasibility Study	Michael Ross
98-01	Collection of Public School Expenditure Data: Development of a Questionnaire	Stephen Broughman
98-02	Response Variance in the 1993-94 Schools and Staffing Survey: A Reinterview Report	Steven Kaufman
98-04	Geographic Variations in Public Schools' Costs	William J. Fowler, Jr.
98-05	SASS Documentation: 1993-94 SASS Student Sampling Problems; Solutions for Determining the Numerators for the SASS Private School (3B) Second-Stage Factors	Steven Kaufman
98-08	The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper	Dan Kasprzyk
98-12	A Bootstrap Variance Estimator for Systematic PPS Sampling	Steven Kaufman
98-13	Response Variance in the 1994-95 Teacher Follow-up Survey	Steven Kaufman
98-14	Variance Estimation of Imputed Survey Data	Steven Kaufman
98-15	Development of a Prototype System for Accessing Linked NCES Data	Steven Kaufman
98-16	A Feasibility Study of Longitudinal Design for Schools and Staffing Survey	Stephen Broughman
1999-02	Tracking Secondary Use of the Schools and Staffing Survey Data: Preliminary Results	Dan Kasprzyk
1999-04	Measuring Teacher Qualifications	Dan Kasprzyk
1999-07	Collection of Resource and Expenditure Data on the Schools and Staffing Survey	Stephen Broughman
1999-08	Measuring Classroom Instructional Processes: Using Survey and Case Study Fieldtest	Dan Kasprzyk
1000 10	Results to Improve Item Construction	D., V., 1
1999-10	What Users Say About Schools and Staffing Survey Publications	Dan Kasprzyk
1999-12	1993-94 Schools and Staffing Survey: Data File User's Manual, Volume III: Public-Use Codebook	Kerry Gruber
1999-13	1993-94 Schools and Staffing Survey: Data File User's Manual, Volume IV: Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) Restricted-Use Codebook	Kerry Gruber
1999-14	1994-95 Teacher Followup Survey: Data File User's Manual, Restricted-Use Codebook	Kerry Gruber
1999-17	Secondary Use of the Schools and Staffing Survey Data	Susan Wiley
2000-04	Selected Papers on Education Surveys: Papers Presented at the 1998 and 1999 ASA and 1999 AAPOR Meetings	Dan Kasprzyk

Listing of NCES Working Papers by Subject

No.	Title	NCES contact		
Adult edu	reation			
96-14	The 1995 National Household Education Survey: Reinterview Results for the Adult Education Component	Steven Kaufman		
96-20	1991 National Household Education Survey (NHES:91) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Education, and Adult Education	Kathryn Chandler		
96-22	1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Program Participation, and Adult Education	Kathryn Chandler		
98-03	Adult Education in the 1990s: A Report on the 1991 National Household Education Survey	Peter Stowe		
98-10	Adult Education Participation Decisions and Barriers: Review of Conceptual Frameworks and Empirical Studies	Peter Stowe		
1999-11	Data Sources on Lifelong Learning Available from the National Center for Education Statistics	Lisa Hudson		
Adult lite	racy—see Literacy of adults			
American	Indian – education			
1999-13	1993-94 Schools and Staffing Survey: Data File User's Manual, Volume IV: Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) Restricted-Use Codebook	Kerry Gruber		
Assessme	nt/achievement			
95-12	Rural Education Data User's Guide	Samuel Peng		
95-13	Assessing Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficiency	James Houser		
97-29	Can State Assessment Data be Used to Reduce State NAEP Sample Sizes?	Larry Ogle		
97-30	ACT's NAEP Redesign Project: Assessment Design is the Key to Useful and Stable Assessment Results	Larry Ogle		
97-31	NAEP Reconfigured: An Integrated Redesign of the National Assessment of Educational Progress	Larry Ogle		
97-32	Innovative Solutions to Intractable Large Scale Assessment (Problem 2: Background Questions)	Larry Ogle		
97-37	Optimal Rating Procedures and Methodology for NAEP Open-ended Items	Larry Ogle		
97-44	Development of a SASS 1993-94 School-Level Student Achievement Subfile: Using	Michael Ross		
00.00	State Assessments and State NAEP, Feasibility Study	T 00 O '		
98-09	High School Curriculum Structure: Effects on Coursetaking and Achievement in Mathematics for High School Graduates—An Examination of Data from the National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988	Jeffrey Owings		
Raginning	students in postsecondary education			
98-11	Beginning Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study First Follow-up (BPS:96-98) Field Test Report	Aurora D'Amico		
Civic par	ticipation			
97-25	1996 National Household Education Survey (NHES:96) Questionnaires: Screener/Household and Library, Parent and Family Involvement in Education and Civic Involvement, Youth Civic Involvement, and Adult Civic Involvement	Kathryn Chandler		
Climate o	Climate of schools			
95-14	Empirical Evaluation of Social, Psychological, & Educational Construct Variables Used in NCES Surveys	Samuel Peng		
Cost of ac	lucation indices			
94-05	Cost-of-Education Differentials Across the States	William J. Fowler, Jr.		
Course-ta	king			
95-12	Rural Education Data User's Guide	Samuel Peng		

	Title	NCES contact
98-09	High School Curriculum Structure: Effects on Coursetaking and Achievement in Mathematics for High School Graduates—An Examination of Data from the National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988	Jeffrey Owings
1999-05	Procedures Guide for Transcript Studies	Dawn Nelson
1999-06	1998 Revision of the Secondary School Taxonomy	Dawn Nelson
Crime 97-09	Status of Data on Crime and Violence in Schools: Final Report	Lee Hoffman
Curriculu	m	
95-11	Measuring Instruction, Curriculum Content, and Instructional Resources: The Status of Recent Work	Sharon Bobbitt & John Ralph
98-09	High School Curriculum Structure: Effects on Coursetaking and Achievement in Mathematics for High School Graduates—An Examination of Data from the National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988	Jeffrey Owings
Customer	service	
1999-10	What Users Say About Schools and Staffing Survey Publications	Dan Kasprzyk
2000-02 2000-04	Coordinating NCES Surveys: Options, Issues, Challenges, and Next Steps Selected Papers on Education Surveys: Papers Presented at the 1998 and 1999 ASA and 1999 AAPOR Meetings	Valena Plisko Dan Kasprzyk
Data qual		
97-13	Improving Data Quality in NCES: Database-to-Report Process	Susan Ahmed
Data ware		
2000-04	Selected Papers on Education Surveys: Papers Presented at the 1998 and 1999 ASA and 1999 AAPOR Meetings	Dan Kasprzyk
Design eff	ects	
Design eff 2000-03	Strengths and Limitations of Using SUDAAN, Stata, and WesVarPC for Computing Variances from NCES Data Sets	Ralph Lee
2000-03	Strengths and Limitations of Using SUDAAN, Stata, and WesVarPC for Computing	Ralph Lee
2000-03	Strengths and Limitations of Using SUDAAN, Stata, and WesVarPC for Computing Variances from NCES Data Sets	Ralph Lee Jeffrey Owings
2000-03 Dropout r 95-07	Strengths and Limitations of Using SUDAAN, Stata, and WesVarPC for Computing Variances from NCES Data Sets **rates, high school** National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988: Conducting Trend Analyses HS&B and NELS:88 Sophomore Cohort Dropouts dhood education	-
2000-03 Dropout r 95-07	Strengths and Limitations of Using SUDAAN, Stata, and WesVarPC for Computing Variances from NCES Data Sets *ates, high school National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988: Conducting Trend Analyses HS&B and NELS:88 Sophomore Cohort Dropouts dhood education 1991 National Household Education Survey (NHES:91) Questionnaires: Screener, Early	-
2000-03 Dropout r 95-07 Early chil	Strengths and Limitations of Using SUDAAN, Stata, and WesVarPC for Computing Variances from NCES Data Sets *ates, high school National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988: Conducting Trend Analyses HS&B and NELS:88 Sophomore Cohort Dropouts dhood education 1991 National Household Education Survey (NHES:91) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Education, and Adult Education 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Questionnaires: Screener, Early	Jeffrey Owings Kathryn Chandle
2000-03 Dropout r 95-07 Early chil 96-20	Strengths and Limitations of Using SUDAAN, Stata, and WesVarPC for Computing Variances from NCES Data Sets *ates, high school National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988: Conducting Trend Analyses HS&B and NELS:88 Sophomore Cohort Dropouts dhood education 1991 National Household Education Survey (NHES:91) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Education, and Adult Education 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Program Participation, and Adult Education Formulating a Design for the ECLS: A Review of Longitudinal Studies	Jeffrey Owings Kathryn Chandle
2000-03 Dropout r 95-07 Early chil 96-20 96-22	Strengths and Limitations of Using SUDAAN, Stata, and WesVarPC for Computing Variances from NCES Data Sets *ates, high school National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988: Conducting Trend Analyses HS&B and NELS:88 Sophomore Cohort Dropouts dhood education 1991 National Household Education Survey (NHES:91) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Education, and Adult Education 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Program Participation, and Adult Education Formulating a Design for the ECLS: A Review of Longitudinal Studies Measuring the Quality of Program Environments in Head Start and Other Early Childhood	Jeffrey Owings Kathryn Chandle Kathryn Chandle
2000-03 Dropout r 95-07 Early chil 96-20 96-22 97-24	Strengths and Limitations of Using SUDAAN, Stata, and WesVarPC for Computing Variances from NCES Data Sets *ates, high school National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988: Conducting Trend Analyses HS&B and NELS:88 Sophomore Cohort Dropouts dhood education 1991 National Household Education Survey (NHES:91) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Education, and Adult Education 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Program Participation, and Adult Education Formulating a Design for the ECLS: A Review of Longitudinal Studies	Jeffrey Owings Kathryn Chandle Kathryn Chandle Jerry West
2000-03 Dropout r 95-07 Early chil 96-20 96-22 97-24 97-36 1999-01	Strengths and Limitations of Using SUDAAN, Stata, and WesVarPC for Computing Variances from NCES Data Sets *ates, high school National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988: Conducting Trend Analyses HS&B and NELS:88 Sophomore Cohort Dropouts dhood education 1991 National Household Education Survey (NHES:91) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Education, and Adult Education 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Program Participation, and Adult Education Formulating a Design for the ECLS: A Review of Longitudinal Studies Measuring the Quality of Program Environments in Head Start and Other Early Childhood Programs: A Review and Recommendations for Future Research	Jeffrey Owings Kathryn Chandle Kathryn Chandle Jerry West Jerry West
2000-03 Dropout r 95-07 Early chil 96-20 96-22 97-24 97-36 1999-01	Strengths and Limitations of Using SUDAAN, Stata, and WesVarPC for Computing Variances from NCES Data Sets *ates, high school National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988: Conducting Trend Analyses HS&B and NELS:88 Sophomore Cohort Dropouts dhood education 1991 National Household Education Survey (NHES:91) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Education, and Adult Education 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Program Participation, and Adult Education Formulating a Design for the ECLS: A Review of Longitudinal Studies Measuring the Quality of Program Environments in Head Start and Other Early Childhood Programs: A Review and Recommendations for Future Research A Birth Cohort Study: Conceptual and Design Considerations and Rationale	Jeffrey Owings Kathryn Chandle Kathryn Chandle Jerry West Jerry West
2000-03 Dropout r 95-07 Early chil 96-20 96-22 97-24 97-36 1999-01 Education 98-11	Strengths and Limitations of Using SUDAAN, Stata, and WesVarPC for Computing Variances from NCES Data Sets **ates, high school** National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988: Conducting Trend Analyses HS&B and NELS:88 Sophomore Cohort Dropouts *dhood education** 1991 National Household Education Survey (NHES:91) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Education, and Adult Education 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Program Participation, and Adult Education Formulating a Design for the ECLS: A Review of Longitudinal Studies Measuring the Quality of Program Environments in Head Start and Other Early Childhood Programs: A Review and Recommendations for Future Research A Birth Cohort Study: Conceptual and Design Considerations and Rationale **statamment** Beginning Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study First Follow-up (BPS:96-98) Field Test Report **statament**	Jeffrey Owings Kathryn Chandle Kathryn Chandle Jerry West Jerry West Jerry West Aurora D'Amico
2000-03 Dropout r 95-07 Early chil 96-20 96-22 97-24 97-36 1999-01 Education 98-11	Strengths and Limitations of Using SUDAAN, Stata, and WesVarPC for Computing Variances from NCES Data Sets **ates, high school** National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988: Conducting Trend Analyses HS&B and NELS:88 Sophomore Cohort Dropouts *dhood education** 1991 National Household Education Survey (NHES:91) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Education, and Adult Education 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Program Participation, and Adult Education Formulating a Design for the ECLS: A Review of Longitudinal Studies Measuring the Quality of Program Environments in Head Start and Other Early Childhood Programs: A Review and Recommendations for Future Research A Birth Cohort Study: Conceptual and Design Considerations and Rationale **stal attainment** Beginning Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study First Follow-up (BPS:96-98) Field Test Report	Jeffrey Owings Kathryn Chandle Kathryn Chandle Jerry West Jerry West Jerry West
2000-03 Dropout r 95-07 Early chil 96-20 96-22 97-24 97-36 1999-01 Education 98-11 Education 2000-02 Employma	Strengths and Limitations of Using SUDAAN, Stata, and WesVarPC for Computing Variances from NCES Data Sets **ates, high school** National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988: Conducting Trend Analyses HS&B and NELS:88 Sophomore Cohort Dropouts *dhood education** 1991 National Household Education Survey (NHES:91) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Education, and Adult Education 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Program Participation, and Adult Education Formulating a Design for the ECLS: A Review of Longitudinal Studies Measuring the Quality of Program Environments in Head Start and Other Early Childhood Programs: A Review and Recommendations for Future Research A Birth Cohort Study: Conceptual and Design Considerations and Rationale **atl attainment** Beginning Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study First Follow-up (BPS:96-98) Field Test Report **atl research** Coordinating NCES Surveys: Options, Issues, Challenges, and Next Steps **ent**	Jeffrey Owings Kathryn Chandle Kathryn Chandle Jerry West Jerry West Jerry West Aurora D'Amico Valena Plisko
2000-03 Dropout r 95-07 Early chil 96-20 96-22 97-24 97-36 1999-01 Education 98-11	Strengths and Limitations of Using SUDAAN, Stata, and WesVarPC for Computing Variances from NCES Data Sets **ates, high school** National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988: Conducting Trend Analyses HS&B and NELS:88 Sophomore Cohort Dropouts **dhood education** 1991 National Household Education Survey (NHES:91) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Education, and Adult Education 1995 National Household Education Survey (NHES:95) Questionnaires: Screener, Early Childhood Program Participation, and Adult Education Formulating a Design for the ECLS: A Review of Longitudinal Studies Measuring the Quality of Program Environments in Head Start and Other Early Childhood Programs: A Review and Recommendations for Future Research A Birth Cohort Study: Conceptual and Design Considerations and Rationale **atlattainment** Beginning Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study First Follow-up (BPS:96-98) Field Test Report **atla research** Coordinating NCES Surveys: Options, Issues, Challenges, and Next Steps	Jeffrey Owings Kathryn Chandle Kathryn Chandle Jerry West Jerry West Jerry West Aurora D'Amico

No.	Title	NCES contact
110.	1100	TVELD CONTACT
Faculty –	higher education	
97-26	Strategies for Improving Accuracy of Postsecondary Faculty Lists	Linda Zimbler
2000-01	1999 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty (NSOPF:99) Field Test Report	Linda Zimbler
	elementary and secondary schools	
94-05	Cost-of-Education Differentials Across the States	William J. Fowler, Jr.
96-19	Assessment and Analysis of School-Level Expenditures	William J. Fowler, Jr.
98-01	Collection of Public School Expenditure Data: Development of a Questionnaire	Stephen Broughman
1999-07 1999-16	Collection of Resource and Expenditure Data on the Schools and Staffing Survey Measuring Resources in Education: From Accounting to the Resource Cost Model	Stephen Broughman William J. Fowler, Jr.
1777-10	Approach	William J. 1 Owier, Jr.
Finance –	postsecondary	
97-27	Pilot Test of IPEDS Finance Survey	Peter Stowe
	private schools	
95-17	Estimates of Expenditures for Private K-12 Schools	Stephen Broughman
96-16	Strategies for Collecting Finance Data from Private Schools	Stephen Broughman
97-07	The Determinants of Per-Pupil Expenditures in Private Elementary and Secondary Schools: An Exploratory Analysis	Stephen Broughman
97-22	Collection of Private School Finance Data: Development of a Questionnaire	Stephen Broughman
1999-07	Collection of Resource and Expenditure Data on the Schools and Staffing Survey	Stephen Broughman
		1 0
Geograph	y	
98-04	Geographic Variations in Public Schools' Costs	William J. Fowler, Jr.
·		
Imputatio		D 1/2 1
2000-04	Selected Papers on Education Surveys: Papers Presented at the 1998 and 1999 ASA and 1999 AAPOR Meetings	Dan Kasprzyk
	1979 AAI OK Meetings	
Inflation		
97-43	Measuring Inflation in Public School Costs	William J. Fowler, Jr.
	-	
Institution		
2000-01	1999 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty (NSOPF:99) Field Test Report	Linda Zimbler
T		
	nal resources and practices	C1 D 11'44 0
95-11	Measuring Instruction, Curriculum Content, and Instructional Resources: The Status of Recent Work	Sharon Bobbitt & John Ralph
1999-08	Measuring Classroom Instructional Processes: Using Survey and Case Study Field Test	Dan Kasprzyk
1,,,, 00	Results to Improve Item Construction	Bun Huspilyn
	•	
Internatio	nal comparisons	
97-11	International Comparisons of Inservice Professional Development	Dan Kasprzyk
97-16	International Education Expenditure Comparability Study: Final Report, Volume I	Shelley Burns
97-17	International Education Expenditure Comparability Study: Final Report, Volume II, Quantitative Analysis of Expenditure Comparability	Shelley Burns
	Quantitative Analysis of Experionure Comparability	
Libraries		
94-07	Data Comparability and Public Policy: New Interest in Public Library Data Papers	Carrol Kindel
	Presented at Meetings of the American Statistical Association	
97-25	1996 National Household Education Survey (NHES:96) Questionnaires:	Kathryn Chandler
	Screener/Household and Library, Parent and Family Involvement in Education and	
	Civic Involvement, Youth Civic Involvement, and Adult Civic Involvement	
I imited E	nglich Drofisioner	
95-13	nglish Proficiency Assessing Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficiency	James Houser
75-15	Assessing Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficiency	James House

No.	Title	NCES contact			
I itanaay a	f adulta				
Literacy o 98-17	Developing the National Assessment of Adult Literacy: Recommendations from Stakeholders	Sheida White			
1999-09a	1992 National Adult Literacy Survey: An Overview	Alex Sedlacek			
1999-09b	1992 National Adult Literacy Survey: Sample Design	Alex Sedlacek			
1999-09c	1992 National Adult Literacy Survey: Weighting and Population Estimates	Alex Sedlacek			
1999-09d	1992 National Adult Literacy Survey: Development of the Survey Instruments	Alex Sedlacek			
1999-09e	1992 National Adult Literacy Survey: Scaling and Proficiency Estimates	Alex Sedlacek			
1999-09f	1992 National Adult Literacy Survey: Interpreting the Adult Literacy Scales and Literacy Levels	Alex Sedlacek			
1999-09g	1992 National Adult Literacy Survey: Literacy Levels and the Response Probability Convention	Alex Sedlacek			
1999-11	Data Sources on Lifelong Learning Available from the National Center for Education Statistics	Lisa Hudson			
2000-05	Secondary Statistical Modeling With the National Assessment of Adult Literacy: Implications for the Design of the Background Questionnaire	Sheida White			
Literacy o	f adults – international				
97-33	Adult Literacy: An International Perspective	Marilyn Binkley			
Mathemat	ics				
98-09	High School Curriculum Structure: Effects on Coursetaking and Achievement in Mathematics for High School Graduates—An Examination of Data from the National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988	Jeffrey Owings			
1999-08	Measuring Classroom Instructional Processes: Using Survey and Case Study Field Test Results to Improve Item Construction	Dan Kasprzyk			
Parental i	nvolvement in education				
96-03	National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 (NELS:88) Research Framework and Issues	Jeffrey Owings			
97-25	1996 National Household Education Survey (NHES:96) Questionnaires: Screener/Household and Library, Parent and Family Involvement in Education and Civic Involvement, Youth Civic Involvement, and Adult Civic Involvement	Kathryn Chandler			
1999-01	A Birth Cohort Study: Conceptual and Design Considerations and Rationale	Jerry West			
Participat	ion rates				
98-10	Adult Education Participation Decisions and Barriers: Review of Conceptual Frameworks and Empirical Studies	Peter Stowe			
Postsecon	lary education				
1999-11	Data Sources on Lifelong Learning Available from the National Center for Education Statistics	Lisa Hudson			
Postsecondary education – persistence and attainment					
98-11	Beginning Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study First Follow-up (BPS:96-98) Field Test Report	Aurora D'Amico			
1999-15	Projected Postsecondary Outcomes of 1992 High School Graduates	Aurora D'Amico			
Postsecone 97-26	Postsecondary education – staff 97-26 Strategies for Improving Accuracy of Postsecondary Faculty Lists Linda Zimbler				
2000-01	1999 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty (NSOPF:99) Field Test Report	Linda Zimbler			
Private sc	nools				
96-16	Strategies for Collecting Finance Data from Private Schools	Stephen Broughman			
97-07	The Determinants of Per-Pupil Expenditures in Private Elementary and Secondary Schools: An Exploratory Analysis	Stephen Broughman			
97-22	Collection of Private School Finance Data: Development of a Questionnaire	Stephen Broughman			

No.	Title	NCES contact		
D.,				
1999-15	s of education statistics Projected Postsecondary Outcomes of 1992 High School Graduates	Aurora D'Amico		
Public scho	ool finance			
1999-16	Measuring Resources in Education: From Accounting to the Resource Cost Model Approach	William J. Fowler, Jr.		
Public scho	ools			
97-43	Measuring Inflation in Public School Costs	William J. Fowler, Jr.		
98-01	Collection of Public School Expenditure Data: Development of a Questionnaire	Stephen Broughman		
98-04 1999-02	Geographic Variations in Public Schools' Costs Tracking Secondary Use of the Schools and Staffing Survey Data: Preliminary Results	William J. Fowler, Jr. Dan Kasprzyk		
Public scho	ools – secondary			
98-09	High School Curriculum Structure: Effects on Coursetaking and Achievement in	Jeffrey Owings		
	Mathematics for High School Graduates—An Examination of Data from the National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988			
Reform, ed	lucational			
96-03	National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 (NELS:88) Research Framework and Issues	Jeffrey Owings		
Response r		G. W. C		
98-02	Response Variance in the 1993-94 Schools and Staffing Survey: A Reinterview Report	Steven Kaufman		
School dist	ricts, public			
98-07	Decennial Census School District Project Planning Report	Tai Phan		
1999-03	Evaluation of the 1996-97 Nonfiscal Common Core of Data Surveys Data Collection, Processing, and Editing Cycle	Beth Young		
School dist	ricts, public – demographics of			
96-04	Census Mapping Project/School District Data Book	Tai Phan		
Schools				
97-42	Improving the Measurement of Staffing Resources at the School Level: The Development	Mary Rollefson		
	of Recommendations for NCES for the Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS)	•		
98-08	The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper	Dan Kasprzyk		
1999-03	Evaluation of the 1996-97 Nonfiscal Common Core of Data Surveys Data Collection, Processing, and Editing Cycle	Beth Young		
Schools – s	afety and discipline			
97-09	Status of Data on Crime and Violence in Schools: Final Report	Lee Hoffman		
C = £4				
Software e 2000-03	Strengths and Limitations of Using SUDAAN, Stata, and WesVarPC for Computing	Ralph Lee		
2000-03	Variances from NCES Data Sets	Kaiph Lee		
Staff				
97-42	Improving the Measurement of Staffing Resources at the School Level: The Development	Mary Rollefson		
,,	of Recommendations for NCES for the Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS)	<i>y</i>		
98-08	The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper	Dan Kasprzyk		
Staff – high	Staff – higher education institutions			
97-26	Strategies for Improving Accuracy of Postsecondary Faculty Lists	Linda Zimbler		
Ctoto				
State 1999-03	Evaluation of the 1996-97 Nonfiscal Common Core of Data Surveys Data Collection,	Beth Young		
1/// 03	Processing, and Editing Cycle	20m roung		
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Statistics statistics statistics for Policymakers or Everything You Wanted to Know About Statistics But Thought You Could Never Understand Students with disabilities 95-13				
Students with disabilities 95-13 Assessing Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficiency Survey methodology 96-17 National Postsecondary Student Aid Study: 1996 Field Test Methodology Report 97-15 Customer Service Survey: Common Core of Data Coordinators 98-06 National Household Education Survey 98-07 National Household Education Survey 98-08 National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 (NELS:88) Base Year through Second Follow-Up: Final Methodology Report 1999-07 Collection of Resource and Expenditure Data on the Schools and Staffing Survey 1999-17 Secondary Use of the Schools and Staffing Survey Data 1999-18 Secondary Use of the Schools and Staffing Survey Stephen Broughma 1999-19 Coordinating NCES Surveys: Options, Issues, Challenges, and Next Steps 2000-01 Selected Papers on Education Surveys: Papers Presented at the 1998 and 1999 ASA and 1999 AAPOR Meetings Teachers 98-13 Response Variance in the 1994-95 Teacher Follow-up Survey 1999-14 Displayed Teacher Soloman Staffing Survey: Data File User's Manual, Restricted-Use Codebook Teachers - instructional practices of 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Dan Kasprzyk Teachers - performance evaluations 1999-04 Measuring Teacher Qualifications Dan Kasprzyk Teachers - performance evaluations 1999-04 Measuring Teacher Qualifications				
Survey methodology 96-17 National Postsecondary Student Aid Study: 1996 Field Test Methodology Report 97-15 Customer Service Survey: Common Core of Data Coordinators Possign, Data Collection, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1996 National Household Education Survey National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 (NELS:88) Base Year through Second Follow-Up: Final Methodology Report Possign, Data Collection, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1996 National Household Education Survey National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 (NELS:88) Base Year through Second Follow-Up: Final Methodology Report Possign Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study First Follow-up (BPS:96-98) Field Test Report Possign Collection of Resource and Expenditure Data on the Schools and Staffing Survey Stephen Broughma Susan Wiley 2000-01 1999 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty (NSOPF:99) Field Test Report Coordinating NCES Surveys: Options, Issues, Challenges, and Next Steps 2000-04 Selected Papers on Education Surveys: Papers Presented at the 1998 and 1999 ASA and 1999 AAPOR Meetings Teachers Pas-13 Response Variance in the 1994-95 Teacher Follow-up Survey Possign				
Survey methodology 96-17 National Postsecondary Student Aid Study: 1996 Field Test Methodology Report 97-15 Customer Service Survey: Common Core of Data Coordinators Possign, Data Collection, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1996 National Household Education Survey National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 (NELS:88) Base Year through Second Follow-Up: Final Methodology Report Possign, Data Collection, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1996 National Household Education Survey National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 (NELS:88) Base Year through Second Follow-Up: Final Methodology Report Possign Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study First Follow-up (BPS:96-98) Field Test Report Possign Collection of Resource and Expenditure Data on the Schools and Staffing Survey Stephen Broughma Susan Wiley 2000-01 1999 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty (NSOPF:99) Field Test Report Coordinating NCES Surveys: Options, Issues, Challenges, and Next Steps 2000-04 Selected Papers on Education Surveys: Papers Presented at the 1998 and 1999 ASA and 1999 AAPOR Meetings Teachers Pas-13 Response Variance in the 1994-95 Teacher Follow-up Survey Possign				
96-17 National Postsecondary Student Aid Study: 1996 Field Test Methodology Report 97-15 Customer Service Survey: Common Core of Data Coordinators 97-35 Design, Data Collection, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 98-06 National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 (NELS:88) Base Year through Second Follow-Up: Final Methodology Report 98-11 Beginning Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study First Follow-up (BPS:96-98) Field Pesinning Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study First Follow-up (BPS:96-98) Field Aurora D'Amico Test Report 98-16 A Feasibility Study of Longitudinal Design for Schools and Staffing Survey 1999-07 Collection of Resource and Expenditure Data on the Schools and Staffing Survey 2000-01 1999 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty (NSOPF:99) Field Test Report Secondary Use of the Schools and Staffing Survey Data Susan Wiley 2000-02 Coordinating NCES Surveys: Options, Issues, Challenges, and Next Steps Valena Plisko Selected Papers on Education Surveys: Papers Presented at the 1998 and 1999 ASA and 1999 AAPOR Meetings Teachers 98-13 Response Variance in the 1994-95 Teacher Follow-up Survey 98-04 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Dan Kasprzyk Teachers - opinions regarding safety 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Dan Kasprzyk Teachers - performance evaluations 1999-04 Measuring Teacher Qualifications Teachers - qualifications of				
96-17 National Postsecondary Student Aid Study: 1996 Field Test Methodology Report 97-15 Customer Service Survey: Common Core of Data Coordinators 97-35 Design, Data Collection, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 98-06 National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 (NELS:88) Base Year through Second Follow-Up: Final Methodology Report 98-11 Beginning Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study First Follow-up (BPS:96-98) Field Pesinning Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study First Follow-up (BPS:96-98) Field Aurora D'Amico Test Report 98-16 A Feasibility Study of Longitudinal Design for Schools and Staffing Survey 1999-07 Collection of Resource and Expenditure Data on the Schools and Staffing Survey 2000-01 1999 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty (NSOPF:99) Field Test Report Secondary Use of the Schools and Staffing Survey Data Susan Wiley 2000-02 Coordinating NCES Surveys: Options, Issues, Challenges, and Next Steps Valena Plisko Selected Papers on Education Surveys: Papers Presented at the 1998 and 1999 ASA and 1999 AAPOR Meetings Teachers 98-13 Response Variance in the 1994-95 Teacher Follow-up Survey 98-04 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Dan Kasprzyk Teachers - opinions regarding safety 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Dan Kasprzyk Teachers - performance evaluations 1999-04 Measuring Teacher Qualifications Teachers - qualifications of				
97-15 Customer Service Survey: Common Core of Data Coordinators 97-35 Design, Data Collection, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 98-06 National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 (NELS:88) Base Year through Second Follow-Up: Final Methodology Report 98-11 Beginning Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study First Follow-up (BPS:96-98) Field Test Report A Feasibility Study of Longitudinal Design for Schools and Staffing Survey Stephen Broughma 1999-07 Collection of Resource and Expenditure Data on the Schools and Staffing Survey Stephen Broughma 1999-17 Secondary Use of the Schools and Staffing Survey Data 2000-01 1999 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty (NSOPF:99) Field Test Report 2000-02 Coordinating NCES Surveys: Options, Issues, Challenges, and Next Steps Valena Plisko 2000-04 Selected Papers on Education Surveys: Papers Presented at the 1998 and 1999 ASA and 1999 AAPOR Meetings Teachers 98-13 Response Variance in the 1994-95 Teacher Follow-up Survey 1999-14 1994-95 Teacher Followup Survey: Data File User's Manual, Restricted-Use Codebook Teachers - instructional practices of 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Dan Kasprzyk Teachers - opinions regarding safety 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Dan Kasprzyk Teachers - performance evaluations 1999-04 Measuring Teacher Qualifications Dan Kasprzyk	0			
97-35 Design, Data Collection, Interview Administration Time, and Data Editing in the 1996 National Household Education Survey 98-06 National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 (NELS:88) Base Year through Second Follow-Up: Final Methodology Report 98-11 Beginning Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study First Follow-up (BPS:96-98) Field Test Report A Feasibility Study of Longitudinal Design for Schools and Staffing Survey Stephen Broughma 1999-07 Collection of Resource and Expenditure Data on the Schools and Staffing Survey Stephen Broughma 1999-17 Secondary Use of the Schools and Staffing Survey Data 2000-01 1999 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty (NSOPF:99) Field Test Report 2000-02 Coordinating NCES Surveys: Options, Issues, Challenges, and Next Steps 2000-04 Selected Papers on Education Surveys: Papers Presented at the 1998 and 1999 ASA and 1999 AAPOR Meetings Teachers 98-13 Response Variance in the 1994-95 Teacher Follow-up Survey 1994-95 Teacher Followup Survey: Data File User's Manual, Restricted-Use Codebook Teachers - instructional practices of 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Dan Kasprzyk Teachers - opinions regarding safety 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Dan Kasprzyk Teachers - performance evaluations 1999-04 Measuring Teacher Qualifications Dan Kasprzyk	Ü			
Follow-Up: Final Methodology Report Beginning Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study First Follow-up (BPS:96-98) Field Test Report A Feasibility Study of Longitudinal Design for Schools and Staffing Survey Collection of Resource and Expenditure Data on the Schools and Staffing Survey Stephen Broughma Secondary Use of the Schools and Staffing Survey Data Secondary Use of the Schools and Staffing Survey Data Coordinating NCES Surveys: Options, Issues, Challenges, and Next Steps Selected Papers on Education Surveys: Papers Presented at the 1998 and 1999 ASA and 1999 AAPOR Meetings Teachers 98-13 Response Variance in the 1994-95 Teacher Follow-up Survey 1994-95 Teacher Followup Survey: Data File User's Manual, Restricted-Use Codebook Teachers - instructional practices of 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Dan Kasprzyk Teachers - opinions regarding safety 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Dan Kasprzyk Teachers - performance evaluations Measuring Teacher Qualifications Dan Kasprzyk Teachers - qualifications of				
Test Report A Feasibility Study of Longitudinal Design for Schools and Staffing Survey Collection of Resource and Expenditure Data on the Schools and Staffing Survey Stephen Broughma Secondary Use of the Schools and Staffing Survey Data Secondary Use of the Schools and Staffing Survey Data 1999-17 Secondary Use of the Schools and Staffing Survey Data Coordinating NCES Surveys: Options, Issues, Challenges, and Next Steps Valena Plisko Selected Papers on Education Surveys: Papers Presented at the 1998 and 1999 ASA and 1999 AAPOR Meetings Teachers 98-13 Response Variance in the 1994-95 Teacher Follow-up Survey 1994-95 Teacher Followup Survey: Data File User's Manual, Restricted-Use Codebook Teachers - instructional practices of 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Dan Kasprzyk Teachers - opinions regarding safety 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Dan Kasprzyk Teachers - performance evaluations 1999-04 Measuring Teacher Qualifications Dan Kasprzyk Teachers - qualifications of				
1999-07 Collection of Resource and Expenditure Data on the Schools and Staffing Survey 1999-17 Secondary Use of the Schools and Staffing Survey Data 2000-01 1999 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty (NSOPF:99) Field Test Report 2000-02 Coordinating NCES Surveys: Options, Issues, Challenges, and Next Steps 2000-04 Selected Papers on Education Surveys: Papers Presented at the 1998 and 1999 ASA and 1999 AAPOR Meetings Teachers 98-13 Response Variance in the 1994-95 Teacher Follow-up Survey 1999-14 1994-95 Teacher Followup Survey: Data File User's Manual, Restricted-Use Codebook Teachers – instructional practices of 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Teachers – opinions regarding safety 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Teachers – performance evaluations 1999-04 Measuring Teacher Qualifications Dan Kasprzyk Teachers – qualifications of				
1999-17 2000-01 1999 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty (NSOPF:99) Field Test Report 2000-02 Coordinating NCES Surveys: Options, Issues, Challenges, and Next Steps 2000-04 Selected Papers on Education Surveys: Papers Presented at the 1998 and 1999 ASA and 1999 AAPOR Meetings Teachers 98-13 Response Variance in the 1994-95 Teacher Follow-up Survey 1999-14 1994-95 Teacher Followup Survey: Data File User's Manual, Restricted-Use Codebook Teachers - instructional practices of 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Dan Kasprzyk Teachers - opinions regarding safety 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Dan Kasprzyk Teachers - performance evaluations 1999-04 Measuring Teacher Qualifications Dan Kasprzyk Teachers - qualifications of				
2000-01 1999 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty (NSOPF:99) Field Test Report 2000-02 Coordinating NCES Surveys: Options, Issues, Challenges, and Next Steps 2000-04 Selected Papers on Education Surveys: Papers Presented at the 1998 and 1999 ASA and 1999 AAPOR Meetings Teachers 98-13 Response Variance in the 1994-95 Teacher Follow-up Survey 1999-14 1994-95 Teacher Followup Survey: Data File User's Manual, Restricted-Use Codebook Teachers – instructional practices of 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Teachers – opinions regarding safety 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Dan Kasprzyk Teachers – performance evaluations 1999-04 Measuring Teacher Qualifications Dan Kasprzyk Teachers – qualifications of	an			
2000-02 Coordinating NCES Surveys: Options, Issues, Challenges, and Next Steps 2000-04 Selected Papers on Education Surveys: Papers Presented at the 1998 and 1999 ASA and 1999 AAPOR Meetings Teachers 98-13 Response Variance in the 1994-95 Teacher Follow-up Survey 1999-14 1994-95 Teacher Followup Survey: Data File User's Manual, Restricted-Use Codebook Teachers – instructional practices of 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Teachers – opinions regarding safety 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Dan Kasprzyk Teachers – performance evaluations 1999-04 Measuring Teacher Qualifications Dan Kasprzyk Teachers – qualifications of				
Selected Papers on Education Surveys: Papers Presented at the 1998 and 1999 ASA and 1999 AAPOR Meetings Teachers 98-13 Response Variance in the 1994-95 Teacher Follow-up Survey 1999-14 1994-95 Teacher Followup Survey: Data File User's Manual, Restricted-Use Codebook Teachers – instructional practices of 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Teachers – opinions regarding safety 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Teachers – performance evaluations 1999-04 Measuring Teacher Qualifications Dan Kasprzyk Teachers – qualifications of				
98-13 Response Variance in the 1994-95 Teacher Follow-up Survey 1999-14 1994-95 Teacher Followup Survey: Data File User's Manual, Restricted-Use Codebook Teachers – instructional practices of 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Teachers – opinions regarding safety 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Teachers – performance evaluations 1999-04 Measuring Teacher Qualifications Teachers – qualifications of				
98-13 Response Variance in the 1994-95 Teacher Follow-up Survey 1999-14 1994-95 Teacher Followup Survey: Data File User's Manual, Restricted-Use Codebook Teachers – instructional practices of 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Teachers – opinions regarding safety 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Teachers – performance evaluations 1999-04 Measuring Teacher Qualifications Teachers – qualifications of				
98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Dan Kasprzyk Teachers – opinions regarding safety 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Dan Kasprzyk Teachers – performance evaluations 1999-04 Measuring Teacher Qualifications Dan Kasprzyk Teachers – qualifications of				
Teachers – opinions regarding safety 98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Dan Kasprzyk Teachers – performance evaluations 1999-04 Measuring Teacher Qualifications Teachers – qualifications of				
98-08 The Redesign of the Schools and Staffing Survey for 1999-2000: A Position Paper Dan Kasprzyk Teachers – performance evaluations 1999-04 Measuring Teacher Qualifications Teachers – qualifications of				
Teachers – performance evaluations 1999-04 Measuring Teacher Qualifications Dan Kasprzyk Teachers – qualifications of				
1999-04 Measuring Teacher Qualifications Dan Kasprzyk Teachers – qualifications of				
Teachers – qualifications of				
Teachers – salaries of				
94-05 Cost-of-Education Differentials Across the States William J. Fowler,	, Jr.			
Variance estimation				
2000-03 Strengths and Limitations of Using SUDAAN, Stata, and WesVarPC for Computing Ralph Lee				
Variances from NCES Data Sets 2000-04 Selected Papers on Education Surveys: Papers Presented at the 1998 and 1999 ASA and Dan Kasprzyk 1999 AAPOR Meetings				
X 7* 1				
Violence97-09Status of Data on Crime and Violence in Schools: Final ReportLee Hoffman				
Vocational education				
95-12 Rural Education Data User's Guide Samuel Peng				
1999-05 Procedures Guide for Transcript Studies Dawn Nelson				
1999-06 1998 Revision of the Secondary School Taxonomy Dawn Nelson				